C/ FM SC FM P1 L10 # 38 C/ FM SC FM P1 L29 # 59 Wienckowski, Natalie Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting General Motors Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type This project has been assigned a number by the WG Chair. Missing additional ammendments in the list. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: IEEE Std 802.3cd-201x, and XXX Add assigned amendment number 15. To: IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018, IEEE Std 802.3cn-2019, IEEE Std 802.3cg-2019, IEEE Std Proposed Response Response Status O 802.3cg-2020, IEEE Std 802.3cm-2020, IEEE Std 802.3ch-2020, IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020, IEEE Std 802.3cr-2021, IEEE Std 802.3cu-2021, IEEE Std 802.3cv-20xx, IEEE Std 802.3ct-20xx, and IEEE Std 802.3cp-20xx. SC FM P1 L28 C/ FM # 39 Proposed Response Response Status O **RMG** Consulting Grow. Robert Comment Type E Comment Status X SC FM C/ FM P**4** L7 # 40 As amendment 15 replace list. Grow, Robert RMG Consulting SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X as amended by IEEE Std 802.3cb-2018, IEEE Std 802.3bt-2018, IEEE Std 802.3cd-2018, IEEE Std 802.3cn-2019, IEEE Std 802.3cq-2019, IEEE Std 802.3cq-2020, IEEE Std IEEE style has changed (2020 IEEE Standards Style Manual, 11.1). 802.3cm-2020, IEEE Std 802.3ch-2020, IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020, IEEE Std 802.3cr-2021, SuggestedRemedy IEEE Std 802.3cu-2021, IEEE Std 802.3cv-20xx, IEEE Std 802.3ct-20xx, and IEEE Std Delete 2nd paragraph of the Editor's Note. 802.3cp-20xx." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O P8 C/ FM SC FM P1 L28 # 13 C/ FM SC FM L9 # 24 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp. Cisco, CommScope, Ewen. John Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type E Insert updated list of published amendments. I believe Jon Lewis is the WG Secretary at the beginning of WG ballot. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Update list of amendments considered before this (from chief editor) Change "Pete Anslow" to "Jon Lewis" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

CI FM SC FM P8 L16 # 41
Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The ballot group is now known.

SuggestedRemedy

Add WG members list at start of P802.3cs WG ballot.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ FM SC FM P11 L43 # 60

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

Change: IEEE Std 802.3cd™-201x To: IEEE Std 802.3cd™-2018

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ FM SC FM

P11

L49

61

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Add additional ammendments per 802.3 WG FM template version 4.5.

SuggestedRemedy

Add: IEEE Std 802.3cn™-2019

Amendment 4—This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and adds 50 Gb/s, 200 Gb/s, and 400 Gb/s Physical Layer specifications and management parameters for operation over single-mode fiber with reaches of at least 40 km.

IEEE Std 802.3cg™-2019

Amendment 5—This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and its amendments and

adds Clause 146 through Clause 148 and Annex 146A and Annex 146B. This amendment adds

10 Mb/s Physical Layer specifications and management parameters for operation on a single balanced

pair of conductors.

IEEE Std 802.3cg™-2020

Amendment 6—This amendment includes editorial and technical corrections, refinements, and

clarifications to Clause 33 and related portions of the standard.

IEEE Std 802.3cm[™]-2020

Amendment 7—This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and adds Clause 150. This amendment adds Physical Layer (PHY) specifications and management parameters for 400 Gb/s operation on four pairs (400GBASE-SR4.2) and eight pairs (400GBASE-SR8) of multimode fiber, over reaches of at least 100 m.

IEEE Std 802.3ch™-2020

Amendment 8—This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and adds Clause 149, Annex 149A, Annex 149B, and Annex 149C. This amendment adds physical layer specifications and management parameters for operation at 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s over a single balanced pair of conductors.

