

# Motions and Straw Polls

IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mbps Single-Pair Ethernet  
Task Force

George Zimmerman (Chair P802.3cg) - CME Consulting, Inc.

Pittsburgh USA, May 2018

# Motion #1

---

- **Motion #1:** Move to approve the agenda as shown in [agenda\\_3cg\\_01\\_0518.pdf](#).
- **M: James W.      S: Gergely Huszak**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

# Motion #2

---

- **Motion #2: Move to approve minutes of IEEE P802.3cg 10 Mbps Single Twisted Pair Ethernet Task Force from March 2018 as posted.**
- **M: Bob Voss      S: James W.**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

# Motion #3

---

- **Motion #3: Move to include 18 comments (#484, #485, #486, #487, #488, #489, #490, #491, #492, #493, #494, #495, #496, #497, #498, #499, #500, and #501) submitted after the P802.3cg draft 1.2 Task Force review deadline**
- **M: Valerie Maguire**
- **S: David Brandt**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

# Motion #4

---

- **Motion #4: Accept the resolutions to all P8023.cg d1p2 comments marked with the Topic “EZ” and posted as, ““EZ Bucket” comments sorted by clause/subclause’, excluding none.**
- **M: Valerie Maguire**
- **S: Gergely Huszak**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

# Motion #5

---

- **Motion #5: Consider late comment #502.**
- **M: Valerie Maguire      S: Peter Jones**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

# Motion #6

---

- **Move to consider late comments #503, #504, #505, #506 and #507**
- **M: Valerie Maguire      S: Bob Voss**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

# Motion #7

---

- **Consider late comment #508**
- **M: Valerie Maguire**
- **S: Bob Voss**
- **Y: 25    N: 11    A: 17**
- **(Technical  $\geq$  75%)**
- **Motion Fails**

# Motion #8

---

- Move to accept slides 7-11 of [stewart\\_3cg\\_01f\\_0518.pdf](#) for incorporation with resolved comments.
- M: Heath Stewart      S: Chad Jones
- Y: 32    N: 0      A: 17
- (Technical  $\geq$  75%)
- Motion Passes

# Motion #9

---

- **Move to make the following changes to Annex 200A:**
  - **Change number to 146B**
  - **Change to Informative**
  - **Remove any empty sections**
  - **Give the editor license to rewrite the 200A.1 Overview section to reflect the approved content.**
- **M: Chris Diminico**
- **S: Heath Stewart**
- **Approved by voice without opposition (Procedural > 50%)**
- **Motion Passes**

# Motion #X

---

- **Move that the disposition of comments 388, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394 related to Cable Diags be considered in a single motion.**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: David Brandt**
- **(Procedural > 50%)**
- **Y: 31    N: 1        A: 9**
- **Motion Passes**

# Motion #XI

---

- **Move to ACCEPT the comments 388, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394 related to Cable Diags**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Bob Voss**
- **(Technical  $\geq$  75%)**
- **Y: 6      N: 25      A: 12**
- **Motion Fails**

# Motion #XII

---

- **Move to REJECT the comments 388, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394 related to Cable Diags**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Brett McClellan**
- **(Technical  $\geq$  75%)**
- **Y: 21 N: 8 A: 11**
- **Motion Fails**

# Motion #XIII

---

- **Move to REJECT the comments 388, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394 related to Cable Diags on the basis of No Consensus for Change.**
- **M: Brett McClellan**
- **S: Piergiorgio Beruto**
- **(Technical  $\geq$  75%)**
- **Y: 32 N: 4 A: 2**
- **Motion Passes**

# Motion #XIV

---

- **Move that the disposition of comments 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387 related to OAM be considered in a single motion.**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Amrik Bains**
- **(Procedural > 50%)**
- **Y: 23 N: 2 A: 12**
- **Motion Passes**

