C/ FM SC FM P 1 L 25 # 1 **Charter Communications** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket "IEEE Std 802.3-202x" is no lomnger correct - we know it will be 2022 release SuggestedRemedy Change all dated references to 802.3 from 202x to 2022 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 120A.6 P 103 C/ 120A 18 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Text of the editorial instruction should be bolded and italics SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 120A SC 120A.6 P 103 L 30 # 3 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communications** Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Missing space between "400GXS" and "=" SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

CI 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 8 # 4

Brown, Matt Huawei

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

This table is wider than the defined margins. It would be better to create a new table for 400GBASE-Z optical PHYs. Note that 400GBASE-ZR is part of the family of physical layer devices called 400GBASE-Z as defined in 1.4.144b.

SuggestedRemedy

Change title of Table 116-5 to "PHY type and clause correlation (400GBASE-R optical)" with appropriate editorial instruction and change formating. Insert new Table 116-x "PHY type and clause correlation (400GBASE-Z optical)" and include the row for 400GBASE-ZR as provided in Table 116-5 in D2.0 with only the necessary columns.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change title of Table 116-5 to "PHY type and clause correlation (400GBASE-R optical)" and remove the table from the draft. With editorial license.

Insert new Table 116-x "PHY type and clause correlation (400GBASE-ZR optical)" and include the row for 400GBASE-ZR as provided in Table 116-5 in D2.0 with only the necessary columns. See response to comment 174.

Cl 116 SC 116.2.3 P 28 L 53 # 5

Brown, Matt Huawei

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The 400GBASE-ZR is part of the family of physical layer devices called 400GBASE-Z as defined in 1.4.144b, not 400GBASE-R. The editorial changes in 116.2.3 are therefore incorrect.

SugaestedRemedy

Rather than changing the first paragraph, add the following new paragraph at the end of 116.2.3: "The term 400GBASE-Z refers to a specific family of Physical Layer devices using 400GBASE-R encoding, a combination of phase and amplitude modulation, and coherent detection. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS defined in Clause 155 performs encoding of data from the 400GMII, applies FEC, and transfers the encoded data to the PMA."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete existing text in D2.0 for 116.2.3

Add a new last paragraph to 116.2.3

"The 400GBASE-ZR PHY uses the PCS specified in Clause 155. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS performs encoding of data from the 400GMII to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface."

C/ 116 SC 116.2.4 P 29 L 12 # 6 Brown, Matt Huawei Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The 400GBASE-ZR is not a 400GBASE-R PMA, but rather a 400GBASE-Z PMA as defined in 1.4.144b. The editorial changes in 116.2.3 are therefore incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editorial instructions to modify the content of 116.2.4 as follows.

Make the first sentence of the first paragraph a paragraph of its own.

Merge the second paragraph with the previous paragraph.

Add a new paragraph at the end of 116.2.4 as follows:

"The 400GBASE-ZR PMA, which is a 400GBASE-Z PMA, is defined in Clause 155."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 116.2.4 change editing instruction to "Replace 116.2.4 with"

With the following text

"The PMA provides a medium-independent means for the PCS to support the use of a range of physical media.

The 200GBASE-R and 400GBASE-R PMAs perform the mapping of transmit and receive data streams between the PCS and PMA via the PMA service interface, and the mapping and multiplexing of transmit and receive data streams between the PMA and PMD via the PMD service interface. In addition, the PMA performs retiming of the received data stream when appropriate, optionally provides data loopback at the PMA or PMD service interface, and optionally provides test pattern generation and checking. The 200GBASE-R and 400GBASE-R PMAs are specified in Clause 120.

The 400GBASE-ZR PHY uses the PMA specified in Clause 155"

With editorial license

C/ 116 P 29 L 19 SC 116.2.5

Brown, Matt Huawei Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The 400GBASE-ZR is not a 400GBASE-R PMD, but rather a 400GBASE-Z PMD as defined in 1.4.144b. The editorial changes in 116.2.3 are therefore incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editorial instructions to modify the contents of 116.2.5 as follows: Add the following sentence: "The 400GBASE-ZR PMD, which is a 400GBASE-Z PMD, and its corresponding media is specified in Clause 156."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete existing 116.2.5 D2.0 text

Add as new last paragraph:

"The 400GBASE-ZR PMD and its corresponding media is specified in Clause 156."

C/ 116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 27

Brown, Matt Huawei

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket In the editorial instruction, statement "unchanged rows not shown" is incorrect since the

two rows shown are inserted, not changed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "unchanged rows not shown" to "some unchanged rows not shown".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 / 10

Brown, Matt Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

PHY name breaks across two rows.

SuggestedRemedy

In 400GBASE-ZR change hyphen to non-breaking hyphen ([ESC],[-],[h]).

Same for "DP-16QAM" on line 18.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT

C/ 155 SC 155.1.5 P 35 L 3 # 10 C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 14 # 13 Huawei Brown, Matt Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket "400GBASE-Z" should be "400GBASE-ZR". Clause 155.3.3.3.1 defines FAW as a 22 symbols sequence, "bits" are not mentioned there SugaestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "400GBASE-Z" to "400GBASE-ZR". For consistency replace: "The sequence is considered to be valid if at least 36 bits match the 44 known bits of the FAW pattern described in 155.3.3.3.1.", with: "The sequence is Response Response Status C considered to be valid if at least 18 symbols match the 22 known symbols of the FAW ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. pattern described in 155.3.3.3.1." Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.1 P 46 L 14 # 11 See response to comment #346. Lewis. Jon **Dell Technologies** C/ 155 P 63 SC 155.4.2.4 L 4 # 14 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket need a non-breaking space between "Annex" and "D" Huawei Bruckman, Leon SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Add non-breaking space. Text on FAW synchronization seems to imply that there is a FAW synchronization process for each lane, for a total of 4 independent FAW synchronization processes. Actually there Response Response Status C are 2 FAW synchronization processes, one per polarization (see figure 115.10 and clause ACCEPT. 155.3.3.7) SuggestedRemedy # 12 C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 31 Replace: "The synchronization process operates independently on each lane" with: "The Lewis, Jon **Dell Technologies** synchronization process operates independently on each polarization" Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Response Response Status C Text and arrow intersect. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy See response to comment #346. Remove intersection of text and arrow to make the figure more legible. P 51 C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 L 19 # 15 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket See response to comment #346. Empty box without any fuction SuggestedRemedy Remove empty fbox from figure 155-10 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 15

Page 3 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:02 A

rewrite bucket

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 20 # 16

Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology

Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The current text refers to "the +/- 100ppm 257-bit blocks" Blocks don't have a frequency or ppm offset in and of themselves. Rather it is the block stream that has a rate with associate frequency tolerance.

SuggestedRemedy

In this paragraph and any other occurances, references to the frequency or frequency offset of "blocks" should be changed to "block stream"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3 P 40 L 24 # 17

Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology

Comment Type E Comment Status A

It seems worthwhile to provide some basic context regarding the meaning of Cm(t) and SCn(t). Although G.709 provides the details, it may be worthwhile expanding this statement somewhat.

SuggestedRemedy

I suggest adding the following sentences to the end of this paragraph: "Note that Cm(t) indicates the number of 1028-bit GMP data words that will be transmitted during the next multi-frame, with SCnD(t) nominally indicating the running remainder. Averaging the Cm(t) plus SCnD(t) values across multiple multi-frames, the average represent the incoming serial stream rate as the number of information bytes arriving at the GMP encoder per multi-frame."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.8

ER

P 48

Comment Status A

L 36

18

Gorshe, Steve
Comment Type

Microchip Technology

rewrite bucket

rewrite bucket

The sentence incorrectly confuses the location and coverage of the GMP CRC fields. Specifically, it says that the CRC8 is found in JC1-3 and the CRC4 is found in JC4-6. The CRC8 is located in JC3 and the CRC4 is located in JC6.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the last sentence of the paragraph to read: "The CRC8 value in JC3 provides error detection coverage for the information in JC1-JC3 and the CRC4 value in JC4 provides error detection coverage for the associated information fields in JC4-6."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.8 P 48 L 36 # 19

Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology

Comment Type E Comment Status A

This sentence appears to incorrectly imply that the CRC8 is the sole protection against errors in JC1-3. Although G.709 provides the details, it may be worthwhile expanding this statement somewhat.

SuggestedRemedy

In conjunction with the change proposed in the previous comment, add the following sentence to the end of the paragraph: "The JC1-2 field information is also protected by limits on how the JC1-2 fields can change in successive multi-frames and the coding technique for indicating these changes, which combine with the CRC8 in JC3 to provide error correction capability for bit and burst errors impacting JC1-3."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 22 # 20 Cisco Gustlin, Mark

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

the locaiton of the cods in the concatenation.

rewrite bucket

The use of inner and outer FEC codes seems to be backwards when compared to industry standards. Two industry books on FEC are: Error control coding (Shu Lin/Daniel Costello) and Error Control Coding (Peter Sweeney), both refere to the first code in a concatenation as the outer, and the 2nd code in a concatenation as the inner. This makes sense when you look at a diagram of the FEC codes, though it does not make sense when looking at

SugaestedRemedy

Reverse the usage to: "an outer SC-FEC code" and "an inner Hamming code SD-FEC"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

P 1 C/ FM SC FM L 23

Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Systems

Comment Status A Comment Type bucket

Change 802.3-202x to 802.3-2022 and correct list of amendments

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "This draft is an amendment of IEEE Std 802.3-2022 as amended by IEEE Std 802.3dd-2022. IEEE Std 802.3cs-202x. IEEE Std 802.3db-202x. IEEE Std 802.3ck-202x. IEEE Std 802.3de-202x, IEEE Std 802.3cx-202x, and IEEE Std 802.3cz-202x."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Make the amendment order consistent with the order prescribed by the Working Group chair and update their descriptions as required. See response to comment 1. With editorial license

C/ FM SC FM P 10 L 34 # 22 Cadence Design Systems Marris, Arthur Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Section 9 goes up Clause 160

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "Section Nine-Includes Clause 141 through Clause 160 and Annex 142A through Annex 154A. Clause 141 through Clause 144 and associated annexes specify symmetric and asymmetric operation of Ethernet passive optical networks over multiple 25 Gb/s channels. Clause 145 and associated annexes specify increased power delivery using all four pairs in the structured wiring plant. Clause 146 through Clause 149 and associated annexes specify Physical Layers for 10 Mb/s, 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s operation over a single balanced pair of conductors. Clause 150 and Clause 151 include additional 400 Gb/s Physical Layer specifications. Clause 153 and Clause 154 specify 100 Gb/s operation over DWDM channels. Clause 157 through Clause 160 include 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s bidirectional Physical Layer specifications."

