C/ 1 SC 1.4.128d P20 L11 # 392 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket 50/50-EPON - Missing "G" SuggestedRemedy Change to 50/50G-EPON Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 1 SC 1.4.129a P20 L15 # 330 Powell, William Nokia Comment Type Ε Comment Status R bucket speeds SuggestedRemedy

throughputs

Response Status C

REJECT.

The change would imply MAC level thoughput, which is not what the speed designator is for.

C/ 1 SC 1.4.245a P20 L33 # 391

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

We provide definition for EQ and also list the "EQ" under the abbreviations, showing the expanded name as "envelope quantum". But there is no definition for the "envelope quantum". Additionally, the definition of EQ is incorrect. EQ is not always 72 bits. In MPCP and above, EQ is 64 bits. In PCS, after 64B/66B encoding, an EQ is 66 bits.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Use the following definition of EQ:

1.4.245a Envelope Quantum: The unit of measurement of volume of information. Each envelope quantum represents 64 bits of data plus the layer-specific encoding. Thus, at the MAC sublayer and above, an envelope quantum is equal to 64 bits. Within the MCRS, an envelope quantum contains 72 bits (i.e., 64 bits of data and 8 bits of control). Within PCS, after the 64B/66B encoding, an envelope quantum contains 66 bits.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 1 SC 1.4.278 P19 L26 # 409

Kramer, Glen

Comment Type

Broadcom

Comment Status A

The definition of Grant provides specific details for C144, but is silent on similar details in C64 and C77

### SuggestedRemedy

Change the definition to the following:

"1.4.278 Grant: Within P2MP protocols, a permission to transmit at a specific time, for a specific duration. Grants are issued by the OLT (master) to ONUs (slaves) by means of GATE messages. <u>In Clause 64 and Clause 77, a GATE MPCPDU contain one or multiple grants issued to a single LLID. Each grant results in one or multiple upstream bursts transmitted by the ONU. In Clause 144, a grant includes envelope allocations for multiple LLIDs. The OLT conveys a grant to the ONU using one or multiple GATE MPCPDUs, all having the same StartTime values. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the grants issued to an ONU and upstream bursts transmitted by that ONU, i.e., a grant issued to an ONU results in a single upstream burst transmitted by that ONU.

<u>...</u> - underline

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"1.4.278 Grant: Within P2MP protocols, a permission to transmit at a specific time, for a specific duration. Grants are issued by the OLT (master) to ONUs (slaves) by means of GATE messages. <u>In Clause 64 and Clause 77, a GATE MPCPDU contains one or multiple grants issued to a single LLID. Each grant results in one or multiple upstream bursts transmitted by the ONU. In Clause 144, a grant includes envelope allocations for multiple LLIDs. The OLT conveys a grant to the ONU using one or multiple GATE MPCPDUs, all having the same StartTime values. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the grants issued to an ONU and upstream bursts transmitted by that ONU, i.e., a grant issued to an ONU results in a single upstream burst transmitted by that ONU.

C/ 30 SC 30 P31 **L1** # 322 C/ 31A SC 31A P22 L41 # 333 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Powell, William Nokia Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Clause 30 changes to add to the draft recipient allow SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert new Clause 30 changes as per laubach\_3ca\_5\_0119.pdf recipient allows Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Insert new Clause 30 changes as per laubach\_3ca\_5\_0119.pdf, with the following changes: C/ 31A SC 31A P**23** L12 # 334 Powell. William Nokia - replace "Clause 142 PCS" with "Clause 142" globally Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket # 331 C/ 31A SC 31A P22 L16 Request that recipients to attempt Powell, William Nokia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket Request that recipients attempt recipient stop Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. recipient stops SC 31A P23 C/ 31A L15 # 335 Response Response Status C Powell, William Nokia ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A Ε SYNC PATTERN def Use appropriate markup, since this is base standard text Used by OLT to announce elements of the FEC-unprotected area (SP) to all ONUs on the given PON C/ 31A SC 31A P22 L20 # 332 SuggestedRemedy Powell, William Nokia Sync pattern used by the OLT to indicate the start of elements of the sync area to all ONUs Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket on the given PON. recipient allow Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. recipient allows See comment #402 Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Use appropriate markup, since this is base standard text

Cl 31A SC 31A P23 L15 # 402

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

SYNC\_PATTERN\_def

Description of SYNC\_PATTERN in Table 31A-1 is inaccurate. SYNC\_PATTERN cannot be sent to \*all\* ONUs on the given PON. It can be sent to all unregistered ONUs, or all registered ONUs, or several registered ONUs, or individual registered ONUs. Also, it does not announce all the elements of FEC-unprotected area (i.e., EBD).

# SuggestedRemedy

Use the following description:

"Announces burst synchronization patterns to all unregistered ONUs, multiple/all registered ONUs, or individual registered ONUs."

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 31A SC 31A P23 L18 # 295

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

CCP frames not listed in Table 31A-1.

### SuggestedRemedy

Change reserved to be 00-19 through 00-1f. Add row for 00-20, CC\_REQUEST, 144.4.2.1, Query or change the state of ONU channel(s), No. Add row for 00-21, CC\_RESPONSE, 144.4.2.2, Report current channel(s) sate and action result code, No. Add row for reserved 00-22 through 01-00.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change reserved to be 00-19 through 00-1f.

Add row for | 00-20 | CC\_REQUEST | 144.4.2.1 | Query or change the state of ONU channel(s) | No |

Add row for | 00-21 | CC\_RESPONSE | 144.4.2.2 | Report current channel(s) state and action result code | No |

Add row for reserved 00-22 through 01-00.

C/ 31A SC 31A P23 L19 # 363

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket

Requests that the recipient stop transmissions in

SuggestedRemedy

Request that the recipient stops transmission in

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Use appropriate markup, since this is base standard text

Cl 31A SC 31A P23 L26 # 364

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type E Comment Status R bucket

This frame is used ...

SuggestedRemedy

Request that the MAC Control generates ...

Response Status C

REJECT.

Current text is correct as is.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P24 L5 # 418

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A post-deadline

Proposed material for Clause 45 PCS registers.

