C/ 126 SC 126.3.5.2 P 101 L 26 # 233 C/ 126 SC 126.4.1 P 115 L 50 # 236 CME Consulting/Aqua Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Zimmerman, George Comment Type E **BQ ALIGN** Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Change "-41dBm" to "-41 dBm" (missing space) (BQ ALIGN, i-126) Test in NOTE2 is a full sentence but does not have a "." at the end. (BQ ALIGN, i-59) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment (add space) Please scrub existing NOTEs and Footnotes and make sure that full sentences are followed by a period. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 126 SC 126.3.6.2.2 P 102 L 48 # 234 C/ 126 SC 126.4.2.3.1 P 118 / 26 # 237 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Comment Type T Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** "when the lfer_cnt exceeds 16" but lfer_cnt is defined as "Count up to a maximum of 16" so it cannot exceed 16. Figure 126-13 sets hi Ifer true at 16 (BQ ALIGN, i-80) period at the end of the sentence should be a colon. (BQ ALIGN, i-113) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "exceeds" to "reaches" See comment Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 126 C/ 126 SC 126.3.6.2.2 P 104 L 32 # 235 SC 126.4.2.4 P 119 L 39 CME Consulting/Aqua CME Consulting/Aqua Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN BQ ALIGN** Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status D pairs BI DA, BI DB, BI DC, and BI DB. Second instance of "BI DB" should be "BI DD". There is no reference to register 1.147.2 in this draft. It appears in the base document but only points to the variable list in clause 55. A reference to clause 126 should be added. (BQ ALIGN, i-114) In addition, it would be better to define the functionality here, not just in clause 45. Since SuggestedRemedy MDIO is optional, other means to access this variable may be provided. Change second "BI DB" to "BI DD" Similar issue exists for fr_enable (1.147.0) in 126.4.5.1. it is defined in 45.2.1.79.6 and

SuggestedRemedy

Change the first paragraph of the definition to:

does not reference clause 126. (BQ ALIGN, i-82)

"If fast retrain is supported, this variable controls the block type the PMA sends on the receive path during fast retrain. if MDIO is supported, this variable is set based on the value in 1.147.2:1 as follows".

Append a paragraph: "If MDIO is not supported, an equivalent method of controlling fast retrain functionality should be provided".

Bring in 45.2.1.79.5 and add a reference to 126.3.6.2.2.

Apply similar change to 45.2.1.79.6 and 126.4.5.1.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 119

Page 1 of 9

3/5/2016 6:08:18 PM

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

C/ 126 SC 126.4.2.5 P 120 L 31 # 239 C/ 126 SC 126.4.5.1 P 133 L 47 # 242 CME Consulting/Aqua Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** The InfoField is denoted IF. While there is nothing wrong with this statement, the only use The definition of THP next starts with "THP is a variable..." Should it be THP next? (BQ of "IF" instead of InfoField is twice in the following sentence. Is it necessary? (BQ ALIGN, i-ALIGN, i-116) 115) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "THP" to "THP_next". Additionally, the same issue occurs in the THP_tx definition. Remove the sentence, "The InfoField is denoted IF." and change the "IF" and "IFs" with Change "THP" to "THP_tx" there too. "Infofield" and "Infofields" respectively Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. P 139 C/ 126 SC 126.4.6.2 / 1 # 243 C/ 126 SC 126.4.2.5.6 P 122 L 44 # 240 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Aqua Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Aqua Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Comment Type T Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Inconsistencies in font size and text box styles in individual state diagrams, e.g., when comparing Figure 126-27 and Figure 126-28 (BQ ALIGN, i-60) The phrasing "Any other value shall not be transmitted and shall be ignored at the receiver" is imprecise. A device that ignores only 1 value not listed would comply. I suspect "all" is SuggestedRemedy what is really intended. (BQ ALIGN, i-LATE) Please align font sizes and text box styles at least within this amendment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change "Any other value shall not be transmitted and shall be ignored at the receiver" to PROPOSED ACCEPT. "No other value shall be transmitted, and all other values shall be ignored at the receiver." SC 0 P 147 C/ 00 L 21 # 244 Proposed Response Response Status W CME Consulting/Aqua Zimmerman, George PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type ER Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** C/ 126 SC 126.4.5.1 P 132 L 10 # 241 Figure 126-34 title includes "need to update". What does this mean? (BQ ALIGN, i-91) Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Aqua SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Delete (need to update) Inconsistent right margin and justification for the variable definitions. Line breaks seem to Proposed Response Response Status W be present where they should not. (BQ ALIGN, i-90) PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general Pa 147 Page 2 of 9