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ FM SC FM P 11 L 21

Cadence Design Systems Marris, Arthur

Comment Type Comment Status A bucket

Swap cx and de and add cz

SuggestedRemedy

Make 802.3de amendment 5 and 802.3cx amendment 6.. Add amendment 7 for "IEEE Std 802.3cz -202x Amendment 7 - This amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2022 adds physical layer specifications and management parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s and 50 Gb/s operation on optical fiber for use in automotive applications."

Response Status C Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 21

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 23

Page 5 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:02 A

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 19 L 17 # 24 C/ 155 P 32 L 15 # 27 SC 155.1.4.2 Cadence Design Systems Cadence Design Systems Marris, Arthur Marris, Arthur Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket MAU type needs to mention the medium Missing word "The" SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change to "400GBASE-ZR PCS/PMA over single-mode fiber PMD with reach up to at least Change to "The PMA service interface" 80 km as specified in Clause 156" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SC 155.2.1 P 36 C/ 155 / 35 As noted in 156.1 the medium is stated as a single-mode fiber-based dense wavelength Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers and is specified using a black link approach (see 156.6). Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Should this be "128 bit"? Change to "400GBASE-ZR PCS/PMA over a DWDM channel PMD with reach up to at least 80 km as specified in Clause 156". SuggestedRemedy Consider changing "128-symbol" to "128 bit symbol". Similar issue with "119-symbol" on C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.22.13 P 22 L 1 # 25 line 37. Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Response Response Status C Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Needs to reference modification made by 802.3db and change paragraph number to 45.2.1.22.1aa See response to comment #346." SuggestedRemedy C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 41 # 29 Change editig instruction to: "Insert new subclause 45.2.1.22.1aa after 45.2.1.22.1 and Cadence Design Systems Marris. Arthur before 45.2.1.22.1a (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3db-2022) as follows:" Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Response Response Status C Is "frame" the correct word to use here? ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruction to "Insert new subclause 45.2.1.22.1c after 45.2.1.22.1b (as Consider changing "each 400GBASE-ZR frame" to "each 400GBASE-ZR PCS lane" or inserted by IEEE Std 802.3db-2022) as follows:" define what "frame" means in this context. Perhaps add a link to Figure 155-3. C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 L 14 # 26 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cadence Design Systems Marris, Arthur Comment Status A Comment Type Ε bucket

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Missing space
SuggestedRemedy

Response

ACCEPT.

Change "characters. The" to "characters. The"

Response Status C

Comment ID 29

Page 6 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 1 # 30 C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 9 # 33 Cadence Design Systems Cadence Design Systems Marris, Arthur Marris, Arthur Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Define OH acronym as it is the first use in the Clause Insert correct cross reference SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "OH bytes" to "overhead (OH) bytes" Replace 45 with a subcluse number or a cross reference to Clause 45 Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 14 # 31 C/ 155 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems P 1 C/ 00 SC 0 L 2 Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Ran. Adee Cisco Is resetting the scrambler a functional requirement? Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket SuggestedRemedy P802.3 was approved as a revision standard by the IEEE SA Standards Board on 13 May Consider changing "resets" to "shall be reset" Response Response Status C P802.3dd was approved as a new standard by the IEEE SA Standards Board on 16 June ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy See response to comment #346. Change "IEEE Std 802.3™-202x" to "IEEE Std 802.3™-2022" in the page header. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.11 P 44 L 36 # 32 Change "IEEE Std 802.3dd-202x" to "IEEE Std 802.3dd-2022" on line 25. Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Apply in other places across the document as appropriate, with editorial license. Comment Type Comment Status A Ε bucket 119b Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE SuggestedRemedy Change "119b" to "119-bit" See responses to comments 1 and 21 Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

802.3cw does not have an objective to support EEE.

The usage of EEE in current high-speed Ethernet applications is practically non-existent. Therefore there is no need to list new PHYs as supporting EEE, nor to add LPI specific features to new PCSs that are added for these PHYs. Having optional features that are never used is a burden for readers and implementers.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove clause 78 from this amendment.

Remove the "O" in the 400GBASE-ZR row for EEE in Table 116-5.

Delete all registers and functions related to EEE or LPI from the PCS specifications in clause 155.

Implement additional changes as necessary with editorial license.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 10 # 36

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Table 116-5 has been changed in 802.3db to have one column group for clause 167 (with its two PHYs).

Also, the table ruling should be cleaned up.

SuggestedRemedy

Align the columns with 802.3db D3.2 and apply formatting as required to match the original table structure.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 4

Cl 116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 35 # 37

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

4688 pause_quanta equals 2400256 bit times, not 2400000, and 6000.64 ns, not 6000. So either BT and ns column or pause quanta column should be changed.

The precedence (e.g. in 153.2.2) is to use integer pause_quanta and whatever time/BT that result from it.

SuggestedRemedy

Change maximum in BT from 2400000 to 2400256 and maximum in ns from 6000 to 6000.64.

Also change in 155.6.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy in conjunction with clause 155 rewrite, see response to comment #346. With editorial license.

Cl 155 SC 155.1.2 P 32 L 29 # 38

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Clause 119 is included in this amendment.

SuggestedRemedy

Make "Clause 119" an active cross reference.

Response Status C

ACCEPT

CI 155 SC 155.1.2 P 32 L 30 # 39

Ran. Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Superfluous comma before "and"

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the comma

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 34 L 2 # 40 C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 6 # 43 Cisco Cisco Ran, Adee Ran, Adee Comment Type Т Comment Status A PCS description Comment Type Е Comment Status A rewrite bucket The nominal rate is a specific number, and should not include range (in ppm). The sentence "The PCS. can operate in nromal mode or in test-pattern mode" is out of place in the first paragraph. These modes are only discussed in the third paragraph. Also in 155.3.2. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Move the last sentence of the first paragraph to a separate paragraph before the current Either delete "+/- 20 ppm" or delete "nominal", in both subclauses. third paragraph. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. At 155.1.4, delete +/- 20 ppm. See response to comment #346. At 155.3.2, delete +/- 20 ppm in two places. P 36 C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 L 7 C/ 155 P 34 L 2 # 41 SC 155.1.4 Ran. Adee Cisco Cisco Ran, Adee Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Line 5 says "PCS Transmit and PCS Receive processes", but then in lines 7,17, and 27 it is "transmit channel", and line 35 "receive channel". The letter x should be replaced by the multiplication sign? (twice) "channel" is an overloaded term, it is not defined in this clause and its other meanings are SuggestedRemedy auite different. Change per comment, and apply across the draft (search for "x" as a whole word) SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change "transmit channel" to "Transmit process", 3 times. Change "receive channel" to ACCEPT. "Receive function" Response Response Status C SC 155.1.4 P 34 12 # 42 C/ 155 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ran. Adee Cisco See response to comment #346. Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PCS C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 20 clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. Ran Adee Cisco Consistency is preferable. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket SuggestedRemedy Missing space between "20" and the unit "ppm". Change to the per-lane rate (59.84375? 28/29 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes). SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Insert a space. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 45

Page 9 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 29 # 46 C/ 155 P 37 L 30 # 49 SC 155.2.4.3 Cisco Cisco Ran, Adee Ran, Adee Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Е Comment Status A rewrite bucket The scrambled idle pattern defined in 119.2.4.9 cannot be used here as is, because the "The frame is illustrated as a structure with 256 rows of 10 280 bits with a logical PCS processes are different. transmission order of left to right, top to bottom. This frame contains 5140 bits of overhead and 10 220 257B blocks of payload. This frame is illustrated in Figure 155-3" SuggestedRemedy Add a new subclause based on 119.2.4.9 but specific to this clause, and refer to it instead. The order should be clearly defined in the text. not just "illustrated" in a figure. Response Response Status C The text can be made shorter and clearer. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy See response to comment #346. Change the quoted text to: "The frame is a structure that contains 5140 bits of overhead followed by 10 220 257-bit C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 38 # 47 blocks of payload. This frame is illustrated in Figure 155-3, with transmission order from top row to bottom row and from left to right within each row". Ran. Adee Cisco Response Response Status C Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "SC-FEC blocks of 510 ? 512" I assume is it the number of bits (otherwise, what is it?) See response to comment #346 SugaestedRemedy Add "bits" after "510 ? 512". C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 5 # 50 Ran. Adee Cisco Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A т rewrite bucket ACCEPT. "starting at column 5141 of row 0 and ending at column 10 280 of row 255, using GMP" C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 43 # 48 "column" has not been mentioned in preceding text. I assume a column is a bit, so there's Ran. Adee Cisco no no need to use another term (and possibly create confusion, since in the related Clause Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket 155 the columns denote octets). "257B blocks" is inconsistent with "257-bit blocks" used earlier. "B" is not used to denote The payload area ends simply at the end of the frame, so rows are not necessary either. bits elsewhere (except as abbrevations in coding scheme names). SugaestedRemedy Similarly "66b", "120b", and other instances in this draft. Change the quoted text to "from bit 5141 to the end of the frame, using GMP" SuggestedRemedy Change "column" to "bit" across this description. Change "257B" to "257-bit" across the draft except where it is part of "256B/257B". Response Response Status C Similarly, change "66b" to "66-bit" in 155.2.2, "120b" to "120-bit" in 155.2.4.3, and similar ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. instances as necessary.