SuggestedRemedy

See remein\_3ca\_2\_1901.pdf (to be included in the draft) and remein\_3ca\_3\_1901.pdf

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use remein\_3ca\_2b\_0119.pdf

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 45 Remein, D	SC <b>45.2.1</b> Duane	P <b>24</b> Huawei	L <b>5</b>	# 417		Cl <b>56</b> SC <b>56.1.2</b> Powell, William	<b>P27</b> Nokia	L1	# 367
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Proposed material for Clause 45 PMA/PMD registers.				C	C45	Comment Type ER at 25.78125	Comment Status A		bucket
Suggester See re	<i>dRemedy</i> emein_3ca_1_190	1.pdf				SuggestedRemedy at a 25.78125			
Response ACCE		Response Status C				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
		ditorial note in 142.4.1 for Bill l encoder control register.	P to provide re	gister definition in		Cl 56 SC 56.1.2 Powell, William	<b>P27</b> Nokia	L <b>2</b>	# 368
Cl 45 Powell, W	SC <b>45.2.1.93</b> a 'illiam	P <b>24</b> Nokia	L <b>32</b>	# 365		Comment Type ER and 25.87125 GBd or 1	Comment Status A		bucket
Comment Type ER Comment Status A C45 writes ignored				C45	SuggestedRemedy and a 25.87125 GBd or	r a 10.3125 GBd			
Suggested write of	dRemedy operations are igno	ored				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Response ACCE	e PT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C				Cl 56 SC 56.1.2.1 Powell, William	P <b>27</b> Nokia	L15	# 369
See c	comment #417					Comment Type E	Comment Status R		bucket
Cl 45 Powell, W	SC <b>45.2.1.93</b> a illiam	P <b>24</b> Nokia	L <b>39</b>	# 366		in 77.4. SuggestedRemedy			
Comment		Comment Status A		C	C45	in Clause 77.4.			
Read	only					Response	Response Status C		
Suggeste	dRemedy					REJECT.			
Read-Only					References to subclauses do not need "Clause" statement.				
Response	<b>,</b>	Response Status C							

Response

ACCEPT.

Cl 56 SC 56.1.2 Powell, William	2.1 <i>P</i> <b>27</b> Nokia	L17	# 370	Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 Powell, William	P <b>29</b> Nokia	L <b>29</b>	# 374	
Comment Type ER plus one or more	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment Type ER a new table 56-4 and C	Comment Status A		bucket	
SuggestedRemedy and one or more				SuggestedRemedy a new Table 56-4 and c	changing the existing			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			
Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 Powell, William	8 <b>P29</b> Nokia	L19	# [371	Cl 141 SC 141.1.1 Powell, William	P <b>34</b> Nokia	L19	# 375	
Comment Type ER For signaling sys	Comment Status A stems transmit rate		bucket	Comment Type ER OLT to the ONU	Comment Status A		bucket	
SuggestedRemedy For signaling sys	stems the transmit rate			SuggestedRemedy OLT to the ONUs OR	OLT to an ONU			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response  ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.			
Cl <b>56</b> SC <b>56.1.3</b> Powell, William	8 <b>P29</b> Nokia	L <b>26</b>	# 373	Use "OLT to ONUs"				
Comment Type ER all of these	Comment Status A		bucket	Cl 141 SC 141.3.1.1 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR	P <b>40</b> Huawei  Comment Status A	L <b>48</b>	# 416	
SuggestedRemedy all these				Comment Type TR Comment Status A  Delay constraints. Comment 434 against Draft 1.2 suggested a mecahnism to allocate delay constrints for Nx25G-EPON but there were objections to that proposal. This item has not been addressed yet and needs to be resolved in order for the draft to be technically complete and proceed to WG Ballot.  SuggestedRemedy				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							
C/ 56 SC 56.1.3	P <b>29</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 372	Work out a solution dur	ing the March meeting.			
Powell, William	Nokia		512	Response	Response Status C			
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		bucket	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	E.			
sentence segment: "mandatory FEC fur SuggestedRemedy mandatory FEC fur		articular in Clause	e 56.1.2, the term	Insert editorial note in a solution for delay definit	III delay-related subclauses tion for EPON.	for Glen and Dua	ne to work out a	

Response Status C

C/ 141 SC 141.3.1.5 P41 L48 # 284 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Missina link in red SuggestedRemedy Use "141.3.5" + make link live Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.4 P44 L1 # 325

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The column headings on Tables 141-11 and 141-12 are inconsistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the heading on the first column of Table 141-11 to "Wavelength Name".

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.5.1 P45 L16 # 296

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 141-13 does not list the total average launch power for the single channel case. This is different than Table 141-14. Both tables should be consistent with each other.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the 7.8 dBm total average launch power from Table 141-14.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In Table 141-14, change "7.8" to "-"

Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 P47 L30 # 326

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The line "Conditions of stressed receiver sensitivity test:" in Tables 141-15 and 16 is a section heading and has no associated parameter values. See example format in Table 141-19.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove TBD placeholders on this line in Tables 141-15 and 16 and merge the cells in the row on Table 141-16. Use formatting similar to Table 141-19.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 141-16 references Table 75-7 for parameters related to 10G upstream. However, in the 2018 revision of IEEE 802.3, Table 75-7 refers to 10/1 power receive characteristics.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace reference with Table 75-6.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.5.2 P52 L26 # 324

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The same type of receiver technology will be used for Nx25G-EPON as for 10G-EPON (APD in TO-can). The same value of receiver reflectance (max) should be used.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace TBD values for Receiver reflectance (max) in Tables 141-19 and 141-20 with a value of -12 dB.

Response Status C

C/ 141 SC 141.7.4 P54 L32 # 304 Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type Comment Status A

Some tests call for "any valid encoded 256B/257B data stream". Some call for "valid Nx25G-EPON signal". Pick one and be consistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace 256B/257B data stream with valid Nx25G-EPON signal.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.7.5 P54 L37 # 303

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

It seems like the I2 reference is a copy paste from 10G/1G EPON.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "repeating pattern /I2/ ordered set (see 36.2.4.12) or".

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.7.9 P**55** 

**L1** # 327 Broadcom Johnson, John

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Section 141.7.9 is incomplete and requires additional text.

SuggestedRemedy

Use the text in johnson\_3ca\_1\_0191.doc for section 141.7.9.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use the text in johnson 3ca 1 0119.pdf for section 141.7.9, and change "the lane under test" to "the lane (wavelength) under test"

C/ 141 SC 141.7.13 P55 L25 # 301

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type Т Comment Status A laser\_time

Max Ton value from Table 141-17 is 512ns, since it is inherited from Table 75-8.

SugaestedRemedy

Change 128ns to 512ns.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Table 141-17 shows value of 128ns for new PMDs. In Table 141-17, rows for Ton and Toff times will be expanded across all PMDs (overriding settings for last column), similar to what is done for Table 141–18

SC 141.7.13 C/ 141 P55 # 302 L33

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A laser time

Max Toff value from Table 141-17 is 512ns, since it is inherited from Table 75-8.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 128ns to 512ns.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #301

C/ 141 SC 141.7.13.2 P56 L25 # 419

Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type Comment Status A post-deadline bucket

We have 19 instances of "synchronization pattern", 2 of "Synchronization Pattern", and 17 of "Sync Pattern". Some consistency should be invoked.

SuggestedRemedy

Use "synchronization pattern".

Response Response Status C

Cl 141 SC 141.8.5 P59 L36 # 388

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

There is no need to repeat a long list of all defined PMDs. All supported PMDs are already listed in Table 141-7 on page 38.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the text "Defined PMDs are as follows: <list of PMDs>" with the following text:

"The list of all supported PMDs is shown in Table 141-7."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 141 SC 141.9.1 P60 L41 # 413

Ferretti, Vince Corning

Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket
Insertion loss is not specified in Table 141.21

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "specified in Tables 141.1 through 141.5"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to "specified in Table 141-1 through Table 141-5". Make links live.