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn Li 21 3/5/2016 6:08:18 PM

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Apply paragraph formatting suitable for a list of variables as in other lists in this draft

Response Status W

SC 126.5.3.3 C/ 126 P 148 L 39 # 245 C/ 126 SC 126.5.4.4 P 151 L 32 # 248 CME Consulting/Aqua Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN BQ ALIGN** "The SLAVE mode RMS period jitter test shall be run using the test configuration injected into each MDI inputs (should be a singular sense?) (BQ ALIGN, i-143) shown in Figure 126-3" sounds a lot like a requirement on a person, not a conforming SuggestedRemedy device. Behavior of people is outside the scope of this standard. (BQ ALIGN, i-LATE) Change to "injected into each MDI input" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change "shall be run to "is measured" (consistent with elsewhere in the standard PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P 158 C/ 126 SC 126.7.2 # 249 L 35 CME Consulting/Agua Zimmerman, George C/ 126 SC 126.5.4.1 P 150 L 48 # 246 Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ AI IGN** Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Incorrect table format for Tables 126-18 and 126-19 (BQ ALIGN, i-62) Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy the requirement "shall be satisfied" is going to be very hard to validate as no specification for "satisfaction" are given in this standard. I think the "shall" belongs in the previous Please apply proper style (and fix offending line thickness) The same observation applies sentence, and here we mean that the requirement is demonstrated by the frame error to both tables 126-18 and 126-19. ration given. (BQ ALIGN, i-LATE) Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change "are received" to "shall be received" Change "This specification shall be satisfied by" to "This specification can be verified by" C/ 126 SC 126.8.2.2 P 169 L 26 # 250 CME Consulting/Aqua Proposed Response Zimmerman, George Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. **BQ ALIGN** Comment Type E Comment Status D Change "Test- Mode 5" to "Test mode 5" to be consistant with other instances of "test C/ 126 SC 126.5.4.3 P 151 L 24 # 247 mode" throughout the draft (BQ ALIGN, i-120) Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** See comment Change "6dBm" to "6 dBm" (missing space) (BQ ALIGN, i-118) Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment (add space) Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Pa **169** Li **26** Page 3 of 9 3/5/2016 6:08:18 PM

