

IEEE P802.3cw D1.3 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 00 SC 0 P 13 L 36 # 1  
 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Missing period at the end of the second sentence.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace, "(Super-PON)" with, "(Super-PON)."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.2.2 P 39 L 48 # 3  
 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Follow style for clause headers  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace, "Use of Blocks" with, "Use of blocks"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 120A SC 120A.6 P 105 L 28 # 18  
 Lewis, David Lumentum  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 The 400GBASE-ZR PCS should be a separate MMD from the PMA and PMD. This allows for the re-use of already defined MDIO registers in clause 45.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 In Figure 120A-9 change the curly bracket for MMD1 to start at the divider between PCS and PMA. Add the caption MMD3 next to the PCS.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 40 L 28 # 5  
 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Follow style for clause headers  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace, "GMP Mapper" with, "GMP mapper"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.1.5 P 38 L 2 # 2  
 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Follow style for clause headers  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace, "Functional Block Diagram" with, "Functional block diagram"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.4 P 41 L 45 # 4  
 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Follow style for clause headers  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace, "Alignment Marker (AM) and Pad insertion" with, "Alignment Marker (AM) and pad insertion"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cw D1.3 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 4th Task Force review comments

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.5 P 42 L 38 # 21

Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

The details of the overhead are rather complicated, and the description may not be clear enough for a reader who is unfamiliar with the details of ITU-T FlexO technology on which all of this is based. The 400GBASE-ZR frame is based on a FlexO-4 frame, which is formed by interleaving four ~100G FlexO frame structures. The clauses about AM and Pad describe the fields after this interleaving is done, for simplicity. The overhead clause is sort of a hybrid of trying to describe the 1280-bit field that results from interleaving four 320-bit fields, but it gets complicated by the fact that all the overhead is in the first ~100G structure that uses a 4-frame multiframe. Since most readers probably are not familiar with the details of FlexO, it is probably better to introduce the overhead in terms of a 40-byte frame structure and 4-frame multiframe, and then have a separate subclause to explain how the overhead is mapped into the 400GBASE-ZR overhead field.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change the title of 155.2.4.5 to "Overhead (OH)"

Add text before Figure 155-4 as follows:

The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multiframe, as shown in Figure 155-4 and described in 155.2.4.5.1 through 155.2.4.5.3.

Change the text at the top of figure 155-4 from "bytes of the first 320-bit OH field" to "byte number"

Delete the paragraph after the figure and insert new subclause 155.2.4.5.4 as follows:  
 155.2.4.5.4 Mapping into the 400GBASE-ZR frame

The 400GBASE-ZR frame contains a 1280-bit overhead field. This field is logically composed of four 320-bit structures. The 40-byte overhead frame described in subclause 155.2.4.5 is the first such 320-bit structure. The second, third, and fourth 320-bit structures are all zeros. The four 320-bit structures are 10-bit interleaved to form the 1280-bit overhead field.

Assuming this general direction is agreeable, subsequent comments address additional changes to 155.2.4.5.x that would also be needed.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.5.1 P 42 L 46 # 22

Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

It is better to describe the MFAS field independently of the 320-bit FlexO instances, as noted in an earlier comment.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Replace the text of 155.2.4.5.1 with:

The MFAS is in the first byte of the overhead frame. It is wrapping counter that is incremented each frame to provide a 256-frame multi-frame sequence as defined by ITU-T G.709.1 Clause 9.2.1.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 42 L 52 # 23

Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

This subclause seems to be covering two separate concepts: the STAT field of the overhead, and behavior based on detecting link faults, which should be in the receiver clause rather than the transmitter.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Delete the first and last paragraphs (a subsequent comment will address re-inserting this information in the clause describing the receiver)

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 43 L 1 # 24

Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

It is better to describe the STAT field independently of the 320-bit FlexO instances, as noted in an earlier comment.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change the first sentence of the second paragraph of 155.2.4.5.2 from: The status overhead byte is present in every frame, but only carried in the first of the four 320-bit OH instances.

to:

The status overhead byte provides status information about the 400GBASE-ZR link.

