Cl **00**   *SC* **0**   *P* **111**   *L* **49**   # 3517

Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**

From Peter: The 802.3 web page has: Since Boolean is named after George Boole the capitalization Boolean should always be used (and not boolean) with the exception of the MIB clauses and annexes described below.

Search and replace throughout the draft

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **00**   *SC* **0**   *P* **234**   *L* **32**   # 3400

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*

PhyLnkRspTm is not reflected in CL 45 registers.
However PhyLnkRspTm is defined as 16 bits in Cl 102 pg 241 ln 11 which equates to 300+ us. Whereas on pg 238 ln 35 the is a max response time of 4.8 ms.

SuggestedRemedy

Add new register
45.2.1.aaa PHY Link Response Time register (Register 1.19xx)
The assignment of bits in the PHY Link Response Time register is shown in Table 45-xxx.
Bits 1.19xx15:0 indicate of the amount of time needed by the upstream PHY Link to respond to an EPoC MessageBlock instruction in the downstream PHY Link. These bits are a reflection of the PhyLnkRspTm variable defined in 102.2.6.3.

Add new table for Registers 1.19xx & 1.19xy

Add variable and Cl 45 cross reference to Table 102-3

Change definition of PhyLnkRspTm from:
"in OFDM clocks' to
"in units of 78.125 ns (12 x 1/204.8)"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
As proposed but units to be:
""in units of 78.125 ns (16 x 1/204.8)""

Cl **00**   *SC* **0**   *P* **27**   *L* **1**   # 3535

Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Make sure the use of RBsize and definitions are all TRUE and FALSE and not "1" and "0" respectively throughout the draft. As per 101.4.3.3.5 (page 188), TRUE is for 16 symbols, and FALSE is for 8 symbols.

SuggestedRemedy

Editors: make it so.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **00**   *SC* **0**   *P* **3**   *L* **11**   # 3448

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *magenta*

Remove notes about magenta text, yellow highlighting, and PICS from within Editors Note, remove yellow highlights throughout draft,
change all magenta text to black

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **00**   *SC* **0**   *P* **38**   *L* **25**   # 3441

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

If we are consistently using FEC Encoder we should probably also use FEC Decoder universally.
Likewise for 64B/66B encode(r) and 64B/66B decode(r)

SuggestedRemedy

Globally replace
"FEC decode" (3x) and "FEC decoder" (18x) with
"FEC Decoder"

"64B/66B encode" (1x) and 64B/66B encoder (2x) with
"64B/66B Encoder"

"64B/66B decode" (1x) and 64B/66B decoder (11x) with
"64B/66B Decoder"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **00**
SC **0**

Page 1 of 49
5/12/2015 12:37:49 PM

Cl **00**   *SC* **0**   *P* **38**   *L* **29**   # 3430
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *CRC40ErrCtrl Rev*

Apparently "every 8th block" in the following confuses some folks:
"If CRC40ErrCtrl is TRUE and the calculated value of CRC40 does not match the value of CRC40 retrieved from the received FEC codeword, the FEC decoder replaces bit <0> and <1> in the sync headers in first 64B/66B block and every 8th 64B/66B block, e.g. 1st, 9th, 17th, 25th, etc. as well as the last 64B/66B block from the errored FEC codeword with the binary value of "11"."

SuggestedRemedy

Change 45.2.1.131.2 to read:
"Bit 1.1900.2 is used to control marking of frames with CRC40 errors to higher layers as described in 101.3.3.1.4."

Remove the Editors note

In Cl 101, SCl 101.3.3.1.4, pg 149, ln 28 change sentence to read:
"If CRC40ErrCtrl is TRUE and the calculated value of CRC40 does not match the value of CRC40 retrieved from the received FEC codeword, the FEC decoder replaces bit <0> and <1> in the sync headers in first 64B/66B block and every 8th 64B/66B block, (i.e., if Mod(N/8) = 1 where Mod return the remainder and N is the block number) as well as the last 64B/66B block from the errored FEC codeword with the binary value of "11"."

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **00**   *SC* **0**   *P* **38**   *L* **51**   # 3433
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *TxEnable Rev*

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): Transmit Enable and it's reflected variable TxEnable, need to be rationalized against tx_enable (also referred to as Tx_Enable and TX_ENABLE) used in EPON clauses. Note that EPoC clauses use TxEnable exclusively except in Cl 100 which has 3 instances of tx_enable.

See related comment on TxEnable SCI 102.4.3 Pg 264 Ln 12

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Editors Note.
Change 17 instances of TxEnable to PD_Enable.

At Pg 38 ln 45, Pg 38 ln 9, Change 2 instances of
"Transmit enable"
To
"PHY Discovery enable"

SCI 102.2.6.3 Pg 241 ln 30: change the definition of PD_Enable from:
"This variable enables the device to transmit onto the media when TRUE. It is set to FALSE following initialization and every reset."
To:
"This variable enables the device to respond to a PHY Discovery window and transmit onto the media when TRUE. It is set to FALSE following initialization and every reset. It is set to TRUE after all elements required for PHY Discovery listed in Table 102-13 have been written by the CLT."

SCI 102.1.8 Pg 225 Ln 29: change row in Table 102-3 from:
"Transmit enable 10GPASS-XR control 1.1900.0 TxEnable 0 0"
To:
"PHY Discovery enable 10GPASS-XR control 1.1900.0 PD_Enable 0 0"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **00**   Page 2 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **0**   5/12/2015 12:37:49 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **00**   *SC* **0**   *P* **63**   *L* **36**   *#* 3487
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status*   **D**   *magenta Rev*
Cross references for "100", "101", "102", and "103".
Remove magenta color.

All other clause change to "external" character tag.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See Cmt # 3448 (topic "magenta")
Rev - meaning of {Cross references for "100", "101", "102", and "103"} not at all clear to this Ed.

Cl **01**   *SC* **n/a**   *P* **23**   *L* **3**   *#* 3451
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **E**   *Comment Status*   **D**
Change per remein_3bn_12_0515.pdf
(on behalf of P Anslow, see anslow_3bn_01_0515.pdf)

SuggestedRemedy
per comment

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC*   *P* **101**   *L* **5**   *#* 3466
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status*   **D**
Line 5 and in Table 100-8: all short dashes to Ctrl-q Shft-p
Line 5 and 17: asterisk to Control+q 4
Line 38: spacing missing before "142"
Line 46: lower case all put start of sentence and variable name.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100**   *P* **75**   *L* **29**   *#* 3493
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status*   **D**
Put this above the heading for Clause 100 on the next page as per the template.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.1**   *P* **76**   *L* **1**   *#* 3494
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status*   **D**
Make sure all external cross references in this clause have a character tag of "External".

SuggestedRemedy
as per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
This applies to all clauses. Editors need to do a sanity check.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.1**   *P* **76**   *L* **1**   *#* 3495
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status*   **D**
In clause title, lower case words to meet guidelines: "Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer, and medium for coaxial distribution networks, type 10GPASS-XR"

SuggestedRemedy
as commented

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **100**   Page 3 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **100.1**   5/12/2015 12:37:49 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.1**   *P* **76**   *L* **6**   *#* 3496

Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**

    Line 6: Insert "the" to make: "describes the Physical"
    Line 7: Change "PHY" to "PHYs"
    Line 8: Delete ", relative to the MAC/PLS service interface"
    Lines 35 and 38: Add comma "direction, respectively"

SuggestedRemedy

    as commented.

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

    PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.1**   *P* **76**   *L* **8**   *#* 3562

Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type   **TR**   *Comment Status*   **D**

    There is no support for upto 10 Gbps in the upstream in these specifications. 1.8 Gbps
    can be supported as indicated in section 56 and 1.6 Gb/s somewhere else

SuggestedRemedy

    Change text accordingly

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

    PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
    For upstream laubach_3bn_15_0914.xlxs predicts 1.7 Gb/s in upstream with 4.4% pilot
    overhead. Suggest making it "up to 1.7 Gb/s" for upstream consistent in all places.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.1.3**   *P* **79**   *L* **1**   *#* 3481

Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **TR**   *Comment Status*   **D**

    The changed position of the PMD_SIGNAL_request() to be just before the IDFT does not
    give sufficient lead time for conventional RF power amplifier turn on times. Need to
    acommodate up to 100 us of turn on time. Moving signal generation back to the data
    detector satisfies this lead in timing.
    1) Update Figure 100-3 to move PMD_SIGNAL.request() back up to be an output of the
    Data Detector.
    2) Page 85, Line 33, change "PMA" to "PCS data detector".
    3) CL 101.4.3.8.2, Page 201, Line 46 to 54, remove text and remove editor's note.
    4) CL 101.3.3.5.7, Page 142, Line 19. Insert this paragraph at the end of the
    transferToPMA description, as part of the description: "CNU only operation: upon
    initialization of the CNU, the PMD_SIGNAL.request(tx_enable) primitive is set to the value
    OFF. When burstStart is TRUE, the CNU sets the PMD_SIGNAL.request(tx_enable)
    primitive to the value ON, instructing the PMD sublayer to start the process of turning the
    RF power amplifer ON (see Figure 100-3 and 100.2.9.7). When burstEnd is TRUE, the
    CNU sets the PMD_SIGNAL.request(tx_enable) primitive to the value OFF, instructing the
    PMD sublayer to start the process of turning the RF power amplifier off."
    5) Clause 100, 100.2.9, Page 106, Line 16, Add new subclause "100.2.9.8 CNU RF power
    amplifier time reporting requirements" as per laubach_3bn_1X_0515.pdf and process the
    other editing directives.
    6) Clause 103, 103.3.2.4, Page 295 Line 42. Replace "The CLT shall not grant less than
    TBD time_quanta into the future, in order to allow the CNU processing time when it
    receives a gate message. The CNU shall process all messages in less than this period.
    The CLT shall not issue more than one message every TBD time_quanta to a single CNU."
    with "The CLT shall not issue more than one message every 1024 time_quanta to a single
    CNU. The CNU shall process all messages in less than this period. The CLT shall not
    issue a gate message than 1024 time_quanta plus <ital>rfOnTimeCapability<ital>. into the
    future. The unit of time_quantum is defined in 77.2.2.1."

SuggestedRemedy

    As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

    PROPOSED REJECT.
    File not provided as per comment.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **100**   Page 4 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **100.1.3**   5/12/2015 12:37:49 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **100** *SC* **100.1.4** *P* **82** *L* **7** # 3499
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **D**
Line 7: insert command to make "rates, respectively"
Line 19: "Phy" to "PHY"
In Table 100-1:
Line 53: "Upper" to "upper"
Page 83
Line 18: remove blank row
Lines 20 to end of table: change all "Type" to "type" in first column of each row.
Page 84
Lines 16 through 24: Change "RxMER" to "receive MER" in first two columns of each row
where present.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100** *SC* **100.1.5** *P* **82** *L* **33** # 3413
Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **D**
CLT_TxMute (as in Cl 45 & 100.3.4) or just TxMute?

SuggestedRemedy
Change Entry in Table 100-1 to CLT_TxMute.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100** *SC* **100.1.5** *P* **83** *L* **20** # 3538
Kliger, Avi Broadcom

Comment Type **TR** *Comment Status* **D**
Table 100-1 does not support modulation type (bit loading) profiles for 5 DS channels

SuggestedRemedy
Add entries for modulation types for all channels or a channel indicator

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
May need an information note to explain how this works or may need to update variables
as per the remedy.

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.1** *P* **84** *L* **36** # 3500
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **D**
Cross reference "Clause 100"

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.1.1** *P* **85** *L* **4** # 3501
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **D**
Line 4: char tag External on cross ref
Lines 8 thorugh 46, add cross references to all Clause 100 and 101 mentions.
Line 40, "Clause 101" should be "Clause 100".
Line 46, remove "TBD", this was an accidental typo leftover from last round edits.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.1.1** *P* **85** *L* **8** # 3555
Kliger, Avi Broadcom

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **D**
"symbol" is usedd in various places to describe a resource elements, and is also used in
conjunction with OFDM symbol.
In oteher places modulation symbol or I/Q value pair are used

SuggestedRemedy
Replace symbol with modulated symbol or I/Q value pair where applicable

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Note: Subclause 100.2.1.1 is on Delay constraints and is not the target of this comment.
Line 8: replace "symbol" with "modulated symbol"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general *Cl* **100** Page 5 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn *SC* **100.2.1.1** 5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.10.2**   *P* **107**   *L* **30**   # 3470
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**
    Line 30: ",," to ","
    Lines 45-53: remove trailing ".0" form numbers in second column.,

SuggestedRemedy
    As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
    PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.12.1**   *P* **108**   *L* **50**   # 3471
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**
    Table 100-14:
    Set the Orphan Rows for this table to a more reasonable value (3)
    Page 109:
    Lines 12 and 13 Lower case of second, and second and third parameter words
    Line 12 "ohms" to omega symbol
    Lines 15 and 17, "-" to "to"

SuggestedRemedy
    As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
    PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.12.1**   *P* **109**   *L* **17**   # 3525
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**
    Remove last row of Table 100-14 and attached Table footnote. No longer need with prior
    frequency changes.

SuggestedRemedy
    As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
    PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.12.1**   *P* **109**   *L* **9**   # 3523
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**
    Update Table 100-14 as per laubach_3bn_12_0515.pdf (and fm)

SuggestedRemedy
    As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
    PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.12.2.1**   *P* **109**   *L* **53**   # 3516
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**
    Table 100-15
    Remove trailing ".0" in all numbers.

SuggestedRemedy
    As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
    PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.4**   *P* **85**   *L* **34**   # 3532
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**
    PMD_SIGNAL.request() generation is being moved back to the Clause 101 PCS if
    approved by the TF. See related comment #

    Lines 33 and 34:
    Change: "In the upstream direction, this primitive is generated by the Clause 101 PMA to
    turn on and off the transmitter
    according to the presence of non-null data presented to the IDFT."
    to: "In the upstream direction, this primitive is generated by the Clause 101 PCS to turn on
    and off the RF power amplifier in the Clause 100 PMD (see 100.2.9.x.)"

