C/ 1 SC₁ P21 **L1** # I-1 C/ 108 SC 108.1.3.1 P45 L23 # I-23 Byrd, William PRIVACOM VENTURES. INC. Dawe. Piers J G NVIDIA Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Where is the Introduction??? It is completely missing from my document. The Introduction Tidy up the block diagram appears at the bottom of page 21, with zero words under that title SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move the paths rx data-group<15:0> and rx data-group<15:0> to the right to line up with Add the Introduction. the PCS Receive paths above. Move the right hand end of the FEC Decoder & Block Synchronization box to line up with the boxes above. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.6 P35 L25 C/ 108 SC 108.1.3.2 P46 L3 # I-17 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Laubach, Mark IEEE member / Self Employed Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Too many carriage returns between paragraphs. "Figure 108-1b" needs to be a cross reference link. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete line 25. Make it so. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 108 SC 108.1.2 # I-15 P43 L21 C/ 108 SC 108.2.1.2.3 P49 L9 # 1-25 Laubach, Mark IEEE member / Self Employed Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA** Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X "Figure 108-1" needs to be a cross reference link. "The effect of receipt of this primitive by the FEC client is unspecified by the FEC sublayer": SuggestedRemedy gratuitously unhelpful. Make it so. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change to: The effect of receipt of this primitive by the FEC client (the PCS) is specified in Clause 49: # I think you will need to change Clause 49 to mention the FEC UNITDATA.indication C/ 108 SC 108.1.3 P45 L3 I-16 primitive, too. Laubach, Mark IEEE member / Self Employed Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X "Figure 108-1a" needs to be a cross reference link. SuggestedRemedy Make it so.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Pa **49**

Page 1 of 7 2/26/2021 1:55:14 PM

C/ 108 SC 108.2.1.3.3 P49 L36 # 1-26 C/ 108 SC 108.6.3 P59 L37 # I-27 Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA** Dawe. Piers J G **NVIDIA** Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X "The effect of receipt of this primitive by the FEC client is unspecified by the FEC sublayer": This statement "the transmit and receive functions are disabled" is misleading. gratuitously unhelpful. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please change as P802.3ck has it for 91.6.2f: "the RS-FEC transmit and receive functions Change to: are disabled" The effect of receipt of this primitive by the FEC client (the PCS) is specified in Clause 107: Proposed Response Response Status O see 107.1.4.2. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 108 SC 108.6.4 P59 L47 # 1-28 Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA** SC 108.5.1.1 # C/ 108 P51 L16 1-24 Comment Type Comment Status X Dawe. Piers J G **NVIDIA** This variable is set to one to indicate that the decoder has the ability to bypass error Comment Type E Comment Status X correction. The variable is set to zero if this ability is not supported. "will" is deprecated SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy This variable is set to one if the decoder has the ability to bypass error correction. The Change "It will form" to "It forms" variable is set to zero if this ability is not supported. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 108 SC 108.7.3 P61 L32 # 1-29 C/ 108 SC 108.6 P59 L28 Dawe. Piers J G **NVIDIA** Maquire. Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type T Comment Status X Has the capability to disable the RS-FEC function Too many carriage returns between paragraphs. But 108.5.3.2 "This option shall not be used when the RS-FEC sublayer is used to form SuggestedRemedy part of a 10GBASE-BR20, 25GBASE-SR, 25GBASE-LR, or 25GBASE-ER PHY." Delete line 28. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O The status should be conditional, not always mandatory Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P64 L25 # I-18 C/ 158 SC 158.8.1 P78 L44 # I-10 Laubach, Mark IEEE member / Self Employed Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Figure 157-1 should appear in the text before Table 157-1. Table 157-1 should appear The wording "Test patterns are as in Table 158-11 for 10GBASE-BRx." can be improved after the reference to it in the first part of 157.1.3, and etc for the other tables in this SugaestedRemedy clause. I know these are Framemaker anchoring issues, but should be fixed if there is a Modify to "Test patterns for 10GBASE-BRx are defined in Table 158-11." revision. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status 0 Try to make it visually flow better than it is now. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 158 SC 158.8.1.1 P78 L49 # I-11 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd C/ 158 SC 158.5.4 P**74** L # I-31 Comment Type Comment Status X There should be no hyphen in "Test-pattern definition". There are various instances in the Dawe. Piers J G NVIDIA draft mentioning "test-pattern", which all should be modified Comment Type T Comment Status X SugaestedRemedy The SD limit of >=-30 dBm is too near to the Average receive power (min) for 10GBASE-Change heading to "Test pattern definition". Also for other instances of "test-pattern". BR20. -27.2 dBm. 1000BASE-LX10 has -45 dBm. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change -30 to a lower number. Preferably, put the limit in Table 158-7. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 158 SC 158.8.1.1 P78 L51 # I-12 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd C/ 158 SC 158.5.4 P**74** L37 # 1-30 Comment Type Comment Status X It is not clear what is meant by "Pattern 3 is optional." Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA** Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy This has a new/different requirement to all other signal detect definitions: "Implementations Clarify the meaning of "Pattern 3 is optional.". Or alternatively remove this sentence, shall provide adequate margin between the input optical power level at which the Proposed Response Response Status O SIGNAL DETECT parameter is set to OK, and the inherent noise level of the PMD due to crosstalk, power supply noise, etc.". The original text was guidance for the designer, not a spec item. Implementers will expect to use existing 10G receiver designs for these PMDs C/ 158 SC 158.8.1.1 P78 L54 SugaestedRemedy Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Change back to ""As an unavoidable consequence of the requirements for the setting of the SIGNAL DETECT parameter, implementations must provide adequate margin between Comment Type E Comment Status X the input optical power level Keep related text together. at which the SIGNAL DETECT parameter is set to OK, and the inherent noise level of the PMD due to crosstalk, power supply noise, etc.". SugaestedRemedy If necessary, change "must" to "should". Insert a non-breaking hyphen between "inverted" and "(i)". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response