C/ 1 SC 1.4.74a P 20 L 37 # 215 CI 28 SC 28.3.1 P 25 L8 # 251 CME Consulting/Aqua Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN BQ ALIGN** In the editing instruction "the first list" should be "in the first list", subclause numbers are Superflous comma between IEEE Std 802.3 and Clause (multiple instances) (BQ ALIGN, inot preceded by "subclause", and the location should be specified. (BQ ALIGN, i-1) 18) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the comma, editor to scrub for multiple instances, P20 L37, 40, 46, 52; P21 L5 Change the editing instruction to: "Insert rows for 25Gig T and 40GigT in the first list in and L46 28.3.1 below the row for 10GigT as follows: Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SC 1.4 P 21 P 27 Cl 1 / 50 # 213 C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 / 12 # 252 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** We normally place reference to something having been modified by another amendment in IEEE Std 802.3bw has been approved by the SASB, so this should be "IEEE Std 802.3bwparenthesis, we usually end editing instructions with 'as follows:'. (BQ ALIGN, i-162) 2015" (BQ ALIGN, i-2) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest the text '... as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3by-201X' be changed to read '...(as Change all instances of "IEEE Std 802.3bw-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3bw-2015" throughout inserted by IEEE Std 802.3by-201X) as follows: And editor to search and scrub the draft the draft to maintain consistency in editing instructions Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 P 27 L 26 # 253 C/ 1 SC 1.4 P 21 L 52 # 214 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Aqua Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Aqua Comment Type Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN BQ ALIGN** Comment Type E Comment Status D Text needs updated based on the approval of IEEE Std 802.3bw last year and the likelihood that IEEE P802.3bg will be the third amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2015, and it Isn't BASE-T Ethernet 'PCS/PMA' just a 'BASE-T PHY'? (BQ ALIGN, i-164) is vet unclear what additionally bz will follow. (BQ ALIGN, i-166) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change base text to align with 802.3bg D3.1, changing '... of specific BASE-T Ethernet PCS/PMAs at ...' to read '... of specific BASE-T PHYs at ...' The text '... (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bw-201X, IEEE Std 802.3by-201X and TBD) ...' be changed to read '... (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bw-201X, IEEE Std 802.3by-Proposed Response Response Status W 201X, IEEE Std 802.3bq-201X, and TBD) ...'. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.25 P 28 L 34 # 254 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua

Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN**

Base text does not agree with P802.3bq draft 3.1. There is no 'PHY event counter' defined in IEEE Std 802.3-2015 subclause 55.4.5.1 'State diagram variables' or subclause 113.4.5.4 'Counters'. Instead I think the reference should be to fr tx counter defined in IEEE Std 802.3-2015 subclause 55.4.5.4 'Counters' and subclause 113.4.5.4 'Counters'. In addition, while the size of the counter isn't explicitly stated in the its definition in IEEE Std 802.3-2015 subclause 55.4.5.4 or subclause 113.4.5.4, in both cases it is stated that it 'is reflected in MDIO register 1.147.10:6 specified in 45.2.1.79.2' which implies it is a five bit counter.

Since the aLDFastRetrainCount attribute is defined as a counter with a maximum increment rate of 1000 counts per second, it will have to be considerable bigger than five bits to allow a reasonable polling speed through a management protocol without loss of information.

Based on this aLDFastRetrainCount can be derived by the local management agent from fr tx counter, or from the LD fast retrain count register, but can't be mapped to them

A similar set of issues exist for 30.5.1.1.25 aLPFastRetrainCount. (BQ ALIGN, i-170)

SuggestedRemedy

Change base text to align with 802.3bq D3.1.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.4 P 28 / 38 # 255 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua

Comment Type ER Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN**

Make consistent with modifications in 802.3by and 802.3bg (BQ ALIGN, i-74)

SuggestedRemedy

Add editing instruction to: Change the eighth paragraph of 30.5.1.1.4 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3by-201X and IEEE Std 802.3bq-201X) as follows: "For \U 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, \U 10 Gb/s \U.\U and 25 Gb/s the enumerations map..."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.65.1 P 36 L8 # 256

CME Consulting/Aqua Zimmerman, George

Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** In "Change text of clauses 45.2.1.65.1 and 45.2.1.65.2 ...", 45.2.1.65.1 and 45.2.1.65.2 are

not clauses. (2 instances) (BQ ALIGN, i-5)

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the word clauses, used multiply throughtout this section (L8, L17)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

P 37 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.78 / 34 # 257

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua

Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN**

Base text to match text of IEEE P802.3bg draft 3.1 - Missing space between value and

Missing period at the end of this paragraph. (BQ ALIGN, i-26)

SuggestedRemedy

Change "1.25ns" to "1.25 ns".

Change "2.5ns" to "2.5 ns".

