

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.195.3 P39 L50 # 1

Wienckowski, Natalie

General Motors

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The Precoder registers and text were modified in D2.2, but there is still a reference in D2.2 to register bits that were deleted.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Delete: In normal operation, this value shall mirror the value in the MultiGBASE-T1 PMA control register bits 1.2309.10:9.

P57 L17: Also, delete PICS MM227 as the "shall" has been removed.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 149 SC 149.7.1.4 P172 L36 # 2

DiBiaso, Eric

TE Connectivity

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The coupling attenuation equation (149-24) references Fmax (line 36 & 41) as its maximum Frequency. Fmax is defined as 4000 x S, where S equals 1/4, 1/2, or 1 corresponding to 2.5Gbps, 5.0Gbps, or 10Gbps, respectively. However, Figure 149-45 on page 173 plots the coupling attenuation showing a maximum frequency of 5500MHz.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Similar to the crosstalk limits in 149.7.2.1 & 149.7.2.2, I recommend replacing the 2 instances of Fmax with 4000MHz in the coupling attenuation equation.

Frequency limits of equation (149-24) would then be:

$30 \leq f \leq 750 \text{ MHz}$

$750 \leq f \leq 4000 \text{ MHz}$

where f is the frequency in MHz;  $30 \leq f \leq 4000$

Figure 149-45 should also be modified to show a max Frequency of 4000MHz instead of 5500MHz.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 149 SC 149.5.1 P161 L46 # 3

Tu, Mike

Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Register bits 1.2309.10:9 do not exist.It should be 1.2313.10:9.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change from: "... by the value set in register 1.2309:10:9, ..."

To: "... by the value set in register 1.2313.10:9, ...".

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.195.3 P39 L51 # 4

Tu, Mike

Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Control register bits 1.2309.10:9 do not exist.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Delete the last sentence of this paragraph.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.196 P41 L17 # 5

Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Table 45-155e defines the test mode control register bits. The test mode 3 transmit precoder setting is always controlled by register bits 1.2313.10:9. There is no need to define a "Local transmitter precoder override" bit.

Also change the name from "Local transmit precoder setting" to "Test mode transmit precoder setting" to clarify the purpose of these control register bits.

SuggestedRemedy

- 1. In Table 45-155e, delete the row "1.2313.11".
- 2. In Table 45-155e, change the first column of the row "1.2313.12" from "1.2313.12" to "1.2313.12:11".
- 3. In Table 45-155e, change the Name of 1.2313.10:9 to "Test mode transmit precoder setting".
- 4. Delete 45.2.1.196.2.

5. Change page 41 line 39 to 45 to the following:

"45.2.1.196.3 Test mode transmit precoder setting (1.2313.10:9)

In Test mode 3, bits 1.2313.10:9 control the precoder setting of the local transmitter, as defined in 149.3.2.2.20. During normal operation, the precoder is set according to the value of PrecoderSel received from the link partner, and bits 1.2313.10:9 are ignored."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.196.4 P41 L51 # 6

Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The transmit jitter tests are specified in both 149.5.2.3.1 and 149.5.2.3.2. Recommend to refer to both, or simply refer to 149.5.2.3.

SuggestedRemedy

- Option 1. Change "149.5.2.3.1" to "149.5.2.3".
- Option 2. Change "See 149.5.2.3.1 for more information." to "See 149.5.2.3.1 and 149.5.2.3.2 for more information."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.197 P42 L4 # 7

Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Use "MultiGBASE-T1", instead of "MultiGBASE-T1 set". According to 149.1.1, "the nomenclature MultiGBASE-T1 is used to describe specifications that apply to the 2.5GBASE-T1, 5GBASE-T1, and 10GBASE-T1 PHYs."

SuggestedRemedy

1. Page 42, line 3:  
Change from: "... at the slicer input for the PMAs in the MultiGBASE-T1 set."  
To: "... at the slicer input for the MultiGBASE-T1 PMAs."

2. Page 62, Clause 78.5, line 18 to 25:  
Change all occurrences of "... the PHY in the MultiGBASE-T1 set ..." to "... the MultiGBASE-T1 PHY ...".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.198 P42 L36 # 8

Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Typos in Table 45-155g. 1.2314 should be 1.2315 on the first column.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the first column of Table 45-155g from "1.2314.xx:yy" to "1.2315.xx:yy".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.199.1 P42 L49 # 9

Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Title of the subclause should match with the name of register bits.