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cw D1.3 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 4th Task Force review comments

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 43 L 8 # 25

Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

With the new version of Figure 155-4 that breaks out the individual bits of what was formerly shown as the 3-bit LDI field, it would be better to just refer to those bits explicitly in the text. Also note that something got lost in translation - the RD bit (identified in the text as LDI<1>) corresponds to tx\_am\_sf<2>, and the LD bit (identified as LDI<2>) corresponds to tx\_am\_sf<1>

SuggestedRemedy

Change the last sentence of the fourth paragraph to say:  
 The LD bit corresponds to tx\_am\_sf<1> in 118.2.2. The RD bit corresponds to tx\_am\_sf<2> in 118.2.2.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 43 L 10 # 19

Lewis, David Lumentum  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

There needs to be clarification of how the LDI fields translate to tx\_am\_sf<2:0> when there is an adjacent PHY 400GXS. The connection may be made via MDIO registers or in an integrated implementation as a direct hardware connection.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a paragraph: "If there is an adjacent PHY 400GXS sublayer, then the value of RD in STAT<7> is equal to the value of rx\_am\_sf<2> from the 400GXS sublayer, and LD in STAT<8> is equal to the value of rx\_am\_sf<1> from the 400GXS sublayer. If there is not a 400GXS sublayer adjacent, meaning that the 400GBASE-ZR PCS is connected to a MAC-RS, then the value of RD in STAT<7> is set to the value of LD in STAT<8> of the received status byte in the receive direction of the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, and the value of LD in STAT<8> in the transmit direction is set to 0.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P 43 L 30 # 43

Issenhuth, Tom Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X

Incorrect usage of CRC-32 as CRC32 is used through out the 802.3 revision D3.0 draft.

SuggestedRemedy

To keep alignment with the new 802.3 draft standard, change CRC-32 to CRC32 throughout the draft

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.7 P 43 L 49 # 6

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X

Follow style for clause headers

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "400GBASE-ZR Frame to SC-FEC Adaptation" with, "400GBASE-ZR frame to SC-FEC adaptation"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.8 P 46 L 1 # 7

Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X

Follow style for clause headers

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "Pad Insertion" with, "Pad insertion"

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cw D1.3 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 4th Task Force review comments

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 46 L 7 # 8  
 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Follow style for clause headers  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace, "Frame Synchronous Scrambler" with, "Frame synchronous scrambler"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 50 L 17 # 26  
 Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Assuming the earlier comment regarding the description of overhead is agreed, it would be beneficial to have some text explaining how the 40-byte overhead frame is recovered from the 1280-bit field (i.e. the inverse of proposed new clause 155.2.4.5.4)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Insert a new paragraph at the end of 155.2.5.7 as follows:  
 The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is recovered from the 1280-bit overhead field by 10-bit de-interleaving the four 320-bit structures. The 40-byte overhead frame is the first 320-bit structure.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P 50 L 28 # 28  
 Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Assuming the earlier comment regarding the description of the overhead is agreed, the text at the top of the figure should not refer to the 320-bit OH field.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change text to say "byte numbers"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P 50 L 28 # 27  
 Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The byte numbering in figure 155-9 is different from that in figure 155-5. For consistency they should be the same.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Decide on either 0-based or 1-based byte numbering (based on whatever is most prevalent in the rest of 802.3) and change whichever figure needs to be changed.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 50 L 42 # 29  
 Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Assuming the earlier comments regarding the description of the overhead is agreed, the introductory sentence should not mention the 320-bit field  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Eliminate the second clause of the first sentence, so it reads: The status overhead byte is present in every 400GBASE-ZR frame.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 50 L 50 # 20  
 Lewis, David Lumentum  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 There needs to be clarification of how the LDI fields translate to rx\_am\_sf<2> when there is an adjacent PHY 400GXS. The connection may be made via MDIO registers or in an integrated implementation as a direct hardware connection.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add a paragraph: "If there is an adjacent PHY 400GXS sublayer, then the value of RD in the received STAT<7> is passed to tx\_am\_sf<2> in the transmit direction of the 400GXS sublayer, and LD in STAT<8> is passed to tx\_am\_sf<1> in the transmit direction of the 400GXS sublayer. If there is not a 400GXS sublayer adjacent, meaning that the 400GBASE-ZR PCS is connected to a MAC-RS, then the value of RD in STAT<7> is passed to the DTE management entity to indicate a remote degrade event, and LD in the received STAT<8> is passed to the RD bit in STAT<7> in the transmit direction is of the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cw D1.3 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 51 L 5 # 30

Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

Based on the comment to remove some receiver-specific text from the description of link status monitoring overhead in the transmitter, some additional text is needed here.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Add the following at the end of the subclause:  
 The 400GBASE-ZR PCS provides detection and signaling of link degrade for use by network equipment with re-route capabilities. Pre-FEC bit error ratio monitors within the SC-FEC decoder are used to detect and indicate link degrade at the 400GBASE-ZR optical link.  
 In the case of a DSP framing or 400GBASE-ZR frame or multi-frame loss, the PCS receive path inserts a stream of 257B blocks carrying LF ordered sets.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 62 L 26 # 31

Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

The variable pma\_align\_status appears to be Boolean, so it should be described as such.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change "A variable..." to "A Boolean variable..."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 62 L 34 # 32

Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X

There is inconsistent sentence structure in the description of the variables - some begin with "A Boolean variable...", while others omit begin with "Boolean variable...". Those that describe non-Boolean variables all begin with "A variable..."

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change the sentences that begin with "Boolean variable..." to begin with "A Boolean variable ..."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 63 L 14 # 36

Issenhuth, Tom Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X

TBD not in magenta. There is one more case in 155.4.2.1, 3 cases in 155.6 and multiplecases in 156.10.1.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change color of TBDs to magenta

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 64 L 5 # 33

Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

Since the description of the LDI field now identifies specific bit positions, it would be more clear to state that rx\_local\_degraded is true when the receiver detects the value 1 in the LD bit of the STAT field (which is actually LDI<2>, per figure 155-4)

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change the first two sentences from:  
 Boolean variable that is asserted true when the receiver detects LDI<1> in the STAT byte of two consecutive 400GBASE-ZR frames. It is deasserted when LDI<1> is deasserted for two consecutive frame periods.  
 to:  
 A Boolean variable that is asserted true when the receiver detects the value 1 in the LD bit of the STAT byte of two consecutive 400GBASE-ZR frames. It is deasserted when the value 0 is detected in the LD bit for two consecutive frames.

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cw D1.3 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 64 L 10 # 34

Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

Since the description of the LDI field now identifies specific bit positions, it would be more clear to state that rx\_rm\_degraded is true when the receiver detects the value 1 in the RD bit of the STAT field (which is actually LDI<1>, per figure 155-4)

**SuggestedRemedy**

Change the first two sentences from:  
 Boolean variable that is asserted true when the receiver detects LDI<2> in the STAT byte of two consecutive 400GBASE-ZR frames. It is deasserted when LDI<2> is deasserted for two consecutive frame periods.  
 to:  
 A Boolean variable that is asserted true when the receiver detects the value 1 in the RD bit of the STAT byte of two consecutive 400GBASE-ZR frames. It is deasserted when the value 0 is detected in the RD bit for two consecutive frames.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 68 L 4 # 35

Huber, Tom Nokia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X

It seems like this process should be predicated on PMA alignment being achieved - there's no point in looking for the PCS AMs if the PMA is not aligned.

