

IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.1.1 P 19 L 52 # 115  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D** Cabling  
 Reference to ISO/IEC 11801:1995.  
 In other parts of Clause 33 we refer to ISO/IEC 11801:2002 for channel parameters.  
 ISO/IEC 11801:1995 has been withdrawn by ISO.  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Change ISO/IEC 11801:1995 to ISO/IEC 11801:2002  
*Proposed Response* Response Status **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.1.4 P 22 L 10 # 111  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D** Cabling  
 Table 33-1 lists the "Channel Pair-set maximum DC loop resistance" parameter name as "Rchan".  
 This is not correct, Rchan is the actual DC loop resistance in a system.  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 What is meant is Rch. In 802.3-2012 this parameter was also called Rch.  
 Replace Rchan by Rch.  
*Proposed Response* Response Status **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.1.4 P 22 L 15-1 # 116  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D** Cabling  
 Reference to ISO/IEC 11801:1995.  
 In other parts of Clause 33 we refer to ISO/IEC 11801:2002 for channel parameters.  
 ISO/IEC 11801:1995 has been withdrawn by ISO.  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Change ISO/IEC 11801:1995 to ISO/IEC 11801:2002  
*Proposed Response* Response Status **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.1.4 P 22 L 22 # 4  
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon  
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D** Cabling  
 Clarify type of unbalance (i.e. resistance or current)  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Replace "inter-pair unbalance" with "inter-pair resistance unbalance"  
*Proposed Response* Response Status **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 OBE by comment #50.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.1.4 P 22 L 22 # 50  
 Beia, Christian STMicroelectronics  
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **D** Cabling  
 Note1 after able 33-1 refers to Annex 33A inaccurately. It is about channel pair to pair resistance unbalance, not about inter-pair unbalance  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Replace:  
 See informative annex 33A for inter-pair unbalance.  
 With:  
 See informative annex 33A for Channel pair to pair resistance unbalance.  
*Proposed Response* Response Status **W**  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.1.4 P 22 L 23 # 12  
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Cabling

Comment number 2 below Table 33-1.  
 The comment is correct for Type 3 and 4 but yet it is referring to Type 3 only.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "In Type 3, 60W operation, the current..... See details in section TBD"

To:  
 "In Type 3 and 4 operation, the current..... See details in Table 33-11 item 4a"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.1.4 P 22 L 23 # 113  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling

Footnote 2 below Table 33-1  
 "In Type 3, 60W Operation, the current per pair-set might be impacted by pair to pair system resistance unbalance."  
 Better to refer to class.

SuggestedRemedy

"In Type 3, Class 6 Operation, the current per pair-set might be impacted by pair to pair system resistance unbalance."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

OBE by comment #12.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.1.4.1 P 22 L 41 # 140  
 Jones, Chad Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status D Cabling

Maintenance WG Ballot comment #59 on behalf of GEOFF THOMPSON, GRACASI S.A./LINEAR TECHNOLOGY

(through line 6, i.e. the first paragraph of 33.1.4.1)

Simplify the first paragraph by updating the reference to the 2002 version of 11801 which incorporates the additional requirement.

SuggestedRemedy

33.1.4.1 Cabling requirement  
 Operation requires Class D, or better, cabling as specified in ISO/IEC 11801:2002. These requirements are also met by Category 5e or better cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA-568-C.2; or Category 5 cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A.

The second paragraph of this clause can remain unchanged unless the referenced cabling documents already cover this material.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.1.4.1 P 23 L 5 # 1  
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type ER Comment Status D Cabling

Use correct draft Standards name

SuggestedRemedy

Globally replace "TSB-184A" with "TSB-184-A" (3 locations)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.1 P 24 L 42 # 49  
 Stencel, Len Bourns, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Types

Need to Add 2 diagrams showing Alt A and Alt B for an End PSE. Only midspan version is shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Add 2 Additional figures:  
 figure 33-1a 10BASE-T/100BASE-TX Endpoint PSE Alt A and Alt B  
 Figure 33-2a 1000BASE-T/10GBASE-T Endpoint PSE Alt A and Alt B  
 or  
 Add Figure 33-5 to text and make these two diagrams figures 33-5a and 33-5b.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Need to create figures...

