

802.3cu D1.1 100 Gb/s and 400 Gb/s over SMF at 100 Gb/s per Wavelength 2nd Task Force review com

Cl 140 SC 140 P35 L3 # 1

Cole, Chris

II-VI

Comment Type E Comment Status X

There is a more descriptive name for SECQ to be used for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1 (Note, cannot make similar name change for 100GBASE-DR at this point in time as it is out of scope).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace SECQ with TECQ throughout Sub-clause 140 for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1 only. Update any figures or tables as necessary,

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 140 SC 140.6 P40 L19 # 14

Kimber, Mark

Semtech

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The statement on interoperability should be clarified to alert users to the requirement that attenuation is required between DR, FR1 and LR1 PMDs. The statement on interoperability is copied from Clause 122.7 (802.3cn project). In Clause 122, the FR8 and LR8 have the same Tx power and no attenuation is required to interoperate. The other interoperability between PMDs is for ERx to FRx or LRx. It is standard to have attenuation for ERx type PMDs.

SuggestedRemedy

Change wording from "provided that the channel requirements for 100GBASE-DR are met." to "provided the inter-operability requirements of the fiber optic cabling (channel) characteristics for 100GBASE-DR are met." This also applies to lines 19 and 22.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 140 SC 140.6.1 P41 L29 # 15

Dawe, Piers

Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In Table 140-6, transmit characteristics, the two rows for OMA - TDECQ could be combined (with three sub-rows). Similarly for the "allocation for penalties" rows in Table 140-8, illustrative link power budgets.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 140 SC 140.6.1 P41 L35 # 2

Cole, Chris

II-VI

Comment Type T Comment Status X

TDECQ -10log10(Ceq) is a problematic spec. Implement suggested remedy for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1 only (Note, cannot make similar change for 100GBASE-DR at this point in time as it is out of scope).

SuggestedRemedy

Make the following changes to Table 140-6:
 - Remove the entries in the row "TDECQ -10log10(Ceq)" for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1
 - Insert a new row below "TDECQ -10log10(Ceq)" called "TECQ" with no entry for 100GBASE-DR and with values of 3.0 and 2.5dB for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1 respectively.
 - Insert another new row below "TECQ" called "TDECQ-TECQ" with no entries for 100GBASE-DR and with values of 2.0dB and 2.5dB for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1 respectively.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 140 SC 140.6.1 P41 L40 # 3

Cole, Chris

II-VI

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is no fast corner limit

SuggestedRemedy

Add Transmitter over/under-shoot (max) spec with 12% value for both FR4 and LR4-6. Add c footnote for both transition time and new spec wich states: " Using NRZ test pattern; defined for transition, over-shoot in 120.5.11.2.3, 120.5.11.2.4, respectively"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 140 SC 140.6.1 P41 L54 # 4

Cole, Chris

II-VI

Comment Type E Comment Status X

DR name constrasts with FR1 and LR1 names

SuggestedRemedy

Add e footnote which states: "100BASE-DR to 100GBASE-DR1 name change will be considered in future Maintenance Project"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 140 SC 140.6.2 P42 L30 # 5

Cole, Chris II-VI

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Equation use in spec. table is cumbersome. Make Receiver Sensitivity (RS) a normative spec for both 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1 (Note cannot make similar change for 100GBASE-DR at this point in time as it is out of scope).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace equations for Receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max) in Table 140-7 with values of -4.5dBm and -6.1 dBm for FR1 and LR1, respectively. Replace footnote c in Table 140-7 with the following text "Receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max) for 100GBASE-DR is informative and is defined for a transmitter with a value of SECQ up to 3.4 dB. Receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max) for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1 is defined for a reference transmitter with a value of TECQ up to 1.4 dB. For values of TECQ greater than 1.4 dB, see equation (140-2) for 100GBASE-FR1 and equation (140-3) for 100GBASE-LR1"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 140 SC 140.6.2 P42 L47 # 6

Cole, Chris II-VI

Comment Type E Comment Status X

DR name constrasts with FR1 and LR1 names

SuggestedRemedy

Add g footnote which states: "100BASE-DR to 100GBASE-DR1 name change will be considered in future Maintenance Project"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 140 SC 140.7.9 P43 L46 # 7

Cole, Chris II-VI

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Make Receiver Sensitivity (RS) a normative spec for both 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1 (Note cannot make similar change for 100GBASE-DR at this point in time as it is out of scope).

SuggestedRemedy

Make the following changes to this section.

Change the sentence on page 43 and line 50 from:

"Receiver sensitivity is informative and is defined for a transmitter with a value of SECQ..."

to:

"Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-DR is informative and is defined for a transmitter with a value of SECQ..."