See response to comment #346.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 20 # 51 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 39 L 6 # 54 Cisco Cisco Ran, Adee Ran, Adee Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Е Comment Status A rewrite bucket The space as thousands separator in numbers with fractional digits is unusual and "10 970 bit row aligned" - the number is part of a compound noun so a hyphen should be used. The separator is not helpful in this case. confusina. SuggestedRemedy Also the tilde prefix with numbers with three fractional digits seems unnecessary, Change to "10970-bit row aligned". especially since these numbers are then bounded by integer values. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "between ~10 214.684 and ~10 217.136" to "between 10 214 and 10 218". See response to comment #346. Alternatively keep the fractions and delete the space separators. Response Response Status C C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 39 L 7 # 55 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ran. Adee Cisco See response to comment #346. Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket "The AM field, containing am mapped<1919:0> is transmitted LSB first, i.e. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 30 # 52 am mapped<0> first, and am mapped<1919> last" Ran. Adee Cisco This phrasing is awkward (am mapped has already been defined in the first paragraph) Comment Status A Comment Type rewrite bucket and redundant. It seems that the GMP word numbers start from 1 while the bits and rows start from 0. SugaestedRemedy If the starting index is inconsistent, it should at least be explicit. Change to "The transmission order of am mapped is from am mapped<0> to SuggestedRemedy am mapped<1919>". Add "(starting from 1)" after "GMP word numbers". Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 / 30 # 53 Cisco Ran. Adee

rewrite bucket

SuggestedRemedy
Consider deleting

Comment Type E

Consider deleting the third column. Otherwise, change "column" to "bit #".

Comment Status A

The "(row, column)" column seems redundant with the GMP word numbers. Also, "rows" is

Response Status C

only used for illustration and "column" is not defined.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5 P 39 L 16 # 56 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.1 P 39 L 40 # 58 Cisco Cisco Ran, Adee Ran, Adee Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type т Comment Status A rewrite bucket "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multi-I assume the MFAS is an 8-bit counter, but figure 155-4 shows only 2 bits. This can frame, as shown in Figure 155-4 " confuse readers. SuggestedRemedy There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean Change "It is a wrapping counter that is incremented each frame" to "It is an auto-wrapping (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined: "frame" is an overly overloaded 8-bit counter that is incremented on each 40-octet frame within the OH block". term). Response Response Status C Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. instead. SugaestedRemedy See response to comment #346. Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.1 P 39 L 41 # 59 octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4". Ran. Adee Cisco Change "byte" to "octet" globally. Comment Type T Comment Status A references In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence". ITU-T G.709.1 seems to be a normative reference. It does not appear in the list in 1.3 (the ones that appear are G.709 and G.709.2; these are separate documents). In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH". SugaestedRemedy Add a reference in 1.3 Change elsewhere as appropriate. Implement with editorial license. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add an entry in 1.3 as follows: ITU-T Recommendation G.709.1 - Flexible OTN short-reach interfaces See response to comment #346. # 57 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 40 / 1 # 60 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3 P 40 L 24 Ran, Adee Cisco Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Т rewrite bucket C m(t) and CnD(t) are used but not defined. What do "downstream". "host interface signal" and "MDI" signal" mean? I assume they are defined in an external reference, but it is unclear. If all control bytes are Perhaps "downstream" should be "link partner"? defined externally then there is no need for this text. For signals, are these the signals received by the 400GAUI C2M (which is optional) and the MDI? SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Preferably add the detailed definitions from the referenced document. Otherwise, delete the entire last paragraph. Please rephrase to clarify. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

See response to comment #346.

Comment ID 60

Page 12 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 40 L 9 # 61

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"If there is not an adjacent PHY 400GXS sublayer"

Also in 155.2.5.7.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "If there is no adjacent PHY 400GXS sublayer" (2 places).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3 P 40 L 17 # 62

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"OIF-400ZR-01.0, March 10, 2020, subclause 8.9"

This should be a normative reference document (in addition to the ITU-T documents). I found a matching document in https://www.oiforum.com/wp-content/uploads/OIF-400ZR-01.0 reduced2.pdf.

Note that there are updates to this document (OIF-400ZR-01.0 Maintenance, https://www.oiforum.com/get/51820) where the subclause number seems to have changed. Consider whether the reference should be to a specific dated version or to the up-to-date one

Preferably provide a URL to the specific document.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a reference in 1.3 with either dated or undated version, preferebly with a URL.

Delete the date from the subclause text, here and in 155.2.4.6 (if a dated version is used, place the full dated reference in a footnote).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P 40 L 39 # 63

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"mapped to 5 successive SC-FEC blocks"

isolated numbers less than 10 in general text should be spelled out.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "5" to "five".

Implement similar changes, and write numbers greater than 9 in digits, across the document as necessary.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P 40 L 43 # 64

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"The 32 bits of the CRC value are placed with the x31 term as the left-most bit of the CRC32 field and the x0 term as the right-most bit of the CRC32 field"

There is no illustration of the CRC32 block, so "right" and "left" are not really meaningful; The subsequent sentence defines the transmission order, so this sentence seems redundant.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the guoted sentence.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 9 # 65 C/ 155 P 43 L 21 # 68 SC 155.2.4.10 Cisco Cisco Ran, Adee Ran, Adee Comment Type т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type т Comment Status A rewrite bucket "a frame-synchronous scrambler of sequence 65 535" "The convolutional interleaver is described in ITU-T G.709.3 subclause 15.4.3" The text in this subclause and figure 155-7 are insufficient to understand/implement the Unclear: should it be "with sequence length of 65535"? A 16-degree polynomial creates a periodic sequence length of 131071, so is it the first interleaver function. 65535 bits of that periodic sequence starting from the reset value? If it isn't fully defined (defined only in an external document) then there is no need for this text and figure. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Rewrite as appropriate. Preferably add the detailed definitions from the referenced document. Response Response Status C Otherwise, delete the whole subclause except for the quoted sentence. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. P 43 # 66 See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 L 14 Cisco Ran, Adee C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.11 P 44 L 37 # 69 Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Ran. Adee Cisco The definition of the scrambler is ambiguous; The choice of coefficient order, shift Comment Type т Comment Status A rewrite bucket direction, and the point from which the output is taken can create different results. "The generic operation of the Hamming SD-FEC scheme is specified in ITU-T G.709.3 Scrambler specifications typically include a block diagram of an LFSR and sometimes a The text in this subclause is insufficient to understand/implement the SD-FEC encoder portion of the sequence for clarity. SuggestedRemedy If it isn't fully defined (defined only in an external document) then there is no need for the Add a diagram (similar to e.g. Figure 49-8) and some portion of the sequence following the details in the second paragraph. initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Preferably add the detailed definitions from the referenced document. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Otherwise, delete the second paragraph. Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.10 P 43 L 21 # 67 See response to comment #346. Cisco Ran. Adee

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

ITU-T G.709.3 seems to be a normative reference.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a reference in 1.3.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 46 L 36 # 70 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 9 # 72 Cisco Cisco Ran, Adee Ran, Adee Comment Type т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket "The SC-FEC decoder function is described in ITU-T G.709.2 Annex A" "will" is deprecated. The text in this subclause is insufficient to understand/implement the SD-FEC decoder SuggestedRemedy Change "will have" to "has". If it isn't fully defined (defined only in an external document) then there is no need for the details in the first paragraph. Change other instances as necessary. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Preferably add the detailed definitions from the referenced document. Otherwise, delete the first two paragraphs, retaining the quoted sentence. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 14 # 73 See response to comment #346. Ran Adee Cisco C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 46 L 46 # 71 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket There are multiple state machines (diagrams) in 155.4. Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket I assume Figure 155-16 is the one. The third paragraph "The 400GBASE-ZR PCS provides detection and signaling of link SuggestedRemedy degrade for use by network equipment..." is repeated verbatim in 155.2.5.7.2. No need to write it twice. Change "follows the state machine in 155.4" to "is depicted by the state diagram in Figure 155-16". SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Delete the third paragraph. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 23 # 74

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"LF ordered sets" are not defined in this draft.

I assume it is the "Local Fault" RS ordered set.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "Local Fault ordered sets (see 81.3.4)".

(or another ordered set if so intended)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.3 P 49 L 23 # 75

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The term "symbol" seems to be overloaded in the PMA subclause, sometimes meaning bit, other times an element of the set {-3, -1, +1, +3}, and other times a pair of such elements (DP-16QAM symbol).

This is confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

Define a clear terminology (e.g. bits, quaternary symbols, DP-16QAM symbols) and apply it across 155.3.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 11 # 76

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"The primitives are defined for i = 0 to 7, and for j = 0 to m-1, where m is the number of bits of resolution of the received digitized DP-16QAM symbols"

The next paragraph says the nominal signaling rate is approximately 57.78 Gb/s in the transmit side and 57.78 GBd in the receive side.

Each DP-16QAM symbol corresponds to 4 bits, so with this definition, the rate of the receive direction DP-16QAM symbols should be a quarter of the transmit direction bit rate.

Alternatively m should be the number of bits of resolution per bit of information.

The meaning of tx_symbol and rx_symbol is unclear in this subclause, and may be changed e.g. if the tx_symbols are defined as Gray-coded PAM4 symbols or SD-FEC encoder codewords (suggested by another comments).

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite this subclause as necessary such that the meaning of tx_symbol and rx_symbol is clear, and the rates match the meaning.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 49 # 77

Ran. Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Signal health should not be "based on receipt of the PMD:IS_SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer" because this indication is always OK.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "receipt of the PMD:IS_SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer," and the comma after "functions".

In Figure 155-10 delete PMD:IS SIGNAL.indication as input to the SIL.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 77

Page 16 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

bucket

 CI 155
 SC 155.3.3.1
 P 52
 L 15
 # 78

 Ran, Adee
 Cisco

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status
 A
 rewrite bucket

It is not clear how the "Gray-coded symbol" defined here is used in the remainder of the process - the subsequent DP-16QAM mapping is defined in terms of bits, not symbols.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider defining the Gray code mapping as a function from bit-pairs to bit-pairs, instead of the set {-3, -1, +1, +3}, or removing it completely since it is embedded it in the mapping defined in Table 155-2.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1 P 52 L 20 # 79

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"Gray-coded signals" should be "Gray-coded symbols".

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 155 SC 155.3.3.1 P 52 L 27 # 80

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"Note that the receive process mapping of Gray-coded signals is applicable only after the SD-FEC decoder process in the 400GBASE-ZR PCS"

This means that the Gray de-mapping function is not part of the PMA but part of the PCS; indeed, the service interface of the PMA is based on ADC samples, not bits, and the Gray de-mapping does not appear in Figure 155-10, because it cannot be performed until SD-FEC decoding (in the PCS) is completed.

Similarly, the Gray mapping in the Tx direction logically belongs in the PCS, because its output is Gray-coded symbols.

SuggestedRemedy

Possibly, move the content of the Gray mapping function to the PCS (retaining the polarization distribution in the PMA).

