C/ 158 SC 1	58.8.1.1	P 86	L 23	# <u>R</u> 1-1	Cl 158	SC 158	8.1.1	P 85	L 44	# <u>R</u> 1-2
Ran, Adee		Intel Corporati	on		Ran, Adee			Intel Corpora	tion	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A			Comment T	Type TF	2	Comment Status A		
Footnote a say: optional".	s "This is	the test pattern checker	defined in 49.2.1	2. Pattern 3 is				est pattern definition". Bu r for these test pattern, o		
		ne a test pattern checker;						nerating/checking these t r BER in SRS test in 158		. for measuring
with the "pseud is irrelevant for 3. The test patt	lo-random" this subcla tern is not c	fine a test pattern checker (A/B) pattern and has son use which just defines the ptional; its implementatior nent to implement any of t	ne requirements a test pattern (equ n may be optional	about its operations. It livalent to 49.2.8). I, but in this PMD	must be clear fro	e impleme om the cur	nted som rent text	o require implementation newhere (e.g. in test equi n isolation (e.g. optical mo	pment or in othe	r sublayers). This is not
not implement a	any test pa	ttern generator or checker no need to state "optiona	, including test pa	atterns 1/2 or square	equipm be appl	ient, but wi licable (the	nen testi clause (ng a full PHY, test patterr 51 PMA does not require	n generation by t remote loopbac	est equipment may not capability), and test
SuggestedRemedy								S requires bypassing the y, but the test definition s		
Replace the foo "The PRBS31 t		the following is identical to the one defi	ned in 49 2 8"		Suggested	Remedy				
		Response Status C			Add the	e following	paragrap	oh in 158.8.1, before the	NOTE:	
Response ACCEPT.					49.2.12	2, are requi r in other s	red for te	nd checking functions, su esting a PMD. Tests may a (e.g. the PCS of clause	utilize test patte	rn generator and
					capable may be	e of detecti	ng the d	e sentence "As defined in ata pattern and reporting her sublayer (e.g. the PC	any errors receiv	ved" to "error counting
					Response		I	Response Status C		
					ACCEF	PT IN PRIN	ICIPLE.			
					"Test p 49.2.12	attern gen 2, are requi r in other s	eration a red for te	bh in 158.8.1, before the l nd checking functions, su esting a PMD. Tests may (e.g. the PCS of clause	uch as the ones outilize test patte	rn generator and
					In 450 (0011 do	lata aant	ence "As defined in secti	am 40 0 40 am d 4	

Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status A "OMA shall be as defined in 52.9.5 for measurement with a square wave (8 ones, 8 zeros) test pattern or 86.6.2 (from the variable MeasuredOMA in 68.6.6.2) for measurement with a PRSS Plest pattern" The jitter specifications for 105ABSE-BRX are defined in 158.8.7" 1.52.9.5 defines the test procedure, not a value. There should be no "shall" for a definition of a test procedure in 68.6.2 uses PRBS9 test pattern, which is not defined in this clause, and a different calculation. The results might be different and create ambiguity. There should be on test at definition. The ist procedure in 68.6.2 uses PRBS9 test pattern, which is not defined in 158.7. Also applies to 159.7.4 (cross-clause). SuggestedRemedy Change the quoted sentence to "OMA shall meet the requirements in Table 158-7 when measured using the method defined in 52.9.5". Apply similar change to 159.7.4 (with reference to Table 159-6 instead). Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Type Response Status C1 188 SC 158.6.1 P83 L24 Rift NoM (unike "TDP" one row below, and unike Table 159-6). Status file Response Status C Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Status A Response Status C In Table 158-7, the "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (MA) (min): <th>C/ 158</th> <th>SC 158.8.5</th> <th>P87</th> <th>L36</th> <th># <u>R</u>1-3</th> <th>C/ 158</th> <th>SC 158.7</th> <th>P85</th> <th>L22</th> <th># <u>R</u>1-5</th>	C/ 158	SC 158.8.5	P 87	L 36	# <u>R</u> 1-3	C/ 158	SC 158.7	P 85	L 22	# <u>R</u> 1-5
 "MA analle as defined in 52.9.5 for measurement with a square wave (8 ones, 8 zeros) test pattern of 86.6.2 (from the variable MeasuredOMA in 68.6.6.2) for measurement with a PRS9 test pattern" 1.52.9.5 defines the test procedure, not a value. There should be no "shall" for a definition of a lest procedure (11s defined by the standard, not by an implementation). The "shall" should refer to the test result and the requirements in Table 158–7. 2. The test procedure in 68.6.2 uses PRBS9 test pattern, which is not defined in this clause, and a different calculation. The results might be different and create ambiguity. There should be one test definition. Also applies to 159.7.4 (cross-clause). NagestedRemedy Change the quoted sentence to "OMA shall meet the requirements in Table 158–7 when measured using the method defined in 52.9.5". Apply similar change to 159.7.4 (with reference to Table 159–6 instead). Response Response Status C ACCEPT. 2/ 158 SC 158.6.1 P83 L24 # R14 Change the quoted Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (Modulation Amplitude (Modulation Amplitude (Modulation Amplitude (Modulation Amplitude (Modulation Amplitud	Ran, Adee		Intel Corporatio	on		Ran, Adee		Intel Corporati	ion	