SuggestedRemedy
    As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
    PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **100**
SC **100.2.4**

Page 6 of 49
5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.4**   *P* **86**   *L* **9**   # 3510
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Line 9: add cross reference to "Clause 100"
Line 44: question from Peter shouldn't it be "NS" for "not supported"? Mark note: there is no "NA" in Clause 1 appreviations, first use in section 1 is for "numerical aperture" in D.4.1.1. Section 2: Table 29-2 uses "NA" without any definition. Same in Section 3, Table 42-2. Same in Section 4, Table 52-19 and 53-11. Same in Section 5, Table 59-3. No use in Section 6
Line 58: insert comma before "respectively"

SuggestedRemedy

For line 44: discuss with TF on changing NA to NS everywhere or changing "supported" to something else or simply removing ", NA = not supported"
for other items, as per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Pending discussion with TF.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.5**   *P* **86**   *L* **39**   # 3479
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**

Table 100-2 change "O"s to "NA"s for upstream 8K and 16K QAM entries. These optional rates are meant for DS only, not US.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.5**   *P* **86**   *L* **41**   # 3540
Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**

Table 100-2 includes Optional modulation formats. Are these optional at the transmitter, receiver or both? Is ther a corresponding capability register?

SuggestedRemedy

Specify where optional. If optional in the transmitter a capability register is required.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Add "The CNU reports supported optional modulations to the CLT via <capability register name> see 102.x.x.x." or similar, if one exists.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.5**   *P* **86**   *L* **42**   # 3539
Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**

8192-QAM and 16384-QAM are not applicable for the upstream

SuggestedRemedy

Correct table 100-2 accordingly

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Already done in comment #3479

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.6**   *P* **87**   *L* **1**   # 3511
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Page 87:
Line 1: change "Date" to "Data" in title
Line 3: change "at MAC/PLS" to "at the MAC/PLS", drop "/PLS" form second use.
Line 4: change first "in" to "for"
Line 12: change variable text in FM to not hyphenate.
All clauses, editors to verify/change:
Line 15: remove "size (usec)" from end of sentence, "size" is already in sentence and usec is already in equation.
Line 18: change all "<mu>sec" to "<mu>s" in this clause as per style guide.
Line 37: change all "bits/sec" to "b/s" in this clause.
Line 43, change all "bps" to "b/s" in this clause.
Line 43: Remove trailing ".0" from "10.0" as from Peter: 1.2.6 says: "trailing zeros having no significance" so don't show them.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change fm Cl 00 SCl 0 to Cl 100 SCl 100.2.6

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **100**
SC **100.2.6**

Page 7 of 49
5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.6** *P* **87** *L* **4** # 3541
Kliger, Avi Broadcom

Comment Type **TR** *Comment Status* **D**
"data rate of at least 1.6 Gb/s". This is different than the data rate required in section 56 (1.8 Gbps)

SuggestedRemedy
Align th etwo specs

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Use same TF resolution as for comment #3562

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.6** *P* **88** *L* **14** # 3543
Kliger, Avi Broadcom

Comment Type **TR** *Comment Status* **D**
Equation 100-2 doesnt take the FEC overhead into account.

SuggestedRemedy
Multiply by the max US FEC Rate

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED REJECT.
PCS overheads are not included in this calculation. This is the PMA raw data rate, see laubach_3bn_15_0194.xlxs

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.6.1** *P* **87** *L* **26** # 3542
Kliger, Avi Broadcom

Comment Type **TR** *Comment Status* **D**
Equation 100-1 doesnt take the FEC overhead into account.

SuggestedRemedy
Multiply by the max DS FEC Rate

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED REJECT.
PCS overheads are not included in this calculation. This is the PMA raw data rate, see laubach_3bn_15_0194.xlxs

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.6.1** *P* **87** *L* **37** # 3381
Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **D**
DS_DataRate & DS_DataRate have no defined data type (although they are well defined).

SuggestedRemedy
Add new section 100.2.6.3 Variables
DS_DataRate
TYPE: UQ34.3 format
This variable indicates the downstream data rate in units of bps and is calculated as shown in Equation 100-1.

US_DataRate
TYPE: UQ34.3 format
This variable indicates the upstream data rate in units of bps and is calculated as shown in Equation 100-2.

Update reference in Cl 45.2.1.147 & 45.2.1.148 pg 50 ln 7 & 37

This comment should be changed to clause 00 after a proposed response is made.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.7.1** *P* **88** *L* **41** # 3512
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **D**
Line 41 and 48: change first "is" to "are"

SuggestedRemedy
as per comment.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **100**
SC **100.2.7.1**

Page 8 of 49
5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM

Cl **100**　　　*SC* **100.2.7.3**　　　*P* **89**　　　*L* **10**　　　# 3415
Remein, Duane　　　　　　　　　　Huawei

Comment Type **T**　　　*Comment Status* **D**

US_FreqCh1 is not formally defined. This seems to be a logical place.

SuggestedRemedy

In 100.2.7.3 Variables Add
US_FreqCh1
TYPE: 16-bit unsigned integer
This variable specifies the center frequency, in steps of 50 kHz, of subcarrier 0 for the upstream OFDM channel. Subcarriers are numbered from 0 to 4095 with subcarrier 0 at the lowest frequency. This definition equates to a subcarrier 0 center frequency of from 5 MHz to 3.27675 GHz. The minimum value for this register is 100. See Table 100-11 for additional details.

Note currently there is no table for CNU RF output requirements but Table 100-11 seems to be similar

Update ref in 45.2.1.135.1 pg 43 ln 27 to 100.2.7.3

Note this comment is written against Cl 100 but should be changed to Cl 00 after a proposed response has been made.

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**　　　*SC* **100.2.7.3**　　　*P* **89**　　　*L* **7**　　　# 3513
Laubach, Mark　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**　　　*Comment Status* **D**

Line 7: Change "54.0" to "54 MHz"
Line 8: Remove comma, change to "3276.76 MHz"
Line 26 and 52: Use the multiply symbol Ctrl-q 0
Line 52: "9.40" to "9.4" "190.00" to "190", "180.60" to "180.6"

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**　　　*SC* **100.2.7.3**　　　*P* **89**　　　*L* **7**　　　# 3544
Kliger, Avi　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type **TR**　　　*Comment Status* **D**

54 MHz is in the upstream frequency range

SuggestedRemedy

change 54 MHz to 258 MHz

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
The integer range of this variable is larger than the DS requirements of 258 to 1218 MHz on both the low side and the high side. Does the TF wish to narrow the range of this variable or leave as is?

Cl **100**　　　*SC* **100.2.8.2**　　　*P* **90**　　　*L* **26**　　　# 3514
Laubach, Mark　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**　　　*Comment Status* **D**

Line 26: change "-" to Ctrl-q Shft-p
Line 34: lower case letters for every word not starting a sentence and not for "OFDM".
In Table 100-3:
All rows: lower case all but first word in Parameter
Line 52: change "usec" to "us"
Page 91
Line 40: use omega symbol rather than "ohms"

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**　　　*SC* **100.2.8.2**　　　*P* **90**　　　*L* **45**　　　# 3537
Laubach, Mark　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type **TR**　　　*Comment Status* **D**

In Table 100-3, insert a new row before the first non-header row before "Frequency band".
New parameter text "Downstream master frequency clock", value "10.24", and units "MHz".

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general　　　　　*Cl* **100**　　　Page 9 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected　　RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn　　　*SC* **100.2.8.2**　　　5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.8.2**   *P* **92** *L* **5** # 3515
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **D**

Line 5: Question on meaning for: "up to <+-> of the subcarrier". Replace "up to +- of the subcarrier" with "up to <+-> 50 kHz of the subcarriers' center frequencies"
Line 18: change "be meet" to "meet"
Line 21: lower case all but first word in table title

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.8.3**   *P* **92** *L* **40** # 3533
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **D**

A transcription error was made in the N* equation. In side the minimum function, change ceiling(Neqport'/4) to ceiling(Neqport/4) (i.e., drop the apostrophe).

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.8.3**   *P* **93** *L* **23** # 3458
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **D**

Line 23: fix "two rows above" as there is only one row above
Line 36: add apostrophe to "channels": From Peter: is this intended to be possessive? if so it should be channels'
Line 42: From Peter "These footnotes don't seem applicable to this table which is about power levels and not noise and spurious requirements."
Line 46: "all channel with 999", wording is broken

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment for lines 23 and 46.
Line 42: add draft text to explain what relaxations are and how to apply to this table.
Line 46: Change footnote to "Add 5 dB relaxation to the values specified above for noise and spurious emissions requirements in all channels with 999 MHz < center frequency of the noise measurement ? 1215 MHz. For example -73 dBc becomes -68 dBc." with appropriate Framemaker symbols.
For Table Footnotes a, b, and c, add the following to the send of each footnote: "Also see 100.2.8.5."

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.8.4**   *P* **92** *L* **40** # 3411
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **D**

Eq 100-6 (N*) needs to be formatted with two conditions: something like
If Neqport = 1 then
 N* = {factor1}
If Neqport > 1 then
 N* = {factor2}
As it is now it is not clear exactly how N* is calculated.

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Will consider best approach and present to TF.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line   *Cl* **100**   Page 10 of 49
SC **100.2.8.4** 5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.8.5**   *P* **94**   *L* **40**   # 3459
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status*   **D**

To prevent cross-refs splitting across lines: Format, Document, Text Options, delete the middle dash of three, Apply

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.8.5**   *P* **95**   *L* **49**   # 3460
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**

"wedged" is not a technical term. Replace word with "positioned"

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.8.5**   *P* **96**   *L* **30**   # 3461
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**

Line 30: lower case "Measured"
Line 41: comma before "respectively"
Line 50: Peter: what equation? This note is applied to the "Requirement (in dBc)" heading. There are no equations producing values in 0.5 dB steps in this column. Mark: this footnote used to point to EQ 100-6 that was embedded in the table, since we moved the eq out separately, this footnote can be removed if it is not longer needed.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.2.8.7**   *P* **97**   *L* **10**   # 3414
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**

The definition of variable DS_ChCnt can be better placed.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the definition to new section 100.2.6.3 Variables
Remove section 100.2.8.7

In 100.2.6.1 pg 87 ln 22 change
"The downstream Frame Data Load (bits) is a summation over all active channels, over 128 symbols, ..."
to
"The downstream Frame Data Load (bits) is a summation over all active channels, as define by DS_ChCnt, over 128 symbols, ..."

In equation on pg 87 line 26 change "5" above 1st summation symbol to "DS_ChCnt"
Add DS_ChCnt to Table 100-1 after DSNrp
DS OFDM channels | DS OFDM control | 1.1901.14:12 | DS_ChCnt | 1 | 14:12

In Cl 101.4.2.1 pg 160 ln 40 change
"Optional OFDM channels 2, 3, 4, and 5 are enabled when configured for operation."
to:
"Optional OFDM channels 2, 3, 4, and 5 are enabled when configured for operation via the DS_ChCnt variable."

Add to Table 101-1 after DS_TmIntrlv
DS OFDM channels | DS OFDM control | 1.1901.14:12 | DS_ChCnt | 1 | 14:12

In Cl 45.2.1.132.2 pg 39 ln 52 change
"TBD_Var_name" to "DS_ChCnt" and
"{ref}" to "100.2.6.3"

Note this comment is written against Cl 100 but should be changed to Cl 00 after a proposed response has been made.

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **100**   Page 11 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **100.2.8.7**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.8.7** *P* **97** *L* **10** # 3462
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **D**
Line 10: from Peter: what is this doing here? It is the only occurrence of DS_ChCnt in this
clause.
Line 48: lower case "Superframe" in figure title.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment for line 48. For line 10, queried CE for input.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.9.3** *P* **98** *L* **25** # 3463
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **D**
Change dash to Ctrl-q Shft-p

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.9.5.1** *P* **100** *L* **30** # 3476
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **TR** *Comment Status* **D**
Replace table 100-7 as per laubach_3bn_10_0515.pdf. This removes the TBD. Editors
Note on Line 33 no longer needed, delete.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.9.5.1** *P* **100** *L* **45** # 3465
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **D**
Line 45: "2.0" to "2"
Line 54: lower cae "Specification" and "Interval"

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
"lower case"

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.9.5.1** *P* **99** *L* **18** # 3464
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **D**
Line 18, 29, 30, and 45: dash to Ctrl-q Shft-p
Line 22: Esc n s
Line 53: change ".." to "."

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100** *SC* **100.2.9.5.1** *P* **99** *L* **22** # 3475
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **D**
Fix variable name so that it doesn't hypenate.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general *Cl* **100** Page 12 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn *SC* **100.2.9.5.1** 5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **100**　　*SC* **100.2.9.5.3**　　　　*P* **102**　　　*L* **17**　　　　# 3467
Laubach, Mark　　　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type　**ER**　　*Comment Status*　**D**
Line 3, 37: asterisk to fm multiply
Line 46: insert nonbreaking space in "400 kHz" to avoid line separation.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response　　*Response Status*　**W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**　　*SC* **100.2.9.5.3**　　　　*P* **103**　　　*L* **12**　　　　# 3468
Laubach, Mark　　　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type　**ER**　　*Comment Status*　**D**
In Table 100-9 all dashes to Ctrl-q Shft-p

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response　　*Response Status*　**W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**　　*SC* **100.2.9.6.1**　　　　*P* **104**　　　*L* **43**　　　　# 3534
Laubach, Mark　　　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type　**T**　　*Comment Status*　**D**
How is "j" used in the equation?

Line 35, add a comma at end after "1"

SuggestedRemedy
Add a sentence to the "where:" list for eq 100-19:

"j is the jth subbcarrier in the burst." italicize each "j".

Line 35: add the comma at the end.

Proposed Response　　*Response Status*　**W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**　　*SC* **100.2.9.7**　　　　*P* **105**　　　*L* **30**　　　　# 3380
Remein, Duane　　　　　　　　　　　　Huawei

Comment Type　**E**　　*Comment Status*　**D**
Change title of Table 100-11 from "CNU transmitter output signal characteristics" to "CNU RF output requirements" (to match the complementary CLT RF output requirements table 100-3)

SuggestedRemedy
per comment

Proposed Response　　*Response Status*　**W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**　　*SC* **100.2.9.7**　　　　*P* **105**　　　*L* **31**　　　　# 3474
Laubach, Mark　　　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type　**T**　　*Comment Status*　**D**
Table 100-11 title should match CLT transmitter table header text.

Change "CNU transmitter output signal characteristics" to "CNU RF output requirements"

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment

Proposed Response　　*Response Status*　**W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.
See comment #3380

Cl **100**　　*SC* **100.2.9.7**　　　　*P* **106**　　　*L* **8**　　　　# 3469
Laubach, Mark　　　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type　**ER**　　*Comment Status*　**D**
Line 8 to 12: lower case all but first Parameter word in first column.
Line 10: ohms to omeage symbol.
Line 24: add ctrl space to "6.4 MHz"
Lines 39 to 46: in second column all dashes to Ctrl-q Shft-p

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response　　*Response Status*　**W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general　　　　　　　　　　*Cl* **100**　　　　Page 13 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected　　RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn　　　*SC* **100.2.9.7**　　5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.3**   *P* **77**   *L* **1**   *#* 3497
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**
In Figure 100-1:
Lines 17 and 41: Change "PR-type" to "XR-type"
Line 49, insert "CCDN coax cable distribution network" before CLT line.