IEEE Std 802.3ca™-2020

Amendment 9—This amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 extends the operation of Ethernet passive optical networks (EPONs) to multiple channels of 25 Gb/s providing both symmetric and asymmetric operation for the following data rates (downstream/upstream): 25/10 Gb/s, 25/25 Gb/s, 50/10 Gb/s, 50/25 Gb/s, and 50/50 Gb/s. This amendment specifies the 25 Gb/s EPON Multi-Channel Reconciliation Sublayer (MCRS), Nx25G-EPON Physical Coding Sublayers (PCSs), Physical Media Attachment (PMA) sublayers, and Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayers that support both symmetric and asymmetric data rates while maintaining backward compatibility with already deployed 10 Gb/s EPON equipment. The EPON operation is defined for distances of at least 20 km, and for a split ratio of at least 1:32.

IEEE Std 802.3cr™-2021

Amendment 10—This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and adds Annex J. This amendment replaces references to the IEC 60950 series of standards (including IEC 60950-1 "Information technology equipment—Safety—Part 1: General requirements") with appropriate references to the IEC 62368 "Audio/video, information and

IEEE P802.3cs D2.0 SuperPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

communication technology equipment" series and makes appropriate changes to the standard corresponding to the new references.

IEEE Std 802.3cu™-2021

Amendment 11—This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 and adds Clause 151. This amendment adds Physical Layer (PHY) specifications and management parameters for 100 Gb/s and 400 Gb/s operation over single-mode fiber, based on 100 Gb/s per wavelength optical signaling.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ FM SC FM P11 L49 # 14

Zimmerman, George

CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp. Cisco, CommScope.

L50

Comment Type E

Comment Status X Insert updated abstracts of published amendments

SugaestedRemedy

Update abstracts with current frontmatter template

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ FM SC FM P11

62

Wienckowski, Natalie

General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Add description of this amendment.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: IEEE Std 802.3xx[™]-20xx This amendment includes [complete] To: IEEE Std 802.3cs™-202x

This amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2018 specifies physical layers and management parameters for increased-reach point-to-multipoint Ethernet optical subscriber access (Super-PON).

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ FM SC FM P11

L50

42

Grow, Robert

RMG Consulting

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Outdated front matter Introduction.

SuggestedRemedy

Include amendment descriptions for amendments 4 through 11 as published in amendment 11. IEEE Std 802.3cv-20xx as in P802.3cv/D3.1. IEEE Std 802.3ct-20xx as in P802.3ct/D3.3, IEEE Std 802.3cp/D3.1, and write a description for IEEE Std 802.3cs-20xx.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ FM SC FM

P11

L51

1

29

68

Marris. Arthur

Cadence Design Systems

Comment Type TR

Comment Status X

There is noo decription of IEEE Std 802.3cs™-20xx

SuggestedRemedy

Please add a brief description of this amendment

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 00 SC 0 Ρ

Wienckowski, Natalie

General Motors

Comment Type E

Comment Status X

For all additions to tables, if there are rows before or after the rows shown in the spec, there needs to be a blank, merged row with an elipses in it to indicate all places where there are additional rows not shown. Search for "unchanged rows not shown" to find places where this is needed. There are also rows with elipses in each cell of a row where the cells need to be merged.

SuggestedRemedy

Add additional rows, merged row with an elipses in it, to the top and/or bottom of tables as needed to indicate additional rows that are not shown.

