IEEE 802.16 Presentation Submission Template (Rev. 8) Document Number:

### IEEE 802.16.1pp-00/39

Date Submitted:

2000-07-07

#### Source:

Jeff Foerster	Voice:	408-745-3983
Alcatel	Fax:	408-745-2506
1221 Crossman Ave.	E-mail:	jeff.r.foerster@alcatel.com
Sunnyvale, CA 94089		

#### Venue:

802.16 Session #8, 10-14 July 2000, La Jolla, CA, USA.

#### Base Document:

#### Purpose:

This set of slides is intended to foster some discussion regarding the FEC options for the 802.16.1 Physical layer specification. Notice:

This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

#### Release:

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate text contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

#### IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy:

The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures (Version 1.0) <<u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html</u>>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, if there is technical justification in the opinion of the standards-developing committee and provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder that it will license applicants under reasonable terms and conditions for the purpose of implementing the standard."

Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <<u>mailto:r.b.marks@ieee.org</u>> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, of any patents (granted or under application) that may cover technology that is under consideration by or has been approved by IEEE 802.16. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <<u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/letters></u>.

•Based upon the various submission to the FEC subcommittee, there appears to be a desire to have some flexibility in the coding for the burst mode of operation (FDD with adaptive modulation, FSDD, or TDD).

•There is currently no method defined to support this variability other than having the receiver automatically detect the code rate used.

•This presentation suggests a possible approach that can be considered in order to provide the desired level of flexibility and allow for optional coding techniques that can be used to enhance future deployments.



Frame structure for FDD with adaptive modulation

Possible approach:

•Treat the PHY/MAC control data as a separate group from the user data portion of the frame, which has a clearly defined coding scheme.

•Use the PHY/MAC control portion of the frame to transmit any pertinent information to the subscribers in order to allow them to enter the network (synchronization information, registration portion of upstream frame, etc.)
•Divide the rest of the frame into different FEC/Mod groups, and allow each user group to have different modulation and/or coding structures. The frame should still have the modulation levels increase from QPSK to 16-QAM to 64-QAM, but the coding schemes could be allowed to vary.

MAC management messages are required in order to configure the different FEC/Mod groups. An example of a possible message structure is given below:

FEC/Mod	Modulation	<b>FEC Туре</b>	<b>RS</b> Information	RS Error	Row	Column
Group	Туре		bytes	Correction	information bits	information bits
1-N	1 = QPSK	1 = RS only	K=8-253	T=0-16	6-58	6-58
	2 = 16-QAM	2 = RS + P	(ignored for FEC	(ignored for FEC	(ignored for FEC	(ignored for FEC
	3 = 64-QAM	3 = RS + BCC	type 4)	type 4)	type 1-3)	type 1-3)
		4 = PTC				

The configuration of the FEC parameters could either be made highly flexible, as shown above, or limited to a select few options for simplicity.

### FEC/Mod Group Configuration Message

Upon entering the network, each user would be assigned to an FEC/Mod group. As the channel changes, the users can be moved to different FEC/Mod groups. In addition, the configuration of the FEC/Mod groups can also change.

The Downstream Allocation MAP would change slightly to identify the different user groups rather than the different modulation types. This MAP would contain the following information:

FEC/Mod Group	Start Allocation
1	Start Physical Slot Number
2	Start Physical Slot Number

The number of elements in the MAP could be limited to the number of active users, or contain a place holder for all possible user groups.

The PHY/MAC control messages should immediately follow the preamble and should have fairly strong error protection for the following reasons:

1. These messages are more sensitive to errors than the user data and may need to have stronger coding.

2. These messages are relatively short, and therefore lower rate codes will not significantly degrade channel capacity.

3. If stronger coding schemes are desired for the user data portion, then it would be desireable not be limited by the coding on the PHY/MAC control portion of the frame.

FEC Coding for the PHY/MAC control portion of the frame

Below are a couple of options for protecting the PHY/MAC control portion of the frame that allows for short packets sizes and a relatively simple implementation.