SuggestedRemedy
as commented

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.3**   *P* **79**   *L* **28**   *#* 3498
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**
In Figure 100-3, avoid hypenating "PILOT".

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.6**   *P* **115**   *L* **26**   *#* 3456
Powell, Bill   Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**
100.6 Timesync Capability has no text at moment

SuggestedRemedy
Add suggested text from powell_3bn_02_0515.pdf

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED REJECT.
File not provided.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.6**   *P* **115**   *L* **27**   *#* 3526
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**
Remove subclause and editors note. If we address this topic, it will be done in Clause 102
as it has to be coupled to the PHY Link time stamps.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100**   *SC* **100.7**   *P* **115**   *L* **30**   *#* 3518
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**
From Peter: 1) this heading should be at the top of a new page as per the 802.3 template,
2) provide the rest of the PICS.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100A**   *SC* **100A**   *P* **346**   *L*   *#* 3521
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **E**   *Comment Status* **D**
Line 8: Peter commented: "These are all table notes and hence are informative"
Check with Peter to see if we need to change anything.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **100A**
SC **100A**

Page 14 of 49
5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM

Cl **100A**   *SC* **100A.1**   *P* **343**   *L* **33**   # 3519
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**

From Peter: "meters is a lower case m and there should be a space between a number and its unit."
Change "50M" to "50 m" and "2M" to "2 m"

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100A**   *SC* **100A.1.3**   *P* **347**   *L* **24**   # 3478
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

For creating Draft 1.4, a comparison was done between Table 100A-2 and the channel model spreadsheet in baseline_channel_model_3bn_01_0413.xlsx, worksheet "US Baseline". The value of "54" was incorrect as noticed in D1.3 and changed to "-50" to match the spreadsheet value. An editors note was added to make sure this technical value change is approved by TF in this comment resolution.
If the TF approves this comment, leave as "-50" and remove the editors note. If the TF wants the old value of "54" returned, then change the table cell text back to "54" and remove the editors note.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100A**   *SC* **100A.1.3**   *P* **348**   *L* **18**   # 3477
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Some DOCSIS or other jargon remains in the table notes.

Question on NOTE 6: assuming CM is cable modem, and needs to change to CNU, what does the "97% criteria" specficaly refer to in this statement?

SuggestedRemedy

Line 18/19: NOTE 2, change "MSO" to "cable operator"
Line 23/24: NOTE 5, change "U/S" to "US"
Line 24/25: NOTE 6, change "Upstream CM" to "upstream CNU".

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Still need clarification on "97% criteria".

Cl **100A**   *SC* **100A.2**   *P* **344**   *L* **6**   # 3520
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**

Table 100A-1:
Line 5: "1.0" to "1", add non breaking space also.
Line 9: dash to space Ctrl-q Shft-p
Line 22: 54 to 1000 (Style manual)
Line 26 and elsewehre in able: dashes to Ctrl-q Shft-p
Lines 37 through 42: all "nsec" to "ns"
In table, fix dashes and usecs as per remedies in other
Page 346:
Lines 12 and 17: dash to Ctrl-q Shft-p
Line 26, font issue with "Echo mask..."
Line 27< "-" to " to "

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **100A**   Page 15 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **100A.2**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **100A**   *SC* **100A.3**   *P* **346**   *L* **52**   *#* 3522

Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status*   **D**

Table 100A-2
Line 52: "-" to " to "
Page 347:
Fix dashes, usec, and nsec. Same as in previous comment for Table 100A-1.
Page 348:
Line 14: Nominal Conditions value is blank (empty) for 5 usec. Remove this row in the table.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **100A**   *SC* **100A.3**   *P* **347**   *L* **23**   *#* 3509

Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**

Remove TBD from "nominal conditions" column.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.2.4.3**   *P* **123**   *L* **39**   *#* 3351

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **E**   *Comment Status*   **D**

Change per remein_3bn_14_0515.pdf
(on behalf of P Anslow, see anslow_3bn_01_0515.pdf)

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.1**   *P* **124**   *L* **2**   *#* 3376

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **E**   *Comment Status*   **D**

Unless there are other comments on this EN remove.
EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication) the TF need to do a thorough review of Idle control character deletion process as it is currently written to be applicable to both US & DS and these processes will be very different in EPoC where US/DS rates are different and US has multiple FEC's.

SuggestedRemedy

remove Ed Note

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.1.1**   *P* **124**   *L* **32**   *#* 3435

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): we should specify a minimum precision for this number.
Range is~28.3 a U5.2 should be sufficient. (calc to right) However PHY_xS_Rate has 3 bits of significance so maybe using 3 sig bits for consistency would be good? So use U5.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
"TYPE: real number"
to:
"TYPE: U5.3 format"

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **101**   Page 16 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **101.3.2.1.1**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.1.2**   *P* **124**   *L* **54**   # 3436

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): we should specify a minimum precision for this number.
Use Ux.3 for consistency with UD/DS Rate.
Same comment against Pg 125 ln 45 (PHY_OSizeFrac TYPE).

SuggestedRemedy

Pg 124 ln 54 Change:
"TYPE: real number"
"TYPE: U1.3 format"
Remove Ed Note

Pg 125 ln 45 Change:
"TYPE: real number"
"TYPE: U0.3 format"
Remove Ed Note pg 126 ln 1

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.1.2**   *P* **125**   *L* **29**   # 3385

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**

Clear non-controversial TBDs in Clause 101

SuggestedRemedy

pg   ln Replace with
125 29 UQ34.3 format fractional number [matches DS data rate precision]
194 36 Table 101-TBD -> Table 101-7
194 46 Table 101-TBD -> Table 101-7
195 11 Table 101-TBD -> Table 101-7
196 6   101.4.2.7
196 14 101.4.2.7

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.1.2**   *P* **125**   *L* **9**   # 3412

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**

Need to rationalize the three 16-bit unsigned integer countVector's

Pg 125 ln 9
countVectorT - Counts ... as part of data rate adaptation and FEC overhead compensation. {used in Figure 101-2)
Pg 126 ln 36
countVectorF - Counts ... as part of the FEC overhead compensation sub-process. {used in Figure 101-4}
countVectorP - Counts ... as part of the data rate adaptation sub-process. {used in Figure 101-3}

SuggestedRemedy

At a minimum move countVectorT definition to 101.3.2.1.3 Counters instead of 101.3.2.1.2 Variables

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.1.2**   *P* **126**   *L* **44**   # 3354

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **E**   *Comment Status*   **D**

Remove the following Editors Notes:
Pg   Ln
126 44
126 51
129 41
208 18

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **101**   Page 17 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **101.3.2.1.2**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.1.3**   *P* **126**   *L* **11**   # 3377
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

Ed Note has served it's purpose.
"EDITORS NOTE (to be remove prior to publication): Note that the list of variables will be updated per technical decision #45 (http://www.ieee802.org/3/bn/public/decisions/decisions.html) once EPoC-specific FEC and PMD overhead details are settled."

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Ed Note.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.1.5**   *P* **127**   *L* **39**   # 3389
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Fig 101-3 & 4 Rev*

Redraw figures 101-3 & 101-4 so symbols display correctly.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with native FrameMaker figures as illustrated in remein_3bn_19_0515.pdf

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.1.5**   *P* **127**   *L* **5**   # 3440
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

This statement is peppered throughout the clause 5x and only needs to be stated once: "In case of any discrepancy between state diagrams and the descriptive text, the state diagrams prevail."

SuggestedRemedy

Move the statement to the end of SCl 101.1.1
Remove other instances.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.1.5**   *P* **127**   *L* **5**   # 3378
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Figure 101-4 does not imply an "order shown" as specified in the following statement:
"The CLT PCS shall perform the Idle deletion process as shown in Figure 101-2. The CNU PCS shall perform the Idle deletion process as shown in Figure 101-3 (data rate adaptation sub-process) Figure 101-3 and in (FEC overhead compensation sub-process), in the order shown in Figure 101-4."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read:
The CNU PCS shall perform the Idle deletion process as shown in Figure 101-3 (data rate adaptation sub-process) and in Figure 101-4 (FEC overhead compensation sub-process).

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.1.5**   *P* **128**   *L* **2**   # 3419
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Fig 101-3 & 4*

Figure 101-3 symbols did not translate from viseo well (came out as dots). Similar issue with Figure 101-4 pg 129

SuggestedRemedy

Convert to native framemaker or if not time for that convert to EMF format.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See Cmt #3389 (topic Fig 101-3 & 4)

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.2**   *P* **136**   *L* **52**   # 3386
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

This statement describes the output of the encoder not the input.
"The EPoC 64B/66B encoder does not include a scrambler function as described in 49.2.6 and the input is a 65B block with a single synch header bit."

SuggestedRemedy

Change "input" to "output"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**
SC **101.3.2.2**

Page 18 of 49
5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.4**   *P* **131**   *L* **1**   # 3390
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

Wording:
"The CLT 10GPASS-XR PCS operating on CCDN shall encode the transmitted data using one of the LDPC
(16200, 14400) code per Table 101-2."

SuggestedRemedy

to:
"The CLT 10GPASS-XR PCS operating on CCDN shall encode the transmitted data using the LDPC (16200, 14400) code per Table 101-2."

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.5**   *P* **134**   *L* **3**   # 3388
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

"FEC encode" should be "FEC Encoder" in 4 places:
Pg line
134 3
134 31
144 37
145 46
"data detector" should be "Data Detector" in 3 places
125 20
144 37
146 46

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Also see Cmt# 3441 regarding FEC Encoder

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.5.1**   *P* **134**   *L* **10**   # 3387
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Now that we know positively what "any additional FEC-related overhead" is we can be more precise in this statement:

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
"insertion of the FEC parity data as well as any additional FEC-related overhead"
to:
"insertion of the FEC parity data and CRC40"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.5.3**   *P* **136**   *L* **1**   # 3545
Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Fig 101-7*

Figure 101-7 is not updated

SuggestedRemedy

Correct the burst structure in the figure accordinglu

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See Cmt# 3375

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **101**   Page 19 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **101.3.2.5.3**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.5.3**   *P* **136**   *L* **26**   # 3375
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Fig 101-7 Rev*

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication):
this figure will need to be updated if burst marker
structure is changed.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace figure with that in remein_3bn_17_0515.pdf

Pg 187 ln 34 change
"An OFDMA transmission shall start with a Type 2 resource block followed by four
contiguous subcarriers which include the start burst marker (see 101.4.3.9)."
to:
"An OFDMA transmission shall start with four contiguous subcarriers which include the
start burst marker (see 101.4.3.9)."

Pg 187 ln 49 change:
"An OFDMA transmission shall end with a Type 2 resource block preceded by four
contiguous subcarriers which include the stop burst marker (see 101.4.3.9)."
to:
"An OFDMA transmission shall end with four contiguous subcarriers which include the stop
burst marker (see 101.4.3.9)."

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Replace figure with that in remein_3bn_17b_0515.pdf

Pg 187 ln 34 change
"An OFDMA transmission shall start with a Type 2 resource block followed by four
contiguous subcarriers which include the start burst marker (see 101.4.3.9)."
to:
"An OFDMA transmission shall start with five contiguous subcarriers which include the
start burst marker immediately followed by a Type 2 resource block (see 101.4.3.9)."

Pg 187 ln 49 change:
"An OFDMA transmission shall end with a Type 2 resource block preceded by four
contiguous subcarriers which include the stop burst marker (see 101.4.3.9)."
to:
"An OFDMA transmission shall end with five contiguous subcarriers which include the stop
burst marker immediately preceded by a Type 2 resource block (see 101.4.3.9)."

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.5.3**   *P* **136**   *L* **3**   # 3480
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Fig 101-7*

Figure 101-7, the top part is incorrect. The Type 2's RBs should be removed as the first
and last RBs of a burst. First and last are the first and last RBs of the respective marker.
Also, the burst markers use all the RB's in the marker and no "holes" are left for data.
Belief is that this is already corrected in another comment, this one is here "just in case".

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See Cmt# 3375

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.5.8**   *P* **142**   *L* **23**   # 3391
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Incorrect Fig Ref:
"The CLT shall implement the Data Detector output process as depicted in Figure 101-8,"

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:
"The CLT shall implement the Data Detector output process as depicted in Figure 101-9."

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.2.5.8**   *P* **143**   *L* **31**   # 3473
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Figure 101-8, change title of "ADD_BLOCK_BLOCK_TO_FIFO" to
"ADD_65BIT_BLOCK_TO_FIFO" to convey that the one SH bit is being stripped as part of
the tx_coded<65:1> copy to the FIFO. Also fixes the "BLOCK_BLOCK" title word
duplication.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Time permitting Editor authorized to convert the SD to native FrameMaker.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**   Page 20 of 49
SC **101.3.2.5.8**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.3.1.1**   *P* **147**   *L* **31**   *#* 3374
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

In 101.3.3.1.1 there is an Ed Note:
EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): A figure and reference to same is needed showing FEC decoding process in CLT receiver.
However there is no reason that Figure 101-12 cannot cover both CNU and CLT receive paths.

SuggestedRemedy

Change title of Figure 101-12 to "PCS receive path processing"

Add text to the end of the 1st para in 101.3.3.1.2 as follows:
"Note that burstStart and burstEnd indications are passed via the PMA_UNITDATA.indication and are used by the LDPC Decoder in the CLT to determine FEC codeword sizes in any given burst."

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.3.1.8**   *P* **153**   *L* **41**   *#* 3379
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Replace "decodeFailure ++" with "FecCodeWordFail ++" in DECODE_FAIL state

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.3.3**   *P* **155**   *L* **3**   *#* 3437
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): the text in this subclause needs to be updated to account for FEC parity removal and CRC40.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the Ed Note, the text has been updated in previous revisions of the draft.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.3.3.3.1**   *P* **155**   *L* **7**   *#* 3442
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*

FIFO_II_SIZE - given the editors note this cannot be considered a constant.