Or find another way to cleanly separate these functions.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

 CI 155
 SC 155.3.3.1
 P 52
 L 32
 # 81

 Ran, Adee
 Cisco

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status A
 rewrite bucket

"Each 128-bit code word from the SD-FEC encoder c = [c0, c1,.,c127], is mapped to sixteen DP-16QAM symbols (S)"

Does the PMA have to be aligned with the SD-FEC encoder codewords?

If so, the alignment function is not defined; it may be more appropriate to define the service interface in the Tx direction in terms of 128-bit codewords instead of bits on 8 lanes, such that the alignment is inherent.

If not, please clarify that the 128-bit blocks start point within the SD-FEC codeword is arbitrary.

A similar question holds for the Rx direction (based on the text in 155.3.3.8) - is the alignment of SD-FEC defined as a PMA function or a PCS function?

SuggestedRemedy

From 155.3.3.2 it seems that alignment is necessary, so the service interface should be defined with 128-element vectors (instead of lanes), and perhaps use tx_word instead of tx symbol and rx word instead of rx symbol.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

an, Adee Cisco

"The PS is a fixed PRBS10 sequence mapped to 16QAM symbols with different seed

values for X and Y polarizations. The generator for the pilot sequence is shown in Figure 155-13"

Is it two separate PRBS sequences with different seeds?

Also it is unclear how bits are mapped to the I and Q values in Table 155-6.

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

Rewrite to clarify.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.4.1 P 58

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

L 38

83

The title says "Symbol mapping to physical lanes", but in the text it is "coherent signal to physical lane mappings".

The conversion of symbols to signals is done in the PMD.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "All of the coherent signal to physical lane mappings" to "All options for symbol mapping to physical lanes". Change Table 155-7 title accordingly.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.5 P 58 L 47 # 84

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The signals IX/QX/IY/QX are just signals (per 155.3.3.4 and 156.1), and are not "coherent" by themselves. The coherency is part of the PMD.

SuggestedRemedy

rewrite bucket

Change "Four coherent signals" to "Four continuous signals".

In 155.3.3.4.1 and in Table 155-7 change "coherent signal" to "symbol".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.6 P 59 L 22 # 85

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"The encoding of 16QAM symbols is based on Table 155-2"

This table does not define any encoding of input symbols - it defines mapping of bits tuples to output symbols.

"but with a higher resolution than 4 bits"

Resolution is for the digital representation of each analog value. The resolution here should be more than two bits (per dimension). The resolution seems to be left open to implementation.

This should be written more clearly. The suggested remedy is my attempt, but other text may be used.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from

"The encoding of 16QAM symbols is based on Table 155-2 but with a higher resolution than 4 bits to enable the SD-FEC decoder to detect and correct symbol errors"

to "The 16QAM symbols should be sampled with more than two bits per dimension, in order to enable the SD-FEC decoder to correct errors and recover the bits from the symbols based on the mapping in Table 155-2".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.6 P 59 L 40 # 86

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The hyphen in "-12" should be an en-dash (or minus sign).

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.8 P 60 L 4 # 87

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"comprising sixteen symbols encoded as shown in Table 155-2 but at a higher resolution than 8 bits"

SD-FEC codewords are by definition 128 bits; and table 155-2 shows mapping of bit tuples into output symbols.

Also, according to the next paragraph, the output of the process is a single stream of samples, not codewords.

This text seems to specify that the input to the decoder should be four streams of samples (combinations of X/Y and I/Q) with more than two bits per sample.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite to clarify.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2 P 60 L 22 # 88

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The subclause hierarchy below "State variables" is unnecessary, and includes subclauses

that are not about state variables (155.4.2.2 through 155.4.2.4)

SuggestedRemedy

Delete 155.4.2 and move its subclauses upper in the hierarchy (to become 55.4.2 through 155.4.5).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

rewrite bucket

bucket

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 1 # 89 Cisco Ran, Adee Comment Type Е Comment Status A rewrite bucket The state diagram has several blocks in which text of assignment statements wraps to the next line. There is enough room to prevent that. SuggestedRemedy Resize blocks (changing layout if required) to prevent wrapping lines. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. C/ 156 SC 156.1 P 73 L 33 # 90 Ran. Adee Cisco

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

Reduce size to match surrounding text, here and elsewhere if necessary

Comment Status A

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Font size mismatch in "120C"

Correct the font as required with editorial license

Cl 156 SC 156.1.1 P 74 L 39 # [91

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"The bit error ratio (BER) when processed by the 400GBASE-ZR PMA (Clause 155) shall be less than 1.25 × 10^-2..."

The output of the PMA is not bits but samples that are fed into the SD-FEC in the PCS. A BER cannot be defined at this interface before SD-FEC decoding, so this normative requirement is meaningless.

Maybe the intent was after the SD-FEC decoder (which is in the PCS)?

Perhaps the PMD/PMA BER should not be specified for this PHY.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider removing this requirement and defining only the PCS output frame loss ratio.

Otherwise, rewrite to create a well-defined requirement.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the title of 156.1.1 to "Frame loss ratio"

Change the 1st paragraph of 156.1.1 to:

"The frame loss ratio (FLR), (see 1.4.275) after processing by the PMA and PCS shall be less than $1.7 \times 10-12$ for 64-octet frames with a minimum interpacket gap."

Delete the 2nd paragraph.

In clause 155 add additional language to clarify the degrade function and SER target.

With editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 3 # 92 C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 13 # 94 Cisco Cisco Ran, Adee Ran, Adee Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket The service interface of this PMD is not consistent with 116.3 because as it's written, the As described here the PMA sends digital symbols (discrete and sampled) from a set of 4 inputs and outputs are analog signals, not streams of discrete symbols. levels), not "analog streams" (which is an undefined term). Also applies to 156.5.2 which contains very similar text. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Rewrite the text without referring to 116.3 (or make it "similar to 116.3 but...") Change "In the transmit direction, the PMA continuously sends four analog streams to the Response Response Status C PMD" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. to "In the transmit direction, the PMA continuously sends four streams of quaternary symbols See response to comment #346. C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 11 # 93 Change "The PMD then converts these four analog streams" Cisco Ran. Adee "The PMD then converts these streams of symbols". Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Apply in 156.5.2, if it is retained. "The 400GBASE-ZR PMD has four analog streams, in which case i = 0 to 3." Response Response Status C why "in which case"? ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy See response to comment #346. change "in which case" to "hence". Response Response Status C SC 156.2 C/ 156 P 75 L 14 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type Т Comment Status A Update in conjunction with clause 155 rewrite, see response to #346. The values listed are not binary. With editorial license. Also applies in 156.5.2

SuggestedRemedy
Delete "binary".

ACCEPT.

Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status C

C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 18 # 96 C/ 156 P 75 SC 156.3.2 Cisco Cisco Ran, Adee Ran, Adee Comment Type т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type т Comment Status A As described here the PMD sends analog signals (continuous, to be sampled and digitized I suspect that skew variation cannot exist at SP2 (PMD service interface), because the PCS and PMA are defined as operating in one clock domain, not as multiple lanes with in the PMA). "Analog streams" is an undefined term and is not used in other clauses (previous separate logic. This may be worth mentioning (as done in other cases where skew instances of this term have been removed by 802.3dc and earlier revision projects). variation can't exist, e.g. 140.3.2). Also applies to 156.5.3 which contains very similar text. Is skew variation (as opposed to static skew) relevant on a single-lane, but coherent, PMD output? SugaestedRemedy Change "the PMD continuously sends four analog streams to the PMA, corresponding to If there is no skew variation between SP2 and SP3 then skew variation need not be the signals received from the MDI" specified at all. SuggestedRemedy "the PMD continuously sends four analog signals to the PMA, corresponding to the optical signal received from the MDI". Add a statement that that there is no skew variation at TP2. Response Response Status C If skew variation between the PMDs isn't relevant, change also the text about skew ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. variation at SP3 and SP4, as in 140.3.2. See response to comment #346. Response Response Status C C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 26 # 97 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ran. Adee Cisco See response to comment #346. Comment Type т Comment Status R C/ 156

The NOTE about signal detect is out of place since the value is always OK. "sufficient light" and "meeting the BER" are irrelevant for this PMD, since signal detect is not a function of light intensity and the PMD does not detect bits.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the NOTE.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change at this time.

SC 156.3.2 P 75 1 44

L 41

98

rewrite bucket

rewrite bucket

Ran. Adee Cisco

Comment Type Т Comment Status A

Figure 80-8 applies to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The diagram for skew points for 400GBASE-R PHYs is in Figure 116–5.

Also, there SP0 and SP7 are not defined for 400GBASE-R PHYs.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "at the points SP0 to SP7 shown in Figure 80-8" to "at the points SP1 to SP6 shown in Figure 116-5".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 99

Page 22 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 P 77 L 35 # 100 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 35 # 103 Cisco Cisco Ran, Adee Ran, Adee Comment Type Е Comment Status A Comment Type т Comment Status A The text in this subclause practically repeats a paragraph in 156.2. "RRC Roll-Off" is not a unit. It is unclear what it means in this context. Similarly for 156.5.3. Similarly for the (min) row. SuggestedRemedy The spectral mask is specified in 156.9.4 - reading this subclause it becomes clear that the Apply any changes to these two paragraphs in 156.2 to these subclauses too. "Value" in the table are the beta parameter values for the two masks. Response Response Status C Instead of listing numbers that are meaningless without reading the subclause text, simply ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. point to the subclause. SuggestedRemedy Update in conjunction with clause 155 rewrite, see response to comment #346. Change "Value" to "See 156.9.4" and use em-dash for "Unit" in both rows. C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 79 L 48 # 101 Response Response Status C Cisco Ran Adee ACCEPT Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 83 # 104 "Tx" and "Rx" should not be used as abbreviations of the terms "transmitter" and "receiver" L 8 (except in variable and register names, in diagram labels, or as qualifiers). Ran. Adee Cisco SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status A Change to "transmitter" and "receiver" here and in other places as appropriate. dB(12.5 GHz) is not a unit. Also in Table 156-7. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Change to dB and move the 12.5 GHz to the description or add a footnote to explain if Change "Tx" to "transmitter" and change "Rx" to "receiver" through the document. With necessary. editorial license. Response Response Status C C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 1 23 # 102 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ran. Adee Cisco Add a space between change "dB(12.5 GHz)" to "dB (12.5 GHz)" Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Same unit in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 clause 154 table 154.7 "+/- 20ppm" Also in Table 156-7 SuggestedRemedy Change to "±20 ppm" (symbol and space)

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

With editorial license.

