C/FM SC FM	P1	L 27	# R1-18	C/ 56 SC 56.1.3	P 41	L12	# R1-21
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA		" (1-10	Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA	- 12	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
50				108 appears twice in T			
Gb/s				SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Combine the entries			
Use non-breaking spa km in abstract	ice. Also at 20			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						
				C/ 108 SC 108.2.1.3	3.3 P50	L 36	# R1-22
C/FM SC FM	P 6	L 50	# R1-19	Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA		
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			"See 107.1.4.2"			
Two people's names i	in one entry			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				As this is for one of the for FEC_UNITDATA.in	e 10GBASE-R service primitive distance of the service primitive of the service of	ves, maybe it sh	ould be "See 49.2", as
Out life the same							
Split them				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
•	Response Status O			Proposed Response			
Proposed Response			# [54.00	Proposed Response	Response Status O	L 44	# R1-17
Proposed Response	P 41	L12	# <u>R1-20</u>		Response Status O		# R1-17
Proposed Response Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 Dawe, Piers J G	P 41 NVIDIA	L12	# <u>R1-20</u>	C/ 158 SC 158.5.10	Response Status 0		# <u>R</u> 1-17
Proposed Response Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E	P 41 NVIDIA Comment Status X			<i>Cl</i> 158 <i>SC</i> 158.5.10 Ran, Adee	Response Status 0 P81 Intel Corporat Comment Status X		# <u>R1-17</u>
Proposed Response Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E Clause 108's title has	P 41 NVIDIA			Cl 158 SC 158.5.10 Ran, Adee Comment Type E	Response Status 0 P81 Intel Corporat Comment Status X e cross reference.		# <u>R1-17</u>
Proposed Response Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E Clause 108's title has SuggestedRemedy	P 41 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X changed and it is clear now th	at it can be used	d at 10G	<i>Cl</i> 158 <i>SC</i> 158.5.10 Ran, Adee <i>Comment Type</i> E "157.5" is not an active Also in 159.5.10 and ir	Response Status 0 P81 Intel Corporat Comment Status X e cross reference.		# <u>R1-17</u>
Proposed Response Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E Clause 108's title has SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE-R	P 41 NVIDIA Comment Status X	nat it can be used FEC" (which is h	d at 10G	Cl 158 SC 158.5.10 Ran, Adee Comment Type E "157.5" is not an active	Response Status O P81 Intel Corporat <i>Comment Status</i> X e cross reference. n 160.5.10		# <u>R1-17</u>
Proposed Response Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E Clause 108's title has SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE-R	P 41 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> X changed and it is clear now th RRS-FEC" to "Reed-Solomon	nat it can be used FEC" (which is h	d at 10G	Cl 158 SC 158.5.10 Ran, Adee Comment Type E "157.5" is not an active Also in 159.5.10 and ir SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P81 Intel Corporat <i>Comment Status</i> X e cross reference. n 160.5.10		# <u>R1-17</u>