Cl 141 SC 141.9.3 P61 L1 # 328

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The downstream wavelength names in Table 141-21 are inconsistent with the definitions in Table 141-11.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the wavelength names in Table 141-21 to agree with Table 141-11. Change DW2 to DW0 and change the column order so that DW0 is to the left of DW1.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 141 SC 141.9.3 P61 L19 # 414

Ferretti, Vince Corning

Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket

Insertion loss is not specified in Table 141.21

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "specified in Tables 141.1 through 141.5"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to "specified in Table 141-1 through Table 141-5". Make links live

C/ 142 SC 142.1 P64 L9 # 376

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket

The term "passive optical multipoint networks (PONs)" is introduced here. It seems more logical to use the "regular" term. The previous sentence already points out that this is a point-to-multipoint (P2MP) network.

SuggestedRemedy

remove "multipoint" to obtain: passive optical networks (PONs)

Response Status C

Cl 142 SC 142.1.1 P64 L26 # 412

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

conventions

We repeat the Conventions section in every clause (C142, C143, C144). There is a lot of duplicated material, but also some differences in how requirements are stated.

### SuggestedRemedy

Use one Convention section in C142 and reference it from C143 and C144. Expand the convention section to cover timers, vector notations, and FIFO operations. Specific changes:

- 1) Replace subclause 142.1.1 with the material shown in kramer\_3ca\_6\_0119.pdf
- 2) In 142.2.5.3, delete definitions of FIFO.Append(v), FIFO.Fill(v), FIFO.GetHead(), and FIFO.IsEmpty()
- 3) Add this text to the last sentense of InputFifo definition: "and supports operations <i>Append()</i>, <i>IsEmpty()</i>, and <i>GetHead()</i> (see 142.1.1.5)."
- 4) Add this sentense of TxFifo definition: "The TxFifo[] supports operations <i>Append()</i>, <i>Fill()</i>, and <i>GetHead()</i> (see 142.1.1.5)."
- 5) Change title of 143.3.3.1 from "State diagram conventions" to "Conventions". Replace subclause text with "See 142.1.1."
- 6) Replace subclause 143.3.4.1 text with "See 142.1.1."
- 7) Change title of 144.1.6 from "State diagram conventions" to "Conventions". Replace subclause text with "See 142.1.1."
- 8) In 144.3.6.3, change definition of EnvList as follows:
- 8.a) Remove the sentence "Each EnvList[ch] list has several associated functions:".
- 8.b) Remove the list of functions
- 8.c) Insert text: "Each <i>EnvList[ch]</i> list supports operations <i>Append()</i>, <i>Clear()</i>, <i>IsEmpty()</i>, <i>GetHead()</i>, and <i>PeekHead()</i> (see 142.1.1.5)."
- 9) In Figure 144-22, replace "RemoveHead()" with "GetHead()" (2 places)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use one Convention section in C142 and reference it from C143 and C144. Expand the convention section to cover timers, vector notations, and FIFO operations. Specific changes:

1) Replace subclause 142.1.1 with the material shown in kramer 3ca 6b 0119.pdf

- 2) In 142.2.5.3, delete definitions of FIFO.Append(v), FIFO.Fill(v), FIFO.GetHead(), and FIFO.IsEmpty()
- 3) Add this text to the last sentense of InputFifo definition: "and supports FIFO access operations as defined in 142.1.1.5."
- 4) Add this sentense of TxFifo definition: "The TxFifo[] supports FIFO access operations as defined in 142.1.1.5."
- 5) Change title of 143.3.3.1 from "State diagram conventions" to "Conventions". Replace subclause text with "See 142.1.1."
- 6) Replace subclause 143.3.4.1 text with "See 142.1.1."
- 7) Change title of 144.1.6 from "State diagram conventions" to "Conventions". Replace subclause text with "See 142.1.1."
- 8) In 144.3.6.3, change definition of EnvList as follows:
  - 8.a) Remove the sentence "Each EnvList[ch] list has several associated functions:".
  - 8.b) Remove the list of functions
- 8.c) Insert text: "Each <i>EnvList[ch]</i> list supports FIFO access operations as defined in 142.1.1.5."
- 9) In Figure 144-22, replace "RemoveHead()" with "GetHead()" (2 places)

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

conventions

It seems useful to start with the remark that code examples adhere to the C programming language. The subsequent notation ++, --, += and -= does not need to be explained.

### SuggestedRemedy

The notation used in the state diagrams in this clause follows the conventions in Clause 21.5. Code examples provided in this clause adhere to the style of the "C" programming language. In particular, if the notation ++ or -- is used directly after a variable name representing an integer value, this integer value is incremented by 1 or decremented by 1, respectively. Similarly, if the notation += and -= are used after a variable, the corresponding value is to be incremented or decremented by the following value, respectively.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

# IEEE P802.3ca D1.4 25/50G-EPON Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

Cl 142 SC 142.1.3 P64 L43 # 378

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The term "FEC-unprotected" is not common.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest to rewrite this, e.g., An ONU burst transmission comprises two or three distinct synchronization pattern (SP) zones, followed by one or more FEC codewords, and ending with an end-of-burst (EBD) delimiter.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "FEC protected" to "FEC-protected" and "FEC unprotected" to "FEC-unprotected" globally.

Change "FEC-unprotected and the FEC-protected portions" to "FEC-unprotected and the FEC-protected areas"

C/ 142 SC 142.1.3 P66 L4 # 379

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

TXD[i]<31:0>, TXC[i]<3:0>, TXC, RXD[i]<31:0>, RXC<3:0>, RXC[i] in Figure 142-2 is first specified in Clause 143.3.1.1.

## SuggestedRemedy

Propose to either introduce this notation in the text when describing Fig. 142-2, or to refer to Clause 143.3.1.1. BTW, should "TXC" be "TXC[i]"?

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Transmit direction operates on a single clock signal TX\_CLK shared by all channels, so that is correct.

Add the following statement on page 64, line 25: "See 143.3.1.1 for definition of TXD, TXC, TX\_CLK, RXD, RXD, and RX\_CLK. In figure 142-2, replace TCX (standalone) with TX\_CLK. Same for RXC standalone to RX\_CLK - it seems like an error.

Cl 142 SC 142.1.3 P66 L52 # 380
Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

sentence fragment: where the last codeword may be shortened to minimize the unused LDPC codeword payload ... This statement is inaccurate. First, the LDPC code used has wordlength 17,664, with a 14,592-bit payload and a 3,072-bit parity check segment. A transmitted codeword that comprises a maximum-size payload portion consists of 56 257-bit encoded and scrambled data blocks, i.e., a total of 14,392 bits, followed by 10 257-bit parity blocks carrying interleaved parity information and a codeword delimiter. As such, there is a 200-bit reduction in payload to allow for an integer-number of 256B257B blocks, and the last 512 parity check bits have been punctured to increase the code rate. Given that at this point, the LDPC encoder has not been introduced yet, and can also consider making a more general statement, that, depending on the number of bits to be transmitted during the burst transmission, one or several codewords will be formed, and that all codewords, except the last one, will be of full length.