test pattern or 68.6.2 (from the variable MeasuredOMA in 68.6.6.2) for measurement with a PRBS9 test pattern. Subject to the test procedure, not a value. There should be no "shall" for a definition of a test procedure (it is defined by the standard, not by an implementation). The "shall" should refer to the test result and the requirements in Table 158-7. But they are not. 158.8.9 specifies jitter tolerance, which is complementary to specification. There seem to be no jitter specifications in this clause, similar: and a different calculation. The results might be different and create ambiguity. There should be one test definition. Also applies to 159.7.4 (cross-clause). SuggestedRemedy Change the quoted sentence to "OMA shall meet the requirements in Table 158-7 when measured using the method defined in 52.9.5". Apply similar change to 159.7.4 (with reference to Table 159-6 instead). Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Type E Comment Status A In Table 158-7, the "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (Modulation Amplitude (Min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (Min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (Min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (Modulation Amplitude (Min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (M	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A			Comment 7	ype TR	Comment Status A		
Also applies to 159.7.4 (cross-clause). SuggestedRemedy Change the quoted sentence to "OMA shall meet the requirements in Table 158–7 when measured using the method defined in 52.9.5". Apply similar change to 159.7.4 (with reference to Table 159–6 instead). Response Response Status C ACCEPT. 2/ 158 SC 158.6.1 P83 L24 # R1.4 Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status A In Table 158–7, the "Optical Modulation Amplitude" is not followed by the abbreviation "OMA" (unlike "TDP" one row below, and unlike Table 159–6). Also, the row "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" should be placed after the rows that define OMA and TDP. SuggestedRemedy Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA) (min)".	test path with a P 1. 52.9.3 of a test should r 2. The t clause,	tern or 68.6.2 PRBS9 test pat 5 defines the to t procedure (it refer to the test test procedure and a different	(from the variable Measured tern" est procedure, not a value. The is defined by the standard, not t result and the requirements in in 68.6.2 uses PRBS9 test patt c calculation. The results might	OMA in 68.6.6. ere should be no by an implemen Table 158–7. tern, which is no	2) for measurement ' "shall" for a definition ntation). The "shall" ot defined in this	But the specific PMD cl The rei toleran Suggested	y are not; 158.8 ation. There se auses (other th nainder of this ce". This does n Remedy	3.9 specifies jitter tolerance, w eem to be no jitter specificatior an an eye mask, but that is ac sentence refers to "the sinusoi not match the subclause head	hich is complen ns in this clause ctually defined ir idal jitter used to	nentary to jitter e, similar to other option n 158.8.7). o test receiver jitter
uggestedRemedy requirements in Table 158–7, when measured using the method defined in 52.9.5". requirements in Table 158–7, using the definitions in 158.8.7 and the reference defined in 158.8.10.3." Apply similar change to 159.7.4 (with reference to Table 159–6 instead). Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Intable 158–7, the "Optical Modulation Amplitude" is not followed by the abbreviation "OMA" (unlike "TDP" one row below, and unlike Table 159–6). Also, the row "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" should be placed after the rows that define OMA and TDP. RuggestedRemedy UggestedRemedy Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA) (min)". Response Response	There s	hould be one t	est definition.			Replac	e the text of this	s subclause to		