SuggestedRemedy

Change line 49 to "45.2.1.199.1 MultiGBASE-T1 user defined data (1.2316.15:0)".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.200.1 P43 L25 # 10  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Title of the subclause should match with the name of register bits.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 25 to: "45.2.1.200.1 MultiGBASE-T1 link partner user defined data (1.2317.15:0)".  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 149 SC 149.1.3.3 P81 L30 # 14  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 EEE capability is embedded in Infocfield octet 10 bit 6.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "(Octet 9 bit 7)" to "(Octet 10 bit 6)"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 149 SC 149.3.2.1 P93 L47 # 11  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The PCS reset control register bit is 3.2322.15, not 1.2309.15.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 On page 93, 149.3.2.1, line 47, change from "1.2309.15" to "3.2322.15".  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.192.1 P35 L44 # 15  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Register bit 1.2309.15 is PMA/PMD reset. But this statement referes to 149.3.2.1, which is PCS reset.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 On page 35, line 44, change the reference from 149.3.2.1 to 149.4.2.1.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 149 SC 149.1.3 P80 L11 # 12  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The EEE capability advertisation is described in 149.4.2.4.5.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change the reference from 149.3.2.2.22 to 149.4.2.4.5.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.3 P68 L52 # 16  
 den Besten, Gerrit NXP Semiconductors  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The PoDL ripple is somewhat ambiguously defined as the text descriptions only talks about measuring ripple with certain high-pass filters. The table mentions 1kHz-10MHz. If this is the measurement bandwidth, the measurement with 10MHz high-pass becomes actually a fairly narrow bandpass measurement around 10MHz. This also implies there is no constraint on the PoDL ripple beyond 10MHz. I've understood that the assumption is that there will no be significant ripple beyond 10MHz, but unfortunately the specification does not constrain that. A ripple at higher frequencies is very undesirable, so a note that PoDL circuitry shall not produce any significant ripple beyond 10MHz seems useful.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add a note to this paragraph of the PoDL clause: The induced voltage ripple at the MDI of PoDL circuits beyond 10MHz shall be negligible to avoid degradation of signal reception.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 149 SC 149.1.3 P80 L25 # 13  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 PMA functionality is described in 149.4, not 149.2.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change the reference from 149.2 to 149.4.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 149 SC 149.5.2.2 P163 L47 # 17

den Besten, Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The linearity test of BASE-T1 PHYs have previously been based on transmission of a sequence in combination with a sinewave signal that is injected from the outside to account for the full-duplex communication on the link. In March it was argued that this method was not useful and there there are better and simpler methods for specifying linearity that could be borrowed from other specs. This resulted into a method borrowed from a unidirectional SERDES spec, which happens to refer to multiple other clauses too. This method is arguably not simpler than the previously used method. But even more importantly this new method does not account for the full-duplex behavior. The received signal significantly extends the signal range on the MDI. When linearity is only measured when the TX is transmitting, but there is no signal received at the same time, such a test is not adequate IMO to address the problem.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest to use a similar linearity test method as used for 100BASE-T1 and 1000BASE-T1, that is, with an external sinewave superpositioned on top of the transmitted signal. This method ensures that linearity is tested over the appropriate output signal range that can occur for full duplex communication. Alternatively it can be considered if this test can be skipped, because the imposed linearity requirements of the transceiver to ensure reliable data transfer might be tighter than the currently included 'unidirectional SERDES-borrowed' test.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 149 SC 149.5.2.4 P165 L21 # 18

den Besten, Gerrit NXP Semiconductors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

LPSD: The L seems smaller than the other characters

SuggestedRemedy

Fix the size of the L

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 149 SC 149.3.2.2.3 P96 L17 # 19

McClellan, Brett Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Tx\_coded should be tx\_coded
Rx\_coded should be rx\_coded

SuggestedRemedy

Change occurrences of "Tx\_coded" to "tx\_coded"
Change occurrences of "Rx\_coded" to "rx\_coded"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 149 SC 149.3.2.2.18 P104 L45 # 20

McClellan, Brett Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type E Comment Status X

A and B are missing subscript 'n' that was added in 149.3.2.2.19

SuggestedRemedy

change "A" to "A\_n"
change "B" to "B\_n" with \_n indicating a subscript

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 149 SC 149.7.1.3.2 P171 L8 # 21

McClellan, Brett Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In Figure 149-54 N=1 and N=0 are not aligned to the associated RL curves.

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 149-54 move N=1 and N=0 to be aligned to the associated RL curves.

Proposed Response Response Status O

---

CI 149 SC 149.3.2.2 P94 L40 # 22  
McClellan, Brett Marvell Semiconductor  
Comment Type E Comment Status X  
grammar  
SuggestedRemedy  
change 'encoder' to 'encoders'  
Proposed Response Response Status O

---

CI 149 SC 149.3.2.2 P94 L48 # 23  
McClellan, Brett Marvell Semiconductor  
Comment Type E Comment Status X  
typo  
SuggestedRemedy  
change "RS-FE" to "RS-FEC"  
Proposed Response Response Status O