Change as suggested through the document.

Response Status C

C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 P 83 L 16 # 105 C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 45 # 107 Cisco Cisco Ran, Adee Ran, Adee Comment Type Т Comment Status R Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket "+/-" "Average receive power (max)" does not depend on the receiver, but on the channel output. So it can't be a receiver specification (as the text above the table states). SuggestedRemedy Change to "±" (symbol) across the table Maybe it should be "Average receive power tolerance (min)"? Response Response Status C Similarly for "Average receive power (min)" which may be a tolerance requirement. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Similarly for Receiver OSNR (also defined in Table 156-8 for the channel, with the same Change symbol as suggested throughout the document. With editorial license value). SugaestedRemedy C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 86 L 35 # 108 Change parameter names and/or add explanations in footnotes. Ran. Adee Cisco Consider moving parameters to the black link characteristics in Table 156-8 or deleting Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket duplicates. 82.2.11 defines a 100GBASE-R test pattern, which is irrelevant. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a test pattern mode specified in 155.2.1. Response Response Status C REJECT. SuggestedRemedy Change "82.2.11, Clause 155" to "155.2.1". "Average receive power (max)" is a receive characteristic in multiple IEEE Std 802.3-2022 Response Response Status C subclauses including Table 151-8, Table 154-8 and 802.3db D3.2 Table 167.8. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 83 L 20 # 106

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

RIN average and RIN peak are not designated as maximum. I asssume they should be.

SuggestedRemedy

Add "(max)" in both descriptions.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 24 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

 CI 156
 SC 156.9.1
 P 86
 L 42
 # 109

 Ran, Adee
 Cisco

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status
 A
 rewrite bucket

It is unclear why some parameters have pattern "valid 400GBASE-R signal, 5" while other have only 5 (which is the only test pattern defined in this clause, and sufficient for measurement of all parameters).

"valid 400GBASE-R signal" is inadequate here - 400GBASE-R usually refers to the data created by a clause 119 PCS; but ZR is a special case - any 400GBASE-R data has to be processed by the full ZR stack.

SuggestedRemedy

Change pattern to either "5" in all rows, or "valid 400GBASE-ZR signal" in all rows.

Consider removing the pattern column and just stating in text that all parameters are specified with test pattern 5.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 156 SC 156.9.4 P 88 L 1 # 110

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The damping factor is denoted by the German "Eszett" symbol ß, it should be the Greek "beta" ß.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace to the β character (Greek beta) here and elsewhere as necessary.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change character as suggested. Replace through the document as required. With editorial licesne.

Cl 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88 L 50 # [111

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"The laser frequency noise mask is the laser frequency noise measured at a resolution between 10^-1 and 10^-6 times the frequency of interest"

The mask is not the measured noise; it is the specified maximum.

The paragraph is not phrased in typical standard language and can be improved. The text in the suggested remedy may be used (or corrected if it contains any error).

SuggestedRemedy

Change the first paragraph from

"The laser frequency noise mask is the laser frequency noise measured at a resolution between 10^-1 and 10^-6 times the frequency of interest. The frequency sweep relative to the laser center frequency shall be from less than 100 Hz to fbaud/2. With the exception of spurs, the measured frequency noise at any frequency shall be below the mask formed by interpolating between the points listed in Table 156–12 and illustrated in Figure 156–5"

"The laser frequency noise mask is the maximum allowed laser frequency noise and is formed by interpolating between the points listed in Table 156–12 and illustrated in Figure 156–5. The mask frequencies are relative to the laser center frequency from less than 100 Hz to fbaud/2. Measurement resolution should be between 10^-1 and 10^-6 times the frequency of interest. With the exception of spurs, the measured frequency noise at any frequency shall be below the mask".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change as suggested but in the second sentence change "than 100 Hz to fbaud/2" to "than 100 Hz to half the signaling rate". See response to comment 112

C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88 L 52 # 112

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"fbaud" is not defined in this clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Either define it (with a numberical value) or use the numerical value here.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "fbaud" to "signaling rate"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 112

Page 25 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

Cl 156 SC 156.9.6 P 89 L 20 # 113

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Figure 156-5 is cluttered.

This figure does not add any information beyond Table 156-12 (which is normative, whereas the figure is an illustration).

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the marker labels (e.g. "X:1 x 10^4 , Y: 1 x 10^9 ") and change "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in the y axis label.

Alternatively, delete the figure.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Retain figure 156-5 and change "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in the y axis label.

C/ 156 SC 156.9.10 P 90 L 13 # 114

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The abbreviation EVM should be introduced before it is used.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert "(EVM") after the first instance of "error vector magnitude" (which may be in a different paragraph, based on another comment).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add "EVM: error vector magnitude" to 1.5. In the first usage in the body of the document state "error vector magnitude (EVM)". In all other usages in the document replace "error vector magnitude" with "EVM". With editorial license

C/ 156 SC 156.9.10 P 90 L 20 # 115

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The last paragraph defines EVMmax, but the specified value in Table 156-6 is for EVM (max). It does not seem to be the same thing.

Should the specification be for EVMmax (max)?

SuggestedRemedy

Move the first paragraph (containing the "shall") after the last one (which defines EVMmax), and hinge the specifications to be EVMmax instead of EVM.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change 156.9.10 to:

"EVM is a metric to define the quality of a 400 Gb/s DP-16QAM transmitter. The EVM calculation is defined in 156.10.1.2.7.

EVMmax is the RMS addition of the EVM values of the sampled symbols for each polarization divided by the maximum amplitude of the theoretical constellation.

EVMmax shall be within the limits given in Table 156–6 if measured using the methods specified in 156.10.1.1 and 156.10.1.2.

The components of the conformance test setup to verify EVM are described in 156.10.1"

In table 156-6 change "error vector magnitude (max)" to "EVMmax (max)"

With editorial license.

Cl 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 26 # 116

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Font size is inconsistent in the text, also in 156.9.12.

SuggestedRemedy

Make it consistent

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Ensure consistent font in 156.9.11 and 156.9.12. With editorial license

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 116

Page 26 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

bucket

bucket

The definition of I-Q (max instantaneous) is unclear. "peak value" of what per polarization? is it peak power?

Assuming it is not the difference between I and Q, the current name is confusing. Should it be "Max instantaneous power per polarization"?

Also, having the definition and the "shall" in the same sentence create poor language.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider renaming this parameter.

Rewrite the definition to make it clear, even if the name is not changed.

Comment Status A

Make the "shall" statement separate from the definition.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comments 361

C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 P 90 L 30 # 118

Ran, Adee Cisco

"<=" should be a symbol

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

change to the ≤ symbol

Response Status C

ACCEPT

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The definition of I-Q (mean) is unclear. "mean value" of what per polarization? is it mean power?

Assuming it is not the difference between I and Q, the current name is confusing. Should it be "mean power per polarization"?

What does "averaged over <=1 us" mean? Is averaging over only 1 ps acceptable? Should it perhaps be measured over at least 1 us?

In clause 154 there is a parameter with a different name, "I-Q offset (max)", and its definition refers to ITU-T G.698.2. This may create further confusion.

Also, having the definition and the "shall" in the same sentence create poor language.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider renaming this parameter.

Rewrite the definition to make it clear, even if the name is not changed.

Make the "shall" statement separate from the definition.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See responses to comments 362 and 363

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 156 SC 156.9.24 P 92 L 9 # 120

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"OSNR tolerance is informative and compliance is not required."

Informative text should not appear in normative clauses. 802.3dc did the work of removing "informative specifications" or turning them into recommendations.

This parameter seems to be loosely defined and unmeasurable in a deployed system (pre-FEC BER counters and test patterns are not specified). So maybe it should not even be a recommendation.

Also, the "Receiver OSNR" parameter have names that does not suggest their meaning. If this parameter is retained, the name should be changed, maybe to "Receiver OSNR tolerance without channel impairments"

SuggestedRemedy

Preferably delete this parameter (subclause text and table).

Otherwise change the "informative" paragraph to make it a recommendation, and change the parameter name to be more meaningful.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 156.9.24 change

"OSNR tolerance is informative and compliance is not required."

to

"OSNR tolerance is optional and compliance is not required."

In table 156-7, for parameter Receiver OSNR tolerance add a footnote "Receiver OSNR tolerance is optional"

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 P 94 L 44 # 121

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"3rd-order super Gaussian filter with RRC = 0.2"

This is an uncommon way to specify a filter, and it is unclear.

RRC seems to stand for is root raised cosine (0.2 may be the roll-off parameter beta), but this filter is not "super Gaussian" and it's unclear what "3rd-order" means for a raised cosine. Or is it a different filter?

Also, the cutoff frequency is not specified.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite to clarify.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "3rd-order super Gaussian filter with RRC = 0.2" to "RRC filter with beta = 0.2"

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 P 95 L 9 # 122

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

I don't see any TBDs.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the editor's note.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 17 # 123

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The equation label format seems unusual (hyphen instead of en dash, spaces).

Also, the equation labels are not on the same line as the equation.

SuggestedRemedy

Use the standard equation style.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Update equation style to match style guide. With editorial license

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 123

Page 28 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

C/ 156 SC 156.11.1 P 96 L 35 # 124 C/ 155 SC 155.1.3 P 33 L 40 # 127 Cisco Cisco Systems Ran, Adee Nicholl, Gary Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Т Comment Status D references The text here does not match the common text for the "General safety" subclauses across Item d on the list references to "ITU-T G.709 Annex D". Is this a publically available the 2022 revision. document? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the text in this subclause to "Equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the This is just a question for clarification. general safety requirements in J.2." Proposed Response Response Status Z Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. SC 155.1.1 C/ 155 P 32 / 10 # 125 C/ 155 SC 155.1.3 P 33 L 42 # 128 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems Nicholl, Garv Cisco Systems Comment Status A Comment Type ER bucket Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Use non-breaking hypen for "400GBASE-ZR" Item e) and f) mention SC-FEC, but there is no definition of "SC-FEC" in the definitions SuggestedRemedy section (1.4). Use non-breaking hypen for "400GBASE-ZR" throughtout document... SugaestedRemedy Response Response Status C Add a definition for "SC-FEC" into section 1.4 (unless it was added by a previous project). ACCEPT. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 13 # 126 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems See response to comment #346 Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 33 L 49 # 129 This is a single clause that covers both the PCS and PMA sublayers. Section 155.1 includes a summary of the PCS functions (in section 155.1.3). For consistency with Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems previous standards I think this section should also include a summary of the PMA functions. Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket SuggestedRemedy This section is under "overview" and is titled "Inter-sublayer interfaces". However it only mentions the inter-sublaver interfaces above and below the PCS. Shouldn't this section Add a new sub-section after 155.1.3 and before 155.1.4, to include a summary of the PMA also cover the PMA inter-sublaver interfaces? SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Add a description of the PMA inter-sublaver interfaces to this section. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 129

Page 29 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

Cl 155 SC 155.1.5 P 35 L 3 # 130

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Figure 155-2 is only a functional block diagram of the PCS. However section 155.1 is an overview for both the PCS and PMA sub-layers, so I think the functional block diagram should include both layers.