measured using the method defined in 52.9.5". Response Response Status C Apply similar change to 159.7.4 (with reference to Table 159–6 instead). ACCEPT. Cl 158 SC 158.6.1 P83 L24 # R1-4 Can, Adee Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status A In Table 158–7, the "Optical Modulation Amplitude" is not followed by the abbreviation "OMA" (unlike "TDP" one row below, and unlike Table 159–6). Also, the row "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" should be placed after the rows that define OMA and TDP. SuggestedRemedy Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (Min)".	•		4 (cross-clause).			require	ments in Table	158-7, using the definitions in		
Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Cl 158 SC 158.6.1 P83 L24 # R1-4 Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status A In Table 158-7, the "Optical Modulation Amplitude" is not followed by the abbreviation "OMA" (unlike "TDP" one row below, and unlike Table 159-6). Also, the row "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" should be placed after the rows that define OMA and TDP. SuggestedRemedy Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA) (min)".				requirements i	n Table 158–7 when	•	РТ.	Response Status C		
ACCEPT. 2/ 158 SC 158.6.1 P83 L24 # R1-4 tan, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status A In Table 158–7, the "Optical Modulation Amplitude" is not followed by the abbreviation "OMA" (unlike "TDP" one row below, and unlike Table 159–6). Also, the row "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" should be placed after the rows that define OMA and TDP. SuggestedRemedy Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA) (min)".	Apply si	imilar change t	o 159.7.4 (with reference to Ta	ble 159–6 inste	ad).					
Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status In Table 158–7, the "Optical Modulation Amplitude" is not followed by the abbreviation "OMA" (unlike "TDP" one row below, and unlike Table 159–6). Also, the row "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" should be placed after the rows that define OMA and TDP. SuggestedRemedy Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA) (min)".		PT.	Response Status C							
Comment Type E Comment Status A In Table 158–7, the "Optical Modulation Amplitude" is not followed by the abbreviation "OMA" (unlike "TDP" one row below, and unlike Table 159–6). Also, the row "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" should be placed after the rows that define OMA and TDP. SuggestedRemedy Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA) (min)".	C/ 158	SC 158.6.1	P83	L 24	# R1-4					
In Table 158–7, the "Optical Modulation Amplitude" is not followed by the abbreviation "OMA" (unlike "TDP" one row below, and unlike Table 159–6). Also, the row "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" should be placed after the rows that define OMA and TDP. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA) (min)".	lan, Adee		Intel Corporation	on						
"OMA" (unlike "TDP" one row below, and unlike Table 159–6). Also, the row "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" should be placed after the rows that define OMA and TDP. SuggestedRemedy Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA) (min)".	Comment T	<i>уре</i> Е	Comment Status A							
that define OMA and TDP. SuggestedRemedy Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA) (min)".					y the abbreviation					
Change the description from "Optical Modulation Amplitude (min)" to "Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA) (min)".				P" should be pl	aced after the rows					
Amplitude (OMA) (min)".	SuggestedF	Remedy								
Reorder rows such that "Launch power (min) in OMA minus TDP" is after OMA and TDP.	Change	e the descriptio		plitude (min)" to	"Optical Modulation					
	Reorder	r rows such tha	at "Launch power (min) in OMA	minus TDP" is	after OMA and TDP.					
Response Response Status C	Response		Response Status C							

ACCEPT.

C/ 158	SC 158.8.7	P87	L 46	# R1-6	C/ 158	SC 1	58 8 6	P87	L 42	# R1-7
Ran, Adee	00 100.0.1	Intel Corporatio			Ran, Adee		00.0.0	Intel Cor		
Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status D			Comment		TR	Comment Status A		
Measur	ement of a trans	smitter eye depends on the CF mask requirements, so it has t		Γhe bandwidth can	"RIN s	hall be a	s defined	by the measurement r ss shall be"	nethodology of 52.9	9.6 with the exception
	erenced proced ner place, 86.8.3	ure in 86.8.4.6.1 does not spec 3.2).	cify the CRU ba	ndwidth (it is specified				odology of 52.9.6 does 158–7. So the "shall" sl		ement for RINxOMA - th ble.