Add period after the last word.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.79.1 P 37 L 47 # 258

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Aqua

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Base text to match text of IEE P802.3bg draft 3.1 - The fr rx counter is defined in

subclause 55.4.5.4 'Counters' of IEEE Std 802.3-2015. (BQ ALIGN, i-172)

SuggestedRemedy

text '... fr rx counter as defined in 55.4.5.1 for 10GBASE-T ...' should be changed to read '... fr_rx_counter as defined in 55.4.5.4 for 10GBASE-T ...'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Pa 37 Li 47 Page 5 of 9 3/5/2016 6:08:18 PM

BQ AI IGN

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.1.2 P 38 L 40 # 295

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D BQ ALIGN

"The speed of the loopback is selected by the PCS control 1 (Register 3.0) defined in 45.2.3.1." is already being inserted by the P802.3bq draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the underline from "The speed of the loopback is selected by the PCS control 1 (Register 3.0) defined in 45.2.3.1."

Proposed Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Comment Type E Comment Status D BQ ALIGN

Base text to match text of IEE P802.3bq draft 3.1 - The fr_tx_counter is defined in subclause 55.4.5.4 'Counters' of IEEE Std 802.3-2015. (BQ ALIGN, i-173)

SuggestedRemedy

text '... fr_tx_counter as defined in 55.4.5.1 for 10GBASE-T ...' should be changed to read '... fr_tx_counter as defined in 55.4.5.4 for 10GBASE-T ...'.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11.2 P 45 L 47 # 260

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua

Comment Type E Comment Status D BQ ALIGN

Base text to match text of IEE P802.3bq draft 3.1 - In both of these long conditional sentences, the logic structure is "if (master/slave) and (complete) and if (no fault)...". The second "if" is confusing and should not be there.

Also, what if either "AN complete" is 0 or "fault" is 1? (BQ ALIGN, i-30)

SuggestedRemedy

Change based text to match IEEE P802.3bq D3.1 - change "and if" to "and" twice in this subclause.

Append the following text: "In all other cases, neither SLAVE mode nor MASTER mode has been selected".

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7bc P 46 L 17 # 261

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua

Comment Type E Comment Status D BQ ALIGN

when read as 1 bit "is used to indicate" where where when read as 0 just "indicates". be consistent. (BQ ALIGN, i-31)

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "is used to indicate" with "indicates" in 45.2.7.11.bc and 45.2.7.11.bd

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Comment Type E Comment Status D

BQ AI IGN

Base text to match IEEE P802.3bq D3.1 - The non-underlined text does not match the original content of 45.2.7.13 (as of IEEE Draft P802.3/D3.2). The original text includes "or sent as part of the 10GBASE-T and 1000BASET technology message code as defined in 28C.11". (BQ ALIGN, i-33)

SuggestedRemedy

Change paragraph text to read (base text from IEEE P802.3bq D3.1, \U denotes underlined text inserted by 802.3bz): "This register defines the EEE advertisement for several device types. Devices that use Clause 28 Auto-Negotiation send EEE advertisement in the Unformatted Next Page following a EEE technology message code as defined in 28C.12 as part of the 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T technology message code as defined in 28C.11. Devices that use Clause 73 Auto-Negotiation send EEE advertisement in the unformatted code field of Message Next Page with EEE technology message code as defined in 73A.4. 25GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T EEE advertisement is exchanged in the Infofield during training as defined in 113.4.2.5.10. \U For 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T, the EEE

advertisement is exchanged in the InfoField during training as defined in 126.4.2.5.10.\U The assignment of bits in the EEE advertisement register and the correspondence with the bits in the Next Page messages or in the training Infofield are shown in Table 45–210."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.14 P 47 L 19 # 263 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Base text to match IEEE P802.3bg D3.1 - "All of the bits in the EEE LP ability register are read-only. A write to the EEE LP ability register shall have no effect. Except for 10GBASE-T, members of the MultiGBASE-T PHY set exchange the EEE ability in the Infofield during link training. For these PHYs, the EEE LP ability register is updated after link is established. For all other PHYs, wWhen the AN process has been completed, this register shall reflect the contents of the link partner's EEE advertisement register. The assignment of bits in the EFF link partner ability register and the correspondence with the bits in the Next Page messages are shown in Table 45-211." (BQ ALIGN, i-34) SuggestedRemedy Change base text to match IEEE P802.3bg D3.1 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 45 P 49 # 264 SC 45.2.7.14a L 35 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** "RW" is used in Table 45-211a (BQ ALIGN, i-122) SuggestedRemedy In the second and third row of the table change "RW" to "R/W" and change the footnote at the bottom of the table to "R/W = Read/Write, RO = Read only". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P 51 CI 45 SC 45.5.3.9 L 39 # 265 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Aqua Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** "add" is not a valid editing instruction (BQ ALIGN, i-8) SuggestedRemedy Change "and add rows" to "and insert rows"