# Motion #XV

---

- **Move to “Accept in Principle” the proposed resolution defined below for comments 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387 related to OAM**
- **Proposed Resolution:**
  - **Remove the OAM feature from the draft**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Phil Brownlee**
- **(Technical  $\geq$  75%)**
- **Y: 17      N: 12      A: 7**
- **Motion Fails**

# Motion #XVI

---

- **Move to “ACCEPT” the commenters original resolution for comments 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387 related to OAM**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Mehmet Tazebay**
- **(Technical  $\geq$  75%)**
- **Y: 13    N: 16    A: 9**
- **Motion Fails**

# Motion #XVII

---

- **Move to “REJECT” the comments 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387 related to OAM for reason of no consensus to change.**
- **M: Peter Jones**
- **S: Amrik Bains**
- **(Technical  $\geq$  75%)**
- **Y: 18    N: 13    A: 8**
- **Motion Fails**

# Motion #18

---

- **Move to “Accept in Principle” the proposed resolution defined below for comments 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387 related to OAM**
- **Proposed Resolution:**
  - **Accept the commenter's suggested remedies and accept changes in IEEE8023cg\_draft.2\_jjhh.pdf.**
- **M: Mehmet Tazebay**
- **S: Gergely Huszak**
- **(Technical  $\geq$  75%)**
- **Y: 22    N: 9    A: 6**
- **Motion Fails**

# Motion #19

---

- **Move to:**
  - Generate Draft 1.3 for Task Force recirculation from draft 1.2 and the closed comments and pre-submit draft 1.3 to IEEE 802.3 in anticipation of requesting WG Ballot in July 2018**
- **M: Valerie Maguire S: Gergely Huszak**
- **Approved by Voice without Opposition**

# Motion #20

---

- **Move to:**
  - **Instruct Editors to implement PICs as needed throughout draft 1.3 prior to Task Force review**
  - **M: Valerie Maguire      S: Gergely Huszak**
- **Approved by Voice without Opposition**

# Straw Poll #1

---

I am likely to attend 802.3cg at:

July 2018 802 San Diego, CA, USA plenary:

Y: 24 N: 5 M: 7

September 2018 interim, Spokane, WA, USA:

Y: 21 N: 4 M: 14

Room Total: 40

# Straw Poll #2

---

- I support the inclusion of OAM in d1p3 as described in beruto\_3cg\_04\_0518.pdf and 8023cg10base-t1s OAM.PDF, with text in [http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2018/IEEE%208023cg\\_draft%201.2\\_jjhh.pdf](http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2018/IEEE%208023cg_draft%201.2_jjhh.pdf)
- Y:11      N:9      A:22

# Straw Poll #3

---

- I am opposed to including OAM in the 802.3cg draft
- Y:9 (Voting did not continue)

# Straw Poll #4

---

- I support changing the preamble to improve the autocorrelation properties either now or at a future revision of the draft
- Y:26      N:0    A:16

# Straw Poll #5

---

- Pick One:
  - A: I accept the proposed resolution
  - B: I need more time to consider at a later face-to-face meeting
  - C: I would oppose the proposed resolution even at a later date
- A: 23    B: 9    C: 0

# Straw Poll #6

---

I would support accepting the preamble and OAM basis in

[http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2018/beruto\\_3cg\\_04\\_0518.pdf](http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2018/beruto_3cg_04_0518.pdf) without the

additional changes in

<http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/May2018/8023cg10base-t1s%20OAM.PDF>

Y: 21

N: 8

A:6

# Straw Poll #7

---

- I agree with the following statement:
- A: I believe there are unresolved problems to be resolved as described in <http://www.ieee802.org/3/cg/public/adhoc/802.3cg%20half%20duplex%20and%20plca%20issues-V3.pdf>
- B: I believe that the task force has made changes to resolve any concerns in the above contribution
- C: I believe there are no unresolved problems as described in the above contributions
- (chicago)
- A:0 B:2 C:17

---

# Thank You!