Pa **81** Li **44**

Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type E Comment Tytes Comment Type Comment Type Table 158-7, the "Optical Modulation Amplitude" is not followed by the abbreviation "OMA" (unlike "TDP" one row below, and unlike Table 159-6). Also, the row "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" should be placed after the rows that define OMA and TDP. SuggestedRemedy Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (MA) (imin)". Reorder rows such that "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" is after OMA and TDP. Proposed Response Response Status O C1 158 SC 158.7 PB5 L22 # R1.5 Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The jitter specifications for 10GeASE-BRx are defined in 158.8.9" SS 158.2 PB5 L22 # R1.5 SuggestedRemedy The intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The jitter specifications for 10GeASE-BRx are defined in 158.8.9" SuggestedRemedy Add the following paragraph in 158.8.1, before the NOTE: The intere is not sublaces heading "jitter specifications." SuggestedRemedy Add the following paragraph in 158.8.1, before the NOTE: The plates specifications for 1	C/ 158 SC 158.6.1	P83	L 24	# <u>R</u> 1-4	C/ 158	SC 158.8.1	.1 P85	L 44	#	R1-2
In Table 158-7, the "Optical Modulation Amplitude" is not followed by the abbreviation "OMA" (unlike "TDP" one row below, and unlike Table 159-6). Also, the row "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" should be placed after the rows that define OMA and TDP. SuggestedRemedy Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (Min)". Recorder rows such that "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" is after OMA and TDP. Proposed Response Response Status O (158 SC 158.7 P85 L22 # F1-5 Man, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The jitter specifications for 105BASE-BRx are defined in 158.8.9". PMD clauses (other than an eye mask, but that is actually defined in 158.8.7). The remainder of this subclause to "The single to defined the test ereview jitter tolerance, which is complementary to jitter specifications for 105BASE-BRx are defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158-7, using the definitions in 158.8.7. Replace the text of this subclause to "The isoubclause to "The isoubclause	Ran, Adee	Intel Corporation	on		Ran, Adee		Intel Corpora	tion		_
"OMA" (unlike "TDP" one row below, and unlike Table 159–6). Also, the row "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" should be placed after the rows that define OMA and TDP. generator and/or a checker for these test patterns (e.g. for measuring transmit eye in 158.8.7, or BER in SRS test in 158.8.9.1.1). SuggestedRemedy Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA) (min)". The PMD tests require generating/checking these test patterns (e.g. for measuring transmit eye in 158.8.7, or BER in SRS test in 158.8.9.1.1). Reorder rows such that "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" is after OMA and TDP. Proposed Response Status 0 Is SC 158.7 P85 L22 # R1.5 Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRx are defined in 158.8.7). The remainder of this sentence refers to "the sinusoidal jitter used to test receiver jitter ober optical." SuggestedRemedy Replace the text of this subclause to "The remainder of this sentence refers to "the sinusoidal jitter used to test receiver jitter tolerance. This dees not match the subclause to "The pilter specifications for 10GBASE-BRx are defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158.7, List one not the subclause to "The igniter specifications for 10GBASE-BRx are defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158.7, List one not match the subclause to "The igniter specifications for 10GBASE	Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status X			
that define OMA and TDP.Suggested/Remedy Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA) (min)".Transmit eye in 158.8.7, or BER in SRS test in 158.8.9, 1.1).Reorder rows such that "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" is after OMA and TDP.It seems reasonable not to require implementation of test pattern logic in a PMD, but it must be implemented somewhere (e.g. in test equipment or in other sublayers). This is no clear from the current text. <i>Cl</i> 158SC 158.7P85L22# <i>Cl</i> 158SC 9septifications for 10GBASE-BRXa defined in 158.8.9".But they are not: 158.8.9 specifications in this clause, similar to other optical PMD clauses (other than an eye mask, but that is actually defined in 158.8.7".<				by the abbreviation						
Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA) (min)". Reorder rows such that "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" is after OMA and TDP. Proposed Response Response Response Status O C/ 158 SC 158.7 P85 L22 # R1-5 C/ 158 SC 158.7 P85 L22 # R1-5 But they are not; 158.8.9 specifies jitter tolerance, which is complementary to jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRX are defined in 158.8.9" But they are not; 158.8.9 specifies jitter tolerance, which is complementary to jitter specifications. For 10GBASE-BRX are defined in 158.8.7). The remainder of this sentence refers to "the sinusoidal jitter used to test receiver jitter tolerance". This does not match the subclause heading "jitter specifications." SuggestedRemedy Replace the text of this subclause to "The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRX are defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158-7, using the defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158-7, using the definitions in 158.8.7 and the reference receiver defined in a 158.8.7 and the reference receiver defined in a higher sublayer (e.g. the PCS of clause 49) or in the test equipment, as appropriate". Proposed Response Response Status O)P" should be p	laced after the rows					g. for mea	asuring
Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 158 SC 158.7 P85 L22 # R1-5 Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Status X The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRX are defined in 158.8.9" But they are not; 158.8.9 specifies jitter tolerance, which is complementary to jitter specification. There seem to be no jitter specifications in this clause, similar to other optical PMD clauses (other than an eye mask, but that is actually defined in 158.8.7). The remainder of this sentence refers to "the sinusoidal jitter used to test receiver jitter tolerance". This does not match the subclause heading "jitter specifications." SuggestedRemedy Replace the text of this subclause to "The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRx are defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158–7, using the definitions in 158.8.7 and the reference receiver defined in 158.8.7.	Change the descriptio		iplitude (min)" t	o "Optical Modulation	must be	e implemented	l somewhere (e.g. in test equi			
Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status X The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRX are defined in 158.8.9" But they are not; 158.8.9 specifies jitter tolerance, which is complementary to jitter specification. There seem to be no jitter specifications in this clause, similar to other optical PMD clauses (other than an eye mask, but that is actually defined in 158.8.7). The remainder of this sentence refers to "the sinusoidal jitter used to test receiver jitter tolerance". This does not match the subclause heading "jitter specifications". SuggestedRemedy Replace the text of this subclause to "The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRX are defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158–7, using the definitions in 158.8.7 and the reference receiver defined in 158.8.10.3." SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response	Response Status O			equipm be appl pattern	ent, but when icable (the cla checking in th	testing a full PHY, test pattern use 51 PMA does not require the PCS requires bypassing the	n generation by remote loopba e RS-FEC subla	test equip ck capabil ayer; going	oment may not ity), and test g into these
Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRX are defined in 158.8.9" Add the following paragraph in 158.8.1, before the NOTE: But they are not; 158.8.9 specifies jitter tolerance, which is complementary to jitter specification. There seem to be no jitter specifications in this clause, similar to other optical PMD clauses (other than an eye mask, but that is actually defined in 158.8.7). The remainder of this sentence refers to "the sinusoidal jitter used to test receiver jitter tolerance". This does not match the subclause heading "jitter specifications". In 158.8.9.1.1, change the sentence "As defined in section 49.2.12 and 50.3.8, the PCS is capable of detecting the data pattern and reporting any errors received" to "error counting may be performed in a higher sublayer (e.g. the PCS of clause 49) or in the test equipment as appropriate". SuggestedRemedy Replace the text of this subclause to Proposed Response Response Status O "The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRx are defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158–7, using the definitions in 158.8.7 and the reference receiver defined in 158.8.10.3." Response Response Status O	C/ 158 SC 158.7	P 85	L 22	# R1-5	Suggested	Remedy				
Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRx are defined in 158.8.9" But they are not; 158.8.9 specifies jitter tolerance, which is complementary to jitter specification. There seem to be no jitter specifications in this clause, similar to other optical PMD clauses (other than an eye mask, but that is actually defined in 158.8.7). The remainder of this sentence refers to "the sinusoidal jitter used to test receiver jitter tolerance". This does not match the subclause heading "jitter specifications". SuggestedRemedy Replace the text of this subclause to "The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRx are defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158–7, using the definitions in 158.8.7 and the reference receiver defined in 158.8.10.3."	Ran, Adee	•	on		Add the	e following par	agraph in 158.8.1, before the	NOTE:		
49.2.12, are required for testing a PMD. Tests may utilize test pattern generator and checker in other sublayers (e.g. the PCS of clause 49) or in the test equipment, as appropriate". Heremainder of this sentence refers to "the sinusoidal jitter used to test receiver jitter tolerance". This does not match the subclause heading "jitter specifications". SuggestedRemedy Replace the text of this subclause to "The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRx are defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158–7, using the definitions in 158.8.7 and the reference receiver defined in 158.8.10.3."	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X				01				
But they are not; 158.8.9 specifies jitter tolerance, which is complementary to jitter specification. There seem to be no jitter specifications in this clause, similar to other optical PMD clauses (other than an eye mask, but that is actually defined in 158.8.7). The remainder of this sentence refers to "the sinusoidal jitter used to test receiver jitter tolerance". This does not match the subclause heading "jitter specifications". SuggestedRemedy Replace the text of this subclause to "The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRx are defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158–7, using the definitions in 158.8.7 and the reference receiver defined in 158.8.10.3." checker in other sublayers (e.g. the PCS of clause 49) or in the test equipment, as appropriate". In 158.8.9.1.1, change the sentence "As defined in section 49.2.12 and 50.3.8, the PCS is capable of detecting the data pattern and reporting any errors received" to "error counting may be performed in a higher sublayer (e.g. the PCS of clause 49) or in the test equipment as appropriate". Proposed Response Response Status O	"The jitter specification	ns for 10GBASE-BRx are de	fined in 158.8.	9"						
In 158.8.9.1.1, change the sentence "As defined in section 49.2.12 and 50.3.8, the PCS is capable of detecting the data pattern and reporting any errors received" to "error counting may be performed in a higher sublayer (e.g. the PCS of clause 49) or in the test equipment as appropriate". <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Replace the text of this subclause to "The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRx are defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158–7, using the definitions in 158.8.7 and the reference receiver defined in 158.8.10.3."	specification. There se	eem to be no jitter specification	is in this clause	, similar to other optical	checke	r in other subl				
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace the text of this subclause to Proposed Response Response Status O "The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRx are defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158–7, using the definitions in 158.8.7 and the reference receiver defined in 158.8.10.3." Response Response Status O	The remainder of this tolerance". This does	sentence refers to "the sinusoi	dal jitter used t	o test receiver jitter	capable of detecting the data pattern and reporting any errors received" to "error countin may be performed in a higher sublayer (e.g. the PCS of clause 49) or in the test equipme					
"The jitter specifications for 10GBASE-BRx are defined by the transmitter eye mask requirements in Table 158–7, using the definitions in 158.8.7 and the reference receiver defined in 158.8.10.3."						•	Paananaa Statua			
requirements in Table 158–7, using the definitions in 158.8.7 and the reference receiver defined in 158.8.10.3."	Replace the text of thi	s subclause to			r ioposed r	Coponse	∇			
Proposed Response Response Status O	requirements in Table	158-7, using the definitions in								
	Proposed Response	Response Status 0								

