

IEEE P802.3cu D3.1 100 Gb/s per wavelength on SMF 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

Cl 0 SC 0 P0 L # R1-3
 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Implement new FM template (Version 4.4)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Implement new FM template (Version 4.4), based on the email from Pete Anslow to the 802.3_EDITORS reflector on 10/30/2020
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 140 SC 140.6.3 P47 L32 # R1-10
 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 In footnote (b) of Table 140-8 it is probably unnecessary to reference the wavelength at which the fiber attenuaion is 0.43 dB/km. In an earlier revision footnote (a) of Table 140-8 was changed to remove the reference to the wavelength, thus making footn
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove "at 1304.5 nm" from footnote (b) of Table 140-8.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 140 SC 140.6.1 P43 L15 # R1-1
 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X
 Transmitter power excursion (max) should be in "dB" instead of "dBm"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "dBm" to "dB"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 140 SC 140.7.5.2 P51 L43 # R1-15
 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 802.3 doesn't specify devices, it specifies interfaces
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "device" to "transmitter" (twice in this subclause).
 Had this been a WDM PMD, it would have been "lane under test".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 140 SC 140.6.1 P43 L17 # R1-11
 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Table 160-6 has "TECQ (max)" while Table 151-7 has "Transmitter eye closure for PAM4 (TECQ), each lane (max)"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "Transmitter eye closure for PAM4 (TECQ) (max)"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 140 SC 140.7.5b P52 L19 # R1-7
 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Over/Under-shoot is only applicable for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1, and not for 100GBASE-DR.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add "for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1" after "Table 140-6" in the first sentence of 140.7.5b
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cu D3.1 100 Gb/s per wavelength on SMF 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

Cl 140 SC 140.7.5c P52 L53 # R1-8
 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Transmitter power excursion is only applicable for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1, and not for 100GBASE-DR.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add "for 100GBASE-FR1 and 100GBASE-LR1" after "Table 140-6" in the first sentence of 140.7.5c
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151.5.7 P70 L2 # R1-13
 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 the average launch power of the OFF transmitter in Table 151-8 for 400GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-LR4-6
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change and to or, or better, delete "for 400GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-LR4-6". Also in 151.5.8.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 140 SC 140.10a P58 L3 # R1-9
 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 "Recommendations for interoperation" is a more appropriate description than "Guidelines for interoperation" in this section.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "Guidelines" with "Recommendations" throughout subclause 140.10a. Make a similar change for 151.12.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151.7.1 P72 L33 # R1-2
 Stassar, Peter Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X
 Transmitter power excursion (max) should be in "dB" instead of "dBm"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "dBm" to "dB"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 140 SC 140.10a.1 P59 L12 # R1-12
 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X
 As pointed out in D3.0 comment 65, a 100GBASE-FR1 or 100GBASE-LR1 transmitter is allowed to transmit a bad signal that a 100GBASE-DR may not, and that a 100GBASE-DR receiver is not qualified for. This breaks interoperability. The K limit is missing, and
 SuggestedRemedy
 As interoperability with 100GBASE-DR applies over much shorter distances than the full distance for 100GBASE-FR1 or 100GBASE-LR1, and as it is expected that decent transmitters will have no problem meeting the spec proposed below, and there is no extra m
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151.8.2 P79 L48 # R1-5
 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 There is an unnecessary comma in the first sentence of 151.8.2, 151.8.10, 151.8.12 and 151.8.13.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the unnecessary comma in the first sentence of 151.8.2, 151.8.10, 151.8.12 and 151.8.13.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cu D3.1 100 Gb/s per wavelength on SMF 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

Cl 151 SC 151.8.2 P79 L48 # R1-4
 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 There is no need to state "for 400GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-LR4-6" in the first sentence of 151.8.2.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete "for 400GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-LR4-6" in the first sentence of subclause 151.8.2. Make an equivalent change in 151.8.3, 151.8.6, 151.8.9, 151.8.10, 151.8.12 and 151.8.13.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151.8.5 P80 L20 # R1-14
 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Thompson
 SuggestedRemedy
 Thomson 3 times in this subclause, twice in 151.8.10
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151.8.5.1 P80 L40 # R1-16
 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 802.3 doesn't specify devices, it specifies interfaces. And the dispersion is different for the four wavelengths.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "device" to "lane" (twice in this subclause).
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151.8.8 P81 L36 # R1-17
 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 leading space before: is average
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151.8.8 P81 L36 # R1-18
 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 is average
 SuggestedRemedy
 is the average? Also in 140.7.5c
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151.8.13 P83 L4 # R1-6
 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems, Inc.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Missing comma after "122.8.9" in the first sentence of 151.8.13.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a comma after "122.8.9" in the first sentence of 151.8.13.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cu D3.1 100 Gb/s per wavelength on SMF 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

Cl 151 SC 151.8.13 P83 L4 # R1-19
Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status X
Misplaced comma
SuggestedRemedy
Change
400GBASE-LR4-6 if, measured using
to
400GBASE-LR4-6, if measured using
Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 151 SC 151.13.4.5 P92 L40 # R1-20
Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status X
Put the PICS in the same order as the transmitter table and optical parameters subclauses
SuggestedRemedy
Over/under-shoot and Transmitter power excursion should come after OM6 Over/under-
shoot and before Extinction ratio, as OM7, OM8
Proposed Response Response Status O