## Motions

IEEE 802.3 100 Gb/s Wavelength Short Reach PHYs (100GSR) Study Group Geneva, Switzerland, January 23-24, 2019

Confirm Robert Lingle, Jr. as the IEEE 802.3 100 Gb/s Wavelength Short Reach PHYs Study Group Chair

Moved by: John Abbott
 2nd: Mabud Choudhury

• Results: Yes: 33 No: 0 Abstain: 0 (>= 75% by rule)

Move to approve the Agenda for Geneva Interim SG meeting, Slide 2 of <a href="http://www.ieee802.org/3/100GSR/public/Jan20/lingle\_100GSR\_01b\_0">http://www.ieee802.org/3/100GSR/public/Jan20/lingle\_100GSR\_01b\_0</a> 120.pdf

- Moved by: Ray Nering
  2nd: Rick Pimpinella
- Motion approved by voice vote without objection. (Procedural > 50%)

I support the 100GSR Study Group adopting at least one objective for:

- A. 100 Gb/s operation
- B. 200 Gb/s operation
- C. 400 Gb/s operation

#### • Results:

- A: Yes: 33 No: 0 Need more information: 0
- B: Yes: 27 No: 0 Need more information: 5
- C: Yes: 35 No: 0 Need more information: 1

For operation at 200 Gb/s MAC rate, I support adopting objectives for:

- A. 200 Gb/s operation over 2 pairs of MMF
- B. 200 Gb/s operation over 2 pairs of SMF

#### • Results:

• A: Yes: 22 No: 0 Need more information: 9

• B: Yes: 7 No: 2 Need more information: 20

For operation at 100 Gb/s MAC rate, I support adopting an objective for:

- A. 100 Gb/s operation over MMF up to at least 50m
- B. 100 Gb/s operation over MMF up to at least 30m
- C. Need more information

#### • Results:

- A: 21
- B: 8
- C: 6

For operation at 100 Gb/s MAC rate, I support adopting an objective for:

- A. 100 Gb/s operation over MMF up to at least 50m
- B. 100 Gb/s operation over MMF up to at least 30m
- C. Need more information

#### • Results:

- A: 20
- B: 18
- C: 9
- Chicago Rules

For operation at 400 Gb/s MAC rate, I support adopting an objective for:

- A. 400 Gb/s operation over MMF up to at least 50m
- B. 400 Gb/s operation over MMF up to at least 30m
- C. Need more information
- Results:
  - A: 21
  - B: 6
  - C: 6
  - NOT Chicago

Move to adopt the following objectives:

- Define a physical layer specification that supports 100 Gb/s operation over 1 pair of MMF with lengths up to at least 50 m
- Define a physical layer specification that supports 400 Gb/s operation over 4 pairs of MMF with lengths up to at least 50 m

Moved by: Dave Chalupsky
 2nd: Jose Castro

• Technical : >= 75%

• Results: Yes: 21 No: 5 Abstain: 12

Move to adopt the following objective:

 Define a physical layer specification that supports 200 Gb/s operation over 2 pairs of MMF with lengths up to at least 50 m

Moved by: Mike Dudek 2nd: Kent Lusted

• Technical : >= 75%

• Results: Yes: 23 No: 1 Abstain: 6

Move to adopt the following objective:

 Define a physical layer specification that supports 200 Gb/s operation over 2 pairs of SMF with lengths up to at least 500 m

Moved by: Earl Parsons 2nd: Dave Lewis

• Technical : >= 75%

• Results: Yes: No: Abstain:

Motion withdrawn

## Motion #6

Move to postpone Motion #5 to the March agenda

Mover: Mike Dudek

• Second: Ali Ghiasi

Motion Withdrawn

I support the 100GSR Study Group adopting objective for:

A. 200 Gb/s operation over 2 pairs up to at least 50 m SMF

- Results:
  - Y: 10
  - N: 10
  - Need more information: 8

Move to adopt the following objectives:

- Support a MAC data rate of 100 Gb/s, 200 Gb/s and 400 Gb/s
- Support full-duplex operation only
- Preserve the Ethernet frame format utilizing the Ethernet MAC
- Preserve minimum and maximum FrameSize of current IEEE 802.3 standard
- Provide appropriate support for OTN
- Support a BER of better than or equal to 10^-12 at the MAC/PLS service interface (or the frame loss ratio equivalent) for 100 Gb/s operation
- Support a BER of better than or equal to 10^-13 at the MAC/PLS service interface (or the frame loss ratio equivalent) for 200 Gb/s and 400 Gb/s operation

Moved by: Kent Lusted 2nd: Steve Swanson

• Technical : >= 75%

• Results: Yes: 30 No: 0 Abstain: 0

Move to adopt the PAR as shown in P802 3db PAR Detail.pdf

Moved by: Vipul Bhatt 2nd: Steve Swanson

• Technical : >= 75%

• Results: Yes: 22 No: 0 Abstain: 0

Move to adopt the CSD responses for "Managed Objects", "Coexistence", "Broad Market Potential", "Compatibility", "Distinct Identity", "Technical Feasibility" and "Economic Feasibility" as written in <a href="mailto:choudhury 100GSR 04 0120.pdf">choudhury 100GSR 04 0120.pdf</a>

Moved by: Mabud Choudhury 2nd: Mike Dudek

• Technical : >= 75%

• Results: Yes: 24 No: 0 Abstain: 0

# 100GSR Straw Poll on Attendance for future 100GSR Study Group Meetings

• Attend 802.3 plenary, March 2020, Atlanta, GA, USA:

- Y: 17

N: 1

M: 5

• Attend 802.3 interim, May 2020, Pasadena, CA, USA:

- Y: 16

N: 0

M: 8

Move to Adjourn Plenary TF Meeting

- Moved by: Paul Vanderlaan 2nd: Earl Parsons
- Motion approved by voice vote without objection. (Procedural > 50%)