SuggestedRemedy

Move definition to 101.3.3.3.2 Variables
Change definition from
"This constant represents the size of Idle Insertion FIFO buffer. The size of this buffer is selected in such a way that it is able to accommodate the number of 66-bit vectors sufficient to fill the gap introduced by removing the FEC parity data for a maximum size MAC frame, and compensate for the maximum supported difference between the MAC rate and PMD rate."
To:
"This variable represents the size of Idle Insertion FIFO buffer. The size of this buffer is selected in such a way that it is able to accommodate the number of 66-bit vectors sufficient to fill the gap introduced by removing the FEC parity data for a maximum size MAC frame, and compensate for the maximum supported difference between the MAC rate and PMD rate. FIFO_II_SIZE is depended on the line rate the PHY is operating at and may need to be adjusted whenever the profile is changed."
Remove the Ed Notes ln 15 & 25

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.1.3**   *P* **160**   *L* **3**   *#* 3528
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Change "synchronization" to "receive path".
Remove editors note at Line 5.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**
SC **101.4.1.3**

Page 21 of 49
5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.2.1**   *P* **160**   *L* **33**   *#* 3556

Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

"The PMA supports five 190 MHz wide OFDM channels; each containing 3800 subcarriersseach" - 3800 is the number of active subcarriers

SuggestedRemedy

CHange sentence as follows:
"The PMA supports five 190 MHz wide OFDM channels; each containing upto 3800 active subcarriers

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.2.1**   *P* **160**   *L* **38**   *#* 3557

Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

all channels must use the same CP size

SuggestedRemedy

edit sentence accordingly

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change:
"All OFDM channels use the same sampling rate clock as per Table 101-8 and follow the same frame timing."
to:
"All OFDM channels use the
same sampling rate clock as per Table 101-8, cyclic prefix size, window size, and follow the same frame timing."

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.2.12.1**   *P* **185**   *L* **7**   *#* 3454

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

DSNcp enum "0 1 0 0 = reserved" doesn't cover the full range

Also line 23
DSNrp enum two entries for 0 1 1
0 1 1 = 128 samples
0 1 1 = 64 samples
0 0 1 = reserved

SuggestedRemedy

Change
0 1 0 0 = reserved
to
x 1 x x = reserved
1 0 x x = reserved

Change
0 1 1 = 64 samples
to
0 1 0 = 64 samples

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **101**   Page 22 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **101.4.2.12.1**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.2.2**   *P* **161**   *L* **13**   # 3443

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *PICS Rev*

The wording of these para's are overly complex and, in some cases incorrect:
"The CLT downstream OFDM symbol and subcarrier frequency and timing relationship is defined in 101.4.2.3.
Tolerances for the downstream subcarrier clock frequency are given in this subclause Table 100-3). Functional requirements involving the downstream subcarrier clock frequency and downstream signal generation are contained in 101.4.2.3, which couple the subcarrier clock frequency tolerance performance to the phase noise requirements of Table 100-3 and the downstream OFDM symbol clock requirements of this subclause. Each cycle of the downstream subcarrier clock is 4096 cycles (50 kHz subcarrier spacing) of the downstream OFDM symbol clock (which is nominally 204.8 MHz), since the subcarrier clock period is defined as the FFT duration for each OFDM symbol. Functional requirements on locking the downstream waveform to the 10.24 MHz Master Clock are then equivalently functional requirements locking the downstream subcarrier clock to the Master Clock. Downstream OFDM symbol clock jitter requirements (which are in the time domain) of Table 101-8 are equivalently requirements on the downstream subcarrier clock (and its harmonics). The requirements on the OFDM symbol clock are effectively measured on observables in the downstream waveform, which include the downstream subcarrier clock frequency (manifested in the subcarrier spacing) and downstream subcarrier frequencies."
Can we just say that if you pass the phase noise it can be assume that the clock jitter requirements are met? Can we make Table 101-9 informative (since otherwise we need to identify a place where it is to be measured).

1) Table 100-3 does not directly mention subcarrier clock or it's tolerances.
2) the "functional requirements" in 101.4.2.3 are not normative (no "shalls"); further Cl 101.4.2.3 refers back to 101.4.2.2 creating a circular ref. Compounding the problem Cl 101.4.2.3 does not mention phase noise or Table 100-3 so it is difficult to see how it couples "the subcarrier clock frequency tolerance performance to the phase noise requirements of Table 100-3"
3) the 50 kHz subcarrier clock period is not observable at the MDI as it is obscured by the CP time.
4) the 10.24 MHz Master Clock is not defined in the draft.

See remein_3bn_20_0515.pdf and remein_3bn_21_0515.pdf for more information on this issue

SuggestedRemedy

Reword 101.4.2.2 and 101.4.2.3 so they are correct and easily understood.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change to:
"The CLT downstream OFDM symbol and subcarrier frequency and timing relationship is defined in 101.4.2.3.
Functional requirements for the subcarrier clock are given in 101.4.2.3. The relationship

---

between the OFDM symbol clock and output phase noise are given in 101.4.2.2. Each cycle of the downstream subcarrier clock is 4096 cycles of the downstream 204.8 MHz OFDM symbol clock(50 kHz subcarrier spacing). As the 10.24 MHz Master Clock, the 204.8 MHz OFDM clock and the subcarrier clock are all synchronous then it follows that the downstream output waveform is also locked to the 10.24 MHz Master Clock.Furthermore the downstream OFDM symbol clock jitter requirements (which are in the time domain) of Table 101-8 are equivalent to requirements on the downstream subcarrier clock (and its harmonics). The requirements on the OFDM symbol clock are measured using observable parameters in the downstream waveform, which include the downstream subcarrier clock frequency (manifested in the subcarrier spacing) and the downstream subcarrier frequencies."

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.2.2**   *P* **161**   *L* **30**   # 3549

Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*

Jitter requirements in Table 101-8 for frequencies above 1 KHz are excessively loose for OFDM at 200 MHz, and practical transmitters must have orders of magnitude better jitter performance. Phase noise is defined for the frequencies above 1 KHz and is much tighter (100-4)

SuggestedRemedy

Propose to delete specifications above 1 KHz in Table 101-8

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Only three line to remain for OFDM Symbol Clock Jitter:
- < [-21 + 20*log (fDS /204.8)] dBc (i.e., < 0.07 ns RMS) 10 Hz to 100 Hz
- < [-21 + 20*log (fDS /204.8)] dBc (i.e., < 0.07 ns RMS) 100 Hz to 1 kHz
where fDS is the frequency of the measured downstream OFDM clock in MHz. a

---

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.2.2**   *P* **162**   *L* **6**   # 3558

Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

"Acquisition Time for the CNU" - state specifically that this is the downstream channel (or PLC) acquisiton time, that is including PLC proper decoding, being able to receive the downstream PLC and to transmit PHY DIscovery responses

SuggestedRemedy

shoudl state:
"Downstream channel Acquisition Time for the CNU .... "

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
"Downstream channel acquisition time for the CNU .. "

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **101**   Page 23 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **101.4.2.2**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.2.2**   *P* **162**   *L* **8**   # 3529
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status*   **D**

     Delete editor's note. The statement on lines 6 and 7 and the requirements in Table 101-8
     on timing accuracy and acquistion time are consistent with DOCSIS D2.1 PHY I05.

SuggestedRemedy

     As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

     PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.2.3**   *P* **162**   *L* **15**   # 3546
Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type   **TR**   *Comment Status*   **D**

     The text in this section should be replaced in accordance with the recent ECR submitted to
     DOCSIS3.1

SuggestedRemedy

     Modify the text accordingly.
     Proposed text is provided in a separate document

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

     PROPOSED REJECT.
     The referenced ECR is not a public document available to the editor.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.2.3**   *P* **162**   *L* **21**   # 3392
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**

     The Equation following this statement needs an Eq Number so it can be referenced by
     PICS

     The "MUST" on line 21 seems to be D3.1 carry-over and the sentence is poorly worded.

SuggestedRemedy

     Change para style to numbered equation.
     Change:
     "The number of cycles of each subcarrier generated by the CLT during one period of the
     subcarrier clock (for each OFDM symbol) MUST be an integer number."
     to:
     "The number of OFDM clock cycles of each subcarrier generated by the CLT during one
     period of the subcarrier clock is an integer number."

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

     PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.2.4**   *P* **162**   *L* **41**   # 3382
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**

     DS_OFDM_ID formally defined in Cl 102.4.1.7.2 pg 255 ln 10 and should be used here
     where we discuss SC configuration.

SuggestedRemedy

     Move definition of DS_OFDM_ID from 102.4.1.7.2 to 101.4.2.3.5
     Add ref at 102.4.1.7.2 to 101.4.2.3.5

     Pg 162 ln 43 change:
     "... using the DS_ModTypeSC(n) variables (where 0 <LTE> n <LTE> 4095). These
     variables allow the PHY to configure ... "
     To read:
     "... using the DS_ModTypeSC(n) variables (where 0 <LTE> n <LTE> 4095) in conjunction
     with DS_OFDM_ID. The OFDM channel being configured is determined by DS_OFDM_ID.
     The DS_ModTypeSC(n) variables configure ... "
     {<LTE> above is the symbol "less than or equal to"}

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

     PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.2.4.3**   *P* **163**   *L* **27**   # 3547
Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type   **TR**   *Comment Status*   **D**   *Rev*

     May the 22 MHz contiguous band include nulls?

SuggestedRemedy

     Clarify the specifications accordingly. A null subcarrier is not "excluded"

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

     PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
     Change:
     "There is at least one contiguous 22 MHz or greater band of subcarriers with an assigned
     bit loading in any single 192 MHz OFDM channel."
     to:
     "There is at least one contiguous 22 MHz or greater band of active subcarriers with an
     assigned bit loading in any single 192 MHz OFDM channel."

     WARNING - this leaves the posibility of a 22 MHz channel that is null except for the PHY
     Link! Is this what we want?

TYPE: TR/technical required   ER/editorial required   GR/general required   T/technical   E/editorial   G/general   *Cl* **101**   Page 24 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched   A/accepted   R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open   W/written   C/closed   Z/withdrawn   *SC* **101.4.2.4.3**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101** *SC* **101.4.2.6** *P* **165** *L* **46** *#* 3530

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **D**

Not sure what this editors note refers to at this time. FIgure 101-2 is a state diagram. Delete this EN.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101** *SC* **101.4.2.6.4** *P* **168** *L* **31** *#* 3395

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **D**

This requirement is a duplicate of that at line 12.
"The CLT shall follow Step 1 through Step 8 as specified below for defining the frequencies for the location of these continuous pilots.

SuggestedRemedy

Strike the sentence.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101** *SC* **101.4.2.6.4** *P* **169** *L* **41** *#* 3396

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **D**

This Step is already required per statement pg 168 ln 12:
"The CLT shall transmit this continuous pilot pattern to the CNUs in the system and communicate the placement using the PHY Link."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read:
"The CLT transmits this continuous pilot pattern to the CNUs in the system and communicate the placement using the PHY Link."

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101** *SC* **101.4.2.8.2** *P* **171** *L* **30** *#* 3438

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **D**

zero bit-loading
EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): May need to adjust "zero-bit-loaded" via more socialization on its use.

zero bit-load 3x 171-25, 171-27, & 172-16

SuggestedRemedy

Pg 171 ln 25 Change:
"zero bit-loading"
to:
"nulled subcarriers (i.e., subcarrier that are not use for data transport)."

Remove Ed Note

Pg 172 ln 16 change:
"zero-bit-loaded."
to
"nulled."

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101** *SC* **101.4.2.8.2** *P* **171** *L* **30** *#* 3531

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **D**

Move the text as the first sentence in Subclause 101.4.2.10.1.
Remove the editors note.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**
SC **101.4.2.8.2**

Page 25 of 49
5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM

Cl **101** *SC* **101.4.2.8.3**   *P* **172**   *L* **24**   # 3397
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Note p172 l24*

A note seems to be a inappropriate place for a requirement:
"Note that downstream RF spectrum availability as well as device implementation will determine OFDM channel presence and actual subcarrier use. The symbol mapping function therefore shall process all active subcarriers per symbol across all OFDM channels."

SuggestedRemedy

Strike "Note that" and change para style so the statement reads:
"Downstream RF spectrum availability as well as device implementation will determine OFDM channel presence and actual subcarrier use. The symbol mapping function therefore shall process all active subcarriers per symbol across all OFDM channels."

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101** *SC* **101.4.2.8.3**   *P* **172**   *L* **29**   # 3432
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Note p172 l24*

Para starting "Note that downstream RF spectrum ..." should be in t,text style

SuggestedRemedy

Per Comment

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See Cmt# 3397

Cl **101** *SC* **101.4.2.8.3**   *P* **172**   *L* **9**   # 3364
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): a state diagram is needed for this subclause.
It is my opinion that the bit loading function is described in sufficient detail that a state diagram is not needed.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the Ed Note

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101** *SC* **101.4.2.8.4**   *P* **174**   *L* **12**   # 3527
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Add new informative text to the end of this subclause: "As FEC codewords may straddle downstream frame boundaries, the CNU may optionally process the FCP value encoded in the received PHY Link messages in the current downstream frame. The FCP value indicates the starting bit position of the next codeword in the next downstream frame.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
"The downstream FEC Encoder is not aligned with the downstream frame, thus FEC codewords may straddle downstream frame boundaries. The CNU may use the FCP value in the received PHY Link messages to help locate the downstream FEC codewords. The FCP value indicates the starting bit position of the first full codeword in the next downstream frame. See 102.2.3.5"

Cl **101** *SC* **101.4.2.9**   *P* **174**   *L* **35**   # 3349
Richard, Prodan   Broadcom

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*

Correcting some equation/notation errors, adding text and a generator polynomial as needed for clarification of operation. Page 179, Line 4, Figure 101-24 has an error as the left-most XOR has no output.

SuggestedRemedy

Update subclause 101.4.2.9 as per attached prodan_3bn_10_0514.pdf (and fm). CMP files are also provided to indicate the changes.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Per suggestion with the following modificaitons:
Pg 175 ln 20 should ref 101.4.2.9.5 not 45.2.1.108 as in prodan_3bn_10_0514.
Pg 175 ln 38 should ref Equation (101-10) not 101-6 as in prodan_3bn_10_0514.
Pg 178 ln 27 change style to numbered eq.
Pg 179 ln 4 the illustration of the CRC S/R will be changed by the removal the the up arrow entering XOR by Gm=1 and addition of a left arrow on the same signal line entering box cm-1.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **101**   Page 26 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **101.4.2.9** 5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.1**   *P* **186**   *L* **27**   # 3455

Powell, Bill   Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

Clauses 101.4.3.1 & 101.4.3.2 have no text at the moment

SuggestedRemedy

Not sure what to add right now.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED REJECT.
Nothing to do at the moment.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.10**   *P* **207**   *L* **1**   # 3548

Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *US_Intrlvr Rev*

There is no interleaver defined in the upstream

SuggestedRemedy

Remove section 101.4.3.10 and all references to it

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Per Comment
Pg 201 ln 28 change:
"Pilots are inserted after the RB Frame is processed by the symbol mapper (see 101.4.2.8)
and the interleaving functions (see 101.4.3.10) and before the RB Frame is passed to the
IDFT function."
to:
"Pilots are inserted after the RB Frame is processed by the symbol mapper (see 101.4.2.8)
and before the RB Frame is passed to the IDFT function."