SuggestedRemedy

Either update Figure 155-2 to include the PMA functions, or add a separate functional block diagram of the 400BASE-ZR PMA.

Another option would be delete section 155.1.5, and include the functional block diagrams of the PCS and the PMA under sections 155.2 and 155.3 respectively.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 25 # 131

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"Transmit data-units are sent to the service interface via the PMA:IS_UNITDATA_i.request primitive." I presume when we say "service interface here" we are referring to the PMA service interface and not the PCS service interface?

SuggestedRemedy

Change

From:

"Transmit data-units are sent to the service interface via the PMA:IS_UNITDATA_i.request primitive."

To:

"Transmit data-units are sent to the PMA service interface via the PMA:IS UNITDATA i.request primitive."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4 P 37 L 8 # 132

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

It is not clear to me from reading the descriptions as to how the 400GBASE-ZR base frame (Figure 155-3), 400GBASE-ZR OH frame (Figure 155-4) and the SC-FEC frame (Figure 155-5) are related and aligned ?

SuggestedRemedy

Add a description or diagram to indicate how the various frame structures described in the comment are related and aligned (if indeed they are aligned).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.12 P 45 L 52 # 133

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The format of the text in Figure 155-8 is all over the place. I know in 802.3df we are using a constant font for all text in figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Update Figure 155-8 to use a constant font for all text.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 7 # 134

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

in "952 x 257B" does the "B" stand for bits ? If so I am not sure this follows the 802.3 style manual ?

SuggestedRemedy

Change " 952×9578 " into " 952×957 bits" . Similar comment in the rest of this section where "B" is used.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.1 P 49 L 3 # 135

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The first several sub-sections of 155.3.1appear to repeat the same format as section 155.1. It appears that this overview information for the PCS sublayer is in 155.1 and the same overview information for the PMA sublayer is in 155.3.

SuggestedRemedy

I would propose to delete section 155.1., and put all of the corresponding overview information into either the PCS section (155.2) or the PMA section (155.3) respectively.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 136

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Why is the approximate sign used in the term " $(512/511) \times (5485/5140) \times (5488/5485) \times (128/119) \times \sim 50.212875$ Gb/s ?20 ppm" . Isn't the nominal signalling rate known exactly ? I don't remember seeing the "approximate" sign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signaling rate?

SuggestedRemedy

This is more of a question of clarification?

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 54 L 32 # 137

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The sentence states " Each super-frame is

made up of 49 sub-frames . ". This is unusual terminolgy as a super-frame (or mutli-frame) is usually made of n frames (and not -sub-frames). This also begs the question as to why "super-frame" is used instead of the more usual "multi-frame"

SuggestedRemedy

Propose changing "super-frame" to "multi-frame" and "sub-frame" to "frame" throughout this section. An alternative would be to use "frame" and "sub-frame".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.4 P 58 L 32 # 138

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The first sentence states " On each polarization, the stream of symbols is converted to four analog signals per symbol: IX, QX, IY, and

QY,.....". This makes it sound like that they are four analog signals per symbol per polarization (making 8 in total) .

I thought IX and QX formed one 16QAM symbol on one polarization (the X polarization) and IY and QY formed one 16QAM symbol for the other polarization (the Y polarization).

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite the text to make it clear that there are not four analog signals (IX, QX, IY, QY) for each polarization (which would mean 8 analog signals in total), but instead there are two analog signals (IX, QX) per symbol for the X polarization and two analog signals (IY, QY) per symbol for the Y polarization.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.4.1 P 58 L 42 # 139

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The last sentence states ". which correspond to the inter-sublayer signals PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.request ...". I presume in this case we are talking about the inter-sublayer signals below the PMA (PMD service interface) and not the inter-sublayer signals above the PMA. (PMA service interface).

SuggestedRemedy

Update the text to make it clear that the "inter-sublayer signals" being referred to are below the PMA, or alternatively just refer to the PMD service interface directly.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 L 34 # 140

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Definition of "pma_alignment _valid" variable. Reading the previous text it is not clear exactly what consititues a PMA lane, and how many PMA lanes there are, and how each PMA lane is assigned a unique lane number? The definition also refers to "PMA lanes are deskewed". I don't see any mention of PMA lane deskew in the functional block diagram in Figure 155-10.

SuggestedRemedy

Maybe this is all clearly defined earlier in the document. If so then the editors can reject this comment with a reference to the appropriate section of text. If not then the variable description needs to be updated to better refelct thefunctional descriptions earlier in this clause. This comment also applies to other variables defined in 155.4.2.1, that refer to "PMA lanes".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1

P 61

L 3

141

Nicholl, Gary

TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

rewrite bucket

Defintion of variable "faws_lock<x>". A number of issues here. Firstly the text states that "...receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMA service interface.". There is no "FAW" on the "PMA service interface" (i.e. the interface above the PMA sublayer) as the FAW is inserted/removed by the PMA sublayer itself. I tink what is meant here is the "PMD service interface" and not the "PMA service interface"? Secondly the description states "...where x=0:3". This suggests that there are four separate FAWs being locked to, whereas according to section 155.3.3.3 and Figure 155-10 there is only a single FAWs inserted per polarization, so one FAW for X polarization and one FAW for Y polarization.

Cisco Systems

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Correct the reference to the PMD service interface (if the assumption in the comment is correct) and explain why there are 4 "faws_lock<x>" boolean variables when according to section 155.3.3.3 there are only two FAWs (one for X polarization and one for Y polarization)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 142

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Definiton of "faw_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references.

SuggestedRemedy

Correct cross-references.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

143

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 28

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Defintion of variable "pma lane". The defintion states that there can be 4 PMA lane numbers on the PMA service interface. But if I look at Figure 155-10 there are 8 lanes on the PMA sevice interface. There are however 4 lanes on the PMD service interface. I suspect the editor meant "PMD service interface (i.e. the interface below the PMA sublayer) and not the PMA service interface (the interface above the PMA sublayer).

Also the reference to Table 155-3 is not an active cross reference.

SuggestedRemedy

Nicholl, Gary

Change "PMA service interface" to "PMD service interfce".

Fix the cross-reference to Table 155-3.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 15 # 144

Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

In Table 155-8 there are several MDIO control variables associated with "FEC degraded SER" processing, but I can find no description of FEC degraded SER processing in the draft? For 400GBASE-R the FEC degrade SER processing is associated with the RS544 FEC and based on monitoring for RS symbol errors within a given time interval (as described in section 119.2.5.3).

If we want to do something similar for 400GBASE-ZR then the "FEC degrade" monitoring should be based on monitoring a combination of the SD-FEC and SC-FEC.

This appears to be completely missing from the current draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Define a FEC degrade monitoring scheme for 400GBASE-ZR (similar to what was done in section 119.2.5.3 for 400GBASE-R).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 37 # 145

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Table 155-9 provides FEC coorected and uncorrected codeword counts for the SC-FEC? Should there be similar monitoring for the SD-FEC? This is missing in the current draft?

SuggestedRemedy

Define FEC monitoring for the SD-FEC.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 37 # 146

Nicholl, Garv Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Table 155-9 has a MDIO variable called "SC-FEC AM lock, which referes to a PCS/PMS variable "amps locked". However when I look in section 155.4.2 (state variables), "amps lock" is based on locking onto the aignment marker (AM). But then in Figure 155-2 it appears that the "AM detect" block appears after the "SC-FEC decoding block so how can "amps lock" be used to lock onto the SC-FEC frame ? Are the AM frames and the SC-FEC frames aligned, and is the AM used by the SC-FEC decoding block to lock onto the SC-FEC frame .

SuggestedRemedy

This is simply a question for clarification. Depending on the answer changes may or may not be required in the draft.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 155 SC 155.5.1 P 68 L 1 # 147

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Table 155-9 mentions the MDIO status variable "FEC degraded SER", but as pointed out in an earlier comment the draft provides no description as to how the "FEC degraded SER" status variable is set.

SuggestedRemedy

The description for "FEC degraded SER" is missing from the draft.

Define a FEC degrade monitoring scheme for 400GBASE-ZR (similar to what was done in section 119.2.5.3 for 400GBASE-R).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 1 SC 1.5 P 18 L 30 # 148

Lusted, Kent Intel Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The term "SC-FEC" is used 59 times in the draft and is not listed in the abbreviation table. CI 155.1.2 defines SC-FEC to mean "staircase forward error correction".

SuggestedRemedy

Add "SC-FEC: staircase forward error correction" to the entries.

Response Status C

REJECT.

"SC-FEC" is included in 1.5 of IEEE Std 802.3-2022

C/ 1 SC 1.5 P 18 L 30 # 149

Lusted, Kent Intel Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The term "GMP" is used 42 times in the draft and is not listed in the abbreviation table. The term "GMP" is loosely defined in 155.1.3 item c as "Generic mapping procedure". GMP is described in 155.2.4.3 (p38, line 8) but not formally defined

SuggestedRemedy

Add "GMP: generic mapping procedure" to the entries.

Response Status C

REJECT.

"GMP" is included in 1.5 of IEEE Std 802.3-2022

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38

Lusted, Kent Intel Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

As a first time reader of this section, the term "stuff" and its use in this sub-clause is difficult to follow. It took me a while to understand what "stuff" was. In this case, I interpret "stuff" to mean non-data blocks or stuffing blocks. The last two paragraphs of the sub-clause could use wording improvements to make it clearer to the reader.