**SuggestedRemedy**

Modify the output of LOCK\_INIT from UCT to pma\_align\_status, so that the process of aligning the PCS AMs doesn't start until the PMA alignment is complete.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 155 SC 155.7.3 P 72 L 17 # 37

Issenhuth, Tom Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X

Incorrect use of C-FEC, should be CFEC as stated in 1.5

**SuggestedRemedy**

Change C-FEC to CFEC

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 156 SC 156.5.1 P 79 L 6 # 38

Issenhuth, Tom Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X

Missing cross reference to 156.9

**SuggestedRemedy**

Add cross reference

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 156 SC 156.5.1 P 79 L 8 # 39

Issenhuth, Tom Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X

Missing cross reference to 156.9

**SuggestedRemedy**

Add cross reference

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 156 SC 156.6 P 81 L 26 # 40

Issenhuth, Tom Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X

OADM is shown as an abbreviation but is not included in 1.5 of this draft or the 802.3 D3.0 revision

**SuggestedRemedy**

Add abbreviation to 1.5 or remove usage of abbreviation

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 156 SC 156.8 P 87 L 33 # 41

Issenhuth, Tom Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X

No OADM abbreviation

**SuggestedRemedy**

Add abbreviation to 1.5 or fully spell out abbreviation

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cw D1.3 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 4th Task Force review comments

CI 156 SC 156.9.23 P 93 L 36 # 42  
 Issenhuth, Tom Huawei  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 3 uses of OADM abbreviation  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add abbreviation to 1.5 or fully spell out abbreviations  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 156 SC 156.10.1.2 P 95 L 2 # 12  
 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Follow style for clause headers  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace, "Offline Digital Signal Processing" with, "Offline digital signal processing"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 156 SC 156.10 P 93 L 41 # 9  
 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Follow style for clause headers  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace, "EVM Conformance test setup and calculation" with, "EVM conformance test setup and calculation"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 156 SC 156.10.1.2.1 P 95 L 25 # 13  
 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Follow style for clause headers  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace, "Polarization Demux" with, "Polarization demux"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 156 SC 156.10.1 P 94 L 43 # 10  
 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Follow style for clause headers  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace, "EVM Conformance test setup" with, "EVM conformance test setup"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 156 SC 156.10.1.2.2 P 95 L 31 # 14  
 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Follow style for clause headers  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace, "Clock and Frequency Offset Recovery" with, "Clock and frequency offset recovery"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 156 SC 156.10.1.1 P 94 L 20 # 11  
 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Follow style for clause headers  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace, "Calibrated Coherent Receiver" with, "Calibrated coherent receiver"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 156 SC 156.10.1.2.3 P 95 L 39 # 15  
 Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Follow style for clause headers  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace, "Carrier Phase Recovery" with, "Carrier phase recovery"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3cw D1.3 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 4th Task Force review comments

---

Cl 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 P 95 L 42 # 16  
Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
Comment Type E Comment Status X  
Follow style for clause headers  
SuggestedRemedy  
Replace, "Receive Filtering" with, "Receive filtering"  
Proposed Response Response Status O

---

Cl 156 SC 156.10.1.2.5 P 95 L 48 # 17  
Maguire, Valerie The Siemon Company  
Comment Type E Comment Status X  
Follow style for clause headers  
SuggestedRemedy  
Replace, "Offset Compensation" with, "Offset compensation"  
Proposed Response Response Status O

---

Cl 156 SC 156.13.4.1 P 101 L 39 # 44  
Issenhuth, Tom Huawei  
Comment Type E Comment Status X  
Value/Comment shown as "Meets BER specified in156.1.1"  
SuggestedRemedy  
Change"in156.1.1" to "in 156.1.1"  
Proposed Response Response Status O

---

Cl 156 SC 156.13.4.4 P 103 L 18 # 45  
Issenhuth, Tom Huawei  
Comment Type E Comment Status X  
Missing subclause cross reference for OM1  
SuggestedRemedy  
Add cross reference  
Proposed Response Response Status O