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.10**   *P* **207**   *L* **1**   # 3503

Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Remove this subclause title. It is a leftover and will contain no future text.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See Cmt# 3548

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.11.1**   *P* **207**   *L* **15**   # 3505

Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*

Delete editors note.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

"The TF needs to agree on how Pre-Equalization Coefficients (i.e., mdio registers) are
adjusted via the PHY Link and how Probes are scheduled by the CLT"

Are Pre-Equalization Coefficients part of the profile? Not currently list in Table 101-7. If it
was we have a mechanism to precisely schedule whens update to them take effect. If not .

See Cmt# 3393

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.11.1**   *P* **207**   *L* **30**   # 3393

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *PICS*

This set of 3 requirements can be simplified, steps 2 & 3 have nothing to do with
normalization:
"The CNU normalizes the new calculated coefficients as follows:
1) Upon applying any updates, the CNU shall normalize the new calculated coefficients as
follows: mean (abs $(C_k)^2$) = 1 (summation is over all k subcarriers, which are active
subcarriers).
2) The CNU shall apply the newly calculated coefficients for transmitting within 10 ms after
receiving an update via a PHY Link message.
3) The newly calculated coefficients for transmitting shall take affect at the beginning of a
transmission."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read:
"The CNU shall normalize the new calculated coefficients by adjusting the mean of (abs
$(C_k)^2$) to be 1. The summation is over all k subcarriers, which are active subcarriers.
The CNU shall apply the newly calculated coefficients for transmitting at the beginning of a
transmission within 10 ms after receiving an update via a PHY Link message."

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **101**   Page 27 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **101.4.3.11.1**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.3.2**   *P* **187**   *L* **34**   # 3559

Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Fig 101-7*

Type 2 RB follows the burst marker

SuggestedRemedy

Correct text

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See Cmt# 3375 (topic Fig 101-7)

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.3.4**   *P* **187**   *L* **50**   # 3560

Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Fig 101-7*

Type 2 RB preceds the stop burst marker

SuggestedRemedy

correct text

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See Cmt# 3375 (topic Fig 101-7)

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.3.5**   *P* **188**   *L* **16**   # 3444

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

We state when the boolean is true but never state when it goes false

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
"This Boolean is TRUE on ..."
to:
"This clear on read boolean is TRUE on ..."

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Capitalize Boolean

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.3.5**   *P* **188**   *L* **20**   # 3445

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*

I don't think the variable RBSF_reset should be controlled by the PHY Link. The proper functional block for this is the Frame Timing block.
"This boolean variable is used by the PHY Link to reset the Frame Timing state. A positive transition from value FALSE to value TRUE will cause the state machine to reset to the beginning of the RB Superframe on SCLK."

SuggestedRemedy

Change wording to:
"This boolean variable is used to reset the Frame Timing state. A transition from FALSE to TRUE will cause the state machine to reset to the beginning of the RB Superframe when SCLK goes TRUE. Upon being read this variable is reset to FALSE. The variable is set to TRUE by the Frame Timing function and may be advanced or delayed when the CLT performs a write to the PhyTimingOffset variable."

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Capitalize Boolean

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.4.2**   *P* **190**   *L* **32**   # 3561

Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

for the upstream n<=12

SuggestedRemedy

correct text

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED REJECT.
Swapped pg & ln
Per Table 100-2 16k-QAM is optional for US so 16 is correct.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **101**   Page 28 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **101.4.3.4.2**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.4.3**   *P* **190**   *L* **44**   *#* 3550
Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*
"there may be up to 14 exclusion bands internal to a single 192 MHz OFDM channel" -
Limiting number of exclusion bands to 14 is not needed.

SuggestedRemedy
Remove limitation or increase it to 64

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Added pg 190

Change to 62 (this makes a total of 64 including the external exclusion bands).
We had discussed this topic of swiss cheese a great deal early on. Why is it suddenly OK
(note this has been here since D1.1)?

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.4.4**   *P* **191**   *L* **4**   *#* 3551
Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**
8192-QAM and 16384-QAM are not supported by upstream.

SuggestedRemedy
Remove

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED REJECT.
Per Table 100-2 they are optional.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.5.1**   *P* **191**   *L* **23**   *#* 3446
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**
101.4.3.5.1 Variables
These are all provisioned variable and we should state that.

SuggestedRemedy
For the 4 variables in this section change:
"When this variable is ..."
to:
"When this provisioned variable is ..."

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.6.1**   *P* **193**   *L* **38**   *#* 3502
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**
Line 38: Change "interleaver and pilot insertion functions" to "pilot inserting and staging
functions.
Line 31. Add to end of sentence for "EX": "; i.e., nulled subcarriers and excluded
subcarriers. Remove underline under "EX".
Line 39: delete editors note.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.6.2**   *P* **194**   *L* **26**   *#* 3447
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**
No reason to cross reference to Table 101-1 as it is just another cross reference.

SuggestedRemedy
Remove "(see Table 101-1)"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**   *SC* **101.4.3.6.2**   *P* **196**   *L* **7**   *#* 3504
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *US Scrambler*
Line 6: Change "This function initializes the bit scrambler with the seed value. See TBD." to
"The upstream symbol mapper utilizes a separate instantiation of the scrambler as
described in 101.4.2.7 with the same seed value of 0x4732BA. This function initializes the
bit scrambler with the seed value."
Line 8: delete editors note.
Line 13: delete "See TBD."

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **101**   Page 29 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **101.4.3.6.2**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**　　*SC* **101.4.3.6.4**　　　*P* **199**　　*L* **5**　　　# 3398
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type　**TR**　　*Comment Status*　**D**　　　　　*PICS Rev*

This requirement is inappropriate here. The PCS has no control over the minimum gap time between bursts which is control by the MPCP layer.

SuggestedRemedy

Change wording to:
The CLT grant generator ensures a minimum gap time between bursts from any CNU equal to the transmission time of one (1) resource block expressed in units of time_quantaum (see 77.2.2.2)."

In 103.3.2.4 add the following requirement:
"The CLT shall ensure that a minimum gap time between bursts from any two CNUs equal to the transmission time of one (1) resource block expressed in units of time_quantaum."
Add PICS statement to cover new requirement.

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status*　**W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**　　*SC* **101.4.4.5**　　　*P* **213**　　*L* **31**　　　# 3394
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type　**T**　　*Comment Status*　**D**　　　　　*PICS*

Requirement clarification/simplification.

SuggestedRemedy

Both real and imaginary axes of a QAM constellation shall be scaled using the scaling factor given in Table 101-20. These scaling factors ensure that the mean square value of all QAM constellations are equal to 1.0.

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status*　**W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**　　*SC* **101.5**　　　*P* **214**　　*L* **11**　　　# 3367
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type　**E**　　*Comment Status*　**D**

"EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): This subclause is reserved for the summary of the power-saving capabilities for this PMD type. This material would be all new in the amendment added by IEEE P802.3bn EPoC Task Force"

SuggestedRemedy

Strike the section. Power-saving capabilities are documented in Cl 100.

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status*　**W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **101**　　*SC* **101.6**　　　*P* **214**　　*L* **16**　　　# 3506
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type　**T**　　*Comment Status*　**D**　　　　　*101.6 Rev*

Remove 101.6 subclause title and following editors note. If documented, time sync will move to Clause 102 with use of the PHY Link timestamp.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status*　**W**

PROPOSED REJECT.
See resolution to Cmt# 3457

Cl **101**　　*SC* **101.6**　　　*P* **214**　　*L* **17**　　　# 3457
Powell, Bill   Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type　**T**　　*Comment Status*　**D**　　　　　*101.6 Rev*

101.6 Timesync Capability has no text at moment

SuggestedRemedy

Add suggested text from powell_3bn_02_0515.pdf

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status*　**W**

PROPOSED REJECT.
powell_3bn_02_0515.pdf not submitted.
See related Cmt# 3506

Cl **101**　　*SC* **101.9**　　　*P* **136**　　*L* **45**　　　# 3472
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type　**T**　　*Comment Status*　**D**　　　　　*Fig 101-7*

Figure 101-7, update top of figure for burst marker updates. This has likely be done in another comment.

Line 37: designate/illustrate a Bq 65 bit block and label as "Burst time header" with an arrow pointing to that block. This block is after the two "Idles" blocks and before the first "MAC Data" block.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response　　　*Response Status*　**W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Added pg & line info
See Cmt# 3375 for update to Figure 101-7.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general　　　　*Cl* **101**　　　Page 30 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn　　*SC* **101.9**　　　5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **101**	*SC* **102.2.1.1**				*P* **228**		*L* **45**			# 3399
Remein, Duane							Huawei

Comment Type	**T**		*Comment Status*	**D**								*PISC*

Remnants of two symbol sizes and no mention of windowing:
"The downstream PHY Link shall use the same OFDM Symbol size and cyclic prefix duration as the downstream MAC data channel."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:
"The downstream PHY Link shall use the same OFDM Symbol definition (cyclic prefix duration and windowing size) as the downstream MAC data channel.

Proposed Response		*Response Status*	**W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102**	*SC* **102**				*P* **217**		*L* **3**			# 3368
Remein, Duane							Huawei

Comment Type	**ER**		*Comment Status*	**D**

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): Probe processing needs to be pulled out of the PHY Link.
If everyone is comfortable with the architecture as is (part of PHY Link) then we can leave it as it is.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the Ed Note.

Proposed Response		*Response Status*	**W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102**	*SC* **102.1.1**				*P* **218**		*L* **44**			# 3408
Remein, Duane							Huawei

Comment Type	**T**		*Comment Status*	**D**

It may be useful to include the timestamp in the upstream direction for TOD Sync.

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 102-2 EPFH replace "R(32b)" with "Timestamp(32b)"

In 102.3.2.1 pg 244 line 35 change:
"The upstream PHY Frame Header includes a Type field, the Return Frame ID field, the PHY SA and a CRC(32) as illustrated in Figure 102-2. ..."
To:
"The upstream PHY Frame Header includes a Type field, the Return Frame ID field, the PHY SA, the PHY Timestamp field, and a CRC(32) as illustrated in Figure 102-2. ... The PHY Timestamp is a 32 bit field set from the LocalTS."

Proposed Response		*Response Status*	**W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102**	*SC* **102.1.2**				*P* **219**		*L* **30**			# 3553
Kliger, Avi							Broadcom

Comment Type	**E**		*Comment Status*	**D**

In figure 102-3 FEC and Sym map blocks are split while descrambler block is not.

SuggestedRemedy

Split descrambler for consistency

Proposed Response		*Response Status*	**W**

PROPOSED REJECT.
But there is only one descrambling funciton described. It works for both PHY Discovery and normal PHY Link messages. If that is not the case please provide a description of the PHY Discovery Scramble/Descrambler

---

Cl **102**	*SC* **102.1.2**				*P* **220**		*L* **16**			# 3552
Kliger, Avi							Broadcom

Comment Type	**T**		*Comment Status*	**D**

In figure 102-4 FEC and Sym map blocks are split while descrambler block is not.

SuggestedRemedy

Split descrambler for consistency

Proposed Response		*Response Status*	**W**

PROPOSED REJECT.
See Cmt# 3553

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general						*Cl* **102**						Page 31 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn				*SC* **102.1.2**					5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.1.3**   *P* **220**   *L* **43**   # 3355

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

Remove the following Editors Notes:
Pg Ln
220 43
223 23
233 21
233 52
250 45

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.1.8**   *P* **225**   *L* **9**   # 3369

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): not all variables need to be included in Cl 45. We need
to determine how to index variables that need to be communicated over the PHY Link that are not included in
Cl 45. Current ¡rule¡¨ is:
If 1.1900 <= RegAdd <=1.1999 Then Index = RegAdd - 1.1900)*1000) (i.e., 0-99)
46 indexes in this range were in use as of Draft 1.4.
If 12.0000 <= RegAdd Then Index = (RegAdd - 12.0000)*1000 + 1000 (i.e., 1000 +)
12287 indexes in this range are in use as of Draft 1.4
If variable is not in Cl 45 use indexes 500-999

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with the following note:
NOTE: Most of the variables transferred via the PHY Link are reflected in Clause 45. The EPoC Index and bits are determined from Clause 45 register designations using the following rules:
1.1900 <= RegAdd <=1.1999 Then Index = RegAdd - 1.1900)*1000) (i.e., 0-99)
If 12.0000 <= RegAdd Then Index = (RegAdd - 12.0000)*1000 + 1000 (i.e., 1000 +)
If variable is not in Cl 45 use indexes 500-999.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change to
NOTE: Most of the variables transferred via the PHY Link are reflected in Clause 45. The EPoC Index and bits are determined from Clause 45 register designations using the following rules:
If 1.1900 <= RegAdd <=1.1999 Then Index = RegAdd - 1.1900)*1000) (i.e., 0-99)
If 12.0000 <= RegAdd Then Index = (RegAdd - 12.0000)*1000 + 1000 (i.e., 1000 +)
If variable is not in Cl 45 indexes between 500 and 999 are used and are given in the variable definition."
Use Style NOTE

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **102**   Page 32 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **102.1.8**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.1.8**   *P* **226**   *L* **16**   # 3418
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**
NewCNU_Rng not formally defined or used.

SuggestedRemedy
Add to 102.4.1.7.2 Variables
NewCNU_Rng
TYPE: 16-bit integer
This variable indicates the range of the CNU corresponding to Allowed CNU_ID in units of
OFDM clock (1/204.8 MHz).

Add to the end of 102.4.1.4:
"The CLT calculates the range of the CNU based on the PHY Link Response and uses this
to report the NewCNU_Rng when declaring the CNU link-up (see 102.4.3)."

Update reference in 45.2.1.142.1 pg 48 ln 18 to 102.4.1.7.2

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.2.1.1**   *P* **228**   *L* **43**   # 3416
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   102.2.1.1
DS_PhyLinkStrt not formally defined and should remove ref to Cl 45 here "(see DS PHY
Link Start parameter, 45.2.1.138)"

SuggestedRemedy
Change
"(see DS PHY Link Start parameter, 45.2.1.138)"
to
"(see 102.2.6.3)"

Add to 102.2.6.3 Variables
DS_PhyLinkStrt
TYPE: 12-bit integer
This variable sets the starting subcarrier in OFDM Channel 1 of the downstream PHY Link.
It specifies the lowest frequency subcarrier of the downstream PHY Link used to carry PHY
Link information bits.

