RF Spectrum Ad Hoc – Minutes July 2, 2013

Provided the IEEE-SA Patent Policy link. Everyone on the call was familiar with the patent policy.

https://development.standards.ieee.org/myproject/Public/mytools/mob/slideset.pdf

Everyone on the call was familiar with the IEEE patent policy.

Reviewed eStraw Poll results

- C: Two bands make sense since it may be more practical
- C: Agree with Matt Schmitt's comment
- C: If we have a very wide band, it should not be required to support the entire band.
- C: This is like saying our EPON later cover a very wide range of wavelengths. This seems expensive.
- C: I seen markets for two TDD bands, a low band and a high band, and the equipment would only be required to support one of the bands.
- C: There would be a PMD for each band. Vendors could support one or both of the bands.
- C: Does having two PMDs require a change to the objectives? We may need to change that.
- C: After the discussion I would have voted "Either the lower or the upper" on eStraw Poll #18.

Lower TDD Band

Q: As you get lower in the band how does that impact the PHY?

A: You start to cover a number of octaves. I do not see this as a real problem

A: There is a lot of noise between 5 and 15 MHz and a lot of group delay due to lightning protection. It might be better to use a better part of the spectrum. In DOCSIS today, 5 to 15 MHz is not used.

A: It is possible to start at 15 MHz we would avoid the noise issue. A lower order modulation is possible, but it makes things more complex.

A: Leaning toward starting at 15 MHz

A: Today it is used for some low-order QAM set top returns

C: The noise is due to florescent lights, vacuum cleaners, etc. This will likely also be noisy in a passive network.

C: There is not the problem with the diplexer like you have in an active network.

C: To support 5 to 15 MHz you need to back-off on your signal and a larger CP to deal with group delay. That larger CP will cost you efficiency for the entire channel.

C: You will not have the diplex filter so there may not be as much group delay.

C: There are some circuits for power insertion and lightning protection which will cause some group delay issues.

C: In a passive cable plant this 10 MHz of spectrum is not that scarce, as it in in the upstream of an FDD network.

Straw Poll

Which frequency do you prefer for the lower band edge of the low-frequency TDD band?

- 5 MHz 2
- 15 MHz 4
- Other 0
- Abstain 2

C: The standard should not limit the vendor, so I voted for 5 MHz.

C: Would really like to see our LDPC performance to see if we could utilize the 5-15 MHz spectrum.

Upper Band Edge

- 207 MHz (this is 15 + 192)
- 234 MHz (consensus FDD US upper band edge)
- Other

C: Traditional FDD cable networks are 5-42 MHz, if you move the diplexer and keep everything from 5-42 untouched and add 192 MHz you get 234 MHz

C: Mark made a presentation a few weeks ago on FDD, and it included a survey on inputs received.

C: Not ready to decide this, other than to have at least 192 MHz

C: Tend to like the value of 234 MHz

Straw Poll

Which frequency do you prefer for the upper band edge of the low-frequency TDD band?

207 MHz 0
234 MHz 4
Other 0
Abstain 4

Decided to not take eStraw Polls this week since it will likely be some of the same people voting and this week there is a holiday.

Upper TDD Band

Reference

http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/bn/public/oct12/yao 01 1012.pdf

Lower Band Edge

- 860 MHz
- •

Upper Band Edge

- 1500 MHz
- 1600 MHz
- 1700 MHz
- 1800 MHz

C: If there is a broadcast system below this, there is standard equipment that is 750, 860 and 1002 plant bandwidths

C: This frequency is right above the HiNOC frequencies. So there are probably diplexers below the HiNOC frequencies.

C: If there is a system that is TDD and there are two diplexers (CNU and CLT) you can probably go down to 15% transition bandwidth, since there are no actives in-between.

C: In the US at least one MSO is looking into the upper TDD band, and will research it and bring in some recommended numbers

C: Some upper band edges of 1500 and 1600 MHz are being discussed with new Taps.

Attendance

Person	Affiliation
Jim Farmer	Aurora Networks
Marek Hajduczenia	ZTE
Mark Laubach	Broadcom
Leo Montreuil	Broadcom
Michael Peters	Sumitomo Electric
Duane Remein	Huawei
Steve Shellhammer	Qualcomm
Joe Solomon	Comcast