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## Why?

> Communicate abilities over existing link

- Enables self-sufficient blades
$\lrcorner$ Provides information exchange (i.e. remote fault, capabilities)
- Permits link bring-up within a Layer 1 exchange
- Enhances plug-n-play capabilities
- Expected Ethernet feature

Ability to negotiate speed in a multi-speed device

## Possibilities

$>$ Based on Clause 28
Used with 10BASE-T, 100BASE-T \& 1000BASET

- To be used with 10GBASE-T
$>$ Based on Clause 37
- Used with 1000BASE-X
$>$ Start fresh
Creating a new auto-negotiation is less appealing


## Historical Perspective

$>802.3 z$

- Originally had 28; 37 handled configuration codes
Adapted 37 to perform the functions of 28
$>$ Why was 28 dropped?
- Concerns over optical FLP
- Permitted use of existing 1G FC SerDes

Speed negotiation was not necessary

## Moving Forward

> Auto-negotiation's tie to objectives

- Need support of speeds and lanes

I Flexibility for future standards efforts
> Compatibilility
Both can be made compatible w/ existing XAUI or 1-lane 10G

- Clause 28 can be compatible with 1-lane 1G
$>$ AN completes prior to bringing up PMA \& PCS
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## 10,000 Foot View

Clause 28

- Exchanges abilities
- Brings up link with maximum capabilities

Clause 37

- Brings up link with minimum capabilities
- Exchanges abilities
- Brings up link with maximum capabilities


## Assumptions

> State machines operate as they do today

- No changes to Clause 28 or 37 state machines
> Base pages
New selector field for Clause 28 (as per 28.6)
- Clause 37 adds capability bits
> SerDes-firiendly FLP
$>$ XAUI \& 1-lane 10G can operate at 1G
- To support 1000BASE-X PCS/PMA for Clause 37
$>$ Gate count difference is negligible


## Differences

$>$ Clause 28

- Relies on exchange of info w/ link pulses
- Sits at the bottom of the Layer 1
+ PMA, PCS do not run until AN is complete Clause 37
- Relies on PMA \& PCS for exchange of info
- Sits near the top of the Layer 1

PMA \& PCS must re-sync \& align once AN completes

## Layer Diagram

Clause 28 AN sits at the bottom

- Derives its own clock

Clause 37 sits at the top

- Uses recovered clock from PMA

| Clause 28 |
| :---: |
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| M |

Clause 37

| AN |
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## Clause 28

## >AN runs, then brings up PMA, PCS and link



## Clause 37

$>1 G$ PMA and PCS need to run for AN to operate

- AN runs, then brings up PMA, PCS and link

$\qquad$
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## Clause 37 Cons

> State machines changes would impact existing implementations
$>$ Timer values and register sizes are hardcoded
$>$ Dependent on operating PMA and PCS

- Future products will be required to support 1000BASE-X


## Clause 37 Pros

## $>1000$ BASE- $X$ devices are good to go

## Clause 28 Cons

## > Requires a SerDes-friendly FLP

## Clause 28 Pros

$>$ Proven multi-speed capability
$>$ Register sizes can be extended
$>$ Auto-negotiation time is dependent on FLP
$>$ Future products not required to support legacy devices
> Supports multiple signaling schemes
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## $>$ Summary

## Summary

$>$ Clause 37

- Inflexible 1000BASE-X design
- Constrains future implementations

Clause 28

- State machines don't require modifications
- Enhancements to FLP, base page, next page are much easier

Has shown very good future-proof capabilities

## Final Thoughts

$>$ The choice made for AN will affect future products

- Flexibility will be extremely important

Clause 28 is much more flexible
$\square$ As witnessed with 10/100/1000

## Thank you!

Questions?