In Cl 45.2.1.138.1 pg 46 ln 6 update reference to 102.2.6.3
Note that Cl 45.2.1.138.1 should be combined with 45.2.1.138 per IEEE Style guide (no
single subclauses). Likewise 45.2.1.139 and 45.2.1.139.1 should be combined.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Changed pg to 228 fm 229

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.2.1.1**   *P* **228**   *L* **44**   # 3536
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**   102.2.1.1
From weekely conference call review notes:
Cross reference to Clause 45 should be removed/changed.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See Cmt# 3416

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.2.3.1.4**   *P* **235**   *L* **23**   # 3352
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**
Change per remein_3bn_15_0515.pdf
(on behalf of P Anslow, see anslow_3bn_01_0515.pdf)

SuggestedRemedy
per comment

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Change to pg 235 fm 23

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.2.3.1.4**   *P* **235**   *L* **3**   # 3401
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**
Several instance of LocalTS_ctr should be LocalTS

SuggestedRemedy
Globally replace LocalTS_ctr with LocalTS

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **102**
SC **102.2.3.1.4**

Page 33 of 49
5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.2.3.2**   *P* **235**   *L* **53**   # 3371

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**   *PICS*

Fm pg 263 ln 53: "EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication); we need to define
a minimum time of 2.5 ms between the EPCH message and the beginning of the Probe
Period."

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the Ed Note
At pg 263 ln 52 add
"The CNU shall decode and be capable of acting on EPoC Probe Control Header
instructions included in a downstream PHY Link frame within 2.5 ms."

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.2.3.3**   *P* **237**   *L* **24**   # 3402

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**

DS requirement is duplicate pg 234 ln 39 & 237 ln 24
"The CLT shall only transmit the valid values of the PHY DA and OPCODE fields as given
in Table 102-8, and Table 102-10 respectively."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:
"The CLT shall only transmit the valid OPCODE field values as given in Table 102-10."

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.2.5**   *P* **238**   *L* **37**   # 3370

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): We might want to consider creating a
variable that the CNU can pass to the CLT to indicate what it's min response time is if it
can be shorter than this. For example:
US_PlnkRspTm
TYPE: 16-bit integer
This read only variable indicates the PHYs minimum response time to a downstream PHY
Link instruction in units of 16/204.8 MHz. The maximum value for this variable is 61440
(4.8 ms).
A complementary register may be defined in Cl 45.
Define variable per note, add to Cl 45

SuggestedRemedy

Add variable definition in 102.2.6.3
PhyLinkRspTm
TYPE: 16-bit integer
This read only variable indicates the PHYs minimum response time to a downstream PHY
Link instruction in units of 16/204.8 MHz. The maximum value for this variable is 61440
(4.8 ms) which is also the default value for this variable.

Add Row in Table 102-3
PHY Link response time | PHY Link response time | 1.1947.15:0 | PhyLinkRspTm | 47 |
15:0

Add new Cl 45 Register
Add Row to Table 45-3
1.1947 | PHY Link response time | 45.2.1.160

Add new SCl:
45.2.1.160 PHY Link response time register (Register 1.1947)
The assignment of bits in the PHY Link response register is shown in Table 45-98ad.
These bits indicate the time required by a CNU to respond to an EPoC Message Block
received on the PHY Link and are a reflection of the PhyLinkRspTm defined in 102.2.6.3.
Table 45-98ad PHY Link response time register bit definitions
Bit(s) | Name | Description | R/Wa
1.1946.15:0 | PHY Link response time | Time required by a CNU to respond to an EPoC
Message Block | RO
aRO = Read only

At pg line 35 change:
"The CNU shall decode and be capable of acting on instructions included in a downstream
PHY Link frame within 4.8 ms."
To:
The CNU shall decode and be capable of acting on EPoC Message Block instructions
included in a downstream PHY Link frame within 4.8 ms."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **102**   Page 34 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **102.2.5**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

At 138 line 37 replace the Ed. Note with the following:
"The CNU may indicate it is capable of a shorter response time to a downstream EPoC
Message Block by setting the PhyLinkRspTm to a value of less than 61440 (4.8 ms).

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102** *SC* **102.2.6.2**   *P* **240** *L* **10**   *#* 3407

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

 LocalTS is not directly visible to "Layer Management" so the following statement is false by
 definition:
 "Changing the value of this variable while running using Layer Management is highly
 undesirable and is unspecified."
 However PhyTimingOffset is and cautions concerning this issue have been addressed in
 another comment (Cl 102.4.1.7.2 pg 255 line 2) that formally defines that variable.

SuggestedRemedy

 Strike "Changing the value of this variable while running using Layer Management is highly
 undesirable and is unspecified."

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102** *SC* **102.3.1.1**   *P* **244** *L* **7**   *#* 3410

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

 Clause 45 ref.
 "... per the US_PHyLinkStrt variable (see US PHY Link Start, 45.2.1.139) ..."

SuggestedRemedy

 change to:
 "... per the US_PHyLinkStrt variable (see 102.3.5.3) ..."

 Add to 102.3.5.3
 US_PHyLinkStrt
 TYPE: 12-bit unsigned integer
 This variable indicates the starting subcarrier of the upstream 10GPASS-XR PHY Link. It
 specifies the lowest frequency subcarrier of the upstream PHY Link used to carry PHY Link
 information bits.

 In 45.2.1.139.1 change {ref} to 102.3.5.3.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Per comment
 Globally replace US_PHyLinkStrt with US_PhyLinkStrt

Cl **102** *SC* **102.3.1.2**   *P* **244** *L* **14**   *#* 3403

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**   *PICS*

 Nowhere do we specify where the US PHY Link modulation is set, only that it is limited to
 those type listed in Table 100-2.
 "The upstream PHY Link shall use any of the modulation formats listed under PHY Link
 CNU Tx/CLT Rx in Table 100-2."

SuggestedRemedy

 Change to read:
 "The upstream PHY Link shall use any of the modulation formats listed under PHY Link
 CNU Tx/CLT Rx in Table 100-2 and is set using the US_PhyLnkMod variable."

 In 102.3.5.3 add:
 US_PhyLnkMod
 TYPE: 4 bit integer
 This variable sets the type of modulation used for the upstream PHY Link. The assignment
 of bits to each modulation type is shown below.
 bit 3 2 1 0
  1 x x x = reserved
  0 1 1 1 = 128-QAM
  0 1 1 0 = 64-QAM
  0 1 0 1 = 32-QAM
  0 1 0 0 = 16-QAM
  0 0 1 1 = 8-QAM
  0 0 1 0 = reserved
  0 0 0 1 = BPSK
  0 0 0 0 = reserved

 In Table 102-3 add:
 US PHY Link Modulation | US PHY Link control | 1.1912.15:12 | US_PhyLnkMod | 12 |
 15:12

 In Cl 45.2.1.139 US PHY Link control register (Register 1.1912)
 In table 45-98i change:
 1.1912.15:12 | Reserved | Ignore on read | RO
 to:
 1.1912.15:12 | US PHY Link Modulation | US PHY Link modulation type | R/W

 Add:
 45.2.1.138.1 US PHY Link Modulation (1.1912.15:12)
 Bits 1.1912.15:12 are used to set the modulation type of the US PHY Link. These bits are
 a reflection of the US_PhyLnkMod variable defined in 102.3.5.3.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Use US_PhyLinkMod instead of US_PhyLnkMod

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **102**   Page 35 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **102.3.1.2**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.3.3**   *P* **246**   *L* **8**   # 3404
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

Incomplete ref:
described in 102.1.4.2.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:
102.1.4.1.1 and 102.1.4.2.1

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.4.1.5**   *P* **253**   *L* **48**   # 3405
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

improper Figure Ref.
"illustrated in 102-22."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:
"illustrated in Figure 102-22."

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.4.1.6**   *P* **254**   *L* **16**   # 3384
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *CNU_ID_Alloc*

Enhancements to CNI_ID allocation.
1)include text about AssgndCNU_ID (in same index as AllwdCNU_ID) here in the
discussion of CNU_ID allocation message and explain how AssgndCNU_ID and
AllwdCNU_ID are used at CNU.
2) Explain how PhyPowerOffset is used at CNU
3) Add AssgndCNU_ID definition (see Sug Rem in my comment pg 264 ln 12)
4) Add formal definition for PHYPowerOffset

SuggestedRemedy

See remein_3bn_10_0515.pdf (also in framemaker)

See related comment on SCl 102.4.3 pg 264 ln 12 suggested topic CNU_ID_Alloc

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
See related comment # 3417

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.4.1.6**   *P* **254**   *L* **42**   # 3406
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **TR**   *Comment Status* **D**

Undefined variable RangingOffset.
"When the CNU receives the PhyTimingOffset variable it shall add the new value of
PhyTimingOffset to the RangingOffset."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read:
"When the CNU receives the PhyTimingOffset variable it shall add the new value of
PhyTimingOffset to the LocalTS."

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.4.1.7.2**   *P* **255**   *L* **2**   # 3420
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

PhyTimingOffset, and PhyPowerOffset not formally defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to 102.4.1.7.2 Variables
PhyPowerOffset
TYPE: signed 8-bit integer
This variable is used to set the CNU upstream transmitter power by specifying the relative
change, in units of 1/4 dB, the CNU is to make in order that transmissions arrive at the CLT
at the desired power level. Changing the value of this variable while running using
Management is highly undesirable and is unspecified.

PhyTimingOffset
TYPE: signed 32-bit integer
This variable is used to align the CNU to the upstream OFDM timing. PhyTimingOffset is in
units of 1/204.8 MHz and a negative value causes the timing of the CNU transmissions to
be delayed. Changing the value of this variable while running using Management is highly
undesirable and is unspecified.

Update reference in 45.2.1.120 & 45.2.1.121 pg 49 ln 2 & 23

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **102**
SC **102.4.1.7.2**

Page 36 of 49
5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.4.3**   *P* **264**   *L* **12**   # 3434
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *TxEnable PICS Rev*

Assuming we change TxEnable to PD_Enable the new variable does not fully describe the
state of link-up ready.
Create a new variable for this:

SuggestedRemedy

Create new variable LinkUpRdy.
Change at Pg 264 ln 11"
"Once the CLT has verified the CNU is in the link-up status by reading the TxEnable
variable as TRUE it may set ."
To:
"Once the CLT has verified the CNU is in the link-up status by reading the Variable listed in
the Link-Up column of Table 102-3 it shall set the LinUpRdy variable to TRUE and it may
set ."

Pg 266 ln 17 change:
"to be link-down
and set both PhyDiscComplete and TxEnable to FALSE"
to:
"to be link-down
and sets LinkUpRdy, PhyDiscComplete and PD_Enable to FALSE"

at Pg 267 ln 4 and pg 267 ln 9 change:
"It may further force the CNU to reassess its' readiness for participation on the network by
setting TxEnable to FALSE."
To:
"It may further force the CNU to reassess its' readiness for participation on the network by
setting PD_Enable and LinkUpRdy to FALSE.

Add Row to Table 102-13:
LinkUpRdy | | 0 | 10 | (blank)| T

Add definition in 102.4.1.7.2
LinkUpRdy
TYPE: Boolean
This Boolean variable is set to TRUE by the CLT when it has verified all of the variables
required for Link-Up state in Table 102-13. The variable is set to FALSE on reset or as
describe in 102.4.4.

SCl 45.2.1.131 Pg 37 Ln 47 change table 98a as follows:
Add 1.1900.10 | Link Up Ready | The CNU is ready to enter the Link-Up state. | RW
Change 1.1900:15:10 to 1.1900:15:11
Add SCl 45.2.1.131.1 to read: "Link Up Ready (1.1900:10)"
"Bit 1.1900.10 indicates that the CNU is ready for the link-up state. This bit is a reflection of
the LinkUpRdy variable defined in 102.4.1.7.2."
Add row to Table 102-3:

Link Up Ready | 10GPASS-XR control | 1.1900:10 | LinkUpRdy | 0 | 10

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.4.3**   *P* **264**   *L* **12**   # 3417
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *CNU_ID_Alloc*

AssgndCNU_ID not formally defined, remove Cl 45 ref "(see 45.2.1.141)

SuggestedRemedy

Change
"(see 45.2.1.141)"
to
"(see 102.4.3.3)

New definition included in remein_3bn_10_0515.pdf:
AssgndCNU_ID
TYPE: boolean
The value of this variable is used to indicate if the associated CNU_ID value has been
assigned to a CNU by the PHY. When the flag is set to a one the associated CNU_ID has
been assigned to a new CNU whereas when the flag is set to zero the associated CNU_ID
has not been assigned.

Update reference in 45.2.1.141.1 pg 47 ln 25 to 102.4.3.3

See related comment on SCI 102.4.1.6 pg 254 ln 16 suggested topic CNU_ID_Alloc

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.
See Cmt # 3384

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.4.3**   *P* **265**   *L* **49**   # 3431
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**
DS_PHY_LinkSync is not a required variable; if the PHY Link is not synchronized the rest
of the variables listed in Table 102-13 cannot be obtained.

SuggestedRemedy

remove row from table

Also remove Ed Note on pg 267 ln 1 (assuming OFDMA_ClkSync is defined).

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **102**   Page 37 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **102.4.3**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.4.4.1**   *P* **266**   *L* **25**   # 3357
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**
Clear non-controversial TBDs in Clause 102

SuggestedRemedy
pg ln Replace with
266 25 TBD(5?) -> 10 (48.8 ns, aligned with Leo's 50 ns alignment)

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **102**   *SC* **102.4.4.1**   *P* **266**   *L* **27**   # 3507
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*
After reviewing DOCSIS D3.1 MULPI I05, the CNU may be receiving the DS PHY Link, but not properly receiving one or more downstream channels properly.

Consider adding the following new row after DS PHY Link loss of frame:
Condition "DS Data FEC lost of lock". Description "After successfully decoding FEC codewords in a prior downstream frame, the PCS is unable to decode any FEC codeword in a downstream frame for 3 or more consecutive frames."

Line 50: delete editors note.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
This requriement seems a bit stringent to me. The way I read this is that if you have 3 unsuccessful FEC decodes in 15.72 ms (3 frames, with at least 1 failed FEC decode in each frame) you will declare link-down. How many clear frames to declare link-up? Would we want to be able to report this causal condition? If so need varaible and Cl 45 reg. (might want to add same for PHY Link loss of frame)

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.1**   *P* **271**   *L* **5**   # 3353
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**
Change Protocol to protocol (2x) and Coax to coax in this para.