Pa **85** Li **44**

C/ 158	SC 158.8.1.1	P86	L 23	# R1-1	C/ 158	SC 158.8.5	P 87	L 36	# R1-3		
Ran, Ade	e	Intel Corporati	on		Ran, Adee		Intel Corporat	ion			
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X				
Footn optior		is the test pattern checker of	defined in 49.2.	12. Pattern 3 is	test pa		ned in 52.9.5 for measuremer (from the variable Measured ttern"				
1. The	e table does not d	efine a test pattern checker; i	t defines a test	pattern.							
with t	he "pseudo-rando	define a test pattern checker m" (A/B) pattern and has son clause which just defines the	ne requirements	about its operations. It	 52.9.5 defines the test procedure, not a value. There should be no "shall" for a definition of a test procedure (it is defined by the standard, not by an implementation). The "shall" should refer to the test result and the requirements in Table 158–7. 						
3. The	e test pattern is no e there is no requi	ot optional; its implementatior rement to implement any of t pattern generator or checker	n may be option he test patterns	al, but in this PMD anyway (a PMD may	clause		in 68.6.2 uses PRBS9 test pa t calculation. The results migh test definition.				
		e is no need to state "optiona			Also applies to 159.7.4 (cross-clause).						
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggested	IRemedy					
	ace the footprint w PRBS31 test patte	ith the following ern is identical to the one defi		Change the quoted sentence to "OMA shall meet the requirements in Table 158–7 when measured using the method defined in 52.9.5".							
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			Apply	similar change	to 159.7.4 (with reference to T	able 159–6 ins	tead).		
					Proposed	0	Response Status 0				

