

IEEE P802.3ck D1.1 100/200/400 Gb/s Electrical Interfaces Task Force 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 120F SC 120F.4.2 P 211 L 26 # 90
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R ERL
 ERL is TBD
 SuggestedRemedy
 ERL(min)=14.5 dB
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 See resolution to comment #80.

Cl 120G SC 120G.3.1 P 221 L 23 # 118
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R ERL
 ERL is TBD
 SuggestedRemedy
 ERL=10.5 dB, see ghiasi_3ck_03_0320
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 See resolution to comment #80.

Cl 120G SC 120G.3.1.3 P 222 L 33 # 10059
 Dudek, Mike Marvell
 Comment Type E Comment Status A ERL
 [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.3.1.3 - Pg 215 - In 25]
 This section labelled Host output effective return loss is referenced by the Module output test, the Host input test and the module input test.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either add separate sections for the module output ERL test or broaden the title and text of this section to include the other points. I think it may be better to have two sections one for the Host tests (using the HCB) and one for the Module tests (using the MCB).
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Create a new ERL subclause for each of the following: host input, module input, and module output using 120G.3.1.3 as a template. Update references appropriately.
 Implement with editorial license.

Cl 120G SC 120G.3.1.3 P 222 L 36 # 10071
 Wu, Mau-Lin MediaTek
 Comment Type T Comment Status A ERL
 [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.3.1.3 - Pg 215 - In 28]
 In the paragraph of "Host output effective return loss", the sentence of "The value of T_fx is twice the delay associated with the TP1a test fixture being used" is NOT appropriate because the section of 120G.3.1.3 is used not only for Host output ERL, but also Module output ERL, Module input ERL, and Host input ERL. Based on this, the current description is not appropriate.
 SuggestedRemedy
 The sentence of "The value of T_fx is twice the delay associated with the TP1a test fixture being used" shall be changed as "The value of T_fx is twice the delay associated with the specific test fixture being used."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Resolve using the response to #10057.

Cl 120G SC 120G.3.1.3 P 222 L 37 # 19
 Sun, Junqing Credo Semiconductor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A ERL
 Nb is defined in Table 120G-9
 SuggestedRemedy
 Chang to "in Table 120G-9"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See resolution to comment #80.

IEEE P802.3ck D1.1 100/200/400 Gb/s Electrical Interfaces Task Force 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl **120G** SC **120G.3.1.3** P **222** L **37** # **10057**
 Dudek, Mike Marvell
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A** ERL
 [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.3.1.3 - Pg 215 - ln 29]
 The test fixture delay should be clarified so that the connector is not included in the delay that is removed
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "associated with the TP1a test fixture" to from the measurement point TP1a to the beginning of the TP1a test fixture MDI connector".
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 "The value of T_fx is twice the delay from the measurement point TP1a to the beginning of the host connector."
 Add similar text for the module input and output.
 Implement with editorial license.

Cl **120G** SC **120G.3.1.3** P **223** L **12** # **120**
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** ERL
 ERL is TBD
 SuggestedRemedy
 ERL=10.5 dB, see ghiasi_3ck_03_0320
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT.
 See resolution to comment #80.

Cl **120G** SC **120G.3.2** P **224** L **53** # **121**
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** ERL
 ERL is TBD
 SuggestedRemedy
 ERL=11.5 dB, see ghiasi_3ck_03_0320
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT.
 See resolution to comment #80.

Cl **120G** SC **120G.3.3** P **226** L **43** # **122**
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** ERL
 ERL is TBD
 SuggestedRemedy
 ERL=10.5 dB, see ghiasi_3ck_03_0320
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT.
 See resolution to comment #80.

Cl **120G** SC **120G.3.3** P **226** L **60** # **10060**
 Dudek, Mike Marvell
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **D** ERL
 [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 120G.3.3 - Pg 219 - ln 43]
 The reference to ERL in table 120G-4 is directly to 120G.3.1.3 but there is a separate section 120G.3.3.1 (but it points directly to 120G.3.1.3 see other comment)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either delete section 120G.3.3.1 or change the reference in table 120G-4 to 120G.3.3.1
 Proposed Response Response Status **Z**
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

IEEE P802.3ck D1.1 100/200/400 Gb/s Electrical Interfaces Task Force 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 120G SC 120G.3.4 P229 L43 # 123 ERL
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R ERL
 ERL is TBD
 SuggestedRemedy
 ERL=11.5 dB, see ghiasi_3ck_03_0320
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 See resolution to comment #80.

