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## 1. Summary

This note summarizes one approach to estimating the modal power $P_{m}$ in a multimode fiber from the measured near field intensity $I(r)$, measured at the end of a length of fiber.

The goal of the method is to give a robust estimate of $P_{m}$ which is not oversensitive to noise in the measurement, but which is internally consistent and a clear improvement over existing techniques (references [1]-[3]).
The purpose of estimating $P_{m}$ is to better explain the performance of different laser sources in high bit rate MM applications, and to improve the design of the sources.

## 2. Background/Assumptions

The measured near field intensity $I(r)$ of a multimode optical fiber which has been excited by a laser source is assumed to be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(r)=\sum_{m}^{M} P_{m} \psi_{m}^{2}(r) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

1. $I(r)$ is the near field intensity.
2. $\psi_{m}(r)$ is the modal function for mode $m$.
3. $P_{m}$ is the power in mode $m$.

It is assumed to be adequate to calculate $\psi_{m}(r)$ for a reference profile and not for the exact profile in the measurement. This is because the propagation parameters and mode delays $\beta_{m}$ and $\tau_{m}$ vary to first order with any index perturbation, while the eigenfunctions $\psi_{m}(r)$ vary to 2 nd order. It is further assumed, for computational convenience, that the individual modes in a socalled mode group can be combined, so that $\psi_{m}^{2}(r)$ represents the sum of
the squares of all individual modal functions in group $m$. This assumption is most valid if the length of fiber is long enough for full coupling within a mode group or if the launch puts nearly equal power into all modes within a mode group.
It is assumed that the measured $I(r)$ is indeed the intensity of light in the fiber, which is related to the electric field as outlined in Snyder and Love Optical Waveguide Theory[4] pp. 210-217. Although it is true that $I(r)=P_{m} \psi_{m}^{2}(r)$ if there is power in only a single mode, when there are multiple modes one must assume the interference or cross terms are zero in order for equation [1] to be valid. This is rather rigorously true if the source is incoherent, and becomes increasingly suspect under some conditions.
Equation [1] is consistent with the historic conceptual picture of the mode power distribution (MPD), and if the weight of all individual modes is equal (giving twice the weight to azimuthal modes with $\nu>0$ to account for both sine and cosine modes), then $I(r)$ will sum to a parabola. Figures 1 and 2 demonstrate this for the standard $62.5 \mathrm{um} 2 \% \Delta$ MM fiber at 1300 nm and 850 nm respectively. Note that it is a wiggly parabola and becomes smoother as the number of modes increases. For 850 nm there are approximately 289 modes and 33 mode groups (the outer groups likely having negligible power because of bend losses due to fiber perturbations).
Finally, it should be noted that the power in each mode $P_{m}$ must be greater than or equal to zero, and cannot be negative. Note that even if equation [1] is rigorously true, one can obtain the same $I(r)$ from more than one source because each source determines a unique electric field given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(r)=\sum_{m}^{M} a_{m} \psi_{m}(r) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ denotes an individual mode (not a mode group). $P_{m}=a_{m}^{2}$ and hence even if one knows $P_{m}$ exactly one cannot deterimine $a_{m}$, since it can be positive or negative. It is not yet clear whether this presents any difficulty for us.

## 3. Approach for Estimating $P_{m}$

There are a number of approaches to estimating $P_{m}$. A preliminary step which applies to any proposed approach is to gain familiarity with equation
[1] and calculate the predicted $I_{p r e d}(r)$ for various $P_{m}$ 's. One example is the uniform power mentioned above; another is to calculate $I_{\text {pred }}(r)$ for an offset gaussian spot [5] and compare that to the measured $I_{\text {meas }}(r)$ after propagation down a fiber of significant length to allow mode coupling.
The approach which we will use will be to identify numerical procedures for solving for $P_{m}$ such that $I_{p r e d}(r)$ is as close to $I_{\text {meas }}(r)$ as possible. That is, we want to minimize $\chi_{1}^{2}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{1}^{2}=\sum_{r}\left(I_{m e a s}(r)-I_{p r e d}(r)\right)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $I_{\text {meas }}(r)$ is the $I(r)$ in equation [1] and $I_{\text {pred }}(r)$ is simply $\sum P_{m} \psi_{m}^{2}(r)$
We might ask that this least squares criteria be modified to make the estimate of $P_{m}$ more robust to measurement variation in $I_{\text {meas }}(r)$. One way to do this is to append a smoothness criterion (as is done with splines) and to simultaneously minimize $\chi_{1}^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{2}^{2}=\sum_{m}\left(\frac{d^{2} P}{d m^{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(One could choose other figures of merit as well, but this is computationally convenient).
Then the full minimization equation we will use is

$$
\chi_{t o t}^{2}=\chi_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{a} \chi_{2}^{2}=\sum_{r}\left(I_{m e a s}(r)-I_{p r e d}(r)\right)^{2}+\lambda_{a} \sum_{m}\left(\frac{d^{2} P}{d m^{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

In the limit that $\lambda_{a}$ goes to zero, the smoothness criterion is not used at all. In practice, we will make $\lambda_{a}$ as small as possible so that the solution does not look excessively noisy. In the limit that $\lambda_{a}$ gets large enough, it will force all the $P_{m}$ 's to be nearly equal and will return an $I_{p r e d}(r)$ like a parabola.

## 4. Computation of $P_{m}$

We write equation [1] as a matrix equation of the form $b=A x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{r}=C_{r m} P_{m} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $C_{r m}$ is an $r \times m$ matrix, $I_{r}$ is a known vector, and $P_{m}$ is the vector of unknowns. If $r=m$ this is a standard set of linear equations in $m$ variables and can be solved by standard methods. If general what we would like is that $r \gg m$ so that there is more measurement data than parameters which need to be estimated, so that we can solve this in a least squares sense. In the case of 289 modes and even in the case of 33 mode groups and a core radius of 31.25 microns, this is hard to achieve. The portion of the near field pattern $I(r)$ which is repeatable will tend to be smooth and will not consist of 33 useful degrees of freedom. This is why the extra smoothness criterion $\chi_{2}^{2}$ in equation [4] is helpful. There is a second matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
0_{m}=\lambda_{a} D_{m m} P_{m} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{m m}$ is a matrix with -2 on the diagonal and 1 on the offdiagonal so that $D_{m m} P_{m}$ gives an approximation to $d^{2} P_{m} / d m^{2}$. Then we can augment equation [6] by extending both the left hand size vector $I_{r}$ to include an m -vector of zeros $0_{m}$, and augment the matrix $C_{r m}$ to include the matrix $\lambda_{a} D_{m m}$. This gives the matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{r+m}=F_{r+m, m} P_{m} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This matrix equation is equivalent to the least squares statement in equation [5]. One can now invert (in a least squares sense) equation [8] using singular value decomposition techniques (See for example, sections in Numerical Recipes) [6] to get the equation

$$
P_{m}=G_{m, r+m} b_{r+m}=A_{m r} I_{r}
$$

where the final formula of course needs only the first $r$ non-zero entries of $b_{r+m}$, which is $I_{r}$. Note $A_{m r}$ depends on $\lambda_{a}$ and must be calculated for a few $\lambda_{a}$ 's to see how it works.

## 5. Results/Discussion
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Figure 1
$I(r)$ with $P_{m}=1$ (uniform power)


Figure 2
$l(r)$ for case P_m $=1$ (uniform power)
a. $I(r)$ and ideal parabola
b. cumulative $I(r)$ and ideal parabola case
c. $I_{0}(r)$ : fundamental mode