Proposed Response

C/ 00 SC 0	Р	L	# [73	C/ 1	SC 1.3	P 20	L 8	# 88
Wienckowski, Natalie	General Moto	ors		Huber, To	m	Nokia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment ITU-T	,,	Comment Status X Iready been added as a norma	tive reference by	y P802.3ct
SuggestedRemedy Remove all blank pa	ges, e.g. P34			Suggested Delete	-	iting that ITU-T G.698.2 should	l be added as a	reference.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 00 SC 0	P1	L	# 54	C/ 1	SC 1.3	P 20	L 8	# 93
Lewis, Jon	Dell			Anslow, P	ete	Independent		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X		
Frame template is of amendments.	d and includes Pete Anslow as	the 802.3 secre	etary and only a few		•	ed to 1.3 by P802.3ct, which is	s expected to be	approved ahead of .3cs.
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	-	. C. COO O from 4. O		
Update to the latest I	Frame template.				-	G.698.2 from 1.3		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
0/00	D00		" ==	C/ 1	SC 1.4	P 20	L14	# 94
C/ 00 SC 0	P 96	L 1	# 57	Anslow, P	ete	Independent		
Lewis, Jon	Dell			Comment	Type E	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E blank page	Comment Status X			The de	efinition for Dis	ns for the items in 1.4 are miss persion Compensation Module	(DCM): should	
SuggestedRemedy remove blank page					5c was inserte nange marks.	d by IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020, b	out changes from	n this are not shown
, 0	D 011			Suggested	lRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Inser	t the following	tructions (one before each defi new definition after 1.4.231 "di nserted by IEEE Std 802.3ca-2	fferential skew":	
C/ 00 SC 0	P 110	L1	# 58			new definition after 1.4.275 "fra		
Lewis, Jon	Dell			Renun	nhar tha definit	ion for Dispersion Compensati	ion Module (DCI	M): to 1 4 231a
Comment Type E blank page	Comment Status X			Show		ith respect to 1.4.245c as inse		
SuggestedRemedy remove blank page				Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

C/ 1 SC 1.4 P20 L14 # 89 Huber, Tom Nokia Comment Status X Comment Type Ε There are no editing instructions indicated in the clause. It appears that 1.4.232a and 1.4.275a are new terms to be inserted, and tbat 1.4.245c (as inserted by 802.3ca) should be replaced by the new text. SuggestedRemedy Add editing instructions Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 1 SC 1.4 P20 L15 # 23 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp. Cisco, CommScope, Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing editing instructions SuggestedRemedy on line 15 add editing instruction "Change 1.4.232a and 1.4.245c, inserted by IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020, as follows:" Add strikeout and underlines to show the actual change from the text inserted by 802.3ca-2020 on line 24 add editing instruction, "Insert 1.4.275a after 1.4.275 as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status O

P**20**

L15

43

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Missing editing instruction.

SC 1.4

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 1

Insert the following new definition after 1.4.232

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 1 SC 1.4

P**20**

L18

44

Grow, Robert

RMG Consulting

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Missing editing instruction. EQT is already defined in IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020. The instruction probably should be a Change (with appropriate change marks to the base text), but because there are no change marks, perhaps a Replace is intended.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace 1.4.245c (inserted by IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020) with the following:

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 1 SC 1.4

P**20**

L24

46

Grow, Robert

RMG Consulting

Comment Type ER

Comment Status X

Missing editing instruction.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following new definition after 1.4.275

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 1 SC 1.4.245c

L19

45

Grow, Robert

RMG Consulting

P20

Comment Type TR

R Comment Status X

Though IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020 got published with some of the noted faults. This definition uses the unexpanded/undefined acronym/term. No expansion of EQT or EQ is found anywhere in the draft, 802.3ca, (I can't find it used in P802.3cp/D3.1). EQT also does not appear to be a "unit of measurement" as it is equated to 6.4ns on an XGMII, doesn't that make it a variable?

SuggestedRemedy

I wish I had the expertise to suggest a replacement definition, but as is it certainly doesn't help me to understand the specifications in either 802.3ca or this draft.

Proposed Response

C/ 1 SC 1.5 P20 L30 # 26 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P23 **L5** # 30 Lusted, Kent Intel Corporation Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Ε The use of the abbreviation "PON" for Passive Optical Network is used 392 times in the 802.3cd was published in 2018 current draft. However, the term is not listed as a abbreviation in Clause 1.5. Given the SuggestedRemedy pervasive use of "PON", add it as an abbreviation. Throughout the document change 802.3cd to "802.3cd-2018" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add "PON: Passive Optical Network" to Clause 1.5. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P23 L**5** Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors SC 1.5 C/ 1 P20 L31 # 47 Comment Type E Comment Status X Grow. Robert RMG Consulting cg was published in 2019 Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy We do have some acronyms with numbers, so order is alphanumeric. Change: IEEE 802.3cg-201x SuggestedRemedy To: IEEE 802.3cg-2019 Insert the following new abbreviations into the list, in alphanumeric order: And P26L21 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 L3 # 16 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P23 **L**5 P23 # 67 General Motors Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, CommScope, Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Header is missing space between 45.2.1 and PMA/MD cd was published in 2019 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add space between section number and PMA/PMD registers Change: IEEE 802.3cd To: IEEE 802.3cd-2018 Proposed Response Response Status O And P26L20