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 126 SC 126.1 P 65 L 28 # 216 CME Consulting/Aqua Zimmerman, George

Comment Type T Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** It is not immediately clear that advertising lack of support for fast retrain is done in autonegotiation. Only looking at 45.2.7.10 reveals that. Clause 45 is optional, and other way auto-negotiation is controlled can be different, perhaps with a different register

address or without any register. (BQ ALIGN, i-40)

SuggestedRemedy

Change "advertising lack of support in register 7.32" to "advertising lack of support during auto-negotiation".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 126 SC 126.1.3 P 67 L 11 # 219 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Aqua

Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** "two second retrain" is confusing. "Second" is a unit, and according to the style guide

should be abbreviated. (BQ ALIGN, i-43)

SuggestedRemedy

Change "two second" to "two-second"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 126 SC 126.1.3.1 P 70 L 24 # 220 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Aqua

Comment Type E Comment Status D

BQ AI IGN

"Details of the PCS function are covered in 126.3" This sentence does not seem to belong in this paragraph, which deals with the PMA. The former several paragraphs dealt with the PCS transmit operation (as a summary/overview). The next two paragraph summarize the receiver operation and include "The PCS functions and state diagrams are specified in 126.3". Reference to the detailed description should be put at the end. (BQ ALIGN, i-48)

SuggestedRemedy

Merge the two sentences "Details of the PCS function are covered in 126.3" and "The PCS functions and state diagrams are specified in 126.3", and move the result to a separate paragraph ending this subclause.

Move the sentence "The interface to the PMA is an abstract message-passing interface specified in 126.2" to this final paragraph too.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general Pa 70 Page 7 of 9 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn Li 24 3/5/2016 6:08:18 PM SORT ORDER: Page, Line

C/ 126 SC 126.1.3.2 P 70 L 46 # 221 C/ 126 SC 126.2.2.11.1 P 81 L 21 # 224 CME Consulting/Aqua Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Type ER Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** "discrete time value" can be confusing. (BQ-ALIGN, i-49) Semantics details of primitives are missing. Also in 126.2.2.12.1 (BQ ALIGN, i-55) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change to "discrete-time value" Add pcs data mode values to 126.2.2.11.1 (after line 21) Proposed Response Response Status W The pcs data mode parameter can take on one of two values of the form: PROPOSED ACCEPT. TRUE = PHY is in state PCS Data (see Figure 126-26) FALSE = PCS is not in state PCS Data (see Figure 126-26). P 72 # 222 C/ 126 SC 126.1.3.3 L 4 Similarly fr active values to 126.2.2.12.1, for values: TRUE = PHY is currently performing a fast retrain Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua FALSE = PHY is not currently performing a fast retrain Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Proposed Response Response Status W "Infofield" occurs several times in the draft, and is used here for the first time in Clause PROPOSED ACCEPT. 126. 802.3bg d3p1 now defines this term in Clause 1.4, without reference to 802.3bz. Capitalization is inconsistent across the draft. Also "link startup" is vaque, Infofields are P 84 # 225 C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2 L 44 used in training mode. (BQ ALIGN, i-51) Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Import definition of infofield (1.4.237a) into draft as inserted by 802.3bg, which change instruction to insert cross reference to Clause 126. Change all "InfoField" to "Infofield" in 65B bits? (BQ ALIGN, i-66) draft. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change "the 65B bits are scrambled" to "the 65B encoded bits are scrambled" PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 126 SC 126.1.6 P 73 L 8 # 217 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Aqua C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2.8 P 88 L 50 # 228 Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua "specifically specified" is redundant. (BQ ALIGN, i-53) Comment Type Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** SuggestedRemedy "to account for self-synchronizing scrambler error propagation" - this may be the motivation Change to "unless specified" for this rule (part of the rule), but should not be the rule itself. For people unfamiliar with "self-synchronizing scrambler error propagation" this adds an unnecessary confusion. (BQ Proposed Response Response Status W ALIGN, i-67) PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Delete "to account for self-synchronizing scrambler error propagation" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general Pa 88 Page 8 of 9

