Recommendation on Time Varying Radio Propagation Channel Models and Study of System Performance for LMDS

IEEE 802.16 (BWA Working Group) Presentation Submission Template (Rev. 8)

Document Number:IEEE 802.16.1pp-00/24 Date Submitted: 2000-07-03

Source:

Soma Pitchaiah	Voice: +65-8709260
Centre for Wireless Communications	Fax: +65-7795441
#02-34/37 TeleTech Park , 20 Science Park Road	E-mail: soma@cwc.nus.edu.sg

Singapore Science Park-II, Singapore-117674

Venue: IEEE 802.16 Session #8 PHY Presentation, July 10-14, La Jolla, SanDiego.

Base Document: IEEE 802.16.1pc-00/24 <http://ieee802.org/16/phy/contrib/802161pc-00_24.pdf>

Other Sources: P.Soma, Y.W.M.Chia and L.C.Ong, "Modeling and Analysis of Time Varying Radio Propagation Channel for LMDS" to be appeared in the proceedings of IEEE RAWCON, Sep.10-13, 200, Denvor, Colorado, USA.

Purpose: To provide an input to the PHY task group specific criterion called "robustness to channel impairments – multipath fading" Notice:

This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release:

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate text contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy:

The contributor familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy Procedures is and (Version 1.0) <http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, if there is technical justification in the opinion of the standards-developing committee and provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder that it will license applicants under reasonable terms and conditions for the purpose of implementing the standard."

Welcome...

Recommendation on Time Varying Radio Propagation Channel Models and Study of System Performance for LMDS

By

P. Soma*, Y.W.M. Chia and L.C. Ong Centre for Wireless Communications, NUS #02-34/37 TeleTech Park, 20 Science Park Road Singapore Science Park-II, Singapore -117674 Tel: 65 8709260; Fax: 65 7795441; Email: soma@cwc.nus.edu.sg

Outline

- Introduction to LMDS
- Measurements and Data Processing
- Tapped Delay Line Channel Model
- Study of Path loss and Delay Characteristics
- Simple System Simulation
- Fading Signal Statistical Characteristics
- Conclusion

Introduction

- Local Multipoint Distribution Service (LMDS)
 - Last mile solution to provide BWA to fixed networks
 - Operating in the 27.4GHz and higher frequency spectrum

• LMDS System Architecture

- LMDS control centre (LCC)
- LMDS main co-ordination centre (MCC)
- LMDS base station
- LMDS access network (IHDN).
- Target User Classes
 - Corporations (large business)
 - Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SME)
 - Small-Office and Home-Office Users (SOHO)
 - Private Households (HH)

Introduction (*cont*...)

- Target Services
 - Voice, one-way video distribution, interactive video, video-ondemand, and real-time video conferencing with high speed internet access.

• Merits Over Wired Solution

 Large bandwidth, high data rates, lower installation cost, ease of deployment, cost-effective network maintenance.

Propagation Issues

- More favourable compared to mobile comm. system
- Most susceptible to rain effects (depolarisation, excess loss)
- Building blockage and vegetative losses reduce coverage
- Frequency selective fading occurs at high data rates
- Highly directional antennas at receiver side
- Accurate channel models are required for the system design

Measurements and Data Processing

• Transmitter Block Diagram



Receiver Block Diagram



Typical Urban Environment



- Normalised power delay profiles approximated with our model.
- Slight variation for different locations.
- Average excess delay varies in the range of 50 70ns
- RMS delay spread is in the range of 20 80ns.

High dense Urban Environment



- Normalised power delay profiles for worst case urban environment.
- Longer delayed multipath clusters are 25dB weaker.
- Average excess delay is in the range of 70 110ns
- RMS delay spread is in the range of 80 155ns

Typical Suburban Environment



- Normalised power delay profiles for typical suburban environment.
- Longer delayed multipath clusters are 25dB weaker.
- Average excess delay is in the range of 40 50ns
- RMS delay spread is in the range of 10 20ns



- High SNR values (up to 35dB) in some cases.
- Longer delayed multipath clusters are not present.
- Average excess delay is less than 30 40ns
- RMS delay spread is less than 10ns

Tapped Delay Line Channel Model

- Impulse response completely describes the radio channel:
 - represented by a tapped delay line model at any time, t_k

$$h(t_k,\tau) = c_k \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} m(\tau_n) \delta(t_k - \tau_n) e^{-j(\omega_c \tau_n + \phi)}$$

- τ is the excess delay, n is tap index and N is the total number of taps.
- $m(\tau)$ gives the tap gains of various multipath delayed components
- c_k models the time varying nature of IR (based on measurements)
- ϕ is the uniform random phase in the range of $[0,2\pi)$
- Tap gain distribution is given by,

$$m(\tau_n) = \alpha \exp\left\{-\beta \left(\frac{\tau_n - \tau_p}{100}\right)^2\right\}$$