Response Status O

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

C/ 158 SC 158.8.9.1 P81 L25 # I-32 C/ 158 SC 158.9.7 P89 L15 # I-5 Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type E Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Status X "The transmitted optical signal of the device under test and the reflectance of the optical Keep related text together. link should be at their maximum levels.": First, 802.3 defines behaviour at interfaces, it SugaestedRemedy does not define devices. Make all hyphens in "10GBASE-BR10-D" non-breaking and make space between "e.g.," Second, there is no expectation that the transmitted signal be adjustable; the standards and "10GBASE-BR10-D" non-breaking. has provision for it to be on or off, only. The previous sentences say that the transmitter is Proposed Response Response Status O SugaestedRemedy Change to "The reflectance of the optical link should be at its maximum level". Similarly in C/ 158 SC 158.10 P89 L39 # 1-35 158.8.9.2 (h), 159.7.10 (h) and 160.7.11 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA** Comment Type Comment Status X ANSI/TIA/EIA-526-14A/method B C/ 158 SC 158.8.10.2 L34 # I-33 P87 SuggestedRemedy Dawe. Piers J G **NVIDIA** This is for multimode? If so, delete, also from 159.9 Comment Type Т Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O ANSI/TIA/EIA-455-175A-92 (for chromatic dispersion measurement) SuggestedRemedy Update to IEC 60793-1-42, as in 160.7.5.2 C/ 158 SC 158.11.2.1 P90 L43 Proposed Response Response Status O The Siemon Company Maguire, Valerie Comment Type E Comment Status X Keep related text together. C/ 158 SC 158.8.10.3 P88 L5 # I-34 SuggestedRemedy Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA** Make hyphen in "10GBASE-BR20" non-breaking. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status 0 "corner frequency of less than or equal to 4 MHz": This is a definition, not a test procedure. It has to be unambiguous. Setting the CRU corner frequency far too low will fail acceptable transmitters. C/ 158 SC 158.13.1 P**92** L7 # 1-36 SuggestedRemedy Dawe, Piers J G NVIDIA Delete "less than or equal to" Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Clause 158, Physical Medium SuggestedRemedy Insert space Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **92** Ii **7** Page 4 of 7 2/26/2021 1:55:14 PM

C/ 158 SC 158.13.4.3 P95 L7 # I-19 C/ 159 SC 159.8.7 P109 L36 # 1-8 Laubach, Mark IEEE member / Self Employed Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type E Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Status X "Table 158-6" and "Table 158-7" (line 10) need to be cross reference links. Same for all Keep related text together. other Table references on this page. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make all hyphens in "25GBASE-BR10-D" non-breaking and make space between "e.g.," Make it so. and "25GBASE-BR10-D" non-breaking. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 158 SC 158.13.4.6 P96 L7 # I-20 C/ 159 SC 159.12.4.3 P116 L10 # I-21 IEEE member / Self Employed IEEE member / Self Employed Laubach, Mark Laubach, Mark Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X In other Value/Comment text in 158.13 PICS as well as throughout the draft, there is mixed need spaces convention to use "Clause 158.x". (e.g. page 93 line 47) versus omitting the world "Clause" SuggestedRemedy (and just have the clause number). Consistency is needed. Change "inTable 159-7" to "in Table 159-7" on line 10 and "inTable 159-6" to "in Table SuggestedRemedy 159-6" on line 21 Make subclause cross references consistent throughout the draft. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 159 SC 159.12.4.4 P116 L15 P106 C/ 159 SC 159.7.5.2 L106 Dawe. Piers J G **NVIDIA** Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X blank lines? and following tables Keep related text together. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove. Also 159.12.4.6 and following Make hyphens in "25GBASE-BR20" non-breaking. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 159 SC 159.12.4.4 P116 L21 # I-38 Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA** Comment Type E Comment Status X inTable 159-6 SuggestedRemedy Insert space Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 116

Page 5 of 7 2/26/2021 1:55:15 PM

C/ 160 SC 160.6.1 P125 L22 # I-14 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd

Comment Type Т Comment Status X

The representation of the requirement for OMAmin is shown differently than in the equivalent specification in 139.6.1, where "Launch power in OMAouter minus TDECQ (min)". It could be useful to point that out in an extension to note b.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to Note b: even when the representation of the requirement OMAouter is different as used in a conventional way in Clause 139, it is completely consistent".