FEC Scheme	Code Rate	Eb/No for 10 <sup>-9</sup>
RS(69,53)+Parity <sup>1</sup>	0.6828	6.23
$RS(72,53)+BCC(24,16)^2$	0.49	5.62

<sup>1</sup> Qian Hongyi (Centre for Wireless Communications, Singapore)
 <sup>2</sup> Alok Gupta (Ensemble)

These are just a couple of examples. We should probably choose one clearly defined scheme and keep it fixed rather than having it flexible. Also, if a low rate code is used, we also need to revisit the impacts on the preamble length. For the user data portion of the frame, I would suggest that we have the following mandatory FEC schemes supported:

- 1. RS only based on GF(256)
- 2. RS based on GF(256) + (9,8) Parity

(3. RS + BCC only if it's decided that the PHY/MAC control portions use this format)

and the following option:

1. Product Turbo Code based on (64,58) Extended Hamming Code.

Note that similar concepts could be supported for the upstream. The MAC layer may also need to support a capability set exchange message for the base station to know what the subscriber station supports.

# Example configuration for Downstream frame

Frame o	ontrol h	eader		1 msec	<b>)</b> —			
Progmnia		PHY/MAC control	Group 1			Group 2	Grou	ıp 3
				/				
Frame Portion	Mod Type	ulation	FEC	Rate	Eb/No	C/N (min)	C/N (min) fro RS(140,128)+	
PHY/MAC control	QPS	K	RS(69,53) + P(9,8)	0.6828	6.23	7.57	9	
Group 1	QPS	K	RS(189,159)+ P(9,8)	0.7477	5.53	7.26	9	
Group 2	16-Q	AM	PTC (63,56) x (63,56)	0.79	7.5	12.5	16	
Group 3	64-Q	AM	PTC (63,56) x (63,56)	0.79	12	18.76	22	

<sup>1</sup>Jay Klein, et. al., IEEE 802.16.1pc-00/20, "PHY layer proposal for BWA".

In this case, the cell radius would be limited by the PHY/MAC C/I, and the rings supporting 16-QAM and 64-QAM would be greatly widened by using PTC.

# Example configuration for Downstream frame

Frame c	ontrol h	eader		1 mse	ЭС —		
Preamble PHY/MA		PHY/MAC control	Group 1			Group 2	Group 3
				/			
Frame Portion	Mod Type	ulation e	FEC	Rate	Eb/No	C/N (min)	C/N (min) from RS(140,128)+P code <sup>1</sup>
PHY/MAC control	QPS	K	RS(72,53) + BCC(24,16)	0.49	5.62	5.522	9
Group 1	QPS	K	PTC (63,56) x (63,56)	0.79	4.3	6.28	9
Group 2	16-Q	AM	PTC (63,56) x (63,56)	0.79	7.5	12.5	16
Group 3	64-Q	AM	PTC (63,56) x (63,56)	0.79	12	18.76	22

<sup>1</sup>Jay Klein, et. al., IEEE 802.16.1pc-00/20, "PHY layer proposal for BWA".

In this case, the cell radius would be increased by using PTC for the QPSK data portion while still maintaining stronger protection for the PHY/MAC control data.

# Example configuration for Downstream frame

Frame o	ontrol h	eader		1 mse	е <b>с</b> —			
		PHY/MAC control	Group 1			Group 2		Group 3
				/				
Frame Portion	Mod Type	ulation	FEC	Rate	Eb/No	C/N (min)		nin) from 0,128)+P code <sup>1</sup>
PHY/MAC control	QPS	K	RS(72,53) + BCC(24,16)	0.49	5.62	5.522	9	
Group 1	QPS	K	RS(72,53)+BC C(24,16)	0.49	5.62	5.522	9	
Group 2	QPS.	K	PTC (63,56) x (63,56)	0.79	4.3	6.28	9	
Group 3	16-Q	QAM	PTC (63,56) x (63,56)	0.79	7.5	12.5	16	

<sup>1</sup>Jay Klein, et. al., IEEE 802.16.1pc-00/20, "PHY layer proposal for BWA".

In this case, the cell radius would be increased by using strong coding for the QPSK data and provides higher rates for users with PTC capability as it becomes available in products. •Note that the previous examples showed 3 groups, but there is no reason why it can be limited to 3.

It's possible to have a flexible coding scheme in the downstream channel for the burst mode of operation (Mode B).
Having this flexibility allows for low complexity implementations in the near term and helps foster the new PTC technology and the potential benefits that it may provide as it matures.