Canadian Proposals for the WRC-03 on 5GHz RLAN issues

Spectrum Engineering Branch Industry Canada March, 2002

BACKGROUND

Current Canada/United States/CEPT provisions for RLAN applications

	5150	5250	5350	5460	5470	5570	5650	572	5 5850 MHz
	F	LE-LANs permitted through Footnote C39A						Fo	LE-LANs permitted through otnote C39A
		UNII devices permitted der Part 15 rules							INII devices permitted under Part 15
CEPT		DBILE SERVICE: RLAN devices				MOBILE S RLAN d			3

Current ITU Allocation



WRC-03 consideration



Overall Comparison of Allocations and provisions for RLANs and other services in the 5GHz range



Current Canada/United States/CEPT technical rules for RLAN applications



Options to allow the operation of RLANs

Option 1: Primary Allocation

- With/without footnotes
- MS and/or FS

Option 2: Secondary Allocation

- With/without footnotes
- MS and/or FS
- Option 3: No allocation
 - With footnote to allow the RLANs to operate
- Option 4: No allocation/no footnote
 - Status quo
 - Domestic rules would continue to allow RLANs to operate in Canada and in the US

5150 – 5250 MHz

5150 – 5250 MHz **ITU-R** Status 5150 5250 MHz Sharing studies within the ITU-R ARNS are complete FSS(E-s) Recommendation ITU-R M.1454 (EIRP limit and operational restrictions on RLANs) Recommendation ITU-R S.1426 (Aggregate power flux-density limits at the FSS for RLANs) Recommendation ITU-R S.1247 (Methodology and criterion to assess interference from RLANs to NGSO MSS feederlinks) MS/ms - RLANs

5150 – 5250 MHz Canadian Proposal

Secondary fixed and mobile allocation

- To protect primary services
- To allow for flexibility in different countries: transportable devices are classified under fixed or mobile services in different countries
- Limit the usage to wireless access systems including RLANs only.
 - Systems fitting the description of M.1450 (Characteristics of RLANs)
- Through footnote in the allocation table, technical constraints on RLANs:
 - Indoor use only
 - Max EIRP = 200 mW and -20dBW/MHz
 - Aggregate pfd from RLANs into NGSO shall not exceed
 - -124 20 log(h_{sat}/1414) dBW/m²/MHz

MS/ms – RLANs

5150

5250 MHz

ARNS

FSS(E-s)

5150 – 5250 MHz Comparison of position/proposal

5150 5250 MHz

ARNS FSS(E-s)

	Canada	CEPT		
Service	ADD Fixed and Mobile	ADD Mobile		
Allocation status	Secondary	Primary		
Operational constraints	Indoor only			
EIRP	200 mW			
Further restrictions		Dynamic Frequency Selection		
		ATPC		

MS/ms – RLANs

5250 – 5350 MHz

5250 – 5350 MHz ITU-R Status

5350 MHz

EESS

SRS

RADIOLOC

MS/ms – RLANs

FS/fs – FWA (R3)

5250

Sharing studies on going RLANs vs EESS (and SRS) ■ WP 7C, JRG 8A-9B CEPT countries believe that outdoor **RLAN** operation is not feasible Difficult to enforce EIRP mask Canadian studies show that given certain technical constraints, sharing between indoor/outdoor **RLANs with EESS is feasible**

5250 – 5350 MHz ITU-R Status

5250

5350 MHz

RLANs vs RADIOLOCATION WP 8B, JRG 8A-9B (next meeting: April/May 2002)

 Studies are required to confirm the feasibility of dynamic frequency selection as an effective technique to mitigate interference to/from RLANs to/from Radiolocation service

FS/fs – FWA (R3)

EESS

SRS

52	250 —	5350) MH	Z
Ca	nadia	an pro	opos	Sal

⁵³⁵⁰ ^{Hz}Secondary fixed and mobile allocation

5250

EESS

SRS

RADIOLOC

MS/ms – RLANs

FS/fs – FWA (R3)

- Protection of primary services
- Canada operates RADARSat program under EESS
- flexibility for administration
- Limit the usage to wireless access systems including RLANs only.
 - Rec. ITU-R M.1450 only
- Through footnote, technical constraints on RLANs:
 - Indoor and outdoor use
 - Max transmitter power = 250 mW or -10 dBW/MHz
 - Max EIRP 1W or -13 dBW/MHz
 - Max EIRP for outdoor systems should not exceed the following values for elevation angle θ above the local horizontal plane:
 - -13 dBW/MHz -13 -0.711 (θ -5) dBW/MHz
 - -35.9 -1.222(0-40) dBW/MHz
 - -42 dBW/MHz

for $0_{i} \dagger \theta < 5_{i}$ for $5_{i} \dagger \theta < 40_{i}$ for $40_{i} \dagger \theta < 45_{i}$ for $\theta > 45_{i}$