# SuggestedRemedy

Suggested change: The LDPC code used has wordlength 17,664, with a 14,592-bit payload and a 3,072-bit parity check segment. A transmitted codeword that comprises a maximum-size payload portion consists of 56 257-bit encoded and scrambled data blocks, i.e., a total of 14,392 bits, followed by 10 257-bit parity blocks carrying interleaved parity information and a codeword delimiter. As such, there is a 200-bit reduction in payload to allow for an integer-number of 256B257B blocks, and the last 512 parity check bits have been punctured to increase the code rate. The number of bits that are to be placed in the last transmitted codeword of a burst may be shorter than the maximum-size payload. In this case, only the information-carrying part of the payload is transmitted, followed by the entire 10 257-bit parity blocks.

Response Status C

#### ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "shortened to minimize the unused LDPC codeword payload at the end of the burst" to "shortened to minimize the unused LDPC codeword payload at the end of the burst (see 142.2.4)"

# IEEE P802.3ca D1.4 25/50G-EPON Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

Cl 142 SC 142.1.3 P67 L2 # 381

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status R

segment: ... data blocks and 10 of 257-bit blocks carrying LDPC parity and codeword delimiter. At this point, the LDPC encoder has not been introduced yet. Consider making a more general statement, that, depending on the number of bits to be transmitted, one or several codewords will be formed, and that all codewords, except the last one, will be of full length.

### SuggestedRemedy

Alternative option:In normal operation, the SBD is followed by a number of FEC codewords, where the payload of all codewords, except for the last codeword, comprises 56 257-bit 256B/257B encoded and scrambled data blocks. The last data part of a burst is sent, followed by the full-length parity segment.

Response Status C

REJECT.

Text reads correctly as is.

C/ 142 SC 142.1.3 P67 L19 # 382

Powell, William Nokia

Figure 142-3 - the parameter N is used in the figure to denote the number of codewords in the burst. This may lead to confusion, given that the codeword length is also denoted by N.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Suggest to replace N by, e.g., B.

TR

Response Status C

REJECT.

"N" is used clearly as number of FEC codewords within the same figure.

Comment Status R

Cl 142 SC 142.1.3 P67 L20 # 393

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"TP Length" is shown in figures 142-3 and 142-4, but is not explained in text and is not used anywhere else.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "TP Length" and the associated dimension arrows from both figures.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 142 SC 142.1.3 P67 L20 # 403

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"The default number of Sync Pattern zones is two" But yet the diagram for 3 zones is shown immediately below and text after the figure only describes two zones.

SuggestedRemedy

Swap order of figures 142-3 and 142-4.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 142 SC 142.2.2 P70 L20 # 394

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"Prior to being transcoded into 257-bit blocks the Nx25G PCS scrambles four aggregated 66-bit blocks."

This sentence states that PCS itself is being transcoded.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "The Nx25G PCS scrambles the payload of each 66-bit block. It then accumulates 66-bits blocks into groups of four and transcodes each group into a single 257-bit block"

Response Status C

# IEEE P802.3ca D1.4 25/50G-EPON Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4 P70 L32 # 383
Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Original text: The Nx25G-EPON PCS shall encode the transmitted data stream using LDPC(16952,14392) FEC, defined in 142.2.4. Annex 142A gives an example of LDPC(16952,14392) FEC encoding and interleaving. The notation LDPC(16952,14392) FEC is not a common notation for an LDPC code, and it does not fully specify the code, unlike, e.g., a Reed Solomon code. It is suggested to provide a more general statement and refer to subsequent Clauses for further details.

### SuggestedRemedy

The Nx25G-EPON PCS shall perform the FEC encoding operation using a quasi-cyclic low-density parity-check (QC-LDPC) code with blockwise interleaving as defined in Clause 142.2.4. Annex 142A provides examples of the blockwise interleaving and LDPC encoding operations.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use laubach\_3ca\_6a\_0119.pdf for replacement of 142.2.4

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4 P70 L33 # 384

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Suggest to provide the details of the LDPC code in an Annex. The main advantage is that one can then first specify the full-length quasi-cyclic low-density parity-check code, using an mxn matrix that specifies the amount of cyclic rotation of a diagonal zxz sub-matrix.

SuggestedRemedy

Migrate portions of Clause 142.2.4 to an Annex.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #383

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4 P70 L34 # 385

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Annex 142A has not been included vet.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest to include examples as soon as possible. These may be modified/improved later on.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #383

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P70 L37 # 386

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The bit sequence input for a given code block to the FEC Encoder ...

SuggestedRemedy

It may be best to state that during a burst transmission, an ONU is allocated sufficient time to transmit  $K_B$  bits. The number of codewords equals  $B = \text{ceil}(K_B/K_max)$ .

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P70 L38 # 336
Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The current text is convoluted; it would make most sense to write that a quasi-cyclic LDPC code was selected, specified by an mxn shift-matrix and a lifting factor Z=256. This specifies the maximum word length:  $N^*=nZ$  and the number of parity-check bits  $M^*=mZ$ . It is typically also useful to specify k=n-m, and  $K^*=N-M$ , the maximum number of systematic bits. After the definition of the code and its parameters, one can state that one uses K information bits, where  $K <= K_max <= K^*$ , and that the remaining  $K^*-K$  bits are assumed to be zero, and not transmitted - this way, one also does not need a "zero-padding" module in the encoder. The first  $M=M^*-512$  parity-check bits are transmitted; this implies that the remaining parity-check bits do not have to be computed (one does not need a puncturing module in the encoder). Using this outline, one does not need the parameters P and S.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Proposal: specify the full-length LDPC code in 142.2.4.1. Avoid any discussion about puncturing and shortening here. Move this to 142.2.4.3. The description on p. 75, lines 5-18 is generally better than on p. 71, lines 3-25.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #383

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P70 L38 # 387

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

sentence: ... The parity check bit sequence produced by FEC Encoder ...

SuggestedRemedy

rewrite: ... Prior to encoding, the input bit sequence is grouped into K/z z-bit segments  $u_i / (j)$ 

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #383

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P70 L40 # 337

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

sentence: ... where M is the number of parity check bits.

SuggestedRemedy

... where M is the number of transmitted interleaved parity-check bits.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #383

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P70 L41 # 338

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

sentence: ... where N = K + M is the length of the encoder output sequence ... Issue: in Fig. 142-6, the FEC encoder only produces parity-bit segments.

SuggestedRemedy

rewrite this sentence to: .... where N = K+M is the length of the transmitted codeword.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #383

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P70 L46 # 339

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

sentence: the LDPC parity check matrix is a 12-by-69 quasi-cyclic matrix - this is confusing. The matrix that specifies the H-matrix is a 12x69 matrix, but the matrix itself is 12Z x 69Z. It is suggested to move all text that defines a QC-LDPC code to 142.2.4.1 and the encoding details to 142.2.4.2.