Proposed Response

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P23 L13 # 63

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Why are some unchanged rows included? Why isn't there a merged row with elipses at the end of the table?

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the 2nd through 5th rows in the table shown as they aren't changed. Add a row after the last row with the cells merged and put an elipses in it.

Update the editorial instruction to say (unchanged rows not shown).

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P23 L13 # 95

Anslow, Pete Independent

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The rows that are not changed in Table 45-3 should not be shown. Cross-reference missing in the row for 1.1003

SuggestedRemedy

Remove body rows 2, 3, 4, and 5 from the table.

Add an ellipsis row after the existing last row.

Add a cross-reference to 45.2.1.134b in the Subclause column of the row for 1.1003

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P23 L19 # 15

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, CommScope,

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Table 45-3 entry for 1.1003 is missing the subclause entry

SuggestedRemedy

Add 45.2.1.134b to subclause for 1.1003

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P23 L19 # 52

Nicholl, Shawn Xilinx

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Table 45-3 row "1.1003" is missing an entry in the Subclause column

SuggestedRemedy

Propose to add "45.2.1.134b" in the Subclause column for the row corresponding to register address 1.1003

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.23a P23 L23 # 31

Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Editing instruction should be "Change"

SuggestedRemedy

Change editing instruction to: "Change 45.2.1.23a as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020 as follows:"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.23a P23 L23 # 17

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, CommScope,

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Update" isn't an editing instruction - "Change" is, and 45.2.1.23a was inserted by IEEE 802.3ca; However, also, this instruction isn't changing the main clause, but the subclauses.

SuggestedRemedy

Change editing instruction to "Change 45.2.1.23a, inserted by IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020:"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response

IEEE P802.3cs D2.0 SuperPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.23a P23 L23 # 49 Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Incorrect editing instruction ("update" is not a defined instruction). SuggestedRemedy Change 45.2.1.23a (inserted by IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020) as follows: Proposed Response Response Status O P23 L31 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.23a.1 # 50 **RMG** Consulting Grow, Robert Comment Type ER Comment Status X Base text is not as published in IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020 (i.e., line 31). SuggestedRemedy Update with correct base text. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.23a.2 P23 L39 # 51 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type ER Comment Status X If a change, this subclause should not reuse a sublcause number in IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020. SuggestedRemedy Renumber to 45.2.1.23a.1a.

Response Status O

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.23a.2 P23 L40 # 96 Anslow, Pete Independent Comment Status X Comment Type ER Clause 45 level 5 headings about bit functions always contain the bit numbers. SuggestedRemedy Change the heading from: "Super-PON PMA/PMD transmit channel" to: "Super-PON PMA/PMD transmit channel (1.29.9:6)" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.23a.2 P23 L40 # 53 Nicholl. Shawn Xilinx Comment Type TR Comment Status X Missing the bit references in 45.2.1.23a.2 heading. SuggestedRemedy Propose to change "45.2.1.23a.2 Super-PON PMA/PMD transmit channel" to "45.2.1.23a.2 Super-PON PMA/PMD transmit channel (1.29.9:6)" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.23a.2 P23 L42 # 18 CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, CommScope, Zimmerman, George Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The Super-PON PMA/PMD operating transmit channel (see 164.2.2) is selected using bits 9 to 6." says nothing about how the transit channel is encoded, and 164.2.2 only gives hints

from Table 164-1. From these, and 45.2.1.134b.5 that this is a 4 bit number, 0 to 15.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert new second sentence (after "9 to 6."), "The Super-PON operating transmit number is a four-bit number, 0 to 15, represented by bits 9 to 6, as an unsigned integer with bit 9 the most significant bit."

Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.134b P25 L27 # 97

Anslow, Pete Independent

Comment Type T Comment Status X

In the last row of Table 45-103b, "1.1003.2:0" should be "1.1003.3:0"

SuggestedRemedy

In the last row of Table 45-103b, change "1.1003.2:0" to "1.1003.3:0"

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.134b.5 P26 L11 # 65

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Only new text that is a "change" should be underlined. The whole section is inserted.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the underline under 164.2.2. Also on P26L16.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.231.3 P23 L40 # 64

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

It isn't clear that 45.2.1.23a.3 used to be 45.2.1.23a.2 in the IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020. The numbering used doesn't match the instrucions for inserted clause numbering.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 45.2.1.23a.2 to 45.2.1.23a.2.a and Change 45.2.1.23a.3 back to 45.2.1.23a.2 as it

was in ca.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.8.ba P28 L5 # 98

Anslow, Pete Independent

Comment Type E Comment Status X

IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020 has already extended the bit range for "PCS type selection" to 3.7.4:0, so this should not be shown as a change here.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the change marks for the changes to this table already made by IEEE Std 802.3ca-

2020

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.8.bb P28 L51 # 99

Anslow, Pete Independent

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"25/10GBASE-SP PCS type" should be "10/2.5GBASE-SP PCS type" (2 places)

SuggestedRemedy

Change "25/10GBASE-SP PCS type" to "10/2.5GBASE-SP PCS type" (2 places)

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.41 P29 L17 # 69

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

There should not be empty table rows.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete one of the empty rows. Merge the cells in the other row and put an elipses in it.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 45	SC 45.2.3.41	P 29	L19	# 33	Cl 45	SC 45.2.3.4	5a.12	P 34	<i>L</i> 1	# 56
Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems				Lewis, Jo	n	[Dell			
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Type E	Comment St	atus X		
The e	ntries in Table45-	213 are blank			blank	page				
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy				
Either	delete the table b	oody so just the changes to the	e table title are	shown or fill the table in	remov	ve blank page				
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Sta	atus O		
C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.42	P 29	L 40	# 70	Cl 45	SC Table 45	i-3	P 23	L13	# 48
Wienckov	vski, Natalie	General Motors	5	·	Grow, Ro	bert	F	RMG Consul	ting	
Comment There		Comment Status X tent, so I assume there were o	changes, but no	changes are marked.	Comment Unne	Type E cessary rows inc	Comment Sta	atus X		
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy				
Clearl	ly mark the chang	es to be made with underline	and strikethroug	h in the table rows.	Only i	include the botto	m two rows.			
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Sta	atus O		
C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.43	P 30	<i>L</i> 10	# 71	C/ 56	SC 56.1		P 35	L13	# [19
Wienckov	vski, Natalie	General Motors	S		Zimmerm	an, George	(CME Consult	ting/ADI, APL Gp	, Cisco, CommScope,
Comment	Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Type E	Comment St	atus X		
There	is a row with con	tent, so I assume there were o	changes, but no	changes are marked.	Figure	e 56-5b should be	e an active cross	reference		
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy				
Clearl	ly mark the chang	es to be made with underline	and strikethroug	th in the table rows.	make	"Figure 56-5b" a	n active cross re	ference.		
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Sta	atus O		
Cl 45	SC 45.2.3.44	P 30	L 35	# 72	C/ 56	SC 56.1.2		P 35	L 28	# 74
Wienckov	vski, Natalie	General Motors	3		Wienckov	vski, Natalie	(Seneral Moto	ors	
Comment There		Comment Status X tent, so I assume there were o	changes, but no	changes are marked.	Comment Item o	• •	Comment St		eeded.	
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy				
		es to be made with underline	and strikethrou	h in the table rows.	In the	editorial instruct	ions Add: (as mo	odified by IE	EE Std 802.3ca-2	020)
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Sta	atus O		