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.3 P 31 L 1 # 117  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type T Comment Status D Types

"A PSE device may provide power via one of two valid four-wire connections."  
 Forbids 4P power.

SuggestedRemedy

"A PSE device may provide power via one or both of two valid four-wire connections."  
 or  
 "A PSE device may provide power via at least one of two valid four-wire connections."  
 or  
 "A PSE device may provide power via one or two valid four-wire connections."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace text with "A PSE device may provide power via one or both of two valid four-wire connections."

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.1 P 32 L 20-2 # 118  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Detection

"A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE that is capable of delivering power over both Alternative A and Alternative B simultaneously is not required to meet backoff algorithm."  
 'the' misses between meet and backoff

SuggestedRemedy

"A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE that is capable of delivering power over both Alternative A and Alternative B simultaneously is not required to meet the backoff algorithm."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.1 P 32 L 21 # 43  
 Stencel, Len Bourns, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Detection

text correction

SuggestedRemedy

Change "meet backoff algorithm" to "meet the backoff algorithm requirement".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

OBE by comment #118.

EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 33 SC 33.2.4.1 P 32 L 31 # 9  
 Bustos Heredia, Jairo Würth Elektronik eiSo  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Detection  
 If a PSE performing detection using Alternative A detects an invalid signature, it should complete a second detection in less than Tdbo min after the beginning of the first detection attempt.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As we are referring to a time value, it may bring the reader to confusion on whether "min" stands for "minimum" or "minutes". Actually, Tdbo has only one defined value in Table 33-11. Therefore I believe "min" is not needed. Thus, I would suggest the following:  
 If a PSE performing detection using Alternative A detects an invalid signature, it should complete a second detection in less than Tdbo after the beginning of the first detection attempt.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

CI 33 SC 33.2.4.4 P 37 L 37-3 # 89  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Classification  
 "or a PSE that has hardware limitation."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 "or a PSE that has a hardware limitation."  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

CI 33 SC 33.2.4.5 P 38 L 13 # 21  
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE State Diagram  
 It seems that there is a Typo here:  
 The timer name is tlc\_f\_timer and then the text says in line 16: See Tclf in Table 33-7. So we need to decide if it is tclf or tlcf.  
 In addition, it is Table 33-10 and not 33-7 in lines 13, 15, 36, 40, 44.  
 In Table 33-10 it is Tclf.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change Tlc\_f\_timer to Tclf.  
 Change "...in Table 33-7" to "...in Table 33-10 and verify the link is correct.  
 Correct in lines 13, 15, 36, 40, 44.  
 Scan the draft for similar for all Tlcf and Tclf occurrences and correct accordingly.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Change all occurrences of Tclf to Tclf. The "lcf" was meant to stand for long class finger. The state diagram uses lcf and everything should match it.  
 EZ

CI 33 SC 33.2.4.5 P 38 L 15 # 68  
 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D PSE State Diagram  
 Fix Typo for TCLf  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Use TCLF  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 OBE by comment # 21.  
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.1 P 44 L 25, 4 # 92  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Detection  
 Figure numbers 33-1 and 33-2 are incorrect, also references to them incorrect.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Figure 33-1 => Figure 33-11  
 Figure 33-2 => Figure 33-12  
 References to fix:  
 Lines: 10, 29 and 44/45  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.1 P 44 L 49 # 48  
 Stencel, Len Bourns, Inc.  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D PSE Detection  
 incorrect table number`  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change Table 33-1 to Table 33-4.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Fix all table references in the PSE Detection sections (33.2.5.1-33.2.5.5).  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.2 P 45 L 46 # 45  
 Stencel, Len Bourns, Inc.  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D PSE Detection  
 Incorrect tablenumber. link is good.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change table 33-1 to table 33-4.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 OBE by comment # 48.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.3 P 45 L 54 # 46  
 Stencel, Len Bourns, Inc.  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D PSE Detection  
 Incorrect table number  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change table 33-2 to Table 33-5  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 OBE by comment # 48.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.4 P 46 L 30 # 47  
 Stencel, Len Bourns, Inc.  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D PSE Detection  
 incorrect table number  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change table 33-3 to Table 33-6  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 OBE by comment # 48.  
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 P 47 L 30-3 # 110  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Classification

"Alternatively, PSE implementations may use  $V_{PSE} = V_{Port\_PSE} - 2P_{min}$  and  $R_{Chan} = R_{Ch\ max}$  when powering using two-pairs, or  $R_{Chan} = R_{Ch\ max}/2$  when powering using four-pair \*\*\*systems and\*\*\* to arrive at over-margined values as shown in Table 33â€4."