5250 – 5350 MHz Comparison of position/proposal

5250

EESS

SRS

RADIOLOC

MS/ms – RLANs

FS/fs – FWA (R3)

5350 MHz

	Canada	CEPT	
Service	ADD Fixed and Mobile	ADD Mobile	
Allocation status	Secondary	Primary	
Operational constraints	Indoor and outdoor	Indoor only	
Tx power	250 mW		
EIRP	1W	250 mW	
Further restrictions	EIRP mask on outdoor systems	Outdoor systems are not allowed	
		Dynamic Frequency Selection	
		ATPC ¹⁷	

5460 - 5725 MHz

5460 - 5570 MHz

ITU-R Status – Allocation to allow the operation of RLANs

5460 5470 5570 MHz

- RADIONAV

IARITIME RADIONAV

RADIOLOCATION

SRS

EESS

MS/ms - RLANs

- Sharing studies ongoing
- Similar sharing environment, but "sharing with" as opposed to "protection" of EESS
- RLANs vs EESS (and SRS)
 - WP 7C, JRG 8A-9B
 - CEPT countries believe that outdoor RLAN operation is feasible with 1W EIRP
 - Canadian studies show that given certain technical constraints, sharing between indoor/outdoor RLANs with EESS is feasible. Without the EIRP mask, EESS service will receive significant interference from RLANs

5460 - 5570 MHz ITU-R Status – Allocation to allow the operation of RLANs

5460 5470 5570 MHz

IARITIME RADIONA

EESS

SRS

RADIOLOCATION

MS/ms - RLANs



 Sharing studies ongoing
RLANs vs RADIOLOCATION
JRG 8A-9B, WP 8B (next meeting: April/May, 2002)

 CEPT countries believe that outdoor RLAN operation is feasible with 1W EIRP

 Sharing is dependent on the feasibility of DFS as a mitigation technique

5460 - 5570 MHz ITU-R Status – Extension of EESS

5460 5470 / 5570 MHz

CEPT countries could support EESS

- RADIONAV

ARITIME RADIONAV



 "1W HIPERLAN operation would not be compatible with the EESS which have similar protection requirements as those within the existing EESS allocations." "Within Europe, the main interest in this allocation is for measurements over oceans and so the parallel proposals for EESS and MS allocations may not conflict"

 Canadian studies show that given certain technical constraints, sharing between indoor/outdoor RLANs with EESS is feasible. Without the EIRP mask on the outdoor RLANs, EESS service will receive significant interference from RLANs

5460 - 5570 MHz ITU-R Status – Upgrade of Radiolocation to Primary

5460 5470 5570 MHz

ARITIME RADIONAV

RADIONAV

Canadian position:

- Upgrade to primary can be supported
- Conditional upon protection of EESS and ARNS



EESS

SRS

MS/ms - RLANs

22

5460 - 5570 MHz Canadian Proposals

5460 5470 5570 MHz

RADIONAV

IARITIME RADIONAV



RADIOLOCATION

MS/ms - RLANs

Pending

- EESS vs RLANs
 - EIRP mask for outdoor RLANs similar to 5250-5350 MHz to protect same EESS system
 - More studies required for other EESS systems (wideband SARs)
- RLANs vs RADIOLOCATION
 - Studies on-going DFS as a mitigation technique

5570 – 5725 MHz Canadian proposal

5570 5650 5725 MHz

MARITIME RADIONA

Pending
RLANs vs RADIOLOCATION
Studies ongoing – DFS as a mitigation technique



Amateur

RADIOLOC RADIOLOC



5460 - 5725 MHz Comparison of positions/proposal pertaining to RLANs

5460 5470 5570 5650 5725 MHz		Canada	CEPT	
RADION	Service	TBD	ADD Mobile	
	Allocation status / TBD		Primary	
Amateur	Operational constraints	TBD	Indoor and outdoor	
EESS	Tx power	TBD		
SRS Srs (deep space)	EIRP	TBD	1W	
MS/ms – RLANs	Further restrictions	TBD	Dynamic Frequency Selection	
		TBD	ATPC 25	

CONCLUSION

Conclusion

Further work to be done:

- RLANs vs EESS in the 5470 MHz range
 - Wideband SARs vs RLANs
 - Feasibility of EIRP mask in a regulatory context
 - Next JRG 8A-9B: April, 2002
- RLANs vs RADIOLOCATION in the 5 GHz range
 - Feasibility of DFS in mitigating interference
 - Next JRG 8A-9B: April 2002
 - Next WP 8B: May, 2002
- Canadian proposals for WRC-03 for the 5470 MHz range
 - Next CITEL meeting: July, 2002
- Consultation with industry on the above
 - Canadian WRC preparatory group
 - National study groups