SuggestedRemedy
Per comment

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.1**   *P* **272**   *L* **26**   # 3429
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*
Include a statement regarding similarities between Cl 77 & 64 with Cl 103 and a table that summarizes the major differences between Cl 103 defined items (variables, counters, functions etc.) and those of Cl 77.

SuggestedRemedy
At the end of Cl 103..1 add the following:
"The EPoC Multipoint MAC Control shares much in common with prior versions of this protocol defined in Clause 64 and Clause 77. There are a number of variables, constants and functions that are complementary to those defined for EPON Multipoint MAC Control but that are unique to EPoC. These are listed in Table 103-1."
Add Table 103-1 as shown in remein_3bn_17_0515.pdf pg 1

{see related comments on fecOffset pg 283 ln 27 and IdleGapCount pg 283 ln 37}

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.
See Related Cmt# 3429, 3363, & 3421

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.1.2**   *P* **274**   *L* **1**   # 3360
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**
Figure 103-2 should be nearly identical to Figure 101-1 and 100-1 but isn't

SuggestedRemedy
Copy Figure 101-1 to 103-1 changing highlighting and adding "CCDN" to abbreviation key at the bottom.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **103**   Page 38 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **103.1.2**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.2**   *P* **276**   *L* **40**   # 3362
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*

There are no substantive differences between Cl 103.2/103.2.1/103.2.1.1/103.2.2 and the corresponding subclauses of Cl 77. We should avoid duplication between these clauses where possible.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the text of 103.2 with the following:
"As depicted in Figure 103-3, the Multipoint MAC Control functional block contains functions very similar to those found in Clause 77. In EPoC the CLT replaces the OLT and the CNU replaces the ONU. Significant differences are noted in the following sections."

Replace the text of 103.2.1 with the following:
"The principle of Multipoint MAC Control is the same as those described in 77.2.1 for EPON."

Replace the text of 103.2.1.1 with the following:
"The ranging and timing processes for EPoC are the same as those described in 77.2.1.1 for EPON."

Replace the text of 103.2.2 with the following:
"The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON. Detailed differences are noted in the definitions below and in Figure 103-9 through Figure 103-14.

Remove Figure 103-4-"Round trip time calculation".
RETAIN Figure 103-5-"Multipoint Transmission Control service interfaces" through . Figure 103-14-"CNU Control Multiplexer state diagram".
RETAIN sections 103.2.2.1 "Constants" through 103.2.2.7 "State diagrams".

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Note: The keyword "shall" does not appear in the removed text.

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.2.2**   *P* **279**   *L* **41**   # 3422
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

Remove the following Editors Notes:
Pg Ln
279 41
282 53
285 25
287 7
287 42
292 52
294 1

SuggestedRemedy

Per Comment

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.2.2**   *P* **281**   *L* **2**   # 3409
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Figure 103-7 still has a carry-over from TDD - "transmitAllowed(n)"

SuggestedRemedy

Remove from:
Figure 103-7
Figure 103-13

Pg 285 ln 12 change
"This variable is used to control PDU transmission at the CNU and at the CLT and is defined in 64.2.2.3."
to
"This variable is used to control PDU transmission at the CNU and is defined in 64.2.2.3."

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **103**   Page 39 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **103.2.2**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.2.2.1**   *P* **282**   *L* **35**   *#* 3361
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

MAC_Control_type is defined in Cl 32 not 64.

SuggestedRemedy

Change ref from 64.2.2.1 to 31.4.1.3

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.2.2.1**   *P* **282**   *L* **48**   *#* 3358
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

tqSizeC is dependent on the data rate and cannot therefore be a constant.

SuggestedRemedy

Move this definition to 103.2.2.3
Change "constant" to "variable"
Strike "VALUE: TBD"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.2.2.3**   *P* **283**   *L* **16**   *#* 3427
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*

There are inconsistencies in how we are cross referencing variable in CL 103 when the
variable is previously defined in EPON. For example data_rx is defined 4 times in the draft.
Here the full definition is repeated and a cross reference provided to 64.2.2.3
Pg 299 ln 46 is simply cross referenced to 64.2.2.3
Pg 309 ln 49 is cross referenced to 103.2.2.3 as is the def on pg 314 ln 25.

SuggestedRemedy

For each variable that is identical to one defined in Cl 64 or 77:
For the 1st instance of the definition repeat the def and provide a cross reference to the
earliest definition.
For all subsequent definitions internally cross reference to the first definition in Cl 103.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.2.2.3**   *P* **283**   *L* **27**   *#* 3363
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*

There are several defined items in Cl 103.2.2.x that are different between EPoC and EPON
such as fecOffset. It would be a good idea to give these unique names.
This is true for:
Pg Ln Variable,
283 25 fecOffset,
284 10 OctetsRemaining,
284 36 ResetBound,
285 36 CheckGrantSize(length),
287 16 packet_initiate_timer,
314 31 effectiveLength,
316 17 rndDlyTmr,

SuggestedRemedy

Globally change:
fecOffset -> fecOffsetC (15 instances)
OctetsRemaining -> OctetsRemainingC (3 instances)
ResetBound -> ResetBoundC (4 instances)
CheckGrantSize -> CheckGrantSizeC (3 instances)
packet_initiate_timer -> packet_initiate_timerC (6 instances)
effectiveLength -> effectiveLengthC (5 instances)
rndDlyTmr -> rndDlyTmrC (3 instances)

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
See Related Cmt# 3429, 3363, & 3421

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **103**   Page 40 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **103.2.2.3**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.2.2.3**   *P* **283**   *L* **37**   *#* 3421

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

There are several defined item in Cl Cl 103.2.2.x that are identical to items defined
elsewhere for EPON. For example IdleGapCount definition is identical to that in Cl 77.2.2.3.
This is true for:
Pg Ln Variable (xRef)
283 35 IdleGapCount (Cl 77.2.2.3),
284 41 RTT (cl 64.2.2.3),
285 33 Opcode-specific function(opcode) (Cl 64.3.5.5),
286 43 select() (Cl 64.2.2.4),
286 48 SelectFrame() (Cl 64.2.2.4),
287 1 sizeof(sdu) (Cl 64.2.2.4),
300 26 pendingGrants (64.3.3.2),
310 3 mpcp_timeout (64.3.4.2),
310 14 report_timeout (64.3.4.2),
310 27 report_periodic_timer (64.3.4.4),
313 28 max_future_grant_time (64.3.5.1),
314 12 currentGrant (64.3.5.2),
314 36 gate_timeout (64.3.5.2),
314 41 grantList (64.3.5.2),
314 53 maxDelay (64.3.5.2),
315 8 nextGrant (64.3.5.2),
315 14 nextStopTime (64.3.5.2),
315 33 empty(list) (64.3.5.3),
315 36 InsertInOrder(sorted_list, inserted_element) (64.3.5.3),
315 42 IsBroadcast(grant) (64.3.5.3),
315 47 PeekHead(sorted_list) (64.3.5.3),
315 51 Random(r) (64.3.5.3),
316 1 RemoveHead(sorted_list) (64.3.5.3),
316 7 gntStTmr   (64.3.5.4),
316 11 gate_periodic_timer   (64.3.5.4)

SuggestedRemedy

Add to the descriptions: "as described in xxx" replacing xxx with the appropriate ref.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
See Related Cmt# 3429, 3363, & 3421

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.2.2.4**   *P* **285**   *L* **36**   *#* 3356

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

The pseudo code for CheckGrantSize() should be in quasi "C"

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the pseudo code for CheckGrantSize() with that in remein_3bn_16_0515.pdf.
Remove Editors note pg 286 ln 17.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.3**   *P* **294**   *L* **3**   *#* 3423

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*

There are no substantive differences between Cl
103.3/103.3.1/103.3.2/103.3.2.x/103.3.3/103.3.4 and the corresponding subclauses of Cl
77, with some exceptions. We should avoid duplication between these clauses where
possible.

SuggestedRemedy

See remein_3bn_17_0515.pdf pg 3-5

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Correct file name is remein_3bn_18_0515.pdf

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.3.2.4**   *P* **295**   *L* **42**   *#* 3372

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Given that this only applies to MAC Control and that time in PHY is seen as distance there
is no reason these TBDs cannot be the same as in EPON.
In cl 77 these two TBD's are both 1024 (i.e., 16.384 us).

SuggestedRemedy

Change both TBDs to 1024 (i.e., 16.384 us).

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **103**   Page 41 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **103.3.2.4**   5/12/2015 12:37:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.3.3**   *P* **298**   *L* **8**   *#* 3424
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

In numerous figures "RFOnTime" should be "rfOnTime"

SuggestedRemedy

Replace 19 instance of "RFOnTime" with "rfOnTime"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.3.3.2**   *P* **300**   *L* **26**   *#* 3425
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

The definition of pendingGrants is identical to that in 64.3.3.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Append to the description
"and is defined in 64.3.3.2."

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.3.3.2**   *P* **300**   *L* **35**   *#* 3508
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**

Change the description of the syncTime variable to "This variable holds the time required
to stabilize an EPON receiver at the OLT (see 76.3.2.5.3 and 77.3.3). The EPoC CLT
OFDMA receiver is synchronized and stablized during PHY Discovery and does not use a
synchronization preamble as part of the upstream burst (see 101.3.2.5.3). This variable is
present to maintain compatibility with the EPON MPCP.
<newline>
VALUE: 0

Line 44: delete Editors note.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Per commnet but last sentence to read:
"This variable is present to maintain compatibility with the EPON MPCP and always has a
value of zero in EPoC PHYs."

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.3.4**   *P* **294**   *L* **3**   *#* 3426
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*

There are no substantive differences between Cl
103.3/103.3.1/103.3.2.x/103.3.3/103.3.4/103.3.5/103.3.6 and the corresponding
subclauses of Cl 77, with some exceptions. We should avoid duplication between these
clauses where possible.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the text of 103.3 with the following:
"As depicted in Figure 103-3, the Multipoint MAC Control functional block comprises nearly
the same functions and layering system as that described in 77.3. In EPoC the CLT
replaces the OLT and the CNU replaces the ONU. Significant differences are noted in the
following sections.

103.3.1 Principles of Multipoint Control Protocol
The principles of the Multipoint Control Protocol are the same as those found in 77.3.1
except the EPoC system uses an Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access
(OFDMA) method in the upstream direction. In EPON the Multipoint Control Protocol allows
one and only one MAC is allowed to transmit at any given time. In EPoC the Multipoint
Control Protocol allows multiple MACs to transmit in any given time but coincident
transmitters are separated in frequency.

103.3.2 Compatibility considerations
103.3.2.1 PAUSE operation
See 77.3.2.1
103.3.2.2 Optional Shared LAN emulation
Optional Shared LAN emulation for EPoC is the same as described in 77.3.2.2 except the
specific behavior of the filtering layer at the RS is specified in 101.2.4.3.
103.3.2.3 Multicast and single copy broadcast support
Multicast and single copy broadcast support in EPoC is the same as described in 77.3.2.3
except the configuration of SCB channels as well as filtering and marking of frames for
support of SCB is defined in 101.2.4.3."

RETAIN the text of 103.3.2.4 Delay requirements as is.

Replace the text of 103.3.3 with the following:
"Discovery processing in the EPoC system is largely the same as in the EPON system with
the following exceptions. In the EPoC system CNUs that have not completed PHY
Discovery process (see 102.4.1) will not respond to Discovery GATE MPCPDUs. In the
EPoC coax cable distribution network only one upstream data rate is allowed for a given
configuration. The laserOnTime and laserOffTime parameters of EPON are replaced in
EPoC with rfOnTime and rfOffTime, respectively."

Remove Figure 103-15

Replace the text in 103.3.4 with the following:
"Report processing in EPoC is as described in 77.3.4."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **103**   Page 42 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **103.3.4**   5/12/2015 12:37:51 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Replace the text in 103.3.4 with the following:
"Gate processing in EPoC is as described in 77.3.4 with the exception being that EPoC used an RF transmitter rather than a laser."

Replace the text of 103.3.6 with the following:
"MPCPDU structure and encoding in EPoC is as described in 77.3.4 with the exceptions noted below."

Replace the text of 103.3.6.1 with the following:
"The GATE used in EPoC is the same as used for EPON with the following exceptions. In EPoC rfOnTime and rfOffTime replace laserOnTime and laserOffTime, respectively. The 16-bit Discovery Information register described in 77.3.6.1 is not used in EPoC; all bits in this register are reserved and ignored on reception."

Replace the text of 103.3.6.1 with the following:
"The REPORT description for EPoC is identical to that of EPON."

Replace the text of 103.3.6.2 with the following:
"

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See response to Cmt# 3423

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.3.5.2**   *P* **314**   *L* **1**   *#* 3359
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**
BurstOverhead definition needs to be aligned with EPoC burst overhead.
"This variable represents the burst overhead and equals the sum of rfOnTime, rfOffTime, syncTime and an additional {TBD} time_quanta to account for END_BURST_DELIMITER and two leading IDLE vectors of the payload. This variable is expressed in units of time_quanta."

SuggestedRemedy
Change to read:
"This variable represents the burst overhead and equals the sum of rfOnTime, rfOffTime, syncTime, Start Marker, End Marker and two leading IDLE vectors of the payload. This variable is expressed in units of time_quanta."

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.3.5.2**   *P* **315**   *L* **3**   *#* 3428
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **T**   *Comment Status*   **D**
In definition of macDelay REGISTER_REQ is incorrect in the following sentence: This delay is calculated such that the CNU would have sufficient time to transmit the REGISTER_REQ message and its associated overhead (FEC parity data, end-of-frame sequence, etc.) and terminate the RF before the end of the discovery grant.

SuggestedRemedy
Change REGISTER_REQ to REGISTER (as in 64.3.5.2 & 77.3.5.2)

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Cl 64 uses REGISTER while Cl 77 uses REGISTER_REQ. Leave wording as is. Enusure any crossreference is tagged to Cl 77.3.5.2.

Cl **103**   *SC* **103.3.5.6**   *P* **318**   *L* **51**   *#* 3373
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **E**   *Comment Status*   **D**
"EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): the figure above "Gate Processing CNU Programing state diagram" will require modification if sub-clause 10x.4 "Discovery Process in dual-rate systems" is removed."
However some ONUs are single rate (10G) and this SD seems to work fine for them. Therefore I must conclude that it works fine even with the removal of 10x.4 Discovery Process in dual-rate systems.

SuggestedRemedy
Remove the Ed Note.