In this c (althoug		easurem needed.	ient metho	odology does have the	return loss as a pa	rameter, so the exception				
unit (CRU) used in the TDP measurement has a corner frequency of 4 MHz and a slope of 20 dB/decade", which is identical to 86.8.3.2). This definition can be used to avoid pointing to approximate decument (but it should be made loss approximate a provide the transmitter are approximated by the transmitter are approxim					Also, u	sing the	term RIN	l where Table 158–7 us	ses RINxOMA is un	necessarily confusing.
	to another document (but it should be made less specific to apply to transmitter eye as well).				Suggested	Remedy	,			
weir).								subclause to		
Alternat	tively, a referenc	ce to 86.8.3.2 can be added in	stead.			MA cho	ll moot th	o roquiromont in Table	158 7 when mean	ured using the method
SuggestedRemedy										ed in Table 158–7 for th
Add the following sentence after the existing paragraph in 158.8.7:				PMD under test."						
	"The reference receiver for the transmitter optical waveform measurement is defined in 158.8.10.3".			ement is defined in	Response			Response Status C		
					ACCE	PT.				
	1 0 1	158.8.10.3, delete the words	"used in the TD	P measurement".	C/ 158	SC 1	58.8.9.1.1	P 90	L1	# R1-8
Proposed R REJEC	•	Response Status Z			Ran, Adee			Intel Cor	ooration	
REJEC	1.				Comment	Түре	TR	Comment Status A		
This co	mment was WI⊺	DRAWN by the commenter.			Figure 158–4 has a "system under test" the with sublayer stack of clause 52, which is irrelevant for this clause; the PHYs in this clause do not support WIS. The system un test may also not have a PCS (for example, when a module is tested unconnected to host).					
				Also, there is a BiDi arrow labeled "test pattern" which goes to both the test equipment the PCS. It is unclear what it means. Is this a selector of test patterns?						
						imize co o be inco		t is suggested to remo	ve unnecessary de	tails which may cause th
					Suggested	Remedy				
								label and the associate or WIS" to "Higher subl		ow.
					Response			Response Status C		

E/ 158 SC 158.8.9.1.4 P93 L53 # R1-9	C/ 158 SC 158.8.10.2 P96 L10 # <u>R1-11</u>
an, Adee Intel Corporation	Ran, Adee Intel Corporation
Comment Type GR Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
"It does, however, guarantee that a receiver meeting the requirements of this test operates with the worst-case optical input."	"The channel for 10GBASE-BRx is a 2 m to 5 m patch cord meeting the requirements Table 158–15."
The word "guarantee" should not be used in a standard. The test method does not necessarily guarantee what is claimed here.	I assume this requirement is only for the specific test. The PHYs are intended to oper over somewhat larger lengths.
I am suggesting deletion of the whole sentence, since the spirit of this claim goes without	SuggestedRemedy
saying, as it does in many other places throughout 802.3. If there is a way to rephrase it with a looser claim it would also be acceptable.	Change "The channel for 10GBASE-BRx" to "The channel for testing the 10GBASE-E TDP" or "The channel used in this test".
uggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C
Delete this sentence.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "It does, however, guarantee that a receiver meeting the requirements of this test operates with the worst-case optical input" into "A receiver meeting the requirements of this	Delete this sentence as this only applies to 10GBASE-S. (Commentary only, not the resolution: Note this is in the draft since D2.1. It is an error we should fix it.)
test is expected to operate with the worst-case optical input"	C/ 158 SC 158.9.7 P97 L38 # <u>R1-12</u>
158 SC 158.8.9.2 P95 L50 # R1-10	Ran, Adee Intel Corporation
	Comment Type E Comment Status R
an, Adee Intel Corporation	The subclause title is "PMD labeling requirements" but the text says "It is recommend
omment Type TR Comment Status R	that" - this is not a requirement.
This test procedure is based on 95.8.8, which has 4 lanes and RS-FEC encoding. For a single-lane PMD, an additional exception is required. See 112.7.8 for reference.	Also in 159.8.7 and in 160.8.7.
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Add to the list of exceptions:	Change the subclause title to "PMD labeling" in all 3 cases.
- Since 10GBASE-BR20 has a single lane in each direction, The interface BER is identical	Response Response Status C
to the BER on the single receiver, and the conditions for receiver aggressor lanes in Table 95–7 do not apply.	REJECT.
esponse Response Status C	The last contance in 159.0.7 includes requirements
REJECT.	The last sentence in 158.9.7 includes requirements.
Item g) covers the suggested remedy.	
o, og,	

C/ 159 S	SC 159.6.1	P 112	L15	# R1-13	C/ 160	SC 160.7.9	P 143	L 38	# <u>R</u> 1-16
Ran, Adee		Intel Corporation	on		Ran, Adee		Intel Corporati	ion	
Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		
		"RINxOMA", but 159.7.7 de al return loss tolerance).	fines the param	eter RIN20OMA (there	"RIN sl	nall be as defined	d by the measurement metho	odology of 52.9.	6"
,	note c has "RII y RIN20OMA.	vxOAM" (typo), but this foot	note would not l	be required if the term	a test p	procedure (it is d	procedure, not a value. There efined by the standard, not by result and the requirements i	y an implementa	
uggestedRei	medy				Also u	sing the term RI	N where Table 160–7 uses R	NxOMA is unn	ecessarily confusing
Change R	INXOMA to RIN	I200MA, and delete footnot	e c.		Suggested	-			cooccarity contacting.
esponse ACCEPT.		Response Status C			Chang	e the quoted sen	tence to "RINxOMA shall me he method defined in 52.9.6"		ents in Table 160–7
					Response	-	Response Status C		
	SC 159.7.10	P 117	L17	# R1-14	ACCE	PT.			
an, Adee		Intel Corporation	on						
omment Typ		Comment Status D			C/ 158	SC 158.5.10	P 81	L 44	# R1-17
In addition	n to "the conditi	ons for receiver aggressor la	anes do not app	ly"	Ran, Adee		Intel Corporati	ion	
		an average of four BER me e single receiver.	asurements; the	e BER should be	Comment 1 "157.5"	<i>Type</i> E ' is not an active	Comment Status R cross reference.		
uggestedRer	medy				Also in	159.5.10 and in	160.5.10		
	ner exception to face BER is ide	the list: ntical to the BER on the sin	gle receiver".		Suggested				
roposed Res	sponse	Response Status Z			Create	active xref in all	3 places.		
REJECT.					Response REJEC	CT.	Response Status C		
This com	nent was WIT I	IDRAWN by the commenter			Cross	references work	in the clean file of D3.1.		
/ 160 3	SC 160.6.1	P 136	L 42	# R1-15	C/ FM	SC FM	P 1	L 27	# R1-18
an, Adee		Intel Corporation	on		Dawe, Pier	s J G	NVIDIA		
comment Typ	e E	Comment Status A			Comment	Гуре Е	Comment Status A		
	d has "RINxOA	М" (typo).			50 Gb/s				
<i>uggestedRer</i> Change to	medy o "RINxOMA"				Suggested				
Response		Response Status C				n-breaking spac abstract	e. Also at 20		
tesponse									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/FM SC FM	P6	L 50	# <u>R</u> 1-19	C/ 108	SC 108.2.1.3.	3 P 50	L 36	# <u>R</u> 1-22
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Piers J	G	NVIDIA		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status A		
Two people's names	s in one entry			"See 107.	1.4.2"			
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe	medy			
Split them					for one of the JNITDATA.ind	10GBASE-R service primi	itives, maybe it sl	nould be "See 49.2", as
Response	Response Status C			Response	JINIT DATA.IIIu			
ACCEPT.				ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
C/ 56 SC 56.1.3	P 41	L12	# R1-20	C/ 49	SC 49.2.13.2.	2 P 542	L	# R1-23
Dawe, Piers J G	NVIDIA			Dawe, Piers J		NVIDIA	L	# 1(1-20
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Dawe, Fiels J				
51				Commont Tur		Commont Statue A		
	as changed and it is clear now th	nat it can be used	at 10G	Comment Typ		Comment Status A		
Clause 108's title ha	as changed and it is clear now th	nat it can be used	at 10G	In the bas	e document:	Comment Status A		
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy	Ū			In the bas signal_ok	e document:			
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE-	-R RS-FEC" to "Reed-Solomon	FEC" (which is he		In the bas signal_ok Boolean v	e document: variable that is	set based on the most red	,	
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE-	Ū	FEC" (which is he		In the bas signal_ok Boolean v PMA_SIG	e document: variable that is SNAL.indication	set based on the most rea n(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S	IGNAL.indication	
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE-	-R RS-FEC" to "Reed-Solomon	FEC" (which is he		In the bas signal_ok Boolean v PMA_SIG	e document: variable that is SNAL.indication	set based on the most red	IGNAL.indication	
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE- Clause 45), and dele	-R RS-FEC" to "Reed-Solomon ete note a, it's no longer needec	FEC" (which is he		In the bas signal_ok Boolean v PMA_SIG	e document: variable that is NAL.indication e was OK and	set based on the most rea n(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S	IGNAL.indication	
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE- Clause 45), and dele Response	-R RS-FEC" to "Reed-Solomon ete note a, it's no longer needec	FEC" (which is he		In the bas signal_ok Boolean v PMA_SIG if the valu SuggestedRe This could	e document: variable that is NAL.indication e was OK and <i>medy</i> d say:	set based on the most rea n(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S false if the value was FAI	IGNÁL.indication L.	(SIGNAL_OK). It is true
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE- Clause 45), and dele Response	-R RS-FEC" to "Reed-Solomon ete note a, it's no longer needec <i>Response Status</i> C	FEC" (which is he		In the bas signal_ok Boolean v PMA_SIG if the valu SuggestedRe This could PMA_SIG	e document: variable that is NAL.indication e was OK and <i>medy</i> d say: NAL.indication	set based on the most rea n(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S false if the value was FAI n(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S	IGNÁL.indication L.	(SIGNAL_OK). It is true
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE- Clause 45), and dele Response ACCEPT.	-R RS-FEC" to "Reed-Solomon ete note a, it's no longer needec <i>Response Status</i> C	FEC" (which is he	ow it is referred to in	In the bas signal_ok Boolean v PMA_SIG if the valu SuggestedRe This could PMA_SIG	e document: variable that is NAL.indication e was OK and <i>medy</i> d say: NAL.indication NAL.indication	set based on the most rea n(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S false if the value was FAI	IGNÁL.indication L.	(SIGNAL_OK). It is true
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE- Clause 45), and dele Response ACCEPT.	-R RS-FEC" to "Reed-Solomon ete note a, it's no longer needec <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 41	FEC" (which is he	ow it is referred to in	In the bas signal_ok Boolean v PMA_SIG if the valu SuggestedRe This could PMA_SIG FEC_SIG or more n PMA_SIG	e document: variable that is SNAL.indication e was OK and medy d say: SNAL.indication NAL.indication eatly, SNAL.indication	set based on the most ren h(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S false if the value was FAI h(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S h(SIGNAL_OK), WIS_SIG	IGNÁL.indication	(SIGNAL_OK). It is true (SIGNAL_OK) or
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE- Clause 45), and dele Response ACCEPT.	-R RS-FEC" to "Reed-Solomon ete note a, it's no longer needed <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 41 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> A	FEC" (which is he	ow it is referred to in	In the bas signal_ok Boolean v PMA_SIG if the valu SuggestedRe This could PMA_SIG FEC_SIG or more n PMA_SIG	e document: variable that is SNAL.indication e was OK and medy d say: SNAL.indication NAL.indication eatly, SNAL.indication	set based on the most ren h(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S false if the value was FAI h(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S h(SIGNAL_OK)	IGNÁL.indication	(SIGNAL_OK). It is true (SIGNAL_OK) or
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE- Clause 45), and dele Response ACCEPT. Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E 108 appears twice ir	-R RS-FEC" to "Reed-Solomon ete note a, it's no longer needed <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 41 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> A	FEC" (which is he	ow it is referred to in	In the bas signal_ok Boolean v PMA_SIG if the valu SuggestedRe This could PMA_SIG FEC_SIG or more n PMA_SIG	e document: variable that is SNAL.indication e was OK and medy d say: SNAL.indication NAL.indication eatly, SNAL.indication	set based on the most ren h(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S false if the value was FAI h(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S h(SIGNAL_OK), WIS_SIG	IGNÁL.indication	(SIGNAL_OK). It is true (SIGNAL_OK) or
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE- Clause 45), and dele Response ACCEPT. Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E	-R RS-FEC" to "Reed-Solomon ete note a, it's no longer needed <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 41 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> A n Table 56-2	FEC" (which is he	ow it is referred to in	In the bas signal_ok Boolean v PMA_SIG if the valu SuggestedRe This could PMA_SIG FEC_SIG or more n PMA_SIG FEC_SIG Response	e document: variable that is SNAL.indication e was OK and medy d say: SNAL.indication NAL.indication eatly, SNAL.indication	set based on the most red n(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S false if the value was FAI n(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S n(SIGNAL_OK) n(SIGNAL_OK), WIS_SIG n(SIGNAL_OK) Response Status C	IGNÁL.indication	(SIGNAL_OK). It is true (SIGNAL_OK) or
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE- Clause 45), and dele Response ACCEPT. Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E 108 appears twice in SuggestedRemedy Combine the entries	-R RS-FEC" to "Reed-Solomon ete note a, it's no longer needed <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 41 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> A n Table 56-2	FEC" (which is he	ow it is referred to in	In the bas signal_ok Boolean v PMA_SIG if the valu SuggestedRe This could PMA_SIG FEC_SIG or more n PMA_SIG FEC_SIG Response ACCEPT	e document: variable that is NAL.indication e was OK and medy d say: NAL.indication NAL.indication NAL.indication NAL.indication NAL.indication	set based on the most red n(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S false if the value was FAI n(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S n(SIGNAL_OK) n(SIGNAL_OK), WIS_SIG n(SIGNAL_OK) Response Status C	IGNÁL.indication	(SIGNAL_OK). It is true (SIGNAL_OK) or
Clause 108's title ha SuggestedRemedy Change "25GBASE- Clause 45), and dele Response ACCEPT. Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type E 108 appears twice ir SuggestedRemedy	-R RS-FEC" to "Reed-Solomon ete note a, it's no longer needed <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 41 NVIDIA <i>Comment Status</i> A n Table 56-2	FEC" (which is he	ow it is referred to in	In the bas signal_ok Boolean v PMA_SIG if the valu SuggestedRe This could PMA_SIG FEC_SIG or more n PMA_SIG FEC_SIG Response ACCEPT This is an Change it	e document: variable that is NAL.indication e was OK and medy d say: NAL.indication NAL.indication NAL.indication NAL.indication NAL.indication NAL.indication NAL.indication NAL.indication NAL.indication NAL.indication	set based on the most red n(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S false if the value was FAI n(SIGNAL_OK) or WIS_S n(SIGNAL_OK) n(SIGNAL_OK), WIS_SIG n(SIGNAL_OK) <i>Response Status</i> C E.	IGNÁL.indication L. IGNAL.indication NAL.indication(S GNAL_OK) or	(SIGNAL_OK). It is true (SIGNAL_OK) or IGNAL_OK) or

C/ 159	SC	159.6.3	P1	06	L12	# <u>R</u> 1-24
Dawe, Piers	JG		NVIDI	A		
Comment Ty Blank lir		E table, layou	Comment Status	Α		
	e any	unnecessa	ary C/R at line 12. P " fits on one line.	refera	ably, make column 2 v	vider in tables 159-7
Response ACCEP	т.		Response Status	С		
C/ 159	SC	159.7.10	P 1	10	L 25	# <u>R1-25</u>
Dawe, Piers	JG		NVIDI	A		
Comment Ty Table la		E	Comment Status	Α		
SuggestedR Remove		•	ng the empty line 31.	. Mal	ke the right column (o	r both) a little wider
Response ACCEP	Т.		Response Status	С		
C/ 160	SC	160.9	P1:	38	L 35	# R1-26
Dawe, Piers	JG		NVIDI	A		
Comment Ty The hea		E ow of the ta	Comment Status able	Α		
SuggestedR Should I		-				
Response ACCEP	Т.		Response Status	С		
C/ 160	SC	160.6.1	P1:	27	L14	# <u>R</u> 1-27
Dawe, Piers	JG		NVIDI	A		
Comment Ty Blank lir		E table	Comment Status	A		
SuggestedR Remove		dy unnecessa	ary C/R			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID R1-27

Page 7 of 7 5/5/2021 12:58:42 PM

EE P802.3cp D3.1 BiDi 10, 25, and 50 Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot commer