

Motions and Straw Polls

IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mbps Single-Pair Ethernet
Task Force

George Zimmerman (Chair P802.3cg) - CME Consulting, Inc.

Long Beach, CA – January 2019

Motion #1

- **Motion #1:** Move to approve the agenda as shown in [agenda_3cg_01_0119.pdf](#).
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Steffen Graber**
- **(Procedural > 50%)**
- **Passes by voice without Opposition**

Motion #2

- **Motion #2: Move to approve minutes of IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mbps Single Pair Ethernet Task Force from November 2018 as posted.**
- **M: Piergiorgio Beruto**
- **S: Amrik Bains**
- **Procedural**
- **Motion Passes by voice without opposition**

Motion #3

- **Move to consider 9 Late comments (#314 through #321 and 351) submitted after the P802.3cg draft 2.2 Working Group 2nd Recirculation ballot deadline, included with Editor's proposed resolutions.**
- **M: Valerie Maguire S: Mick McCarthy**
- **(Technical $\geq 75\%$)**
- **Motions Passes by Voice**

Motion #4

- **Accept the resolutions to all P802.3cg d2p2 comments marked with the Topic “EZ” and posted as, “EZ Bucket” comments with proposed resolutions sorted by clause/subclause, excluding comments 248 & 249**
- **M: Valerie Maguire S: Mick McCarthy**
- **(Technical \geq 75%)**
- **Y: 37 N:0 A:12**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #5

- **Accept the resolutions to all P802.3cg d2p2 comments marked with the Topic “Editorial” and posted as, “Editorial” comments with proposed resolutions sorted by clause/subclause, excluding any withdrawn comments and comments 92, 209, 222, 232, 250, 262 and 267.**
- **M: Valerie Maguire S: Brett McClellan**
- **(Technical \geq 75%)**
- **Y: 35 N: 0 A: 11**
- **Motion Passes**

Motion #6

- **Move to instruct the editors to generate draft 2.3 from draft 2.2 and the closed comments, and request that IEEE 802.3 progress the draft to a 15-day third WG Recirculation Ballot.**
- **M: Valerie Maguire S: Piergiorgio Beruto**
- **(Technical ($\geq 75\%$))**
- **Y: 25 N: 0 A: 0**
- **MOTION PASSES**

Motion #7

- Adjourn the meeting.
- M: Brett McClellan S: Steffen Graber
- Approved by voice without opposition
(Procedural > 50%)
- Motion Passes

Straw Polls

Straw Poll #1

How many people would attend P802.3ck if the meeting times didn't overlap with P802.3cg?

Y: 5

Room count: 42

Straw Poll #2

I am likely to attend the off-cycle 802.3cg interim February 19-20 2019 in Milpitas, CA (San Francisco Bay area):

Y: 15 N:15 M: 5

Straw Poll #3

I am likely to attend 802.3cg at:

**March 2019 802 Vancouver, BC Canada
plenary:**

Y: 35 N: 1 M: 6

May 2019, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA:

Y: 24 N: 1 M: 13

Straw Poll #4

- I support rejecting this comment with the rationale: "Commenter incorrectly posits that the Clause 148 PLCA RS is a new MAC. It does not meet the requirements for a MAC, and, leaves the MAC functionality with Clause 4, which, in fact, it could not work without. Commenter incompletely quotes IEEE Std 802-2014 4.1, paragraph 6 leading to incorrect conclusions regarding peer-to-peer networking. Additionally, commenter's suggested remedy appears to assert that the Clause 148 reconciliation sublayer is required. It is not; use of the Clause 148 PLCA RS is optional.
- See www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Jan2019/Tutorial_cg_0119_final.pdf.
- Task Force: Y:30 N:2 A:6
- 802.3 Voters: Y:18 N:2 A:1

Straw Poll #5

- I support rejecting this comment with the rationale:
"Commenter incorrectly posits that the Clause 148 PLCA RS is a new MAC. It does not meet the requirements for a MAC, and, leaves the MAC functionality with Clause 4, which, in fact, it could not work without.
- See www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Jan2019/Tutorial_cg_0119_final.pdf.
- Task Force: Y:17 N:1 A:5
- 802.3 Voters: Y:15 N:0 A:2

Straw Poll #6

- I support rejecting this comment with the rationale: "Commenter provides insufficient information for a remedy. PLCA is not a MAC."
- Refer to http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/Jan2019/Tutorial_cg_0119_final.pdf
- Task Force: Y:19 N:1 A:6
- 802.3 Voters: Y:15 N:1 A:1

Thank You!