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **45**   *SC* **45.2**   *P* **27**   *L* **5**   *#* 3452
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **E**   *Comment Status*   **D**
Remove Ed Note and Table 45-0

SuggestedRemedy
per comment

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **45**   Page 43 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **45.2**   5/12/2015 12:37:51 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **45**   *SC* **45.2**   *P* **29**   *L* **33**   *#* 3350

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

Change per remein_3bn_13_0515.pdf
(on behalf of P Anslow, see anslow_3bn_01_0515.pdf)

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **45**   *SC* **45.2.1.131**   *P* **37**   *L* **50**   *#* 3453

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **T**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*

The description of CRC40 Errors in Table 45-98a does not match the behavior described in
the accompanying text.
1 = CRC40 Errored frames are passed to the MAC layer without error indication
0 = CRC40 Errored frames are passed to the MAC layer using an error indication

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:
1 = CRC40 Errored frames are passed with all sync headers set to <1,1>
0 = CRC40 Errored frames are passed with some sync headers set to <1,1>

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **45**   *SC* **45.2.1.134**   *P* **42**   *L* **6**   *#* 3554

Kliger, Avi   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**   *Rev*

Some entries have range of values and corresponding bit mapping, some do not

SuggestedRemedy

Add values and bit mapping to RB size and Rnd

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Rnd is an 8-bit integer and would not normally be "mapped"
For RB size change description to:
1 = 16 OFDMA symbols in the upstream OFDMA Resource Block
0 = 8 OFDMA symbols in the upstream OFDMA Resource Block

Perform a similar change for:
1.1901.15 CLT tx mute
1.1910.11 US copy in process
1.1910.10 US profile copy
1.1910.3 DS copy in process
1.1910.2 DS profile copy
12.10240.3 MER measurement
valid

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **45**   Page 44 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **45.2.1.134**   5/12/2015 12:37:51 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **45**   *SC* **45.2.1.136**   *P* **43**   *L* **1**   *#* 3365

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**

Pg 201 line 8: EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): the above definition are essentially copies from Cl 45.2.1.112. Recommend keeping this and referencing this from Cl 45.

SuggestedRemedy

Change subclauses 45.2.1.136.2 and 45.2.1.136.4 from:
45.2.1.136.2 Type 2 Start (1.1909.11:8)
Register bits 1.1909.11 through 1.1909.8 indicate the number, as a integer between 0 and 15, of the first subcarrier designated as a Type 2 Pilot. These register bits are a reflection of the variable Type2_Start defined in 101.4.3.7.1.
45.2.1.136.4 Type 1 Start (1.1909.3:0)
Register bits 1.1909.3 through 1.1909.0 indicate the number, as a integer between 0 and 15, of the first subcarrier designated as a Type 1 Pilot. These register bits are a reflection of the variable Type1_Start defined in 101.4.3.7.1.
To:
Type 2 Start (1.1909.11:8)
Bits 1.1909.11:8 indicate the number of the first subcarrier designated as a Type 2 Pilot. These bits are a reflection of the variable Type2_Start defined in 101.4.3.7.1.
Type 1 Start (1.1909.3:0)
Bits 1.1909.3:0 indicate the number of the first subcarrier designated as a Type 1 Pilot. These bits are a reflection of the variable Type1_Start defined in 101.4.3.7.1.

Remove the Ed Note pg 201 ln 8

Pg 201 ln5: Typo - in line 5 "Type 1Start" s/b "Type2Start"

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **45**   *SC* **45.2.1.137.4**   *P* **45**   *L* **18**   *#* 3439

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E**   *Comment Status* **D**   *PICS*

From Pg 158 ln 48: EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): the above definitions were copied from those in Cl 45. We should probably keep these are reference them from Cl 45 rather than keep both.
Modify the definition in Cl 45.

SuggestedRemedy

Pg 45 ln 21 Change
Change 45.2.1.137.1 US copy in process (1.1910.3) from:
"When read as a one bit 1.1910.3 indicates that a copy of the currently active upstream profile to the inactive profile is in process. Note that while this variable has a value of one writes to all upstream profile variables shall be ignored and switching between profiles is prohibited. This register bit is a reflection of the variable US_CpyInP defined in 101.4.1.1.1.
To:
"When read as a one, bit 1.1910.11 indicates that a copy of the currently active upstream profile to the inactive profile is in process, writes to all upstream profile variables are ignored, and switching between profiles is prohibited. This bit is a reflection of the variable US_CpyInP defined in 101.4.1.1.1."

Change 45.2.1.137.2 US profile copy (1.1910.2) from:
"When bit 1.1910.2 is set to one a copy of the currently active upstream profile to the inactive profile is initiated. Once initiated this action continues to completion (i.e., it cannot be interrupted or aborted once initiated). These register bits are a reflection of the variable US_PrflCpy defined in 101.4.1.1.1.
To:
"When bit 1.1910.10 is set to one, a copy of the currently active upstream profile to the inactive profile is initiated and will continue to completion. This bit is a reflection of the variable US_PrflCpy defined in 101.4.1.1.1."

Change 45.2.1.137.4 DS copy in process (1.1910.3) from:
"When read as a one bit 1.1910.3 indicates that a copy of the currently active downstream profile to the inactive profile is in process. Note that while this variable has a value of one writes to all upstream profile variables shall be ignored and switching between profiles is prohibited. This register bit is a reflection of the variable DS_CpyInP defined in 101.4.1.1.1."
To:
"When read as a one, bit 1.1910.3 indicates that a copy of the currently active downstream profile to the inactive profile is in process, writes to all upstream profile variables are ignored, and switching between profiles is prohibited. This bit is a reflection of the variable DS_CpyInP defined in 101.4.1.1.1.

Change 45.2.1.137.5 DS profile copy (1.1910.2) from:
"When bit 1.1910.2 is set to one a copy of the currently active downstream profile to the inactive profile is initiated. Once initiated this action continues to completion (i.e., it cannot be interrupted or aborted once initiated). These register bits are a reflection of the variable UDS_PrflCpy defined in 101.4.1.1.1."
To:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **45**   Page 45 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **45.2.1.137.4**   5/12/2015 12:37:51 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

"When bit 1.1910.2 is set to one, a copy of the currently active downstream profile to the inactive profile is initiated and will continue to completion. This bit is a reflection of the variable UDS_PrflCpy defined in 101.4.1.1.1."

Remove the Ed Note pg 158 ln 48

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **45** *SC* **45.2.7a.2.1**   *P* **56**   *L* **21**   # 3383
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **D**
 This statement made sense when the bit definition was in Reg 12.1.3:0 but now that the
 enumeration is in Cl 101 it doesn't.
 "See registers 12.1.3 through 12.1.0 for interpretation of individual bits."

SuggestedRemedy
 Strike the statement in 4 places in 45.2.7a.2.x
 Strike the similar phrase in 3 places in 45.2.7a.3.x

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **45** *SC* **45.2.7a.4**   *P* **58**   *L* **12**   # 3366
Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **D**
 Pg 208 line 13: "EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): the above definition
 are essentially copies from Cl 45.2.7a.3. Recommend keeping this and referencing this
 from Cl 45."

SuggestedRemedy
 Change 45.2.7a.4.1 and 45.2.7a.4.2 from:
 45.2.7a.4.1 Real pre-equalizer coefficient SC(0) (12.2048.15:0)
 Register bits 12.2048.15 through 12.2048.0 specify the real part of the pre-equalizer
 coefficient for subcarrier 0 for the US OFDMA channel. The number is a Q2.14 format
 signed fractional number. This register is a reflection of the variable EQ_CoefR(0) defined
 in 101.4.3.11.2.
 45.2.7a.4.2 Imaginary pre-equalizer coefficient SC(0) (12.2049.15:0)
 Register bits 12.2049.15 through 12.2049.0 specify the imaginary part of the pre-equalizer
 coefficient for subcarrier 0 for the US OFDMA channel. The number is a Q2.14 format
 signed fractional number. This register is a reflection of the variable EQ_CoefI(0) defined in
 101.4.3.11.2.
 To:
 45.2.7a.4.1 Real pre-equalizer coefficient SC(0) (12.2048.15:0)
 Register bits 12.2048.15 through 12.2048.0 specify the real part of the pre-equalizer
 coefficient for subcarrier 0 for the US OFDMA channel. This register is a reflection of the
 variable EQ_CoefR(0) defined in 101.4.3.11.2.
 45.2.7a.4.2 Imaginary pre-equalizer coefficient SC(0) (12.2049.15:0)
 Register bits 12.2049.15 through 12.2049.0 specify the imaginary part of the pre-equalizer
 coefficient for subcarrier 0 for the US OFDMA channel. This register is a reflection of the
 variable EQ_CoefI(0) defined in 101.4.3.11.2.

 Removed Ed Note pg 208 ln 13

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **56** *SC*   *P* **69**   *L* **1**   # 3488
Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER** *Comment Status* **D**
 Delete the two last blank pages.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **56**
SC

Page 46 of 49
5/12/2015 12:37:51 PM

Cl **56**   *SC* **56.1**   *P* **63**   *L* **5**   # 3482

Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**

Add "of 56.1" after "first paragraph".
Delete "Change the third paragraph as shown below."

Line 26: add editing directive before third paragraph: "
"Change the last paragraph of 56.1 as follows:"

Line 25. Make the reference to Figure 56-4a a cross reference.

Line 29: Make all references to Clause 100-103 cross references.

Line 38: make ref to CL 100 a cross reference.

Line 43: change "a new paragraph" to "two new paragraphs"

Line 50: lower case words before "(ODN)"

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **56**   *SC* **56.1.2.1**   *P* **64**   *L* **17**   # 3483

Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**

Change "PR-type" to "XR-type" in PMD box., Same for Line 41.

Line 49, insert "CCDN coax cable distribution network" before CLT line.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **56**   *SC* **56.1.2.1**   *P* **65**   *L* **4**   # 3484

Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**

Add cross ref for Clause 103.

Line 7, add cross ref for Figure 56-4a

Line 18, add cross ref for Clause 76 and Clause 101

Same for line 28.

Line 37-38, add cross refs for Clauses 100-103.

Line 40, delete "(as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bk-2013)"

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **56**   *SC* **56.1.3**   *P* **62**   *L* **18**   # 3485

Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**

In Table 56-1, change tag to XREF for all "60" and "75".

Change references to "100" to cross references.

SuggestedRemedy

Changed color to forest green as a remedy.

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change character Tag to "External" and the color wil be set appropriately.

Cl **56**   *SC* **56.1.3**   *P* **63**   *L* **30**   # 3489

Laubach, Mark   Broadcom

Comment Type **ER**   *Comment Status* **D**

Change editing directive "Change Table 56-3 as follows" to "Change Table 56-3 as follows
to add the four right most new columns for Clauses 100, 101, 102, and 103.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response   *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **56**   Page 47 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **56.1.3**   5/12/2015 12:37:51 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **56**　　*SC* **56.1.3**　　　　　　*P* **67**　　*L* **27**　　　# 3486
Laubach, Mark　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type　**ER**　　*Comment Status*　**D**
In editing directive, delete "(as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bk-2013)"

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response　　*Response Status*　**W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **67**　　*SC* **67.2.3a**　　　　　*P* **72**　　*L* **17**　　　# 3491
Laubach, Mark　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type　**T**　　*Comment Status*　**D**
Delete subclause 67.2.3a and the following italized text on linse 18-20. No example topologies have been accepted by TF consensus.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response　　*Response Status*　**W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **67**　　*SC* **67.6,1**　　　　　　*P* **72**　　*L* **25**　　　# 3490
Laubach, Mark　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type　**ER**　　*Comment Status*　**D**
Change editing directive to: "Change the second paragraph of 67.6.1 as follows:"

Line 37: Change editing directive to: "Change the first paragraph of 67.6.3 as follows:"

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response　　*Response Status*　**W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **67**　　*SC* **67.6.1**　　　　　　*P* **72**　　*L* **28**　　　# 3524
Laubach, Mark　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type　**ER**　　*Comment Status*　**D**
Remove editors note, no longer relevant.

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response　　*Response Status*　**W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **76**　　*SC* **76**　　　　　　　*P* **73**　　*L* **3**　　　# 3492
Laubach, Mark　　　　　　　　　　Broadcom

Comment Type　**ER**　　*Comment Status*　**D**
Insert subclause titles before editing directive:
76.2 Reconciliation Sublayer (RS) for 10G-EPON
76.2.6 Mapping of XGMII and GMII signals to PLS service primitives
76.2.6.1 Functional specifications for multiple MACs
76.2.6.1.3 RS Receive function
76.2.6.1.3.2 LLID

SuggestedRemedy
Note that an H6 is not in the current template, left as text.

Proposed Response　　*Response Status*　**W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **99**　　*SC* **n/a**　　　　　　　*P* **1**　　*L* **1**　　　# 3449
Remein, Duane　　　　　　　　　　Huawei

Comment Type　**E**　　*Comment Status*　**D**
Change Front Matter per remein_3bn_11_0515.pdf
(on behalf of P. Anslow, see anslow_3bn_01_0515.pdf)

SuggestedRemedy
per comment

Proposed Response　　*Response Status*　**W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **99**
SC **n/a**

Page 48 of 49
5/12/2015 12:37:51 PM

Cl **99**   *SC* **n/a**   *P* **2**   *L* **1**   # 3450

Remein, Duane   Huawei

Comment Type   **ER**   *Comment Status*   **D**

Update abstract text & keywords list and update project description on pg 4 line 49.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace [abstract text] with:
"defines physical layer specifications and management parameters for the operation of
Ethernet Passive Optical Networks (EPON) Protocol over coaxial media.

Replace [keywords list] with:
"Ethernet Passive Optical Networks (EPON), EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC), Multi-
Point MAC Control (MPMC), orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM), Physical
Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Media Attachment (PMA), Physical Medium Dependent
(PMD), PON, Point to Multipoint (P2MP), Reconciliation Sublayer (RS)"

Replace:
"This amendment adds the physical layer specifications and management parameters for
the operation of EPON Protocol over coaxial media. [complete]"
with
"This amendment adds physical layer specifications and management parameters for
symmetric and/or asymmetric operation of up to 10 Gb/s on point-to-multipoint Radio
Frequency (RF) distribution plants comprising either amplified or passive coaxial media. It
also extends the operation of Ethernet Passive Optical Networks (EPON) protocols, such
as Multipoint Control Protocol (MPCP) and Operation Administration and Management
(OAM)."
(copied from PAR)

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general   *Cl* **99**   Page 49 of 49
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn   *SC* **n/a**   5/12/2015 12:37:51 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line