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn Li 50 3/5/2016 6:08:18 PM

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2.10 P 89 L 48 # 226 C/ 126 SC 126.3.4 P 98 L 1 # 230 CME Consulting/Aqua Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Zimmerman, George Comment Type ER **BQ ALIGN** Comment Type E **BQ ALIGN** Comment Status D Comment Status D EEE "compliant" PHYs? It is an optional capability. (BQ ALIGN, i-69) The italics vs. Roman font type in Figure 126-11 is inconsistent both internally and with regards to the text preceding it. As a result the italics distract rather than help. In the text, n SuggestedRemedy is a variable that appears in italics, but in the figure it sometime is and sometimes isn't. Change "EEE compliant PHYs" to "PHYs that support EEE" p89 L48 and on p93 L48 Likewise. Scr is not italicized (not a variable) in the text, but in the figure it sometimes is and sometimes isn't. Proposed Response Response Status W The number "1" appears italicized in the figure within "n-1", it looks like the letter I. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Numbers should never be italicized. The word "otherwise" is in italics although it is not a variable. (BQ ALIGN, i-77) P 92 C/ 126 SC 126.3.2.2.17 L 36 # 227 SuggestedRemedy Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Make the variable "n" always italicized in Figure 126-11. Comment Type T Comment Status D BQ ALIGN If "Scr" is a variable then make it consistently italicized (and likewise for Sa, Sb, Sc, Sd) in the figure and in the clause text: otherwise make it consistently Roman. "The use of the auxiliary bit is for vendor-specific communication is outside the scope of Make everything else Roman. this document." It is not clear what these sentence mean in the context of the LDPC encoder. They do not seem to be encoded. Is the encoder required or expected to use Proposed Response Response Status W specific values or are they left to implementation choice? The decoder behavior should be PROPOSED ACCEPT. stated in the decoder subclause, not the encoder subclause. The descriptive language of this section covers more than just the encoder but also the LDPC frame structure. (BQ C/ 126 SC 126.3.4.2 P 99 12 # 231 ALIGN, i-71) Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** Change title of 126.3.2.2.17 to "LDPC framing and LDPC encoder" "If requested by the link partner, the PCS shall reset the training mode scrambler every Proposed Response Response Status W 16384 periods..." PROPOSED ACCEPT. This functionality is deprecated for 10G. Should it exist here? (BQ ALIGN, i-78) SuggestedRemedy C/ 126 SC 126.3.2 P 97 L 9 # 229 Delete the second sentence on P 99 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Agua Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ ALIGN** PROPOSED ACCEPT. Missing terminating period (BQ ALIGN, i-76) P 99 SuggestedRemedy C/ 126 SC 126.3.5 / 48 # 232 Add a period afer "126.5.2" Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/Aqua Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type E Comment Status D **BQ AI IGN** PROPOSED ACCEPT. "R" label in the box seems to refer to the refresh cycle, but it is not readily apparent. The detailed description of "Pair A" does not include "R". (BQ ALIGN, i-79) SuggestedRemedy Change "refresh" on pair A to "refresh (R)"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn Li 48 3/5/2016 6:08:18 PM SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W