C/ 56 SC 56.1.2 P35 L29 # 90

Huber, Tom Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Since the item being added is item d), clearly EFM supports more than two systems. Moreover, 802.3ca already modified this introductory sentence to add a third system.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to say "Change the first paragraph and lettered list in 56.1.2 (as modified by 802.3ca) as follows:"

Change the end of the sentence introducing the bullet list to align with the text in 802.3ca by replacing "two systems" with "the following systems"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P38 L4 # 100

Anslow, Pete Independent

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In the heading row for Table 56-3, "200" should be "164"

SuggestedRemedy

In the heading row for Table 56-3, change "200" to "164"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 P38 L5 # 25

Ewen, John Marvell

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Incorrect clause reference in Table 56-3

SuggestedRemedy

Change "200" to "164"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 67 SC 67

P**39**

L6

20

Zimmerman, George

CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, CommScope,

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Editing instruction should be Insert, not "Add", and unchanged rows are not shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Change editing instruction to "Insert new row at end of existing Table 67-1 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"

Proposed Response

Response Status O

CI 67 SC 67.2 P39 L22 # 21

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, CommScope,

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Editing instruction should be Insert, not "Add" (note - I am making this comment to catch this repeated issue, and will not further comment on it unless the form of the editing instruction gets complex in the resolution).

SuggestedRemedy

Change editing instruction to "Insert" from "Add" - editor to scrub document for editing instructions which say other than "Insert", "Change", "Delete", or "Replace" as detailed on page 19, lines 35 to 43.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 164 SC 164 P40 L1 # 22

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, CommScope,

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Missing editing instruction

SuggestedRemedy

add editing instruction "Insert Clause 164 and Annexes 164A, 164B, and 164C as follows:"

Proposed Response

IEEE P802.3cs D2.0 SuperPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 164 SC 164.1 P40 L42 # 36

Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology Comment Status X

The use of "multiplexed" twice in this sentence could be confusing, especially since this paragraph is talking about the downstream direction, ("getting to the ODN fiber").

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

It may be cleaner/clearer to say "are multiplexed, amplified and finally multiplexed combined with the upstream wavelengths..."

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 164.1 L3 C/ 164 P41

Huber, Tom Nokia

Comment Status X Comment Type E

The wording with "from... to ... going through ..." is a bit awkward.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "... a variety of implementations are possible for ONUs, from ONUs supporting a single channel, to fully tunable ONUs able to support all channels, going through partially tunable ONUs able to support a contiguous subset of the defined channels."

"... a variety of implementations are possible for ONUs, including ONUs that support a single channel, fully tunable ONUs able to support all channels, and partially tunable ONUs that support a contiguous subset of the defined channels."

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 164.2.2 C/ 164 P41 L35 # 75

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Tables can't have empty cells.

SuggestedRemedy

In all blank table cells put an Em dash.

Also P50L8

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 164 SC 164.2.2 P41 L39

Lusted. Kent Intel Corporation

Comment Type Comment Status X TR

The table 164-1 Super-PON PMD naming convention has a note (b) associated with parameter "q", the PMD FSR set. The table says that the allowed values are [1,2] while the note says "This amendment defines the use of FSR set 1. FSR set 2 is reserved for a future amendment". And table Table 164-4 on page 47, line 6 has values for FSR set 2. Therefore, the note (b) contradicts the document.