SuggestedRemedy

the LDPC parity check matrix is specified by a 12 x 69 matrix H\_c OR, move the paragraphs starting on p. 71, lines 29 up to p. 74, line 30 to the beginning of Clause 142.2.4.1. The Encoder-related material in 142.2.4.1 can then move to Clause 142.2.4.2.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #383

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P70 L51 # 404 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type Comment Status A "— the number of shortened information bits. S (Smin = 200): " We never use Smin anywhere else in text. And it is not clear that Smin is only used when we have Kmax information bits. It would be more informative to illustrate how value S is obtained. SuggestedRemedy Replace "(Smin = 200)" with "(S = 14592 - K)"Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #383 C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P70 L53 # 340 Powell, William Nokia Comment Type TR Comment Status A sentence: ... where M is the number of parity-check bits after puncturing SuggestedRemedy rewrite: ... where M is the number of transmitted parity-check bits. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #383 C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P70 L54 # 341 Powell, William Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Status A sentence: ... the number of parity-check bits after puncturing, M (M = 3072 - 512 = 2560); SuggestedRemedy please note that M has already been defined on p. 70, line 5; it may not be necessary to redefine it here. Alternatively, rewrite: ... the number of transmitted interleaved parity-check bits, M (M = 2560). Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P**71 L1** # 343 Powell. William Nokia Comment Type TR Comment Status A a maximum number of information bits is specified, but can this be any number, or is it a multiple of 8, 16, ...? Should one also specify a minimum number of information bits? On p. 67, lines 1-4, it seems that the data granularity is 256 bits. SuggestedRemedy Add information on the minimum payload length and the granularity. If there are no restrictions, then indicate that K can take any possible value, as long as K <= K max. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #383 C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P**71 L1** # 342 Powell. William Nokia Comment Type T Comment Status A sentence: the number of output bits ... it would be less ambiguous to refer to this as the transmitted sequence? SuggestedRemedy the number of transmitted bits Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #383 C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P**71 L1** # 344 Powell, William Nokia Comment Type Comment Status A sentence: ... on the burst length pattern to determine shortening length ... This sentence is ambiguous. The notion of "burst length" is mentioned in 141.3.5.2, p. 43, line 23. There is no notion of a burst length pattern prior to p. 71. SugaestedRemedy Suggest to more specifically formulate the number of bits to be transmitted during a burst,

Suggest to more specifically formulate the number of bits to be transmitted during a burst, in terms of information bits, and possibly introduce extra variables: the number of data bits, the number of input bits to the FEC encoder (256B/257B redundancy). ...

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #383

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 Page 14 of 28 1/16/2019 2:31:40 PM Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P71 L3 # 345
Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

sentence: the code rate, R = K/N, defined as the code rate after puncturing and after shortening. Propose to use the standard definition.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite: the code rate, R = K/N, defined as the ratio between the number of information bits (K) and the number of transmitted bits (N).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #383

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P71 L5 # 346

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

sentence: The encoder supports highest code rate Rmax = Kmax/Nmax = 0.849.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite: The FEC Encoder supports an FEC code rate up to Rmax = Kmax/Nmax =

14392/16952 = 0.849.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #383

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P71 L8 # 320

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

After producing and verifying the test vector addition to Annex 142A, these figures were updated to improved clarity, fix process flow, create symmetry and align with other PCS figures and state diagrams.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace both Figure 142-6 and 142-15 (page 87, line 34) with the respective figures in laubach 3ca 3 0119.pdf

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use laubach\_3ca\_3a\_0119.pdf

CI 142 SC 142.2.4.1 P74 L23 # 348

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Fig. 142-7 - the labeling in this figure is ambiguous. If the systematic part of this "codeword" represents the input to the encoder, then the label "transmitted user bits" is inaccurate, as the encoder operates on an "bit-interleaved" sequence. The label "Transmitted Parity Bits" is also ambiguous, as the Parity Bits are interleaved prior to transmission. At the same time, this is also not a depiction of the transmitted sequence.

SuggestedRemedy

It is proposed to modify at least the labels, and possibly to introduce a second/third figure, or a combined figure. One could then show: block of K information bits; implicit zero-extension; 256-bit blockwise interleaving; encoding, i.e., determination of the first 10 256-bit parity-check segments; (de)interleaving of the parity segments; transmission of the K user bits, followed by 2560 interleaved parity-check bits.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #383

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.2 P71 L47 # 347

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

right column shifts

SuggestedRemedy

propose to introduce a shift-by-one ZxZ matrix B, or using a cyclic permutation. The matrix probably works best. The HC matrix would then specify the exponent of B (repeated shifts).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.3 P**74** L43 # 349 Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Sentence: ... which is then interleaved ... To be consistent with other parts of the text, the term de-interleaved should be used; a better option seems to be to write that a reverse omega network is used.

SuggestedRemedy

Propose to write: the first 10 256-bit segments of computed parity bits p^(1) p^(10) are interleaved using an 8-stage reverse Omega network with seed value s(i).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #383

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.4 P**75 L1** # 319

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A 142 2 4 4

After producing and verifying the test vector addition to Annex 142A, the interleaver text was reviewed. This update removes ambiguities, improves clarity, and reduces wording. Also provided is laubach\_3ca\_2\_0119.pdf, a framemaker compare with the Draft 1.4 text.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace 142.2.4.4 with contents of laubach 3ca 1 0119.pdf.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

**L1** C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.4 P75 # 350 Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A 142.2.4.4

sub-clause title is confusing; the information part is transmitted in regular order (noninterleaved)

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed change: Interleaving operation of parity-bit segment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #319 + change "142.2.4.4 Transmit Interleaving" to "142.2.4.4 Interleaver"

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.4 P75 **L1** # 420

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A post-deadline

142.2.4.4

Reviewing the test vector addition to Annex 142A and the updates to the 142.2.4.4 interleaver text, reviewing additional comments and proposed responses, then suggested reviewing all encoder text. This update removes ambiguities, improves clarity, reduces wording, fixes typos, and attempts to address some of the proposed comments. Doing these as many individual comments could lead to error, so bulk replacement text is provided. Also provided is laubach\_3ca\_7\_0119.pdf, a framemaker compare with the Draft 1.4 text.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace 142.2.4 intro text, 142.2.4.1, 142.2.4.2, 142.2.4.3 with the respective contents of laubach 3ca 6 0119.pdf.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #383

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.4 P**75** L3 # 351 Nokia

Powell, William

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

For the purposes here: ... it is hard to parse this sentence. The recommendation is to remove this paragraph.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed change: remove this paragraph.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 142 SC 142.2.4.4 P75 L7 # 353

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

142.2.4.4

Sentence: ... reverse-omega networks. The term omega network is not all that common. It may be a better idea to introduce the omega network and the reverse network first, as for the LDPC code, and then describe the encoder and decoder operation.

### SuggestedRemedy

Proposal: change the order of discussion - first the full-length LDPC code, the omega network and the reverse omega network, and then the FEC Encoder (and optionally, the FEC Decoder).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #319

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.4 P75 L7 # 352
Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

142.2.4.4

The term interleaving is generally used to describe the process of transforming a sequence that is in regular order into a sequence that is interleaved. Rather than turning this definition upside-down, it is proposed to discuss the 8-stage 256-input omega network and the 8-stage 256-input reverse omega network. One can then simply state that for the interleaver in the encoder, an 8-stage 256-input reverse omega network is used, and that, consequently, the decoder uses the 8-stage 256-bit omega network.