Pa **87** Li **36**

7/ 158 S	C 158.8.6	P 87	L 42	# F	1-7	C/ 158	SC 158.8.7	P87	7	L 46	#	R1-6
Ran, Adee		Intel Corpora	ation			Ran, Adee		Intel C	Corporation			
Comment Type "RIN shall		Comment Status X d by the measurement meth	hodology of 52 9	6 with the ex	ception	Comment Measu		Comment Status ansmitter eye depends o		andwidth	The ban	idwidth can
		ss shall be"						e mask requirements, s				
		nodology of 52.9.6 does not 158–7. So the "shall" shou			IxOMA - the		ferenced proce her place, 86.	edure in 86.8.4.6.1 does 3.3.2).	s not specify t	the CRU ba	andwidth	n (it is specifie
The measuris not need		nodology does have the ret	urn loss as a par	ameter, so tl	ne exception	In this clause, the CRU bandwidth is defined for the reference receiver in 158.8.10.3 (although it is placed under the TDP subclause). The current text says "The clock recovery unit (CRU) used in the TDP measurement has a corner frequency of 4 MHz and a slope of 20 dB/decade", which is identical to 86.8.3.2). This definition can be used to avoid pointing						
Also, using	g the term RI	N where Table 158–7 uses	RINxOMA is unr	necessarily c	onfusing.							
uggestedRen	nedy					to ano well).	her document	(but it should be made	less specific	to apply to	transmi	tter eye as
Changer th	ne text of this	subclause to				,						
"RINxOMA	shall meet t	he requirement in Table 15	8–7 when measu	ured using th	e method of			ence to 86.8.3.2 can be	added instea	ld.		
	52.9.6, with x being the Optical return loss tolerance (max) specified in Table 158–7 for the					Suggested	-			450.07		
PMD unde								ntence after the existing /er for the transmitter o			ement is	s defined in
roposed Resp	oonse	Response Status O				158.8.10.3".						
						In the	ast paragraph	of 158.8.10.3, delete th	ie words "use	ed in the TE	OP meas	surement".
						Proposed	Response	Response Status	0			

Pa **87** Li **46**

	5158.8.9.1.1	P 90	L 1	# R1-8	C/ 158	SC 158.8.9.	2 PS	95 L5	50 #	<u>R</u> 1-10
an, Adee		Intel Corpora	ation		Ran, Adee		Intel	Corporation		
mment Type	TR Comm	ent Status 🗙			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	S X		
irrelevant for		s in this clause do	o not support WI	S. The system under			based on 95.8.8, whi dditional exception is			
test may also host).	o not have a PCS (fo	r example, when a	a module is teste	d unconnected to a	Suggested	lRemedy				
nost).						the list of exce				
	s a BiDi arrow labeleo s unclear what it mea			the test equipment and ns?	to the		20 has a single lane i le receiver, and the o			
To minimize figure to be i		ested to remove u	nnecessary deta	ils which may cause the	Proposed	Response	Response Status	Ο		
uggestedReme	edy est pattern" label and	the associated h	i-directional arro [.]	w	C/ 158	SC 158.8.10	. 2 PS	96 <i>L</i> 1	0 #	R1-11
	label "PCS or WIS" to				Ran, Adee		Intel	Corporation		
posed Respo	onse Respon	se Status O			Comment		Comment Status	•		
						21	BASE-BRx is a 2 m to		meeting the regu	irements ir
						158–15."				
158 SC	5 158.8.9.1.4	P 93	L 53	# <u>R</u> 1-9	Laggu	mo this requirer	nent is only for the sp	agific toot. The D	UVa ara intandar	d to operat
n, Adee		Intel Corpora	ition		over s	omewhat larger	lengths.	becilic test. The F	in sale intended	
mment Type		ent Status X			Suggested	-	Ū			
	vever, guarantee that st-case optical input."		ig the requirement	nts of this test operates	Chang	je "The channel	for 10GBASE-BRx" t used in this test".	to "The channel fo	or testing the 100	BASE-BR
	uarantee" should not guarantee what is cla		dard. The test m	ethod does not	Proposed	Response	Response Status	Ο		
	does in many other p	places throughout		nis claim goes without a way to rephrase it	C/ 158	SC 158.9.7	PS	97 L3	88 #	R1-12
	r claim it would also b	e acceptable.			Ran, Adee	!		Corporation		
					Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	S X		
ggestedReme	•									
	•						PMD labeling require	ements" but the te	ext says "It is rec	ommende
ggestedReme Delete this s	sentence.	ose Status O				ubclause title is this is not a req		ements" but the te	ext says "It is rec	ommended
ggestedReme Delete this s	sentence.	se Status O			that" -		uirement.	ements" but the te	ext says "It is rec	ommended
ggestedReme Delete this s	sentence.	se Status O			that" -	this is not a req n 159.8.7 and in	uirement.	ements" but the te	ext says "It is rec	ommende
uggestedReme	sentence.	se Status O			that" - Also ir <i>Suggested</i>	this is not a req n 159.8.7 and in <i>IRemedy</i>	uirement.		ext says "It is rec	ommende

