Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.20 P 24 L 29 # i-1 C/ 122 SC 122.1 P 38 L 38 # i-4 Intel Corporation Healey, Adam Ran, Adee Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Implement the proposed revision text in Maintenance Reguest 1342 The two lists of options for PMDs in Figure 122-1 have three items each. In such lists, it is http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/maint 1342.pdf>. The proposed revision text is: uncommon to have more than one instance of "or". "Allocate the following MDIO bits: 1.26.9 for 100GBASE-SR2 ability For the conjunction "and" there is a long precedence of using only one instance in such 1.26.8 for 100GBASE-CR2 ability 1.26.7 for 100GBASE-KR2 ability SuggestedRemedy 1.26.3 for 100GBASE-DR ability Change "200GBASE-FR4, or 200GBASE-LR4, or 200GBASE-ER4" to "200GBASE-FR4, 1.23.2 for 200GBASE-SR4 ability 200GBASE-LR4. or 200GBASE-ER4". 1.23.1 for 200GBASE-CR4 ability 1.23.0 for 200GBASE-KR4 ability" Change "400GBASE-FR8, or 400GBASE-LR8, or 400GBASE-ER8" to "400GBASE-FR8, SuggestedRemedy 400GBASE-LR8. or 400GBASE-ER8". Allocate MDIO bits as described in the comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 122 SC 122.6 P 41 / 40 # i-5 # i-2 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.20 P 24 L 39 Ran. Adee Intel Corporation Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X Missing serial comma after "400GBASE-LR8". Some 200G ability bits are missing from this register SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a comma. Implement maintenance request: Proposed Response Response Status O http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/maint 1342.pdf so the register "200G PMA/PMD extended ability register (Register 1.23)" is modified and the register "40G/100G PMA/PMD extended ability 2 (Register 1.26)" is created Proposed Response Response Status O C/ FM SC FM P 12 L 54 # i-3 Intel Corporation Ran, Adee Comment Status X Comment Type E Missing period at end of sentence SuggestedRemedy

Add a period.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

CI 122 SC 122 P L # [i_6]

Ran, Adee Intel Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Clause 122 now defines six PMDs. In many cases, the names of all six are listed in the text where it refers to all PMDs as a group. This makes the text harder to read (and maintain) than is necessary.

In cases where all PMDs are referred to as one group, using the generic term "PMD" rather than listing all names should be sufficient. It will also help highlight the cases where specifications are not the same for all PMDs.

A similar approach has been used in 802.3cd clauses 136 and 137, which defined several similar PMDs per clause. Text in the spirit of the last paragraph of 136.2 may be used to make the term "PMD" explicitly refer to all PMDs.

Examples I found:

- Table 122-1 title
- Figure 122-1 title
- 122.2 first paragraph
- 122.5
- Figure 122-2 title
- 122.7 subclause heading and content
- Table 122-8 title
- 122.7.1, 122.7.2 subclause headings
- 122.7.3 subclause heading and text
- Table 122-13 title
- 122.9.4 and 122.9.5 text
- 122.11 text
- 122.11.3 text

To a lesser extent this also applies to clauses 138 and 139 which now define three PMDs each.

SuggestedRemedy

Change all instances of "200GBASE-FR4, 200GBASE-LR4, 200GBASE-ER4, 400GBASE-FR8, and 400GBASE-LR8, and 400GBASE-LR8, and 400GBASE-LR8 pMDs" (and similar lists) to "PMD", or similar shorter text as necessary (use editorial license).

Consider applying in clauses 138 and 139 as well.

Proposed Response Status O

CI 122 SC 122.7 P42 L47 # [-7

Ran, Adee Intel Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text here specifies (conditional) interoperation between:

- 200GBASE-ER4 and 200GBASE-LR4
- 400GBASE-LR8 and 400GBASE-FR8
- 400GBASE-ER8 and 400GBASE-FR8
- 400GBASE-ER8 and 400GBASE-LR8

But there are no similar requirements for interoperation between:

- 200GBASE-LR4 and 200GBASE-FR4
- 200GBASE-ER4 and 200GBASE-FR4

This is obviously intentional, since 200GBASE-FR4 has different wavelength specifications than the other two 200G PMDs.