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 160.6.1 C/ 160 P125 L26 # I-13

Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The row for "Launch power in OMAouter minus TDECQ (min)" is an identical requirement as the previous row and should therefore be deleted

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the row for "Launch power in OMAouter minus TDECQ (min)"

Proposed Response Response Status 0

P125 C/ 160 SC 160.6.1 L26

Wang, Ruoxu Huawei Comment Type T Comment Status X

"Launch power in OMAouter minus TDECQ (min)" is redundant. The OMAouter (min) for TDECQ from 1.4 to 3.2 dB is already determined by the "Outer Optical Modulation" Amplitude (OMAouter) (min)".

SugaestedRemedy

Delete the "Launch power in OMAouter minus TDECQ (min)" line.

The proposed reference table is shown on page 11 in

https://www.ieee802.org/3/cp/public/2009/2009 3cp Stassar 1.pdf for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 160 SC 160.6.1 P125

Dawe. Piers J G **NVIDIA** Comment Type TR Comment Status X

As noted before:

You want these new PMDs to be buildable with existing receiver ICs, designed and qualified to the earlier PAM4 specs (200GBASE-DR4, 200GBASE-FR4, 200GBASE-LR4, 200GBASE-ER4, 50GBASE-FR, 50GBASE-LR and/or 50GBASE-ER).

L28

I-41

The link and receiver need protection from both a weak signal (OMA-TDECQ limit) and a very bad signal (K and overshoot limits) because real affordable receivers have finite resolution, dynamic range, linearity and optimisation algorithm, unlike the ideal reference receiver for TDECQ.

In dB, TDECQ = C + K. C = 10log10(Ceg) = noise enhancement. K is the measure of signal quality.

Recent 100 Gb/s/lane PAM4 receivers are protected by over/under-shoot and transmitter power excursion limits.

Each of the three specs (K, over/under-shoot, and transmitter power excursion) can catch undesirable signals that the others miss, and that TDECQ misses too.

There are no separate measurements for these; they are by-products of waveform captures for TDECQ and TECQ.

Avoiding these very bad signals will help avoid error floors.

SuggestedRemedy

Reinstate the limit on K = TDECQ - 10log10(Ceq) max 3.2 dB for all three PMDs. Then at least there will be consistent protection across the 50Gb/s/lane family.

Add over/under-shoot limits as in the latest 802.3cu, for all three PMDs.

Add transmitter power excursion limits to the PMD(s) that need that protection (it depends on the receive max power).

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 160 SC 160.6.1 P125 L38

Wang, Ruoxu Huawei Comment Type Comment Status X

Table 160-6 suggests for 50GBASE-BR20 an optical return loss tolerance (max) of 15 dB. whereas Table 160-11 suggests that this is 15.3 dB, which is not consistent. It needs to be either 15 or 15.3 dB in both Tables 160-6 and 160-11.

SuggestedRemedy

In table 160-6 line 38, split the table into two columns and set "Optical return loss tolerance (max)" for 50GBASE-BR20 to 15.3dB.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 160 SC 160.7.5.2 P129 L54 # I-39 Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA** Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Notes detached from table SuggestedRemedy Remove blank line 41. Make the notes stay with the table. Similar issue with Table 16-8. Proposed Response Response Status 0 # I-40 C/ 160 SC 160.7.5.2 P130 L2 Dawe, Piers J G **NVIDIA** Comment Type T Comment Status X Similar to the change made to 158.8.10.2: The minimum and maximum chromatic dispersion for the compliance channel are calculated based on maximum length. For each PMD, if minimum and maximum CD are the same side of zero, a link with a shorter fibre and maybe some extra patch panel loss is not properly protected. SuggestedRemedy The same fix as for Table 158-13: add to note a: The link may be as short as 2 m, and the minimum or maximum dispersion may be 0. Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 160 SC 160.8.7 P135 L28 # [-9]
Maquire, Valerie The Siemon Company

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Keep related text together.

SuggestedRemedy

Make all hyphens in "50GBASE-BR10-D" non-breaking and make space between "e.g.," and "50GBASE-BR10-D" non-breaking.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 160 SC 160.12.3.3 P141 L7 # [-22

Laubach, Mark IEEE member / Self Employed

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**

"Table 160–6" needs to be a cross reference link. Same for all the "Table 160-x"'s on this page.

SuggestedRemedy

Make it so.

Proposed Response Response Status O