Pa **97** Li **38**

C/ 159 SC 159.6.3	P106	L12	# R1-24	C/ 159	SC 159.7.10	P 117	L17	# R1-14
)awe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Ran, Adee		Intel Corporatio	on	
comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment 1	ype T	Comment Status X		
Blank line in table, lay	out			In addit	ion to "the cond	litions for receiver aggressor la	anes do not ap	ply"
SuggestedRemedy Remove any unneces and -8 so "1320 to 134	sary C/R at line 12. Preferab	ly, make column	2 wider in tables 159-7	The intended	erface BER is n as the BER of	ot an average of four BER means the single receiver.	asurements; th	ne BER should be
				Suggestedl	Remedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O				other exception terface BER is i	to the list: dentical to the BER on the sing	gle receiver".	
C 159 SC 159.7.10	P110	L 25	# R1-25	Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
awe, Piers J G comment Type E	NVIDIA Comment Status X			C/ 160	SC 160.6.1	P127	L14	# R1-27
Table layout							L 1 4	1(1-27
2				Dawe, Piers		NVIDIA Comment Status X		
uggestedRemedy Remove any C/R caus	sing the empty line 31. Make	the right columr	(or both) a little wider	<i>Comment 1</i> Blank li	<i>ype</i> E ne in table	Comment Status X		
roposed Response	Response Status O			<i>Suggestedl</i> Remov	R <i>emedy</i> e any unnecess	ary C/R		
/ 159 SC 159.6.1	P112	L15	# R1-13	Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
an, Adee	Intel Corpora	tion						
omment Type E	Comment Status X			C/ 160	SC 160.6.1	P 136	L 42	# R1-15
	for "RINxOMA", but 159.7.7 d	efines the paran	neter RIN20OMA (there	Ran, Adee		Intel Corporatio	on	
is only one value of op	otical return loss tolerance).			Comment 7	<i>уре</i> Е	Comment Status X		
Also, footnote c has "	RINxOAM" (typo), but this for	otnote would not	be required if the term	Footno	te d has "RINxC	DAM" (typo).		
was simply RIN20OM	Α.			Suggested	Remedy			
uggestedRemedy				00	to "RINxOMA"			
Change RINxOMA to	RIN20OMA, and delete footno	ote c.		Proposed F		Response Status O		
roposed Response	Response Status 0			, , oposed i	0000000			

Pa **136** Li **42**

C/ 160 SC 160	.9 <i>P</i> 138	L 35	# R1-26	C/ 49 SC 49.2.1	3.2.2	P 542	L	# <u>R</u> 1-23
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Piers J G		NVIDIA		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comme	ent Status X		
The header row of	of the table			In the base docume	nt:			
SuggestedRemedy Should be bold Proposed Response	Response Status O			signal_ok Boolean variable th PMA_SIGNAL.indio if the value was OK	ation(SIGNAL	_OK) or WIS_SIG	SNÁL.indicatio	value of n(SIGNAL_OK). It is true
				SuggestedRemedy				
C/ 160 SC 160	.7.9 P143	L38	# R1-16	This could say: PMA_SIGNAL.indic FEC_SIGNAL.indic			SNAL.indicatio	n(SIGNAL_OK) or
Ran, Adee	Intel Corpora	ition		or more neatly,		_0(()		
Comment Type T				PMA_SIGNAL.india			AL.indication(SIGNAL_OK) or
"RIN shall be as	defined by the measurement meth	nodology of 52.9.	6"	FEC_SIGNAL.indic		_0K)		
a test procedure	e test procedure, not a value. The (it is defined by the standard, not e test result and the requirements	by an implement		Proposed Response	Respon	se Status O		
Also, using the te	rm RIN where Table 160–7 uses	RINxOMA is unn	ecessarily confusing.					
SuggestedRemedy								
	ed sentence to "RINxOMA shall m		ents in Table 160–7					

when measured using the method defined in 52.9.6".

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

Pa **542** Li