Issue 1: \*\*\*systems and\*\*\* should be removed.  
 Issue 2:  $R_{Ch\ max}$  is redundant.  $R_{Ch}$  is the maximum DC loop resistance of a pairset.

SuggestedRemedy

- 1: remove "and"
- 2: change  $R_{ch\ max}$  to  $R_{ch}$

"Alternatively, PSE implementations may use  $V_{PSE} = V_{Port\_PSE} - 2P_{min}$  and  $R_{Chan} = R_{Ch}$  when powering using two-pairs, or  $R_{Chan} = R_{Ch}/2$  when powering using four-pairs to arrive at over-margined values as shown in Table 33â€4."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 P 48-49 L - # 119  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Classification

Table 33-8 is incorrectly broken up over pages 48 and 49.

SuggestedRemedy

Close table on page 48.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Possibly OBE by comment # 112.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 P 49 L 34-3 # 81  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Classification

"Subsequent to successful detection, all Type 2 PSEs perform classification using at least one of the following: 2-Event Physical Layer classification; 2-Event Physical Layer classification and Data Link Layer classification; or 1-Event Physical Layer classification and Data Link Layer classification."

2-Event should be Multiple-Event.

SuggestedRemedy

"Subsequent to successful detection, all Type 2 PSEs perform classification using at least one of the following: Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification; Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification and Data Link Layer classification; or 1-Event Physical Layer classification and Data Link Layer classification."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 P 49 L 8 # 99  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips

Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Classification

Table 33-8, Type 2, Physical Layer Classification column, first cell says "2-Event". Should be "Multiple-Event".

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "2-Event" by "Multiple-Event".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Possible OBE by comment # 112.

EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.1 P 50 L 3 # 83  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Classification  
 "Polarity shall be the same as defined for V Port\_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and timing specifications shall be as defined by T\_pdc in Table 33-7."  
 T\_pdc is not defined in Table 33-7, but in 33-10.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 "Polarity shall be the same as defined for V Port\_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and timing specifications shall be as defined by T\_pdc in Table 33-10."  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.1 P 50 L 5-6 # 85  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Classification  
 "All measurements of I Class shall be taken after the minimum relevant class event timing in Table 33-7."  
 Wrong Table reference.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 "All measurements of I Class shall be taken after the minimum relevant class event timing in Table 33-10."  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.1 P 50 L 5-6 # 84  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Classification  
 "The PSE shall measure the resultant I Class and classify the PD based on the observed current according to Table 33-6."  
 I believe Table 33-9 is meant (please check).  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 "The PSE shall measure the resultant I Class and classify the PD based on the observed current according to Table 33-9."  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 50 L 31 # 60  
 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D PSE Classification  
 a TBD table (figure etc) exists please begin using a construct like TBD-# to identify the table to be used. If the table (figure etc) needs to be created use a construct like TBD-unavailable.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Please consider using the above suggestion to make the text easier to review.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 OBE by comment # 33.  
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 50 L 31 # 33  
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D PSE Classification  
 Table 33-TBD is Table 33-9  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace Table 33-TBD with Table 33-9.  
 Same in line 45 and 53  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 50-51 L 1-54 # 87  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Classification  
 There are 10 references to Table 33-7, all incorrect.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change every instance of Table 33-7 to Table 33-10 in 33.2.6.2  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P 52 L 46 # 22  
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Classification  
 The intention of the additional information for TME2 in Table 33-10 was meant to say that the fact that the maximum value of TME3 is not defined, doesn't mean that it can be any number, it actually limited by Tpon.  
 This may not be clear by the additional information however.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change the additional information text from:  
 The time from end of detection until power-on is limited by 33.2.7.12.  
 Change the additional information text to:  
 The maximum value of TME2 is limited by the maximum allowed time from the end of detection until power-on according to 33.2.7.12.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P 55 L 40 # 62  
 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D PSE Unbalance  
 Define variable a.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Define variable a.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Alpha is the unbalance factor between the pair sets. It should be noted somewhere.  
 OBE by comment # 30  
 EZ



IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P 55 L 41 # 30  
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D PSE Unbalance  
 The parameter "a" is not explained in Note 1.  
 To define "a" and explain it.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 a=The effect of the system end to end pair to pair resistance/current unbalance that is not specified in this standard explicitly.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P 55 L 41 # 29  
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Unbalance  
 Missing full stop at the end of Note 1.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Insert full stop at the end of Note 1 text.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.7 P 59 L 19 # 90  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Power  
 "A PSE may remove power from a pair-set of a PI if the \*the\* pair-set current..."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 "A PSE may remove power from a pair-set of a PI if the pair-set current..."  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.9.1.1 P 62 L 30-3 # 130  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE MPS  
 Reference to Table 33-1 wrong.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace Table 33-1 by Table 33-12.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.9.1.1 P 63 L 1 # 82  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE MPS  
 The Table titled "PSE PI parameters for AC disconnect-detection functions" is incorrectly numbered Table 33-1.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace "Table 33-1" by Table "33-12".  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.2.9.1.2 P 63 L 2 # 34  
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D PSE MPS  
 Duplicate table 33-1 name.  
 We have Table 33-1 in page 22.  
 I believe it is 33-12 (AC disconnect parameters)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to 33-12.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.2.9.1.2 P 64 L 18 # 131  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE MPS  
 Reference to Table 33-1 wrong.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace Table 33-1 by Table 33-12.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.1 P 65 L 6 # 97  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PD PI  
 In Table 33-13, conductor 2, mistyped Positive V\_p  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace by "Positive V\_PD"  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 P 65 L 32 # 65  
 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D PD Types  
 Replace the Type 1 row, "May be" with "Allowed."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See above.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Possible OBE by comment # 109  
 make change if comment #109 is not resolved with a change to this text.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 P 65 L 33 # 106  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D PD Types  
 Table 33-13a, column DLL classification, Type 1 / 13W row, content = "May be".  
 Strange formulation, optional would be more apt.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace "May be" with "Optional".  
 See replacement table suggestion in yseboodt\_D04\_Table\_33-13a\_v100.pdf  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Possible OBE by comment # 109  
 make change if comment #109 is not resolved with a change to this text.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 P 66 L 10 # 134  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D PD Classification  
 "Type 3 and Type 4 PDs operating with a max power draw corresponding to Class 4 or greater implement both multiple-Event Physical Layer classification (see 33.3.5.2) and Data Link Layer classification (see 33.6) and advertise a class signature of 4, 5, 6, or 7."  
 Class 8 missing.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 "Type 3 and Type 4 PDs operating with a max power draw corresponding to Class 4 or greater implement both multiple-Event Physical Layer classification (see 33.3.5.2) and Data Link Layer classification (see 33.6) and advertise a class signature of 4, 5, 6, 7, or 8."  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 P 66 L 4-8 # 132  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PD Types  
 'Max power' should be 'Maximum power' (two instances)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace 'Max power' by 'Maximum power'  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.3 P 68 L 34 # 55  
 Beia, Christian STMicroelectronics  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D PD State Diagram  
 pse\_power\_level value #4 in pse\_power\_level variable description should indicate the maximum power supplied by a Type4 PSE, which is Class 8.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace:  
 4: The PSE is delivering the PD's requested power or Class 7 power, whichever is less.  
 With:  
 4: The PSE is delivering the PD's requested power or Class 8 power, whichever is less.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 OBE by comment #136  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.3 P 68 L 34 # 136  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D PD State Diagram  
 "4: The PSE is delivering the PD's requested power or Class 7 power, whichever is less."  
 Should be Class 8.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 "4: The PSE is delivering the PD's requested power or Class 8 power, whichever is less."  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.4a P 69 L 8 # 93  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PD State Diagram  
 Bad reference to Table 33-7  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Table 33-7 => Table 33-10  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 OBE by comment #56.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.5.1 P 74 L 14 # 135  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D PD Classification  
 "Since 1-Event classification is a subset of Multiple-Event classification, Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 PDs operating with a maximum power draw corresponding to class 4, 5, 6, or 7 respond to 1-Event classification with a Class 4 signature.  
 Class 8 missing.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 "Since 1-Event classification is a subset of Multiple-Event classification, Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 PDs operating with a maximum power draw corresponding to class 4, 5, 6, 7, or 8 respond to 1-Event classification with a Class 4 signature."  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 "Since 1-Event classification is a subset of Multiple-Event classification, Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 PDs operating with a maximum power draw corresponding to class or higher respond to 1-Event classification with a Class 4 signature."  
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.3.5.3 P 76 L 20 # 66  
 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D PSE Classification  
 Replace " the PD to which it is connected." with  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 " the connected PD."  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.8 P 84 L 33 # 57  
 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PD MPS  
 Strike "In addition," to make the sentence more consise and powerful.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See above.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7 P 77 L 29 # 23  
 Darshan, Yair Microsemi  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PD Power  
 Typo.  
 Redundant 33.3.7.1 in additional information column of Table 33-18 item 1.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change from 33.3.7.133.3.7.1 to 33.3.7.1.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.8 P 84 L 40 # 124  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D PD MPS  
 Reference to Zac2 in Table 33-1.  
 This should be Table 33-12, but note, Table 33-12 is erroneously listed as Table 33-1.  
 See other comment on this.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change reference to Table 33-12.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7 P 78 L 45-4 # 126  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D PD Power  
 Item 11, Von/Voff only listed for Type 1 and 2.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add extra lines for Type 3 and 4 with TBD.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.4.8 P 92 L 15 # 2  
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D AES  
 Use terminology consistent with rest of draft.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace "channel unbalance currents" with "channel current unbalance"  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 33 SC 33.4.9.1.2 P 96 L 33-3 # 127  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D AES  
 "For 10GBASE-T operation, insertion loss for \*\*Mispan\*\* PSE devices shall meet the values determined by Equation (33-19a) when measured \*\*fro\*\* the \*\*trasmit\*\* and receive pairs from 1 MHz to 500 MHz."  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Mispan -> Midspan  
 fro -> from  
 trasmit -> transmit  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.4.9.1.3 P 96 L 50 # 129  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D AES  
 Reference to Table 33-1 wrong.  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Replace Table 33-1 by Table 33-20.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.4.9.1.3 P 97 L 1 # 128  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D AES  
 Table "Connector return loss" should be numbered Table 33-20.  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Replace Table 33-1 by Table 33-20.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.4.9.2.1 P 99 L 23 # 52  
 Beia, Christian STMicroelectronics  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D AES  
 Figure 33-1.  
 The figures numbering on this page till the end of clause 33 is wrong, because it restarts from 33-1, while it should continue as 33-26.  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Renummer Figure 33-1 on page 99 as 33-26; 33-2 on page 110 as 33-27; 33-3 on page 111 as 33-28.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