However, it is quite difficult to read and understand which PMDs interoperate and which don't, since the text runs across the paragraph.

SuggestedRemedy

Separate the text starting from line 47 to the end of this paragraph to four new and separate paragraphs.

Consider adding a NOTE to clarify that 200GBASE-FR4 does not interoperate with either 200GBASE-LR4 or 200GBASE-ER4.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

 CI 138
 SC 138.8.5
 P70
 L 11
 # [-8]

 Ran, Adee
 Intel Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The editorial instruction says "delete... as follows:" and then lists the text to be deleted.

While this conforms to the style manual, it may be confusing for readers of this amendment before it is incorporated into a revision, since the text is not marked as deleted. It may be understood as if this item is still valid.

In comparison, the editorial instruction in 139.7.5.3 shows the similar text as deleted.

Similarly in 138.8.10, 140.7.5, 140.7.10.

SuggestedRemedy

Use the "change" editorial instruction, and include the whole list of exceptions, marking the deleted one in strikethrough.

Similarly in the other subclauses mentioned in the comment.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 122 SC 122.11a P58 L37 # i-9

Ran, Adee Intel Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This draft adds three very short first-level subclauses to clause 122 (which will eventually become 122.12, 122.13, and 122.14). These subclauses deal with a common matter of interoperability.

For a better structure, these subclauses can be merged to a single first-level subclause 122a "Requirements for interoperation", with three second-level subclauses 122a.1, 122a.2, and 122a.3 (to enable separate cross-references).

Similarly in clause 139, 139.10a and 139.10b would better be 139.10a.1, and 139.10a.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change subclause hierarchy per the comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 122 SC 122.8.8

P **53**

L 8

L 13

i-10

i-12

Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Inserted Equation (122-3) should be numbered Equation (122-2a)

SuggestedRemedy

Renumber inserted Equation (122-3) to be Equation (122-2a)

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P21

Comment Type E Comment Status X

50GBASE-LR was inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018

SuggestedRemedy

Anslow, Peter

In the editing instruction, change "after 50GBASE-LR as follows:" to "after 50GBASE-LR (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018) as follows:"

Р

Ciena

Proposed Response

CI 00

Response Status O

Anslow, Peter Ciena

SC 00

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The IEEE 802.3 chair has announced the expected order of amendments to be such that the P802.3cn draft will be Amendment 4 to IEEE Std 802.3-2018

SuggestedRemedy

Change the draft to be Amendment 4 and remove the changes due to P802.3cg, P802.3cq, and P802.3cm that were previously assumed to be ahead of this draft.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.1 P 33 L 18 # i-13 C/ 122 SC 122.7 P 42 L 47 # i-16 IEEE/SELF Dawe, Piers J G Rannow, R K Mellanox Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "Bessel-Thomson response" is used in multiple instances in the proposed standard. This paragraph discusses two different topics and has become too long. Data describing the response as measurements may be greatly influenced by SFDR and SuggestedRemedy ENOB (> 2.3 dB) Split it into separate paragraphs, one for each interop pair. Could 2.3 dB impact the performance sufficiently? Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Suggest details on the measurement solution. Proposed Response Response Status O P 74 C/ 139 SC 139.6 1 47 # i-17 Dawe. Piers J G Mellanox Technologies C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 32 # i-14 Comment Type E Comment Status X This paragraph discusses two different topics. As the first part is boilerplate, the second Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. could easily be overlooked. Comment Status X Comment Type Ε SugaestedRemedv The updation done in Table 116-4 by IEEE 802.3cm specifications is not reflected in this document. As 802.3cm precedes 802.3cn, Split it into separate paragraphs, one for each interop pair. this missing entries can create confusion. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Include the rows added by 802.3cm in Table 116-4 for 400GBASE-SR8 and 400GBASESR4.2 PHY types. C/ 122 SC 122 7 P 42 / 49 # i-18 Proposed Response Response Status O Dawe. Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status X This says "provided that the channel requirements ... are met" four times, but what those C/ 116 SC 116.1.2 P 27 / 11 # i-15 requirements are could be clearer. Both 122.10, Fiber optic cabling model, and 122.11, Characteristics of the fiber optic cabling (channel), should apply. Kabra, Lokesh Synopsys, Inc. See a related comment against 122.11a. Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Item a does include the changes done in IEEE 802.3cm draft. As 802.3cm precedes 802.3cn, those changes should be reflected here. Please add cross-references. To avoid repetition, insert a sentence after "2 m to 2 km).": "Channel characteristics and requirements are given in 122.10 and 122.11." Similarly in SugaestedRemedv 139.6. Modify the instructions in line 9 to Proposed Response Response Status O Change items g) in 116.1.2 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cm-20xx) and item h) in 116.1.2 (as modified by IEEE STd 802.3cd-2018) as follows:

g) The MDIs as specified in Clause 122 for 400GBASE-FR8, 400GBASE-LR8 and 400GBASE-ER8. in Clause 138 for 400GBASE-SR8, and in Clause 150

Response Status O

for 400GBASE-SR4.2, all use an 8-lane data path.

Proposed Response

C/ 122 SC 122.11a P 58 # i-19 L 41 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status X 122.7 and 122.11a together, calling out 122.10 but not other subclauses, can be read as excluding the discrete reflectance rules in 122.11.2.2 for mixed-PMD cases, which I don't think is intended. See a related comment against 122.7. SuggestedRemedy In 122.11a, "interoperate with each other (over an engineered link) provided that the fiber optic cabling (channel) characteristics for 200GBASE-LR4 given in 122.10 are met, with the exception ...". delete "given in 122.10". Similarly for 122.11b, 122.11c, 139.10a, 139.10b. Proposed Response Response Status O P 33 C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3 L 25 # i-20 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Comment Status X Comment Type To complete the changes in this draft we need to modify the paragraph beginning "When the larger of SERL and SERR ... mentioned here (page 135 of base spec). SuggestedRemedy Change "When the larger of SERL and SERR is equal to the target SER of 4.8 x 10-4, and the value of sigmaG cannot be increased by further optimization of the equalizer tap coefficients, then TDECQ is calculated." to "When... further optimization of the equalizer tap coefficients *and the sub-eye threshold levels*. then TDECQ is calculated." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 122 SC 122.1 P 37 L 20 # i-21 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Comment Status X Comment Type Е SuggestedRemedy

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 122 SC 122.1 P 37 L 23 # i-22 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status X Would this table title look better if it used the full width of the page? SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O SC 122.1 P 39 C/ 122 14 Dawe. Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status X 400GBASE-FR8. 400GBASE-LR8. 400GBASE-ER8 PHYs SuggestedRemedy missing "and" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 121 SC 121.8.6a P 33 # i-24 L 51 Dawe Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status X Readers struggle to understand "as measured through an O/E converter and oscilloscope with a combined 3 dB bandwidth of approximately 13.28125 GHz with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response to at least 1.5 x 26.5625 GHz and at frequencies above 1.5 x 26.5625 GHz the response should not exceed -24 dB". 5-line sentence is too long. Similar issue in three other places. SuggestedRemedy Break it up: "Transmitter transition time is defined as the slower of the time interval of the transition

"Transmitter transition time is defined as the slower of the time interval of the transition from 20% of OMAouter to 80% of OMAouter, or from 80% of OMAouter to 20% of OMAouter, for the rising and falling edges respectively, as measured through an O/E converter and oscilloscope with response defined as follows. The combined response of the O/E converter and oscilloscope has a 3 dB bandwidth of approximately 13.28125 GHz with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response to at least 1.5 x 26.5625 GHz. At frequencies above 1.5 x 26.5625 GHz the response should not exceed -24 dB.