SuggestedRemedy

Either update the note (b) for Table 164-1 or remove the FSR set 2 content from the document.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 164 P44 SC 164.2.4.2 L45 # 92

Huber, Tom Nokia Comment Type Comment Status X

The term 'black link approach' was defined in P802.3ct; the applicability of the definition to P802.3cs should be considered. The existing definition (from 802.3ct) assumes each direction of transmission has its own TP2 and TP3, whereas in this clause downstream uses TP2/TP3 while upstream uses TP6/TP7. The existing definition also references the

term 'DWDM channel', which also only refers to TP2/TP3.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the definitions of "black link approach" and "DWDM channel" to include TP6 and TP7 also:

Insert a new editing instruction to update 1.4.160a, as inserted by P802.3ct, to read:

1.4.160a black link approach: The specification of the input, output, and transfer characteristics of the unidirectional transmission path from TP2 to TP3 or from TP6 to TP7 for a given DWDM channel within a DWDM black link, without specifying how the transmission path is implemented. (See, for example, IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 154, Figure 154-3)

Insert a new editing instruction to udpate 1.4.237b to read as follows:

1.4.237b DWDM channel: DWDM channel: The transmission path from a transmitting DWDM PHY (TP2 or TP6) to a receiving DWDM PHY (TP3 or TP7).

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cs D2.0 SuperPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 164 SC 164.2.4.2 P44 L45 # 35

Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

The term "black link" is used in several places in the draft. It is nominally introduced in section 164.2.4.2 (pp. 44, line 45), but not defined. This is an ITU-T term and it's meaning may not be familiar to readers of IEEE 802.3.

SuggestedRemedy

It would be a good idea to explain the concept here, including that "black link" comes conceptually from "black box." The ITU-T definition can be found in clause 5.1 of ITU-T Recommendation G.698.1 or G.698.2, and an appropriate paraphrase of that definition should be added here.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.3 P51 L40 # 28

Lusted, Kent Intel Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The text specifies that the center wavelength measurement is with "appropriate PRBS or a valid Super-PON signal, or another representative test pattern". Yet, there is no point to which PRBS patterns are appropriate nor what constitutes a representative test pattern. This makes is difficult if not impossible to correlate test results among different suppliers because the term "appropriate" and "representative" is subjective.

SuggestedRemedy

Explicitly state which PRBS patterns or other test patterns may be used for the measurement.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 164 SC 164.2.9.12.1 P53 L8 # 76

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

subject/verb agreement

SugaestedRemedy

Change: The data transmitted may be any valid 256B/257B symbols.

To: The data transmitted may be any

valid 256B/257B symbol.

Also on P54L32

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 164 SC 164.2.9.12.1 P53

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

typo - that should be than

SuggestedRemedy

Change: is considerably shorter that the Ton value under measurement.

To: is considerably shorter than the Ton

value under measurement.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.12.2 P53 L41 # 78

L38

77

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This is not a complete sentence. I provided a suggestion, but I don't know if this is the goal of adjusting the delay or not.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: The delay to the scope trigger is adjusted until the point that the received signal meets all its specified conditions.

To: The delay to the scope trigger is adjusted until the point that the received signal meets all its specified conditions is located at zero.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 164 SC 164.2.9.12.2 P53 L44 # 79

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

I'm not sure what it means to "reassure conformance".

SuggestedRemedy

Change: reassuring conformance 15% of the steady state values. To: measuring conformance from 15% of the steady state values.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.12.2 P53 L45 # 80 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X strange use of the word conformed SuggestedRemedy Change: Notice that only the steady state optical OFF power must be conformed when measuring To: Notice that only the steady state optical OFF power must be verified when measuring Proposed Response Response Status O SC 164.2.9.13.1 P54 L28 # 81 C/ 164 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X Extraneous words that may cause confusion. A moment is 90 seconds long.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: rx_settling is defined to be the time between the moment when the optical power at TP7 (as in Figure 164-6) reaches the conditions specified in 164.2.9.12.1 for Ton and the moment after which the electrical

Change: rx settling is defined to be the time between when the optical power at TP7 (as in Figure 164-6) reaches the conditions specified in 164.2.9.12.1 for Ton and when the electrical

Proposed Response Response Status O

P55 C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.13.2 L36 # 82

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Status X Comment Type E

Noun/pronoun agreement - parameters are plural and its is singular

SuggestedRemedy

Change: parameters of the reference transmitter, at TP6 and therefore at TP7, reach within 15% of its steady state

