

Base Line Text for IEEE 802.3BT

IEEE802.3bt – May 2014 Interim

Koussalya Balasubramanian, Cisco Systems Inc.,

David Abramson, Texas Instruments

Supporters

Valerie Maguire – Siemon

Yair Darshan – Microsemi

Gaoling Zhou – Maxim Integrated

Christian Beia – STMicro

Rick Frosch – Phihong

George Zimmerman – CME Consulting

Sesha Panguluri – Broadcom

Dave Dwelley – Linear Technology

Fred Schindler – Seen Simply

Ron Nordin – Panduit

David Tremblay – HP

Lennart Yseboodt – Philips

Matthias Wendt – Philips

Motivation

- To define some basic terminology and text markup for the standard



Terminology used in Current Standard

- The word “Type” associates with the following parameters
 - Class or Category of cabling needed for operation
 - Classification Details and supported/requested Power Levels
- The same thought process is extended for IEEE 802.3bt with the inclusion of the “new MPS”

New Maintain Power Signature(MPS) – requirement to reduce power consumption while PD is in a mode where it is only sending MPS.

Suggested Terminology

A) Type 1,2 – 2Pair operation will be as per “AT” → Already in the Standard

May operate as 4Pair with old MPS

B) Type 3– 4-Pair operation, 0-60W¹, new MPS

- Can use existing Type 2 cable definitions

C) Type 4 – 4-pair operation, 0 - <100W¹, new MPS

- New cable definition needed (cable type, bundle size etc.,)

¹ – Mentioned power levels are at the PSE PI

Within Type 3 and Type 4, ways to **identify more granular power levels** should be available. For instance a Type 3 PD and PSE should be able to agree on 15W, 30W or 60W. This is a **must** to allow 4-pair 15W or 4-pair 30W only systems in the field which will cover wider market need in a better way, rather than forcing all 4-pair PSE to be 60W or 100W capable.

Higher Level Details

This presentation covers only higher level details.

- 10GBase-T inclusion
- Per 2-pair detection, monitoring and protection on the PSE.

More in-depth parameters etc., needs to be worked out.



Section 33.1.1 - Objectives

- *Compatibility*—Clause 33 utilizes the MDIs of 10BASE-T, 100BASE-TX, ~~and~~ 1000BASE-T ~~and~~ 10GBASE-T without modification. Type 1 operation adds no significant requirements to the cabling. Type 2 ~~and~~ **Type 3** operation requires ISO/IEC 11801:1995 Class D or better cabling and a derating of the cabling maximum ambient operating temperature. ~~The clause does not address the operation of 10GBASE-T. For 10GBASE-T operation, the channel model specified in Clause 55 needs to be met without regard to DTE Power via MDI presence or operation~~

NOTE: Once we know the cable details for Type 4 we can add that as well.

Section 33.1.4 – System Parameters

| Parameter | Symbol | Units | Type 1 value | Type 2, or Type 3 | Additional information |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Nominal highest DC current per pair | I_{Cable} | A | 0.350 | 0.600 ^b | See Section that covers inter-pair unbalance |
| Channel maximum DC pair loop resistance | R_{Ch} | Ω | 20.0 | 12.5 | |
| Minimum cable type | | | UTP per 14.4 and 14.5 ^a | Class D | See 33.1.4.1, 33.1.4.2 |

^a Class D recommended

^bIn Type 3, 60W operation, the current per 2-pair might be impacted by pair to pair system resistance unbalance. See details in <section that covers pair to pair unbalance>

- **For Type 1 and Type 2 systems**, Two twisted pairs are required to source I_{Cable} —one carrying (+ I_{Cable}) and one carrying (– I_{Cable}), from the perspective of the PI. **All 4 twisted pairs, connected from PSE PI to PD PI are required for Type 3 and Type 4 operation.**

Section 33.1.4.1 Cabling Requirements

- “Type 2 **and Type 3** operation requires Class D, or better, cabling as specified in ISO/IEC 11801:1995”
- Under worst-case conditions, Type 2 **and Type 3** operation requires a 10 °C reduction in the maximum ambient operating temperature of the cable when all cable pairs are energized at ICable (see Table 33–1).

NOTE: Type 4 needs to be added once we have cable parameters for that.



Section 33.1.4.2 Channel Requirement

- ~~Type 1, and Type 2~~, **Any Type** operation requires that the **channel pair** resistance unbalance shall be 3 % or less. **Pair** Resistance unbalance is a measure of the difference between the two conductors of a twisted pair in the 100 Ω balanced.
- **Operation over all 4 twisted pairs requires that the channel pair to pair resistance unbalance shall be x%(TBD) or less. Pair to pair resistance unbalance is a measure of the difference between the equivalent pair resistance of one of the pairs in the cable to any other pair's equivalent resistance.**

NOTE: This can be appended with more information coming out of the End to End Cable Resistance ad-hoc

Section 33.2.3 Pin Assignments

- A PSE shall implement Alternative A, Alternative B, or both. ~~While a PSE may be capable of both Alternative A and Alternative B, PSEs shall not operate both Alternative A and Alternative B on the same link segment simultaneously.~~

Section 33.3.1 PD PI

- The PD shall be capable of accepting power on either **or both** of two sets of PI conductors.
- NOTE—PDs that implement only Mode A or Mode B are specifically not allowed by this standard. ~~PDs that simultaneously require power from both Mode A and Mode B are specifically not allowed by this standard.~~

Thank You

Straw Polls

- <adopt slide x>

