

FORWARD LOOKING FOR P802.3CK

IEEE P802.3CK - 100 GB/S, 200 GB/S, AND 400 GB/S
ELECTRICAL INTERFACES TASK FORCE

BETH KOCHUPARAMBIL, EMPLOYED BY AND AFFILIATED WITH CISCO SYSTEMS


KENT LUSTED, EMPLOYED BY AND AFFILIATED WITH INTEL CORPORATION

MATT BROWN, EMPLOYED BY AND AFFILIATED WITH HUAWEI CANADA

TELEPHONIC INTERIM SERIES TO ADDRESS COMMENTS TO DRAFT 1.3


NOVEMBER 24, 2020

Overview of IEEE 802.3 Standards Process (1/5)- Study Group Phase




Note: At "Check Point", either the activity is ended, or there may be various options that would allow reconsideration of the approval.

Overview of IEEE 802.3 Standards Process (2/5) – Task Force Comment Phase




Overview of IEEE 802.3 Standards Process (3/5) – Working Group Ballot Phase



Notes: At "Check Point", either the activity is ended, or there may be various options that would allow reconsideration of the approval.
See 802.3 Operating Rules 7.1.4 and listed references for complete description


Overview of IEEE 802.3 Standards Process (4/5)- Sponsor Ballot Phase



Notes: At "Check Point", either the activity is ended, or there may be various options that would allow reconsideration of the approval.

See 802.3 Operating Rules 7.1.5 and listed references for complete description

Overview of IEEE 802.3 Standards Process (5/5) – Final Approvals / Standard Release



Notes: At "Check Point", either the activity is ended, or there may be various options that would allow resubmission for approval.

REQUIREMENTS TO GO TO WORKING GROUP BALLOT

2.6.2 Draft Standard Balloting Requirements


Before a draft is submitted to WG letter ballot it shall in addition have met the following requirements:

- a) It must be complete with no open technical issues.
- b) It must be made available for pre-view by the membership at least 10 days prior to the Working Group meeting where the draft will be considered. If any changes are made to the draft after it was made available for pre-view, the changes shall be presented for review prior to the vote for approval to go to WG ballot.
- c) It must be formatted according to the IEEE style selected by the WG Chair. This style will be selected to minimize the editorial work required for publication of the draft.
- d) During a plenary week, it must be approved for submittal to WG ballot at the WG closing plenary.

For the WG letter ballot to be considered valid, abstention rate shall be less than 30%.

IEEE P802.3ck Timeline

(Adopted Revision Aug 2020)



OBSERVATIONS

- GREAT WORK EVERYONE!!! SPECIAL THANKS to Matt and the Editorial Team!!!
- Virtual meetings will continue → taking us 4-6 weeks for comment resolution
- Comment topics are narrowing
 - Big ticket items are getting smaller
 - More refining, less defining
- Approaching Technical completeness
- 25% of the comments are editorial, language, wording issues

Target: WG Ballot in March

- 1 more TF review cycle
 - Focus on technical completeness
 - Using our knowledge of discussion/content as metric of completion
- Submit Draft 1.5 for pre-submittal to WG → D2.0
- As in current timeline

SCOPE DISCUSSION

- Focus Draft 1.4 review on technical completeness
 - TBDs
 - Large technical gaps
 - Postpone: Editorial comments, Tweaking numbers
- Scope for first working group ballot (D2.0) in March would be wide open (30-day review)
- Estimating 7 more cycles for refinement after going to working group ballot


Possible Straw Poll:

I support focusing review and comment resolution on technical completeness with the goal of requesting Working Group ballot at the March 2021 Plenary.

Y / N / A

IF WE GO IN THIS DIRECTION... HERE'S WHAT TO EXPECT

- Targeting 3-week review as many have holiday during this time.
- SUBJECT TO CHANGE.



CLOSING MOTION

- Move to:
 - Generate Draft 1.4 from Draft 1.3 and closed comments
 - Initiate fifth Task Force review
- M:
- S:

- Technical ($\geq 75\%$)



THANK YOU!