Summary of Channel Model

Case (i)	Peak time, τ _P (ns)	Attenuation factor, αi	Decay factor, βi	Excess delay $ au_{(ns)}$
1	40	1.0	β 1	0 - 40
	40	1.0	β ₂	40 - 100
2	100	0.1	ß3	100 - 250
3	320	0.1	β ₄	250 - 400
4	640	0.056	1	560 - 720
	1100	0.056	5	1060 - 1140
	1200	0.056	5	1160 - 1240

Table 1. Summary of channel model parameters

Table 2. Classification of propagation channel

Parameter	Urban1	Urban2	Suburban	Rural
c_k (dB)	-10 - 6	-10 - 6	-5-3	-5-3
B 1	20 - 100	20 - 100	50 - 120	50-120
β ₂	6 - 20	6 - 20	10 - 25	10-25
β ₃	0.5 - 10	0.5 - 10	1 - 10	0
β4	5 - 50	5 - 50	0	0
$\tau_{max}(ns)$	1240	400	250	100

Total Received Power Vs Distance



- Total received power(dB), $P_r = P_t + G_t + G_r 3244 20\log(f_{GHz}d_m) L_{ex}$
- Excess path loss (dB), $L_{ex} = P_t + G_t + G_r 32.44 20\log(f_{GHz}) + L_{env}$
- Good channel $\Rightarrow 4 \le L_{env}(dB) < 12$
- Moderate channel $\Rightarrow 12 \le L_{env}(dB) < 26$
- Bad channel $\Rightarrow 26 \le L_{env}(dB) < 40$

Environment Loss Vs Distance



• Additional loss caused by climatic conditions, multipath and shadowing effects from surrounding buildings, foliage etc.,

- Dominant effect at lower distance of separation.
- Link margin has to be provided to compensate this excess loss.

Delay Characteristics



- High delay values are due to the presence of significant multipath components
- Also depends on the received signal to noise ratio.
- Useful in the design of equaliser and selection of suitable data rate.

• For normalised delay spreads (bit rate* S) of 0.6 or higher irreducible errors tend to occur.



- Low excess loss and low delay spread corresponds to a less dispersive and less attenuated good channel.
- High delay spread but less excess path loss corresponds clear LOS receiver locations at larger distance.
- High delay spread and high excess loss corresponds to the partially blocked nearer receiver locations surrounded by high rise buildings.

ccdf of Average Excess Delay



- Measurements shows that around 98 percent of the locations have average delay more than 35ns
- However, only 11 percent of the locations have average delay more than 60ns.

ccdf of RMS Delay Spread



- Measurements shows that around 68 percent of the locations have delay spread more than 20ns (bit duration of our measurement system)
- However, only 11 percent of the locations have average delay more than 70ns.

Simple System Simulation n(t) r(t) s(t) Channel impulse response h(t)

• Total received signal at an observation time t_k is given by,

 $r(t) = s(t) \otimes h(t, \tau) + n(t)$

- s(t) any specified transmitted signal
- n(t) AWGN signal
- $h(t, \tau)$ time varying radio channel complex impulse response represented by the tapped delay line model
- Rician K-factor Evaluation
 - Local mean, $m = m_s + m_c$

Variance,
$$\sigma^2 = m_s^2 + 2 m_s m_c$$

- K-factor = m_c / m_s

$$m_s = m - \sqrt{m^2 - \sigma^2}$$
$$m_c = m - m_s$$

Cumulative Distribution Function (cdf)



- Signal lies above the local mean only for 60% of the observation time.
- Provision of 10 dB fade margin increases the availability of signal above the threshold to 98% of the observation time
- Time variations are not much dependent on type of channel.

Normalised Level Crossing Rate (LCR)



• *lcr* is defined as the rate at which signal lies below a threshold.

- Signal crosses the local mean once for every 40.5µs of observation time
 - correspond to 4 samples
- Provision of 10 dB fade margin increases this period to $243.3\mu s$
 - correspond to 25 samples indicating the slow fading behaviour

Normalised Average Fade Duration (ADF)



- Average fade duration (*afd*) determine how long the signal lies below a given threshold, on average.
 - determine the number of bits that may be lost during a fade
 - together with *lcr*, it helps to predict burst of errors.

Conclusion

- Introduction to LMDS
- Measurements and data processing
- Tapped delay line channel model
- Path loss and delay characteristics
- Simple system simulation
- Study of fading signal statistical characteristics

Characteristic	Urban1	Urban2	Suburban	Rural
lcr_10dB	3.22	2.1	3.78	3.36
afd_10dB	0.015	0.014	0.015	0.015
Mean Delay (ns)	70.41	48.08	46.41	45.41
Delay Spread (ns)	134.57	30.32	12.75	9.05
CorrBW (MHz)	5.0	9.46	14.0	14.91
K-Factor (dB)	10.13	10.38	14.28	14.86