

## Project Authorization Requests (PAR) from other IEEE 802 Working Groups

---

Comments on these PARs are due by 18:30 on Tuesday.

New Projects:

P802.1[ ] Recommended Practice for Privacy Considerations for IEEE 802 Technologies

PAR: <<https://mentor.ieee.org/privecsg/dcn/15/privecsg-15-0006-01-ecsg-privacy-recommendation-par-proposal.pdf>>

CSD: <<https://mentor.ieee.org/privecsg/dcn/15/privecsg-15-0004-04-0000-privacy-recommendation-par-csd-proposal.pptx>>

Technical and Economic Feasibility do not have a great deal of information. Technical feasibility seems to be based on reports that **may** be published. No evidence is provided to support feasibility. Economic feasibility seems to be a promise to consider cost.

The superfluous PAR field information at the beginning of the CSD document is inappropriate and should be deleted.

---

IEEE P802.1CM Time-Sensitive Networking for Fronthaul

PAR: <<http://ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2015/new-P802-1CM-draft-PAR-0515-v02.pdf>>

CSD: <<http://ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2015/new-P802-1CM-draft-CSD-0515-v02.pdf>>

Suggest that definition of “fronthaul network” be added to Section 8.1 to assist NesCom..

---

IEEE P802.1Qcl Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks--Bridges and Bridged Networks Amendment: YANG Data Model

PAR: <<http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2015/cl-draft-1Q-YANG-par-0615-v02.pdf>>

CSD: <<http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2015/cl-draft-Qcl-csd-0615-v1.docx>>

Economic feasibility response appears to have missing text (between first and second line of the response).

---

IEEE P802.1Qcn Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks--Bridges and Bridged Networks Amendment: Virtual Station Interface (VSI) Discovery and Configuration Protocol (VDP) extension to Support Network Virtualization Overlays (NVO3)

PAR: <<http://ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2015/cn-thaler-Qcn-draft-PAR.pdf>>

CSD: <<http://ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2015/cn-yizhou-qbg-ext-for-nvo3-csd-v03.pdf>>

Spelling error in a) “IETF NVO3 WG to **fulfil** this need.”

IEEE P802.1Xck Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks--Port-Based Network Access Control Amendment: YANG Data Model

PAR: <<http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2015/ck-draft-1X-YANG-par-0615-v02.pdf>>

CSD: <<http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2015/ck-draft-Xck-csd-0615-v1.docx>>

Economic feasibility response appears to have missing text (between first and second line of the response).

---

IEEE P802.11az: Standard for Information technology--Telecommunications and information exchange between systems Local and metropolitan area networks--Specific requirements Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications-- Amendment: Positioning Enhancements.

PAR: <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/15/11-15-0030-08-0ngp-ngp-par-draft.docx>>

CSD: <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/15/11-15-0262-04-0ngp-csd-working-draft.docx>>

The PAR approval date and expiration date should be blank.

PAR 8.1 asks that the PAR item number be identified, that is not done for the explanation of the 7.1 additional information. More important than what is provided in 8.1 would be to provide an explanation that 802.15 and 802.11 are different network technologies that both need positioning specifications specific to the network technology. Similarly, the References are not linked to a PAR item number either. We don't think myProject will support footnotes.

The CSD submission is outstanding by seriously responding to the CSD questions. Thank you! [No response required.]

---

IEEE P802.19.1a Standard for Information technology--Telecommunications and information exchange between systems -- Local and metropolitan area networks -- Specific requirements -- Part 19: TV White Space Coexistence Methods Amendment: Coexistence Methods for geo-location capable devices operating under general authorization

PAR: <<http://ieee802.org/secmail/pdfXg9bOZopxR.pdf>>

CSD: <<http://ieee802.org/secmail/binO5cgaykssw.bin>> (rename .bin file to .docx file to open)

No comment.

---

Revision project:

IEEE P802.15.3 Standard for High Data Rate Wireless Networks

PAR: <<http://ieee802.org/secmail/pdfTfFoPREAQL.pdf>>

CSD: <<http://ieee802.org/secmail/docqaAR5NRUfl.doc>>

PAR 6.1.b, the conversion to use of 48-bit addresses, and the asserted expectation of a higher volume of implementations, could increase significantly OUI consumption by implementation of this standard. Therefore, it is appropriate for this revision to be reviewed by the RAC. Please answer YES rather than NO.

Modification request:

IEEE P802.15.9 Recommended Practice for Transport of Key Management Protocol (KMP) Datagrams

PAR: <<http://ieee802.org/secmail/pdfgVpHOLKnoh.pdf>>

5C: <<http://ieee802.org/secmail/docDwxTGSQkOu.doc>>

Based on the assumption that the CSD Track Changes shown are the modifications to be approved, no comment.