Change the sentence on page 44 and line 1 from:

"Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-FR1 should meet Equation (140-2), which is illustrated in

Figure 140-5."

to:

"Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-FR1 is defined for a transmitter with a value of TECQ up to 3.4 dB. Receiver sensitivity should meet Equation (140-2), which is illustrated in Figure 140-5"

Change the sentence on page 44 and line 6 from:

"Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-LR1 should meet Equation (140-3), which is illustrated in

Figure 140-5."

to:

"Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-LR1 is defined for a transmitter with a value of TECQ up to 3.4 dB. Receiver sensitivity should meet Equation (140-3), which is illustrated in Figure 140-5"

Change the sentence on page 44 and line 16 from:

"The normative requirement for receivers is stressed receiver sensitivity"

to:

"The normative requirement for the 100GBASE-DR receiver is stressed receiver sensitivity. The normative requirement for the 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1 receivers is both receiver sensitivity and stressed receiver sensitivity."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 140 SC 140.10 P49 L34 # 16

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is guidance for interoperation between 100GBASE-LR1 and 100GBASE-DR, and between 100GBASE-LR1 and 100GBASE-FR1, but not between 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-FR1.

SuggestedRemedy

Even if there are no special requirements, add the subclause and say what the situation is.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151 P53 L1 # 8

Cole, Chris II-VI

Comment Type E Comment Status X

There is a more descriptive name for SECQ

SuggestedRemedy

Replace SECQ with TECQ throughout Sub-clause 151

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151.7.1 P61 L30 # 9

Cole, Chris II-VI

Comment Type T Comment Status X

TDECQ -10log10(Ceq) is a problematic spec.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove TDECQ -10log10(Ceq), Replace with TECQ, values 3.0 and 2.5 dB for FR4 and LR4-6, respectively

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151.7.1 P61 L30 # 18

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

cole_3cu_adhoc_121119 proposes an overshoot measurement, overlooks the spec in place that limits ~average overshoot, and proposes removing TDECQ-10log10(Ceq), which is there to protect against bad signals (with too much noise or nonlinear distortion), not overshoot.

SuggestedRemedy

Find out what if anything apart from the typical overshoot is a problem for receivers. E.g. peak-peak swing? If the current draft spec does allow too much overshoot, in 151.8.5.4, change the minimum for the largest magnitude tap coefficient from 0.8 to e.g. 0.85 or 0.9. Do not remove the TDECQ-10log10(Ceq) spec, which has a different purpose.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151.7.1 P61 L32 # 17

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

There is an entry for TDECQ – TECQ, or chromatic dispersion penalty. How does it concern the receiver whether the penalty came from the transmitter or from chromatic dispersion? The considerations in this spec are not the same as in an ITU-T spec

SuggestedRemedy

Explain why this new spec is needed or remove the row, 151.8.6, and associated text.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151.7.1 P61 L32 # 10

Cole, Chris II-VI

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is no value for TDECQ - TECQ for FR4

SuggestedRemedy

Enter 2.0dB for FR4

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 151 SC 151.7.1 P61 L36 # 11

Cole, Chris II-VI
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is no fast corner limit

SuggestedRemedy

Add Transmitter over/under-shoot (max) spec with 12% value for both FR4 and LR4-6. Add c footnote for both transition time and new spec wich states: " Using NRZ test pattern; defined for transition, over-shoot in 120.5.11.2.3, 120.5.11.2.4, respectively"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 151 SC 151.7.2 P62 L29 # 12

Cole, Chris II-VI
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

Equation use in spec. table is cumbersome. Make Receiver Sensitivity (RS) a normative spec.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace equations with -4.6 and -6.8 dBm value for FR4 and LR4-6, respecitvely. Replace footnote c in Table 151-8 with the following text "Receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), each lane (max) is defined for a reference transmitter with a value of TECQ up to 1.4 dB. For TECQ greater than 1.4 dB, see equation (151-1) for 400GBASE-FR4 and equation (151-2) for 400GBASE-LR4-6."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 151 SC 151.8.10 P68 L34 # 13

Cole, Chris II-VI
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

Make Receiver Sensitivity (RS) a normative spec for both 400GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-LR4-6.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the sentence:
 "For 400GBASE-FR4, receiver sensitivity is informative and is defined for a transmitter with a value of SECQ up to 3.4 dB."
 with:
 "For 400GBASE-FR4, receiver sensitivity is defined for a transmitter with a value of TECQ up to 3.4 dB."

Replace the sentence:
 "For 400GBASE-LR4-6, receiver sensitivity is informative and is defined for a transmitter with a value of SECQ up to 3.5 dB."
 with:
 "For 400GBASE-LR4-6, receiver sensitivity is defined for a transmitter with a value of TECQ up to 3.5 dB."

Replace the sentence on page 69 and laine 28:
 "The normative requirement for receivers is stressed receiver sensitivity."
 with:
 "The normative requirement for receivers is receiver sensitivity and stressed receiver sensitivity and

Proposed Response Response Status O