

802.3cp D1.2 Bidirectional 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 3rd Task Force review coi

CI 1 SC 1 P17 L50 # 94
 Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **X**
 BiDi introduction is in CI.157. New definitions have been added. This editor note can be removed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove Editor's Note on Page 17
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.27a.2 P29 L14 # 96
 Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **X**
 Extra empty line before the text
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the extra empty line
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 15 SC 6.1 P52 L13 # 78
 Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**
 The Tx levels for BR10 are good. BR20 should be 8.8 dB higher (except for the max power). Then BR40 should be 7 dB lower than BR20 (+3-10). Then BR40+ should be 5 dB higher than BR40
 SuggestedRemedy

Quantity	BR10	BR20	BR40	BR40+
Av power max	+0.5	+5.6	-0.4	+4.6
Av power min	-8.2	+0.6	-6.4	-1.4
OMA - TDP min	-6.2	+2.6	-4.4	+0.6
OMA min	-5.2	+3.6	-3.4	+1.6

 Note: BR10 and BR40+ are correct. BR20 and BR40 are a little off.
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 56 SC 56.1.1 P34 L3 # 97
 Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **X**
 Changes have been reviewed and confirmed. Editor's Note can be removed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove Editor's Note
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P24 L6 # 95
 Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **X**
 Code point 1100100 is not used by P802.3ct. 802.3ct D1.2 Page 26 uses code point 1001110 for 100GBASE-ZR PMA/PMD.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove Editor's Note on Page 26
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 157 SC 157.1 P41 L38 # 98
 Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**
 FEC is not required for 10G BiDi. FEC is mandatory for 25G BiDi.
 SuggestedRemedy
 In Figure 157-1, remove FEC block from 10G BiDi PHY. Remove Note 1 from the 25G PHY FEC block. Remove Note 1 from the figure.
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 158 SC 6.1 P52 L24 # 79
 Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**
 The RIN line is repeated
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete the first line, since it doesn't have the note.
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

802.3cp D1.2 Bidirectional 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 3rd Task Force review coi

Cl 158 SC 6.2 P53 L18 # 82

Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Av power max and damage need adjustment to track Tx changes.

SuggestedRemedy

BR10	BR20	BR40	BR40+
Av power max	0.5	5.6	-5.4 -5.4
(for damage)	4.0	6.0	-4.4 -4.4

Note: BR10 values are correct. All the others are adjusted slightly.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 158 SC 6.3 P54 L12 # 83

Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The allocation for penalties doesn't match what is specified in the Tx table (3.2 versus 3.0).
 And then the power budget needs to be adjusted.

SuggestedRemedy

For BR20, 40, and 40+, make the power budget to be 18, 21, and 26.
 Make the allocation for penalties be 3.0 for all three.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 158 SC 8 P54 L40 # 80

Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 There is a stray (maximum) in the table

SuggestedRemedy

For the BR40 entry for dispersion minimum, delete the (maximum) in the table.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 158 SC 158.12 P58 L21 # 99

Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X
 10G BiDi PICS forms are empty

SuggestedRemedy

Fill the PICS forms in Cl. 158.12

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 158 SC 158.6, Table 158-6 P52 L1 # 110

Nering, Ray Cisco
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Align 10GBASE-BR40-D/U transmit characteristics with industry defacto standard already on the market per Nering_3cp_1_2001.pdf presented in Geneva Jan 2020 Table 158-6

Description

Averager Launch Power (Max)	-0.6 dBm
Average Launch Power (Min)	-6.6 dBm
Launch Power (Min) OMA minus TPD	-4.6 dBm
OMA (Min)	-3.6 dBm
Tx and Dispersion Penalty	3 dB
Average Launch Power of Off Tx	-30 dBm
Extinction ratio	3 dB

SuggestedRemedy

As described in Nering_3cp_1_2001.pdf presented in Geneva Jan 2020

Description

Averager Launch Power (Max)	5 dBm
Average Launch Power (Min)	-2.7 dBm
Launch Power (Min) OMA minus TPD	-0.5 dBm
OMA (Min)	0.3 dBm
Tx and Dispersion Penalty	2.6 dB
Average Launch Power of Off Tx	-30 dBm
Extinction ratio	5.5 dB

Proposed Response Response Status O

802.3cp D1.2 Bidirectional 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 3rd Task Force review coi

CI 158 SC 158.6, Table 158-7 P53 L15 # 111

Nering, Ray Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Align 10GBASE-BR40-D/U receive characteristics with industry defacto standard already on the market per Nering_3cp_1_2001.pdf presented in Geneva Jan 2020 in

Table 158-7

Description

Average Rx Power (Max) -5.6 dBm
 Average Rx Power (Min) -24.4 dBm
 Max Rx Power (for damage) 4 dBm
 Rx Sensitivity (max) in OMA -22.6 dBm
 Receiver Reflectance -26 dB
 Stressed Rx Sensitivity (Max in OMA) -20.3 dBm

SuggestedRemedy

As described in Nering_3cp_1_2001.pdf presented in Geneva Jan 2020

Description

Average Rx Power (Max) -9 dBm
 Average Rx Power (Min) -21.2 dBm
 Max Rx Power (for damage) 4 dBm
 Rx Sensitivity (max) in OMA -19 dBm
 Receiver Reflectance -26 dB
 Stressed Rx Sensitivity (Max in OMA) -16.8 dBm

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 159 SC 6 P66 L17 # 84

Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Remove red text, as it refers to a table that we agreed to get rid of

SuggestedRemedy

Remove red text

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 159 SC 6.3 P69 L9 # 85

Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The allocation for penalties doesn't match the TDP specified.

SuggestedRemedy

Change all the allocation for penalties to be 2.7, and then adjust the power budgets to be 9.0, 17.7, 20.7, 25.7, respectively.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 159 SC 159.6 P66 L17 # 100

Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Sentence "The 25GBASE-BR40 PMD interoperates with the 25GBASE-BR10 PMD provided that the channel requirements defined in 159.11 are met." doesn't make sense, as 25GBASE-BR10 and BR40 use different wavelengths.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove this sentence

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 159 SC 159.6.1 P66 L51 # 89

Palkert, Tom Molex

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Average launch power (max) for 25GBASE-BR40 in Table 159-6 should match 25GBASE-ER

SuggestedRemedy

Change from +3dBm to +6dBm

Proposed Response Response Status O

802.3cp D1.2 Bidirectional 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 3rd Task Force review coi

CI 159 SC 159.6.3 P68 L14 # 90
 Palkert, Tom Molex
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Damage Threshold in Table 159-7 for 25GBASE-BR40 should match 25GBASE-ER
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change from -1dBm to -3dBm
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 159 SC 159.12 P73 L21 # 102
 Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X
 25G BiDi PICS forms are empty
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fill the PICS forms in Cl.159.12
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 159 SC 159.6.3 P68 L15 # 91
 Palkert, Tom Molex
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Average receive power (max) for 25GBASE-BR40 in Table 159-7 should match 25GBASE-ER
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change from -2dBm to -4dBm
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 160 SC 6.1 P81 L22 # 81
 Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Editor's note is no longer true
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove editor's note
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 159 SC 159.11 P71 L49 # 101
 Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X
 Interop between 25GGBASE-BRx doesn't make sense as BR10 and BR20/40/40+ are in different wavelengths
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove subclause 159.11
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 160 SC 6.2 P83 L20 # 86
 Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 SECQ is missing for BR20.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Suggest adding BR20 into the same category as BR40 and BR40+.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 160 SC 6.3 P83 L38 # 87
 Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Notes b and c are no longer true.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove notes b and c, and then change note d to note b.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

802.3cp D1.2 Bidirectional 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 3rd Task Force review coi

CI 160 SC 10.2 P86 L8 # 77

Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Replace the red text for BR20 with the average value of BR10 and BR40.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following values for BR20 reflectance is:

- 22
- 29
- 34
- 37
- 39
- 40

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 160 SC 10.2.1 P85 L38 # 88

Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The sentence about BR20 is not really true: 15dB was jsut a made up number.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the sentence that begins, "The maximum link distance for 50GBASE-BR20...". The other sentences are still valid, so they can stand.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 160 SC 160.6.2 P82 L38 # 92

Palkert, Tom Molex

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Damage Threshold for 50GBASE-BR40 in Table 160-7 should match 50GBASE-ER

SuggestedRemedy

Change from +2.6dBm to -2.4dBm

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 160 SC 160.6.2 P82 L40 # 112

Wang, Ruoxu Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

During the merging from Table 160-8/160-9 in D1.1 to Table 160-7 by our editor's hard work, the contradictions of the "Damage threshold" (eg.2.63dBm vs -2.37dBm) and "Average receive power (max)" (eg.1.63dBm vs-3.37dBm) in D1.1 are changed to same numbers in D1.2, the new specs are consistent with the original 50GBASE-BRx-D receive characteristics (eg. 2.6dBm), and abandoned the 50GBASE-BRx-U receive characteristics. However, the 50GBASE-BR40 is based on avalanche photodiode (APD) receiver, which is the same solution as 50GBASE-ER. As we all know, the APD is fragile at strong optical input power, the damage threshold and average receive power (max) should be carefully designed to protect the APD based receiver. This is why 802.3cn 50GBASE-ER/cp.D1.1 50GBASE-BRx-U receive characteristics using -2.4dBm damage threshold, and -3.4dBm Average receive power (max), which are consistent with the 25G APD practical capability. Therefore, the "Damage threshold" and "Average receive power (max)" should keep same with D1.1 50GBASE-BRx-U receive characteristics: -2.4 dBm Damage threshold for 50GBASE-BR40, and -3.4dBm Average receive power (max) for 50GBASE-BR40.

SuggestedRemedy

Table 160-7, line "Average receive power (max)", row " 50GBASE-BR40 ", change from 1.6dBm to -3.4dBm;
Table 160-7, line "Damage threshold" , row " 50GBASE-BR40 ", change from 2.6dBm to -2.4dBm.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 160 SC 160.6.2 P82 L40 # 93

Palkert, Tom Molex

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Average receive power (max) for 50GBASE-BR40 in Table 160-7 should match 50GBASE-ER

SuggestedRemedy

Change from +1.6dBm to -3.4dBm

Proposed Response Response Status O

802.3cp D1.2 Bidirectional 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 3rd Task Force review coi

CI 160 SC 160.6.2 P83 L20 # 104

Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

Note C of Table 160-7 has @@@ value. 50GBASE-BR10 SECQ value in Note C doesn't match the SECQ in Table 160-7.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Note C into "Receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max) is informative and is defined for a transmitter with a value of SECQ up to 3.2 dB for 50GBASE-BRx."

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 160 SC 160.6.3 P83 L45 # 105

Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

Note b of Table 160-8 has @@@ value. In Note c, 1304.5 nm is not 50GBASE-BR40 wavelength

SuggestedRemedy

Merge Notes a, b, c of Table 160-8 into one note as "The channel insertion loss is calculated using the maximum distance specified in Table 160-5 and fiber attenuation of 0.5 dB/km plus an allocation for connection and splice loss given in 160.10.2.1."

If fiber attenuation for BR10 is different from BR20/40/40+, consider adding the new value after 0.5dB/km

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 160 SC 160.10.1 P85 L14 # 113

Wang, Ruoxu Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

Table 160-10—Optical fiber and cable characteristics is lack of some key specs, such as the channel loss (min/max) at different nominal wavelength and transmission range of 50GBASE-BR X. The table needs to be modified as Table 159-10.

The 50GBASE-BR40 is based on avalanche photodiode (APD) receiver. As we all know, the APD is fragile to strong optical power, the damage threshold and average receive power (max) should be carefully designed to protect the APD based receiver.

Thus the channel insertion loss (min) should be 10dB in 40km cases, to protect the 50GBASE-BR40 APD receiver. It also maintain consistency with 802.3cn 50GBASE-ER.

SuggestedRemedy

Table 160-10 should be modified as Table 159-10.

The channel insertion loss should be 10dB in 40km cases. And add a footnote: Channel insertion loss (min) may be implemented with an optical attenuator.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 160 SC 160.10.1 P85 L18 # 106

Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

Table 160-10 talks about 1310nm. This wavelength is not used in BiDi spec.

SuggestedRemedy

Update Table 160-10 with BiDi wavelengths 1270nm, 1330nm, 1314nm, 1289nm

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 160 SC 160.10.2.1 P85 L39 # 107

Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

BR20 value is still @@@

SuggestedRemedy

Decide a value for BR20 and fill it in 160.10.2.1

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 160 SC 160.10.2.2 P86 L8 # 108

Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

BR20 values in Table 160-11 are all filled as "between BR10 and BR40"

SuggestedRemedy

Decide values for BR20 and fill them in Table 160-11

Proposed Response Response Status

CI 160 SC 160.11 P87 L22 # 109

Luo, Yuanqiu Futurewei

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

PICS forms in 160.11 are all empty

SuggestedRemedy

Fill the PICS forms in Cl.160.11

Proposed Response Response Status