Cl 162 SC 162.9.3 P146 L27 # 10003 ERL
 Mellitz, Richard Samtec
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R ERL
 [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.9.3 - Pg 139 - ln 27]
 ERL of 11 dB seems to capture most of posted channel data.
 SuggestedRemedy
 In table 162-8 change ERL(min) to 11 dB as suggested on slide 5 of mellitz_3ck_04_1119.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 See resolution to comment #80.

Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.4 P151 L21 # 10009 ERL
 Mellitz, Richard Samtec
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A ERL
 [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.9.3.4 - Pg 144 - ln 26]
 The relation between Pmax/Vf and ERL has not been established for this data rate
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change line 36 to ERL >= 11 dB. Change TBD parameters in table 162-10 beta_x, rho_x, N, and N_bx to 2.4 GHz, 0.3, 1000 UI, and 12 UI respectively as suggested on slide 6 of mellitz_3ck_04_1119.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See resolution to comment #80.

Cl 162 SC 162.9.3.4 P151 L26 # 128 ERL
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A ERL
 Nbx and ERL, TBD, Bx, N, Rho are TBDs
 SuggestedRemedy
 Nbx=12, ERL =11 dB, Bx=2.3047e9, Bx=0.19, and N=300
 See ghiasi_3ck_03_0320
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See resolution to comment #80.

Cl 162 SC 162.9.4 P152 L14 # 10010 ERL
 Mellitz, Richard Samtec
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R ERL
 [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.9.4 - Pg 145 - ln 15]
 ERL of 11 dB seems to capture most of posted channel data as suggested in slide 5 mellitz_3ck_04_1119
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change ERL min to 11 dB
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 See resolution to comment #80.

Cl 162 SC 162.9.4 P152 L15 # 129 ERL
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R ERL
 ERL is TBD
 SuggestedRemedy
 ERL=11.0 dB, see ghiasi_3ck_03_0320
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 See resolution to comment #80.

IEEE P802.3ck D1.1 100/200/400 Gb/s Electrical Interfaces Task Force 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 162 SC 162.9.4.5 P156 L14 # 10011
 Mellitz, Richard Samtec
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R ERL
 [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.9.4.5 - Pg 148 - ln 48]
 ERL of 11 dB seems to capture most of posted channel data as suggested in slide 5 mellitz_3ck_04_1119
SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "Receiver ERL at TP3 shall be greater than or equal to 11dB"
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 See resolution to comment #80.

Cl 162 SC 162.9.4.5 P156 L15 # 131
 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Inphi
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R ERL
 ERL is TBD
SuggestedRemedy
 ERL=11.0 dB, see ghiasi_3ck_03_0320
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 See resolution to comment #80.

Cl 162 SC 162.11.3 P157 L11 # 10013
 Mellitz, Richard Samtec
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R ERL
 [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.11.3 - Pg 150 - ln 8]
 ERL of 13.5 dB seems to capture most of posted channel data as suggested in slide 3 mellitz_3ck_04_1119
SuggestedRemedy
 Change Minimum cable assembly ERL to 13.5 dB in table 162-13.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 See resolution to comment #80.

Cl 162 SC 162.11.3 P157 L43 # 10012
 Mellitz, Richard Samtec
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A ERL
 [Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 162.11.3 - Pg 150 - ln 39]
 ERL of 13.5 dB seems to capture most of posted channel data as suggested in slide 3 mellitz_3ck_04_1119
SuggestedRemedy
 Change line 39 to Cable assembly ERL at TP1 and at TP4 shall be greater than or equal to 13.5 dB for cable assemblies that have a COM less than 4 dB. Also change TBD parameters in table 162-14 beta_x, rho_x, N, and N_bx to 2.4 GHz, 0.21, 3000 UI, and 12 UI respectively as suggested on slide 4 of mellitz_3ck_04_1119.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See resolution to comment #80.