### SuggestedRemedy

The FEC Encoder uses an 8-stage 256 x 256 reverse omega network.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #319

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.4 P75 L15 # 354

Powell, William Nokia

won, william

Comment Type T Comment Status A

142.2.4.4

The proposed de-interleaver/interleaver is a module that has 256 data inputs, 256 data outputs, a 128-bit seed, and a "fixed/pre-defined" cyclic rotation of this seed (shift factor: 17). Fig. 142-8 seems to imply that a massively parallel structure is needed with 57 \* 256 inputs.

### SuggestedRemedy

It seems more straightforward to present one de-interleaver unit and then associate the seeds with the segment indices.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #319

CI 142 SC 142.2.4.4 P75 L28 # 356

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

142.2.4.4

Sentence: The parity bit interleaver ... given that Fig. 142-8 show the information bit deinterleaver, it seems to make sense to first discuss the parity-check bit interleaver

### SuggestedRemedy

Sentence: The parity-check bit de-interleaver ...

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #319

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.4 P75 L28 # 355

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

142 2 4 4

Sentence: The first ten  $\dots$  These local interleavers are realized by 12 independent omega networks.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed change: Change first "de-interleaved" to "interleaved"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.4 P75 L30 # 357 Nokia

Comment Status A

Powell, William

TR

142 2 4 4

Sentence: ... consists of 12 local interleavers ... not sure what local refers to: it seems to make more sense to state that the first 10 256-bit parity-check bit segments are deinterleaved using an 8-stage 256x256 reversed omega network, where each segment has its own seed.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Proposed: The first 10 256-bit parity-check bit segments are de-interleaved using an 8stage 256x256 reversed omega network, where each segment has its own seed.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #319

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.4 P75 L36 # 358

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A 142.2.4.4

The figure caption is misleading, as this is the Parity-Check Bit interleaver.

SuggestedRemedy

Revised caption: Parity-Check Bit interleaver.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #319

C/ 142 SC 142.2.4.5 P76 L31 # 359

Powell, William Nokia

Comment Status R Comment Type Т

Sentence: ... and i - 0, ..., 127 - the regular numbering thus far starts at 1. In the context of the permutation, an index starting at 0 can be useful, but it is not difficult to let this index also start at 1.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite: ... and i = 0. .... 127.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Text is correct as is.

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.1 P80 L26 # 298

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type Comment Status A

The lower 257-bits are no longer TBD, per 142.3.5.1. Also, it shouldn't be necessary to specify the 258-bit value here and 257-bit value elsewhere.

SugaestedRemedy

Value: {MSB = 0. EBD] as specified in 142.3.5.1}

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Value: EBD257 (see 142.3.5.1) with a bit having value 0 appended as MSB

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.2 P81 L25 # 278

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

ClkOut and ClkXfr are defined in 142.2.5.2 and have the very same definition: "The clear on read variable ClkOut is set to true once for each 257-bits of data output by the PMD." since the event happens at the specific moment of time (when 257 bits are transfered by the PMD), we could

- combine definition into just one
- rewrite it to set to true on bit 257 and false otherwise this is sufficient to trigger transition in target SDs

SuggestedRemedy

Remove ClkXfr

Change definition of ClkOut to read "The variable ClkOut is set to true once every 257-bits of data output by the PMD and set to false otherwise."

Change the name of ClkOut to ClkOut257b. Update SDs (142-12, 142-13, and 142-14 accordingly)

Change all instances of ClkXfr to ClkOut257b. Update SDs (142-12, 142-13, and 142-14 accordingly)

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.3 P83 L11 # 360 Powell, William Nokia Comment Type Т Comment Status R FecParity() - would it make sense to provide a counter as argument? SuggestedRemedy FecParity(i) Response Response Status C REJECT. Current definition and the use case for this function does not require any external counters to be passed into it. C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.3 P83 L15 # 323 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Appears to be a pre-mature line return after "return" SuggestedRemedy Fix if possible. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.3 P83 L20 # 361 Powell, William Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket Sentence: This function adds the block v to the input of FIFO buffer. SuggestedRemedy Rewrite: This function adds block v to the input of the FIFO buffer.

Response Status C

C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.3 P83 L23 # 362 Powell. William Nokia Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Sentence: This function writes block v. to each element of FIFO buffer. Suggest to remove the comma, and to insert "the". SugaestedRemedy Rewrite: This function writes block v to each element of the FIFO buffer. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 142 SC 142.2.5.3 P83 L40 # 292 Haiduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Dead reference to Figure 143-2 SuggestedRemedy Make link live, it is correct reference Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 142 SC 142.2.6.2 P81 L38 # 390 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Status A Comment Type T When we define a variable with "[]" at the end, we always specify the type as "array of <units>". We create such array definitions only if we need to access individual elements using an index. The ParityStagingBuffer definition has "[]", but the type is defined as "block of 2570 bits". This is inconsistent. We either need to define it as "array of 270 bits" or remove the brackets. In text or in the state diagrams, we never access individual elements of ParityStagingBuffer. We only use "<m:n>" notation as we do for blocks (vectors). SuggestedRemedy

- 1) Make the type "2570-bit block"
- 2) Remove "[]" from the definition.

Response Response Status C

C/ 142 SC 142.3 P84 L50 # 285 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Missing link in red SuggestedRemedy Use "142.3.1.1" + make link live Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 142 SC 142.3.1 P85 L48 # 286 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Remove current text from the subclause and insert red TBD

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove "Note to Editor: text and figures extracted from 142.2.2.5. 142.3.1.1 LDPC Decoder" (yes, remove subclause heading)

Cl 142 SC 142.3.5 P88 L15 # 411

Kramer, Glen
Comment Type

Broadcom

Comment Status A

There are multiple issues with the PCS receive data path state diagrams:

- 1) OLT and ONU synchronizers don't pass any aligned data to the rest of PCS receive path
- 2) Receive state diagram attempts to find perfect match for EBD and SBD values, which with input BER of 0.01 will happen only in 0.6% of bursts.
- 3) PMAUDI is a primitive. But it is used in the PCS receive state diagram as if it is a variable or a buffer.
- 4) In PCS Output SD, the variable OutEqCtr is used without being initialized
- 5) Non-mutually exclusive transitions from state NEXT\_VECTOR

### SuggestedRemedy

Replace subclause 142.3.5 with the material in kramer\_3ca\_2\_0119.pdf.

Response Status C

TR

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace subclause 142.3.5 with the material in kramer\_3ca\_2a\_0119.pdf, with the following changes:

- change "The OutputFifo supports operations IsEmpty() and GetHead() (see 142.1.1.5)." to "The OutputFifo supports FIFO access operations as defined in 142.1.1.5."
- change "The RxCwBuf supports operations Append(), Clear(), IsEmpty(), and IsFull() (see 142.1.1.5)." to "The RxCwBuf supports FIFO access operations as defined in 142.1.1.5."

Cl 142 SC 142.3.5.1 P89 L6 # 398

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"parity delimiter" term is undefined. We use the term "FEC codeword delimiter"

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "parity delimitet" with "FEC codeword delimiter" in two places: page 89, line 6

page 89, line 37

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #411.