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-24

Page 5 of 8 19/08/2019 08:43:20

C/ 122 SC 122.6 P 41 L 40 # i-25 C/ 122 SC 122.11.1 P 57 L 27 # i-28 Dawe, Piers J G Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Mellanox Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X This says that the 400GBASE-ER8 center frequencies are spaced at 800 GHz, but L3 and 400GBASE-LR8 or 40 km L4 are 1600 GHz apart. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 400GBASE-LR8, or 40 km (insert a comma) Change "spaced at 800 GHz" to "spaced on an 800 GHz grid". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O P 71 C/ 139 SC 139.1 L 26 C/ 122 SC 122.8.9.2 P 55 L 21 # i-26 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X 50GBASE-FR. "RINxx.xOMA" but x stands for a number, not a single digit. Compare clauses 52, 58, 68, 50GBASE-LR 75. In Section 8 and 802.3cd, 122 and 139 have "RINxxOMA": different again, but only in 50GBASE-ER the PICS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert the other comma RINxOMA Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 139 SC 139.7.5.3 P 78 L 44 # i-30 C/ 122 SC 122.7.2 P46 L 45 # i-27 Dawe. Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Dawe. Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X This says that TDECQ for 50GBASE-xR is as in 121.8.5.3 with one exception: the OMAoreference equalizer in 139.7.5.4. Yet with the changes in this draft, this reference equalizer uter is identical to the one in 121.8.5.4, referred to in 121.8.5.3. This is important and how it split over two lines should be, to allow breakout. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please fix. Also in Table 122-12. Delete "with the exception that the reference equalizer is as specified in 139.7.5.4." Delete 139.7.5.4 including Figure 139-5,TDECQ reference equalizer functional model. Proposed Response Response Status O If appropriate, in 121.8.5.4, change "for 200GBASE-DR4" to "for 50GBASE-FR, 50GBASE-LR. and 200GBASE-DR4" Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-30

Page 6 of 8 19/08/2019 08:43:20

C/ 121 SC 121.8.8 P 34 L 32 # i-31 C/ 121 SC 121.8.8 P 34 L 19 # i-34 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Not the usual font for figures The diagonal lines are at a gradient of 1:1 but this is obscured by the choice of scales. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the serif font to Arial. Also figs 122-6, 122-7 and 139-6. Change the y axis max from 0 to -4. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 139.7.9 P 80 L 6 C/ 122 SC 122.8.8 P 53 C/ 139 # i-32 L 21 # i-35 Dawe, Piers J G Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Mellanox Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The diagonal lines are at a gradient of 1:1 but this is obscured more than it need be by the The diagonal lines are at a gradient of 1:1 but this is obscured more than it need be by the choice of scales. choice of scales. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the y axis range from -18 to 0, to -16 to -5. Change the y axis range from -18 to 0, to -16 to -3. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 139 SC 139 9 P 81 / 12 # i-33 C/ 122 SC 122 8 8 P 54 L 23 # i-36 Dawe. Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Dawe. Piers J G Mellanox Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Channel insertion lossa. The diagonal lines are at a gradient of 1:1 but this is obscured more than it need be by the choice of scales. b(max) split over two lines SugaestedRemedv SuggestedRemedy Change the y axis range from -18 to 0, to -17 to -3. Make the first column wider, reducing second and third columns. Insert space between b Proposed Response Response Status O and (

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 122 SC 122.7.1 P45 L43 # i-37

Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The TDECQ limit for 400GBASE-ER8, 3.4 dB, is higher than any other SMF 50G/lane TDECQ limit. A low chirp transmitter could take advantage of this and present the receiver with a slower signal than it had been designed for (if the TIA was designed for FRn and LRn, and one makes an ERn receiver by replacing a pin with an APD). We introduced the transition time spec to catch this sort of thing but unfortunately, it appears to be too loose.

SuggestedRemedy

Reduce the transition time limit, (to 30 or 32 ps TBD), or introduce a maximum cursor tap limit. The limit should be checked with a commercial simulator. It should be applied to all SMF 50G/lane PMDs but could be applied to 400GBASE-ER8 alone.

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID