
Cl **33** *SC* **33.2.9.1.2** *P* **19** *L* **28** # i-5

Rannow, R K Myself

Comment Type **TR** *Comment Status* **R**

Two instances of "shall consider ..." which appears ambiguous.

SuggestedRemedy

Perhaps something like:

A DC MPS component shall be present if IPort is greater than or equal to IHold max for a minimum of TMPS. A DC MPS component shall be absent if IPort is less than or equal to IHold min. A DC MPS component shall be either present or absent if IPort is in the range of Ihold

Response *Response Status* **W**

REJECT.

These "shall consider" statements set up definitions that are used in following shalls that mandate PSE behavior, such as "Power shall be removed from the PI when DC MPS has been absent for a duration greater than TMPDO."