C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.2 P90 L12 # 415 Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Type Т Comment Status A PMAUDI[i] Alias for PMA\_UNITDATA[i]<256:0>.indication needs refinement SuggestedRemedy Change to: PMAUDIfil Alias for PMA UNITDATA[i]( rx code group<256:0>) Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #411 C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.2 P90 L32 # 287 C/ 143 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Missing link in red SuggestedRemedy Use "142.1.3" + make link live Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 142 SC 142.3.5.3 P90 L48 # 288 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio C/ 143 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Missing link in red SuggestedRemedy Use "142.2.5.3" + make link live Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 142A SC 142A P97 L37 # 321 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A Insert informational test vector text. Note: the five test vector files are also provided to the Editor in a zip file. SuggestedRemedy Insert new text after 142A.1 as per laubach\_3ca\_4\_0119.pdf Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Insert new text after 142A.1 as per laubach\_3ca\_4a\_0119.pdf SC 143.2.4.2 P100 L29 # 405 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type T Comment Status A Figure 143-3 may be confusing to readers, since it doesn't show the envelope headers at the beginning of each frame, as Figure 143-4 does. Probably just leaving Figure 143-4 is enough. SuggestedRemedy Delete Figure 143-3 and its reference in text. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. P101 SC 143.2.4.3 L18 # 299 Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Text says LLID is N, figure says LLID is L.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace LLID N with LLID L.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

bucket

C/ 143 SC 143.2.6 P106 L23 # 401 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type Т Comment Status A Section 143.2.6 "MCRS Time synchronization" is located in the generic part of MCRS clause, but it talks about EPON-specific concepts, such as OLT, ONU, LocalTime. At the same time, there is an empty section 143.4.2 "MCRS and MPCP clock synchronization" in the EPON-specific part of the clause. SuggestedRemedy Move the subclause 143.2.6 into 143.4.2. Use the title "MCRS Time synchronization" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 143 SC 143.3.3.2 P115 L51 # 289 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communicatio** Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Missing link in red SuggestedRemedy Link is correct, just make it live Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 143 SC 143.3.4.4 P125 L22 # 400 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A Definition of IsMisalifgned function is wrong. The function is supposed to return true is the

Definition of IsMisalifgned function is wrong. The function is supposed to return true is the first xGMII transfer contains the second part of IBI EQ and the second transfer contains the first half of an envelope header.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the definition of IsMisaligned() function with the one shown in kramer 3ca 5 0119.pdf. Note the italics and indentation.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment line was fixed (was 12, should be 22)

 CI 143
 SC 143.4.1
 P128
 L34
 # 395

 Kramer, Glen
 Broadcom

 Comment Type
 E
 Comment Status
 A
 bucket

"These are passive optical multipoint networks (PONs)"

We use terms "passive optical networks (PON)" and "point-to-multipoint (P2MP)", but we never define "passive optical multipoint networks" (POMN?)

Also, not clear what "these" refers to.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "These" with "P2MP networks". Strike "multipoint".

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Stoddom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Missing descriptions for bandwidth allocation, authentication, provisioning, and more.

SuggestedRemedy

"This clause does not address..."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.1.1 P135 L38 # 305

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Reference to clause instead of figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace Clause 144-1 with Figure 144-1.

Response Status C

Cl 144 SC 144.1.2 P138 L3 # 279
Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Text missing in 144.1.2 "Position of Multipoint MAC Control within the IEEE 802.3 hierarchy"

SuggestedRemedy

Use the text per hajduczenia\_3ca\_1\_0119.pdf

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use the following text in 144.1.2

"Figure 144–2 depicts the architectural positioning of the Multipoint MAC Control sublayer with respect to the MAC and the MAC Control client. The Multipoint MAC Control sublayer extends the MAC Control sublayer to support multiple clients and additional MAC control functionality."

Cl 144 SC 144.1.3 P138 L20 # 316

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The CCP is missing from Figure 144-3.

SuggestedRemedy

Add, similar to GATE generation process, to show that there are multiple instances.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add editorial note under figure 144-3 and 144-4 indicating homework for Glen to come up with a new way to show MPCP and CCP on one figure for OLT and one figure for ONU. This will be submitted as comment against next version of a draft.

Cl 144 SC 144.2.1 P141 L31 # 280

Haiduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

I believe note in red can be removed, since botj Control Parser and Control Multiplexer are already shown in Figure 144-5/6, respectively.

SuggestedRemedy

Strike the note in red.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.1 P182 L48 # 294

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

INVALID COMMAND is not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

INVALID\_COMMAND. This constant represents the value of ActionResultCode corresponding to "Invalid command", per Table 144-11. Value 0x4.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Per comment + insert "continued" tag into Table 144-11 caption.

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Red link: 143.2.6

SuggestedRemedy

Link is correct, just make it live

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.1.1 P146 L2 # 282

Haiduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Red link: 143.2.6
SuagestedRemedv

Make link live, remove red highlight

Response Status C

C/ 144 SC 144.3.1.1 P146 L16 # 281 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Dead link: 143.2.6 SuggestedRemedy Make link live Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 144 SC 144.3.2.2 P148 **L6** # 293 Broadcom Lynskey, Eric Comment Type T Comment Status A MLID is also used to carry CCPDUs. SuggestedRemedy Add "and CCPDUs (see 144.4)" to end of first sentence. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 144.3.3 P148 C/ 144 L53 # 283 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Status A Comment Type E bucket Table 144-1 is missing bottom cell line SuggestedRemedy Add the missing line at line 53 Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

 CI 144
 SC 144.3.4
 P149
 L28
 # 410

 Kramer, Glen
 Broadcom

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status A
 MPCPDU-rewrite

Action item form Bangkok meeting to update the MPCPDU desacription section to reflect the new approach of operand list structure in state diagrams

The main purpose of this update was to align field names and message structures with what we use in state diagrams and to ensure that all fields are defined only once in a single place.

## SuggestedRemedy

Replace the current subclause 144.3.4 with the text and figures provided in kramer\_3ca\_3\_0119.pdf.

In the new subclause, each MPCPDU has its operands grouped in a single structure called MsgName and every field can be accessed in any state diagram by using notation MsgName.FieldName. All state diagrams in C144 already use this notation.

(By definition, the operand list in a MAC Control message comprises all the fields following the opcode, but excluding Pad and FCS).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace the current subclause 144.3.4 with the text and figures provided in kramer\_3ca\_3\_0119.pdf. Update "x bit" to "x-bit" where used as compound adjective.

Add Editotrial Note in 144.4.2 with AI for Glen to rewrite the definitions of CCPDUs using template from MPCPDUs (see 144.3.4).

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4 P149 L44 # 313

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

There is no subclause 144.6.2.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Looking at previous EPON standards, it is likely supposed to be a reference to the empty 144.1.2.

Response Status C

C/ 144 SC 144.3.4.1 P151 L39 # 306

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type т Comment Status A MPCPDU-rewrite

The bit positions of FR and F in Figure 144-10 are not clear.