L 15

150

SuggestedRemedy

In the second to last paragraph, change:

"Each 1028-bit GMP word is either filled with data (the logically serialized 257B encoded stream produced

according to 155.2.4.2) or stuff, which is transmitted as zero and ignored on receipt."

"Each 1028-bit GMP word is either filled with data bits (the logically serialized 257B encoded stream produced

according to 155.2.4.2) or stuffing blocks, which is transmitted as zero and ignored on receipt."

In the last paragraph, change:

"While the GMP mechanism is generic, the particular clock rates and tolerances for this application result in

only five cases, allowing the positions of data and stuff to be pre-computed."

"While the GMP mechanism is generic, the particular clock rates and tolerances for this application result in

only five cases, allowing the positions of data blocks and stuffing blocks to be precomputed."

Update title of Table 155-1 to:

"GMP stuffing block locations in 400GBASE-ZR frame"

In Table 155-1, change column header from:

"GMP word numbers of stuff

locations"

to

"GMP word numbers of stuffing block

locations"

In Table 155-1, change column header from:

"(row, column) of stuff location starting bits"

to

"(row, column) of stuffing block starting location"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Comment ID 150

Page 34 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

C/ FM SC FM P 1 L 2 # 151 C/ FM SC FM P 3 L 18 # 154 RMG Consulting RMG Consulting Grow, Robert Grow, Robert Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket IEEE Std 802.3-2022 is both approved and published. This is not the current mandatory front matter. Because it contains legal disclaimers and notices it should be current. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change all instances of 802.3-202x to 802.3-2022 (headers and draft text). Replace mandatory frontmatter with that in the current IEEE SA templates. Response Response Status C Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. See response to comment 1 C/ FM SC FM P 7 L 18 # 155 P 1 C/ FM SC FM L 10 # 152 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket The P802.3cw ballot group is now inown, and can be inserted so participants can review I think P802.3cw is currently identified as Amendment 8. their names for proper presentation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fill in assigned amendment number. Populate list with the P802.3cw ballot group (removing the officer names already listed in lines 5 through 16. Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. See response to comment 21 C/ FM SC FM P 11 / 20 # 156 C/ FM SC FM P 1 L 25 # 153 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Comment Status A Comment Type Ε bucket P802.3cx is no longer designated as Amendment 5. List of amendments is not current. IEEE Std 802.3dd-2022 is approved and can be SuggestedRemedy referenced by year, and cs, db, ck, and de are all at RevCom and depending on when your D2.1 is produced might also be able to be listed with approval year of 2022. Amendment 6 Renumber and move to Amendment 6. P802.3de/D3.1 has been submitted to Revcom as Amendment 5. Reorder and number IEEE Std 802.3de-202x (or 2022 if approved). is cx. Amendment 7 is cz. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Update list order and years as appropriate. Make the same edits to the list of amendments ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. in the introduction starting on page 10. See response to comment 21

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment 21

Response Status C

C/ FM SC FM P 11 L 32 # 157 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.22.13 P 22 L 1 # 160 RMG Consulting Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Grow, Robert Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket P802.3cz has been designated Amendment 7. Incorrect insert point, subclauses are in decreasing register bit number order. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert self description from the current P802.3cz draft (D2.3 soon to be released, with D3.0 Insert new subclause 45.2.1.22.1c after 45.2.1.22.1b (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3dbexpected following September interim). 202x) as follows: Renumber subclause as 45.2.1.22.1.c. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 21 See response to comment 25 C/ FM SC FM P 11 L 33 # 158 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.150.1 P 22 L 11 # 161 RMG Consulting Grow. Robert Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket I believe P802.3cw has been designated Amendment 8. The subclause title for this subclause number and the following text is: Tx optical channel SuggestedRemedy index (1.800.5:0) Number based on current designations from the WG Chair. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Correct title as in 802.3-2022. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 21 Cl 45 P 21 Change subclause title to "Tx optical channel index (1.800.5:0)" SC 45.2.1.9 L 32 # 159 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.153a P 22 # 162 L 19 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Incorrect subclause number. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket SuggestedRemedy Insert point is after the subclauses of 45.2.1.153. Change to 45.2.1.22 SugaestedRemedy Response Response Status C Insert 45.2.1.153a and 45.2.1.153.1a after 45.2.1.153.1 as follows: ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.1.153a after 45.2.1.153.1 as follows" and add

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 162

new editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.1.153a.1 after 45.2.1.153a as follows"

Page 36 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.157a P 22 L 19 # 163 C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 89 L 3 # 166 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Corning Incorporated Abbott, John Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Insert point is after the subclauses of 45.2.1.157. IN TABLE 156-12 Everywhere else in the 802.3 standard "1-sided" is spelled out as "onesided". For example table 93.8, table 110-11, table 136-18, table 137-6, table 83D-6, table SugaestedRemedy 93A-1, section 93A.1.6, table 120D-8. Insert 45.2.1.157a and 45.2.1.157.1a after 45.2.1.157.1 as follows: SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Spell out "1-sided" as "one-sided" IN TABLE 156-12 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Change editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.1.1573a after 45.2.1.157.1 as follows" and add new editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.1.157a.1 after 45.2.1.157a as follows" C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 89 L 20 # 167 # 164 C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 10 Abbott, John Corning Incorporated Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status A FIGURE 156-6 Everywhere else in the 802.3 standard "1-sided" is spelled out as "one-Base text is not correct. P802.3db/D3.2 inserted two columns under clause 167 sided". For example table 93.8, table 110-11, table 136-18, table 137 -6, table 83D-6, table (400GBASE-SR4 PMD is missing). The column is also missing from P802.3ck/D3.3 93A-1, section 93A.1.6, table 120D-8. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add column for 400GBASE-SR4 PMD under Clause 157 as found in the latest version of Spell out "1-sided" as "one-sided" in FIGURE 156-6. P802.3db (or if approved or published IEEE Std 802.3db). Response Response Status C Response Response Status U ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 4 C/ 119 SC 119 P 31 L 1 # 165 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Ε Comment Status A The strikethrough text does not appear in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 standard.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SugaestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

Delete Clause 119 from the draft.

Response Status C

bucket

bucket

Cl 156 SC 156.9.6 P 89 L 3 # [168

Abbott, John Corning Incorporated

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 156-12 and figure 156-6. Table 93-8 for example has units of V^2 / Hz and just want to check that the power density here really has units of Hz² / Hz. I think this is the first time a one-side spectral power density with these units shows up in 802.3 standard, but this is not my area and I'm just trying to help. Thank you!

SuggestedRemedy

Check that correct units are Hz² / Hz and maybe consider explaining the units if indeed this is the first time such units appear in 802.3 standard.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The power spectral density of frequency noise has units of Hz^2 / Hz

Ensure correct use of "power spectral density".

Change "noise power spectral density" to "frequency noise power spectral density"

With editorial license.

C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 L 17 # 169

Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis

Comment Type T Comment Status R

PCS description

The QAM naming convention in the 802.3-2022 document employs a hyphen between the number of states and QAM (e.g, 16-QAM). See 45.2.1.208.3 for an example reference.

SuggestedRemedy

Globally replace "16QAM" with "16-QAM" and "DP-16QAM" with "DP-16-QAM".

Response Status C

REJECT.

See reponse to comment 415

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144b

P 18

L 9

170

D'Ambrosia, John

Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

As the 400GBASE-ZR PHY uses the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, and is the only device that uses it - there is no family. Furthermore, while it leverages the 400GBASE-R PCS, it is not really 400GBASE-R encoded.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete 1.4.144b

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Delete 1.4.144b. Replace 400GBASE-Z with 400GBASE-ZR throughout draft.

P 18

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144c

L 12

171

D'Ambrosia John

Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The 400GBASE-ZR PHY is not encoded with the 400GBASE-R PCS.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify definition to

IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 400 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 400GBASE-ZR encoding, dual polarization 16-state quadrature amplitude

modulation (DP-16QAM) modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE

Std 802.3, Clause 155 and Clause 156.)

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Change 1.4.144c to

"400GBASE-ZR: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 400 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 400GBASE-ZR PCS and PMA encoding, dual polarization 16-state quadrature amplitude (DP-16QAM) modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km.

(See IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 155 and Clause 156.)"

Cl 78 SC 78.1.4 P 26 L 16 # 172

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

EEE Clauses point to the respective PCS, PMA, and PMD sublayers of the PHY. Clause 118 is an extender sublayer but the DTE/ PHY XS sublayers, which are essentially PCS functions. So it may be ok to leave - but this has never been done before. Clause 120 is not part of the 400GBASE-ZR stack.

SuggestedRemedy

Change entry in Clause field to:

SC 116.1.3

155, 156

Response Status C

REJECT.

C/ 116

Overtaken by events. See response to comment 35.

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

P 27

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The 400GBASE-ZR PHY leverages the 400GBASE-R PCS, but is not really 400GBASE-R encoded

L 22

173

SuggestedRemedy

modify description entry of Table 116-2 to: 400 Gb/s PHY using 400GBASE-ZR encoding capable of transmission over a specified channel on a defined DWDM grid in each direction of transmission

with reach up to at least 80 km (see Clause 155 and Clause 156)

Response Response Status** C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change description Table 116-2 to

"400 Gb/s PHY using 400GBASE-ZR PCS and PMA encoding capable of transmission over a specified channel on a defined DWDM grid in each direction of transmission with reach up to at least 80 km (see Clauses 155 and 156)"

Cl 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 42 # 174

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The table notes the following clauses as optional - 119, 120, 120B, 120C, 120D, 120E, 120F, and 120G. These layers are not directly used as part of the 400GBASE-ZR PHY, but are inferred through the use of the 400GMII Extender.

SuggestedRemedy

Make entries for the following clauses blank: 119, 120, 120B, 120C, 120D, 120E, 120F, and 120G..

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For the 400GBASE-ZR row in Table 116-5 delete "o" (optional) in following clauses (119, 120, 120B – 120G)

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 42 # 175

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

While the 400GMII Extender is optional, it may only be used above the 400GBASE-ZR PHY, and not within the PHY itself.

SuggestedRemedy

Add note C to entry for Clause 118.