IEEE P802.3ck D1.1 100/200/400 Gb/s Electrical Interfaces Task Force 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 163 SC 163.9.1.1 P176 L27 # 80

Healey, Adam Broadcom Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A ERL

As observed in healey_3ck_01a_0120, effective return loss (ERL), as it is currently defined, does not adequately constrain the re-reflection interference seen by the receiver. This is arguably its primary function and the method/parameters need to be re-evaluated.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify parameters and/or method to achieve better correlation to re-reflection interference and set the limit accordingly. Similar change would apply to Annex 120F.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Based on January strawpoll #3 (see below), there was consensus to revisit the ERL methodology based on the presentation referenced in the comment.

The strawpoll details may be found in the meeting minutes here:
http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_01/index.html

The following presentations were reviewed by the task force:
http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_03/mellitz_3ck_01b_0320.pdf
http://www.ieee802.org/3/ck/public/20_03/kochuparambil_3ck_01_0320.pdf

No change to the ERL methodology is required. More analysis is required to determine some parameter values and ERL values.

Implement the parameter values summarized on slide 3 of kochuparambil_3ck_01a_0320 with editorial license using slides 4 to 17 as a guide.

The ERL values will remain TBD.

Cl 163 SC 163.9.1.1 P176 L27 # 10069

Wu, Mau-Lin MediaTek

Comment Type T Comment Status D ERL

[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 163.9.2.1 - Pg 171 - ln 5]

Current ERL calculation doesn't consider DFE "floating-tap". The concern is the ERL is very sensitive across "N_bx" boundary as raised in wu_3ck_02a_1119. We need to enhance ERL calculation methodology.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify ERL as capable of DFE floating tap as proposed in wu_3ck_01_0120. The same methodology shall be applied to CR TX, CR RX, KR TX, & KR RX ERL calculations in the following subclauses.

- 162.9.3.4 Transmitter effective return loss (ERL)
- 162.9.4.5 Receiver ERL
- 163.9.2.1 Transmitter ERL
- 163.9.3 Receiver characteristics

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 163 SC 163.9.1.1 P176 L30 # 10020

Mellitz, Richard Samtec

Comment Type TR Comment Status A ERL

[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 163.9.2.1 - Pg 171 - ln 5]

Nbx=Nb has been shown not correlate well to COM in mellitz_3ck_adhoc_02_100219. Nbx=24 seems to be a better choice

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Nbx is set to the value of Nb in Table 163-10" to "Nbx is set to 24 UI"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See resolution to comment #80.

IEEE P802.3ck D1.1 100/200/400 Gb/s Electrical Interfaces Task Force 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 163 SC 163.9.1.1 P176 L 34 # 10021

Mellitz, Richard Samtec
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A ERL

[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 163.9.2.1 - Pg 171 - ln 10]

Table 163-3 was developed for a different data rate and reference package assumption. Recommendation were proposed in mellitz_3ck_01_1119 slide 7.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 163-3 set: beta_x=2.4 GHz , rho_x=3

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This should be for Table 163-6 instead of Table 163-3.

See resolution to comment #80.

Cl 163 SC 163.9.2.1 P178 L 52 # 10022

Mellitz, Richard Samtec
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A ERL

[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 163.9.3.1 - Pg 171 - ln 44]

Nbx=Nb has been shown not correlate well to COM in mellitz_3ck_adhoc_02_100219.
 Nbx=24 seems to be a better choice

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Nbx is set to the value of Nb in Table 163-10" to "Nbx is set to 24 UI"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See resolution to comment #80.

Cl 163 SC 163.10.2 P184 L 24 # 10024

Mellitz, Richard Samtec
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A ERL

[Comment resubmitted from Draft 1.0. Subcl. 163.10.2 - Pg 177 - ln 13]

Table 163-11 was developed for a different data rate and reference package assumption. Recommendation were proposed in mellitz_3ck_01_1119 slide 5.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 163-11 set: beta_x=2.4 GHz , rho_x=.19

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See resolution to comment #80.