SuggestedRemedy

Add some bit positions on the figure to show that F corresponds to bit [23] and FR corresponds to bit [22] and EnvLength corresponds to bits [21:0]. This would be similar to Figure 144-15.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #410

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5 P161 L3 # 308

Lvnskev. Eric Broadcom

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket

This is the only page in the draft that uses the term off-line ONU. The term, unregistered, is used more frequently.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace off-line with unregistered on lines 3, 4, and 27.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5 P161 L15 # 307

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

The statement about aborting the registration attempt should be from the point of view of receiving the new SYNC PATTERN MPCPDU.

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

If a SYNC PATTERN MPCPDU is received prior to the transmission of a REGISTER REQ MPCPDU of an ONU responding to a previous discovery window...

Response Status C Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Т

If a SYNC PATTERN MPCPDU is received prior to the transmission of a REGISTER REQ MPCPDU of an ONU responding to a previous discovery window...

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5 P161 L28 # 406 Broadcom

Kramer, Glen

Comment Type Т Comment Status A

"Discovery windows are unique in that they are the only times when multiple ONUs can access the PON simultaneously, and transmission overlap can occur."

This statement is not true in multi-channel PON.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the sentence to

"Discovery windows are unique in that they are the only times when multiple ONUs can access the same upstream channel simultaneously, and transmission overlap can occur."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the sentence to

"Discovery windows are unique in that they are the only times when multiple ONUs are allowed to access the same upstream channel simultaneously, and transmission overlap may occur."

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5 P161 L50 # 407

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type Comment Status A Ε bucket

"bonding" should be "binding" in the following sentences:

"Upon receipt of a valid REGISTER\_REQ MPCPDU, the OLT registers the ONU, allocating and assigning two new port identities (PLID and MLID), and bonding them to corresponding MACs in the OLT."

"It is the responsibility of Layer Management to perform the MAC bonding, and start transmission from/to the newly registered ONU."

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "bonding" with "binding"

Response Response Status C

## IEEE P802.3ca D1.4 25/50G-EPON Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5 P161 L54 # 309

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

The final sentence that carries over to the next page is incorrect. The OLT no longer sends laser on/off back to the ONU.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Delete the final sentence on page 161 beginning with, "The OLT also..."

Comment Status A

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.5 P162 L4 # 408

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

There are several problems with the following sentence:

"The OLT at that time has enough information to schedule the ONU for access to the PON and transmits a standard GATE MPCPDU allowing the ONU to transmit a REGISTER\_ACK MPCPDU."

- 1) Not clear at what time the OLT has the information.
- 2) "transmits a standad GATE" implies that 802.3ca standard will also describe a non-standard GATE.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the sentence to

"After processing the REGISTER\_REQ MPCPDU received from a given ONU, the OLT has enough information to schedule that ONU for access to the PON. The OLT transmits a GATE MPCPDU allowing the ONU to transmit a REGISTER\_ACK MPCPDU."

Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.3.5.1

P164 Broadcom L15

# 310

Lynskey, Eric

Comment Status A

Does a constant need a default value?

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

Remove "default value".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "default value" to "for ODN with 20 km reach"

C/ 144 SC 144.3.5.1

P164

L29

L54

# 311

Lynskey, Eric

Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

A constant shouldn't have an unknown value.

SuggestedRemedy

Move GRANT MARGIN to 144.3.5.3 Variables.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Per comment + change "constant" to "variable". Change name from GRANT\_MARGIN to GrantMargin + update SDs

C/ 144 SC 144.3.6.3

P**170** 

# 291

bucket

Hajduczenia, Marek

Comment Type E

Charter Communicatio

Missing link in red

SuggestedRemedy

Given that the only location were envelope descritptor is defined is the same subclause, see Env structure, te reference is not needed.

Change "(see 144.x.x.x)" to (see <i>Env</i> variable)"

Response

Response Status C

Comment Status A

Cl 144 SC 144.3.6.8. P172 L39 # 396

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

In Figure 144-22, "=" shall be "<=". The originally accepted state diagram had the correct symbol.

SuggestedRemedy

 $\label{eq:continuous} \textbf{Replace "MsgGate.StartTime - LocalTime = MPCP\_PROCESS\_DLY"} \\ \textbf{with} \\$ 

"MsgGate.StartTime - LocalTime <= MPCP\_PROCESS\_DLY"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.4.1.1 P177 L9 # 314

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

It doesn't seem quite right to have the ONU send a unicast CC\_RESPONSE. In 144.4.2, it says the destination address of the CCPDU can have either the multicast address or a unicast address associated with a PLID. It seems that the ONU should be able to use the multicast DA here.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "unicast" in all five instances of "sends a unicast CC\_RESPONSE".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Per comment + in 144.4.2, change ", or the individual MAC address associated with the PLID to which the CCPDU is destined." to ".".

Cl 144 SC 144.4.2 P178 L54 # 312

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

There is no subclause 144.6.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Looking at previous EPON standards, it is likely supposed to be a reference to the empty 144.1.2.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.4.3 P181 L35 # 389

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Missing "n" in "Chanel"

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "Channel"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 144 SC 144.4.3.1 P182 L7 # 397

Kramer, Glen Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

No units are needed for CCP\_TIMEOUT, since this interval applies to a timer, not a counter. We do not specify time resolution units for timers.

SuggestedRemedy

Strike ",expressed in units of EQT."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.4.3.1 P182 L11 # 317

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Setting a 100ms timeout and retry limit of 3 appears to be taking control away from the client. In the current draft, the client could immediately issue the same CCP message again after 300ms.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete these two constants.

Response Status C

REJECT.

The purpose is to enforce a retry mechanism up to 3 times, with 100ms max wait time for ONU response.

delete retry

C/ 144 SC 144.4.3.3 P184 L7 # 399 Kramer, Glen Broadcom Comment Type Т Comment Status A

No return value is needed in the definition of function UpdateChState( int chIndex, int NewState )

SuggestedRemedy Delete "int4"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 144 SC 144.4.3.6 P185 **L1** # 318

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status R delete retry

In a different comment, I suggested removing the timeout and retry limits. If that is accepted, changes will also be needed in figure 144-29.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove all state transitions leaving FORWARD REQUEST. Add a new UCT transition from FORWARD REQUEST to WAIT FOR CCPDU. Also remove the CopRetry action in WAIT\_FOR\_CCPDU.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

See comment #317

C/ 144 SC 144.4.3.6 P186 L8 # 315

Lynskey, Eric Broadcom

Comment Status R There is no enforced priority if the MCSR and MCII happen at the same time.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change so that MCII(MsgChRequest) has priority when leaving WAIT\_FOR\_CCPDU and FORWARD CC REQUEST states.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

By state diagram definitions, these events are detected in zero time. There cannot be a collision where multiple events are detected at the same time. For example, see Figure 144-20—ONU Registration state diagram, exit from state REGISTERED on MCII and MCRS primitives.

In practice, such conditions are prioritized arbitrarily.

C/ Abstrac SC Abstract P**2 L1** # 329 Powell, William Nokia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket extends operation SuggestedRemedy extends the operation Response Response Status C ACCEPT.