To: parameters of the reference transmitter, at TP6 and therefore at TP7, reach within

15% of their steady state

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.13.2 P55 L43 # 83

Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Incorrect use of "especially"

SuggestedRemedy

Change: Especially the Trx settling

time must be met

To: Specifically, the Trx_settling

time must be met

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.13.2 P**55** L50 # 84

Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors

Comment Status X Comment Type E

SuggestedRemedy

Change "assuring" to "verifying"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.21 P56 L35 # 85

Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Incorrect article

SugaestedRemedy

Change: A ONU To: An ONU

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.22 P56 L43 # 86 C/ 164 SC 164.3.5 P70 **L1** # 55 General Motors Wienckowski. Natalie Lewis, Jon Dell Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Not a complete sentence. Seems to be a definiation of the title. Title for PICS has incorrect reference SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: Maximum difference in optical insertion loss between all channels over upstream replace "r 200A.1" with "164.3" wavelengths. Proposed Response Response Status O To: The maximum power excursion is the maximum difference in optical insertion loss between all channels over upstream wavelengths. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 164 SC 164.3.5 P**70 L1** # 101 Anslow, Pete Independent C/ 164 SC 164.2.9.23 P56 L47 # 87 Comment Type E Comment Status X In the heading for 164.3.5, "200A.1" should be a cross reference to "164.3" Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Status X Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy Not a complete sentence. Seems to be a definiation of the title. In the heading for 164.3.5, change "200A.1" to a cross reference to "164.3" Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Change: Maximum allowed change in gain/loss of the ODN in the upstream direction To: The burst-mode gain excursion is the maximum allowed change in gain/loss of the ODN in the upstream direction C/ 164 SC 164.4.5.2 P**79 L1** # 106 Proposed Response Response Status O DeSanti, Claudio **Dell Technologies** Comment Type E Comment Status X Heading: change it from "Generic RS" to "Generic MCRS" P**62** C/ 164 SC 164.2.12.9 1 # 37 SuggestedRemedy Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology as suggested Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Proposed Response Response Status O Is there any intention of filling in the "Value/Comment" column? SuggestedRemedy Following the links to the subclauses seems to indicate that this information could be C/ 164 SC 164.5.3.6.3 P83 / 43 # 102 added to several of the rows. DeSanti, Claudio **Dell Technologies** Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type E Incorrect table number SuggestedRemedy it should be table 164-11

Proposed Response

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

it should be table 164-14

Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cs D2.0 SuperPON Task Force Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 164	SC 164.5.3.6.	6 P 84	L37	# 103	Cl many SC many	Pmany	L	# 34			
DeSanti, Claudio		Dell Technologies			Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology						
Comment Type E Incorrect table number		Comment Status X			Comment Type E Comment Status X While "Super-PON" is a great name for the project and Task Force, is it the best name to						
SuggestedRemedy it should be table 164-12		2			use in the 802.3 text? Using this term may causes problems with future higher PON extensions. For example, is the next higher capacity enhancement to be called somethin like "Super-Duper-PON"?						
Proposed Response Response Status 0				SuggestedRemedy							
					Perhaps, per the definition use like "AWDM-PON" for amplifie		be better to re	fer it to as something			
C/ 164	SC 164.5.3.9.	1 P88	L15	# 104	Proposed Response Response	oonse Status O					
DeSanti, Claudio Dell Technologies				,							
Comment Incorre	Type E ect table number	Comment Status X			Cl Table SC Table 45–26a	P 24	<i>L</i> 11	# 32			
SuggestedRemedy				Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type T Comment Status X							
it should be table 164-13											
Proposed Response		Response Status O			Should leave bits 6 and 7 reserved in case more PMA/PMDs are specified in the future						
		·			SuggestedRemedy Change "1.29.9:6" to "1.29.11:						
C/ 164	SC 164.5.3.9.	2 P89	L 4	# 105	Proposed Response Response	oonse Status O					
DeSanti, Claudio		Dell Technologies			,						
Comment Incorre	Type E ect table number	Comment Status X									