

GraCaSI

Comments & Charter Docs

IEEE 802.3cg 10 Mb/s SPE

Version 2

January interim 2019

Long Beach, CA USA

Geoff Thompson

GraCaSI S.A.

**FIRST,
A REPORT CARD
ON
HOW ETHERNET IS DOING
AFTER 39 YEARS**

A History of Ethernet

BOB METCALFE

17 JULY, 1980

CHRONOLOGY

EPOCH	RMM	ETHERNET
1946 – 1973	Student	-
1972 – 1975	Scientist	PARC Ethernet
1976 – 1979	Manager	Xerox Wire
1979 – 1989	Entrepreneur	Industry Standard
1990 – 2046	Investor	<u>Kluge</u>

My Purpose:

GraCaSI

To MINIMIZE, as best I can
Ethernet from becoming a
KLUGE

Today's topic:

GraCaSI

Draft text and comments subject to
external control

External Control:

GraCaSI

- External vs. Internal Control
- What do I mean?
 - Internal
 - Most details of the draft, fully at the discretion of the balloting groups.
 - External
 - Text in the draft or comments related to: PAR scope, CSD/5C, Objectives

My Concern: External

GraCaSI

- ISSUE: Inclusion of PLCA in the draft
- PROBLEMS
 - PLCA is a “shared media access method” that (dynamically) replaces CSMA/CD. Therefore, it belongs in the MAC sub-layer.
 - There is no mention of PLCA or any other MAC work in the project paper work. P802.3cg is advertised as a “Physical Layer” project.

Why is this a problem?

GraCaSI

- We didn't do a CSD for a MAC project.
- We aren't fulfilling an objective with PLCA
- We didn't generate requirements for a new shared medium access method.
- We didn't do a competitive evaluation
- We have used valuable TF bandwidth on out-of-scope work.
- We will not advertise the correct scope for our Sponsor Ballot Group.

What will Geoff do?

GraCaSI

- He will not drop this issue.
- It will be brought up when it is time for 802.3 to reapprove the PAR & CSD
- It will be brought up when it is time for 802 EC to reapprove the PAR & CSD (Disapprove here = Unexplored terr.)
- If the PAR & Draft don't match for Sponsor Ballot I will DISAPPROVE on that basis.
- If my comments are blown off then...

What will Geoff do? (2)

GraCaSI

- then...
- I will file an appeal with one of the following possible outcomes:
 - I lose the appeal (Approval may be held up 'til Appeal is complete)
 - My Appeal is upheld:
 - PAR gets rewritten, re-advertise and form new ballot group, redo Sponsor Ballot.
 - PLCA gets removed from cg draft redo Sponsor Ballot

What might others do?

GraCaSI

- Object to scope violation anywhere in the process, from Ballot Group formation to (or even after) SASB approval.
- Possible result: Scope issue will be decided outside of cgTF and 802.3.

RESULT:

GraCaSI

- **SIGNIFICANT SCHEDULE RISK !**

What should be done (GOT opinion):

- Remove PLCA from cg draft before Sponsor Ballot.
- Do a CFI in 802.3 for a new shared media access method for low speed half duplex.
- (I support this. It is the most correct thing to do and has the lowest schedule risk for cg.)

Another way out:

GraCaSI

- Modify PAR to include:
 - “MAC augmentation” in addition to Physical Layer in the scope.
- Get authorization to do this @ 802.3 on Thursday evening.
 - 802 Plenary: March 11 - 14
 - NesCom submittal deadline: 22 March
 - Nescom teleconf: 2 May
- Allows Ballot Group formation as early as 3 May to 3 June.
- Bullet proof the issue in Sponsor Ballot

Geoff's goals:

GraCaSI

- Play by the rules.
- Produce/maintain quality standards and processes.
- Generate **BULLET PROOF** approval and submittal packages.

THANK YOU
for
your time, consideration
and participation.

GraCaSI

STANDARDS ADVISORS

Geoffrey O. Thompson

IEEE 802 EXECUTIVE,

MEMBER EMERITUS

MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94043

USA

PHONE: +1.540.227.0059

E-MAIL: <THOMPSON@IEEE.ORG>