

# Proposed CSD Responses:

## Objectives Related to operation over DWDM System

John D'Ambrosia

Chair, IEEE 802.3 Beyond 10km Optical PHYs Study Group

Futurewei, Subsidiary of Huawei

IEEE 802.3 May Interim

Pittsburgh, PA, USA

# Current Status

- Presentation is being given with my “Chair” hat on.
  - None of this discussion should be interpreted as an endorsement of any objective or proposal by me.
  - This presentation is my interpretation, as chair, of conversations in the Study Group and associated ad hoc calls.
- Updated work on CSD responses to include 200 GbE/40km objective were presented in 100 GbE / 200 GbE CSD Responses Ad Hocs
  - “Clean” version of ad hoc CSD responses shown in [http://www.ieee802.org/3/B10K/public/adhoc/18\\_0515/dambrosia\\_b10k\\_02\\_180515.pdf](http://www.ieee802.org/3/B10K/public/adhoc/18_0515/dambrosia_b10k_02_180515.pdf)
  - Following slides are marked up with proposed changes related to 400 GbE, and inclusion of DCI application.
    - Grey text is possible text if other (anticipated) objectives are added
  - Proposed deleted text indicated by double crossout.
  - Modified text shown in GREEN

# IEEE 802.3 Criteria for Standards Development (CSD)

The IEEE 802 Criteria for Standards Development (CSD) are defined in Clause 14 of the IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee (LMSC) Operations Manual. The criteria include project process requirements (“Managed Objects”) and 5 Criteria (5C) requirements. The 5C are supplemented by subclause 7.2 ‘Five Criteria’ of the ‘Operating Rules of IEEE Project 802 Working Group 802.3, CSMA/CD LANs’.

The following are the CSD Responses in relation to the IEEE  
P802.3**cp** PAR

Items required by the IEEE 802 CSD are shown in Black text and supplementary items required by IEEE 802.3 are shown in **blue** text.

# Managed Objects

---

Describe the plan for developing a definition of managed objects. The plan shall specify one of the following:

- a) The definitions will be part of this project.
  - b) The definitions will be part of a different project and provide the plan for that project or anticipated future project.
  - c) The definitions will not be developed and explain why such definitions are not needed.
- 
- The definition of protocol independent managed objects, to be included in Clause 30 of IEEE Std 802.3, will be part of this project.

# Coexistence

---

**A WG proposing a wireless project shall demonstrate coexistence through the preparation of a Coexistence Assurance (CA) document unless it is not applicable.**

- a) Will the WG create a CA document as part of the WG balloting process as described in Clause 13?**
- b) If not, explain why the CA document is not applicable**

- A CA document is not applicable because the proposed project is not a wireless project.

# Broad Market Potential

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall have broad market potential. At a minimum, address the following areas:

- a) Broad sets of applicability.
- b) Multiple vendors and numerous users.

- ~~Ethernet is being adopted in new application areas that require longer transmission distances than currently specified by the IEEE 802.3 Ethernet standard for 50 GbE, 100 GbE, 200 GbE, and 400 GbE. Mobile backhaul, Cable/MSO, and Data Center Interconnect are all looking to deploy an optical Ethernet infrastructure based on physical solution ranges of 40 km to 80 km.~~
  - ~~— Optical solutions targeting 40 km will address the bandwidth requirements of the access layers of mobile backhaul networks, in particular in China, as forecasted bandwidth data indicates demand fueled by consumer video in excess of other world regions.~~
  - Optical solutions **targeting 80km over DWDM systems** will address the bandwidth growth and reach requirements of Cable/MSO distribution networks, mobile backhaul networks and *and interconnect for distributed data centers* where reaches in excess of 40 km are required, or where fiber availability drives the need for **multiple instances of Ethernet over a single fiber link segment.**
- This project will provide upgrade paths for existing application areas that need greater bandwidth at the reaches specified. Existing industry solutions that currently do not have an upgrade path are:
  - ~~— 40 km applications migrating from 25 GbE to 50 GbE or 100 GbE to 200/400 GbE~~
  - **Applications over 80 km over DWDM systems** migrating from 10 Gb/s
- Two calls-for-interest for “Beyond 10 km Optical PHYs” (for 50G/200G/400G and then separately for 100G) had 103 & 124 participants respectively. In each CFI, approximately 60 individuals affiliated with at least 39 companies indicated that they would support the standardization process. It is anticipated that there will be sufficient participation to effectively complete the standardization process including individuals from end-users, equipment manufacturers and component suppliers.

# Compatibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard should be in conformance with IEEE Std 802, IEEE 802.1AC, and IEEE 802.1Q. If any variances in conformance emerge, they shall be thoroughly disclosed and reviewed with IEEE 802.1 WG prior to submitting a PAR to the Sponsor.

- a) Will the proposed standard comply with IEEE Std 802, IEEE Std 802.1AC and IEEE Std 802.1Q?
- b) If the answer to a) is “no”, supply the response from the IEEE 802.1 WG.
- c) **Compatibility with IEEE Std 802.3**
- d) **Conformance with the IEEE Std 802.3 MAC**
- e) **Managed object definitions compatible with SNMP**

- As an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3 the proposed project shall comply with IEEE Std 802, IEEE Std 802.1AC and IEEE Std 802.1Q.
- As was the case in previous IEEE Std 802.3 amendments, new physical layers will be defined for 50 Gb/s, 100 Gb/s and 200 Gb/s operation (400G).
- As an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3, the proposed project will conform to the full-duplex operating mode of the IEEE 802.3 MAC.
- By utilizing the existing IEEE Std 802.3 MAC protocol, this proposed amendment will maintain compatibility with the installed base of Ethernet nodes.
- The definition of protocol independent managed objects, to be included in Clause 30 of IEEE Std 802.3, will be part of this project.

# Distinct Identity

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of a distinct identity. Identify standards and standards projects with similar scopes and for each one describe why the proposed project is substantially different.

**Substantially different from other IEEE 802.3 specifications / solutions.**

- There is no IEEE 802.3 standard or project developing a standard that supports point-to-point Ethernet ~~over 40 km of single mode fiber cabling at a data rate of 50 Gb/s or 200 Gb/s or over 80 km of single mode fiber~~ over a DWDM system at a data rate of 100 Gb/s. [or 400 Gb/s].

Note: if 400 Gb/s coherent objectives are added in May, just add to list as relevant

# Technical Feasibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence that the project is technically feasible within the time frame of the project. At a minimum, address the following items to demonstrate technical feasibility:

- a) Demonstrated system feasibility.
  - b) Proven similar technology via testing, modeling, simulation, etc.
  - c) **Confidence in reliability.**
- The principle of building equipment that supports IEEE 802.3 networks operating up to 400 Gb/s Ethernet rates has been amply demonstrated by a broad set of product offerings.
  - The proposed project will build on the array of Ethernet component and system design experience, and the broad knowledge base of Ethernet network operation.
    - The industry already has experience developing ~~50 Gb/s per wavelength, direct detect solutions for 50 Gb/s, 200 Gb/s and 400 Gb/s Ethernet and 100 Gb/s and 400 Gb/s coherent detection solutions for metro and long-haul networks.~~ Subcomponents or design experience from these can be leveraged for the proposed Physical Layer specifications.
    - ~~The experience gained in the development and deployment of 25 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s optical solutions targeting 40 km is applicable to the development of specifications for components at 50 Gb/s per wavelength targeting 40 km. Feasibility data has been presented.~~
    - The experience gained from the wide deployment of optical coherent detection solutions at single wavelength 100 Gb/s and higher over longer reaches than 80 km provides confidence in feasibility of 80 km solutions over a DWDM system.
  - The reliability of Ethernet components and systems has been established in the target environments with a high degree of confidence.

# Economic Feasibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of economic feasibility. Demonstrate, as far as can reasonably be estimated, the economic feasibility of the proposed project for its intended applications. Among the areas that may be addressed in the cost for performance analysis are the following:

- a) Balanced costs (infrastructure versus attached stations).
  - b) Known cost factors.
  - c) Consideration of installation costs.
  - d) Consideration of operational costs (e.g., energy consumption).
  - e) Other areas, as appropriate.
- The cost factors for Ethernet components and systems are well known.
  - Reasonable cost for the resulting performance will be achieved in this project as established by prior experience in the development of:
    - ~~– Ethernet direct detect optical specifications ranging from 50 Gb/s to 400 Gb/s based on the 50 Gb/s per wavelength PMDs~~
    - Optical coherent detection solutions targeting reaches beyond 80 km at 100 Gb/s and above that can be optimized for 80 km reaches over DWDM systems.
  - In consideration of installation costs, the project is expected to use proven and familiar media consistent with industry deployments.
  - Extended reach optical solutions minimize the need for additional equipment to achieve the target reaches which lowers overall network power consumption.
  - Network design, installation and maintenance costs are minimized by preserving network architecture, management, and software.