Cl 33 SC 33.6 P 104 L 24-2 # 79  
 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D DLL  
 "Type 2 PDs that require more than 13.0 W support Data Link Layer classification (see 33.3.5).  
 Data Link Layer classification is optional for all other devices."  
 Last scentence needs to be adjusted for Type 3 and 4.  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Replace text by:  
 "Type 2, 3 and 4 PDs that require more than 13.0 W support Data Link Layer classification (see 33.3.5).  
 Data Link Layer classification is optional for all other devices."  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 EZ

IEEE P802.3bt D0.4 DTE Power via MDI over 4-Pair 2nd Task Force review comments

---

Cl 33 SC 33.6.2 P 104 L 41 # 80  
Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
Comment Type E Comment Status D DLL  
\*\*A\* Type 2, 3, and 4 PSEs shall send an LLDPDU containing..."  
SuggestedRemedy  
"Type 2, 3, and 4 PSEs shall send an LLDPDU containing..."  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
EZ

---

Cl 33 SC 33.6.3.3 P 108 L 38-4 # 133  
Yseboodt, Lennart Philips  
Comment Type E Comment Status D DLL  
'Max power' should be 'Maximum power' (two instances)  
SuggestedRemedy  
Replace 'Max power' by 'Maximum power'  
Proposed Response Response Status W  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
EZ