Note C - The 400GMII Extender SHALL only be used between the RS and 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 116 SC 116.2.3 P 29 L 1 # 176 C/ 116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 30 Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei D'Ambrosia, John D'Ambrosia, John Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status A The changes to the base text are incorrect as 400GBASE-ZR is not a member of As noted, 400GBASE-ZR is not a member of 400GBASE-R. It is also noted that per 400GBASE-R family. 1.4.215. the bit time is the reciprocal of the bit rate. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete noted text in 802.3cw D2.0 116.2.3 Modify beginning of notes a and b to For 400GBASE-R and 400GBASE-ZR recommended text will be provided in a follow-up presentation. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Modify beginning of footnotes a and b from "For 400GBASE-R" to "For 400GBASE". See response to comment 5 C/ 116 SC 116.2.4 P 29 L 10 # 177 Updated editing instruction to include modification of the footnotes. D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei. US Subsidiary of Huawei With editorial license. Comment Type TR Comment Status A C/ 116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 30 The changes to the base text are incorrect as 400GBASE-ZR is not a member of 400GBASE-R family. D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei. US Subsidiary of Huawei SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A Delete noted text in 802.3cw D2.0 116.2.4 Upon further review it is not clear how Table 116-8 actually ties into 400GBASE-ZR: recommended text will be provided in a follow-up presentation. The skew variation is tied to 400GBASE-R - 3RD column - Unclear that there are PCS lanes in 400GBASE-ZR Response Response Status C - Both Fig 1164 and 116-5 are relevant to 400GBASE-ZR and these are not the same ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. service interfaces that are defined for 400GBASE-ZR SuggestedRemedy See response to comment 6 Presentation to be provided to address topic. C/ 116 SC 116.2.5 P 29 L 18 # 178 Proposed remedy at this time -1. Delete Table 116-8 in P802.3cw - not relevant to 400GBASE-ZR D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei 2. Create new skew constratint table Comment Type TR Comment Status A 3. A skew points diagram for 400GBASE-ZR is neeeded. The changes to the base text are incorrect as 400GBASE-ZR is not a member of Response Response Status C 400GBASE-R family. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete noted text in 802.3cw D2.0 116.2.5

recommended text will be provided in a follow-up presentation.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 7

Update skew constraint requirements in conjunction with clause 155 rewrite, see response to comment #346. With editorial license.

179

180

C/ 155 SC 155.1.2 P 33 L 18 # 181 C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 34 L 15 # 184 Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei D'Ambrosia, John D'Ambrosia, John Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket See Figure 155-1. The bottom of the stack should include a label that is the PMD. Missing word "The" at beginning of first sentence. Reference Figure 124-1 for a similar diagram. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy add "The" at the beginning of the sentence. Add 400GBASE-ZR under the box labeled "MEDIUM". Reference Figure 124-1 for a Response Response Status C similar diagram. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 34 L 16 # 185 D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei See response to comment #346. Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 33 L 52 # 182 The inclusion of the word FEC in this sentence implies that the only encoding is FEC -D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei. US Subsidiary of Huawei The PMA Service Interface supports the exchange of FEC encoded data between the PCS and PMA sublayer. Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket There is also the 64B/66B encoding. When using an Extender, the PCS is connecting to the 400GMII in theory. This sentence SuggestedRemedy does not express this -Optionally the upper interface may connect to a 400GMII Extender, defined in Clause 118, delete the word FEC. which then Response Response Status C connects to the Reconciliation Sublayer. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Delete noted sentence See response to comment #346. Response Response Status C C/ 155 SC 155.1.2 P 32 / 30 # 186 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE D'Ambrosia. John Fuuturewei. US Subsidiary of Huawei See response to comment #346. Comment Type E Comment Status D SC-FEC is used throughout the draft, but is not detailed in 1.5 C/ 116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 35 # 183 SugaestedRemedy Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei D'Ambrosia, John add abbreviation SD-FEC - staircase forward error correction Comment Status D Comment Type TR Proposed Response Note a and b for Table 116-7 only provide respective defiintions for 400GBASE-R. Response Status Z REJECT. SuggestedRemedy Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR. Proposed Response Response Status Z This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Comment ID 186

Page 41 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 34 L 17 # 187 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.1 P 38 L 38 # 189 Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei D'Ambrosia, John D'Ambrosia, John Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Stated sentence - The PMA service interface is defined in 155.3 MFAS is not listed in abbreviations The link for 155.3 does not go to a PMA service interface sub clause. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add to 1.5 Pointer should be to 155.3.2. MFAS Multi-frame alignment signal Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 12 # 188 C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 22 # 190 Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Fuuturewei. US Subsidiary of Huawei D'Ambrosia, John D'Ambrosia. John Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket This line has inner and outer FEC codes reversed -The following is stated -When communicating with the PMA in the transmit direction, the 400GBASE-ZR PCS The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code provides eight digital lanes, which the PMA encodes into two streams of 16QAM symbols. consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC. SuggestedRemedy What are eight digital lanes? Isn't this just the PMA Service Interface Modify noted sentence -SugaestedRemedy The transmit data is encoded Reword with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an outer SC-FEC Transmit data-units are sent to the PMA service interfacee via the code and an inner PMA:IS UNITDATA i.request primitive. The PMA then encodes the data into two streams Hamming code SD-FEC. of 16QAM symbols. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.4.1 P 58 # 191 C/ 156 SC 156.3.2 P 75 L 44 L 39 # 193 Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei D'Ambrosia, John D'Ambrosia, John Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type TR rewrite bucket This sentence appears to include unnecessary information -It is unclear if the skew constraints need to be revisited in light that the part is not part of Note that interleaving of signals by polarization is not allowed since this would add a non-400GBASE-R family, but current pointer is to 80-8, which is for 100G essential SuggestedRemedy level of complexity to the Rx digital processing. Revisit skew constraints as needed. SuggestedRemedy The diagram reference should be 116-4. modify sentence to Response Response Status C Note that interleaving of signals by polarization is not allowed. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 P 68 L 30 # 194 D'Ambrosia. John Fuuturewei. US Subsidiary of Huawei C/ 156 SC 156.1 P 73 L 20 # 192 Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Why is there a reference to a PCS lane alignment status? There are no PCS lanes in the Comment Type TR Comment Status A 400GBASE-ZR PHY associated clauses include the 400GBASE-R PCS, 400GBASE-4 PMA, and all AUI's. SuggestedRemedy These clauses are referenced via the extender sublayer, so they should not be noted here. Looks like this was intended to be PMA lane alignment status SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Delete table entries Clause 119, 120, and all AUI related clauses. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. C/ 116 Implement page 10 of SC 116.5 P 30 L 9 # 195 https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22 09/dambrosia 3cw 01a 2209.pdf Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei D'Ambrosia, John Implement page 11 of Comment Type TR Comment Status A https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22 09/dambrosia 3cw 01a 2209.pdf 400GBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes -SuggestedRemedy With editorial license all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #180.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 195

Page 43 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 19 L 12 # 196 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.157a P 24 L 19 # 199 Huber, Thomas Nokia Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket The values of aMAUType are alphabetized by rate in 802.3-2022. 400GBASE-ZR should The numbering of the subclauses in the editing instruction is not consistent with the style be inserted after 400GBASE-VR4 that 802.3db added. quide. The subclause underneath new subclause 45.2.1.157a should be numbered as .1 rather than 1a. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change SR16 to VR4 in the editing instruction Change 45.2.1.157.1a to 45.2.1.157a.1 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Change editing instruction to "Insert 400GBASE-ZR PHY type into the "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" section of 30.5.1.1.2 after 400GBASE-VR4 (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3db-See response to comment 163 202x) as follows" C/ 116 SC 116.2.4 P 29 L 12 # 200 C/ 45 P 22 L 19 # 197 SC 45.2.1.153a **Huber Thomas** Nokia Huber, Thomas Nokia Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket P802.3cw is introducing a second PMA for 400GBASE-R. While the text "all 400GBASE-R The numbering of the subclauses in the editing instruction is not consistent with the style PMAs other than 400GBASE-ZR are specified in clause 120" is correct, it also implies that guide. The subclause underneath new subclause 45.2.1.153a should be numbered as .1 there are many 400GBASE-R PMAs besides the one in clause 155, which is not the case. rather than 1a. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change the first sentence to read "The 200GBASE-R PMA and 400GBASE-R PMA for PHYs other than 400GBASE-ZR are specified in Clause 120." Change 45.2.1.153.1a to 45.2.1.153a.1 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See response to comment 6 See response to comment 162 C/ 119 SC 119 P 31 L 1 # 201 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.153.1a P 23 L 35 # 198 Huber, Thomas Nokia Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A The index value associated with bit 1.804.1 should be 49 rather than 48 The change indicated to be made to the NOTE in 119.2.5.7 has already been made in 802.3-2022 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove clause 119 (and all subclauses) "Bits 1.804.1 through 1.804.15 indicate the equivalent for for index values 48 through 63. respectively." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE "Bits 1.804.1 through 1.804.15 indicate the equivalent for for index values 49 through 63. respectively." See response to comment 165

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Comment ID 201

Page 44 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 13 # 202

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

There is inconsistency wording between Figure 155-2 (which shows m lanes in the receive direction between the PMA and PCS), the text in 155.2.1 (which indicates two streams of m-bit symbols), and text in 155.2.5.1 and in 155.3 2 (both of (which reference DP-16QAM symbols digitized to m-bit resolution).

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"When communicating with the PMA in the receive direction, the 400GBASE-ZR PCS receives two streams of digitally encoded m-bit 16QAM symbols."

"When communicating with the PMA in the receive direction, the 400GBASE-ZR PCS receives digitally encoded m-bit DP-16QAM symbols."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

203 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.1 P 37 L 12 Nokia

Huber. Thomas

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The two paragraphs of 155.2.4.1 jump back and forth between 66b and 257b blocks in a way that could confuse a reader who is unfamiliar with the details of the clause 119 PCS.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite the text as follows:

The transmit PCS generates 66-bit blocks based upon the TXD<63:0> and <TXC<7:0> signals received from the 400GMII, as specified in the transmit state diagram showni in Figure 119-14. One 400GMII data transfer is encoded into one 66-bit block. The contents of each block are contained in a vector tx coded<65:0>, which is passed to the 64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder. tx coded<1:0> contains the sync header and the remainder of the bits contain the block payload. The rate matching described in 119.2.4.1 is not required for the 400GBASE-ZR PCS because the mapping of the transcoded block stream into the 400GBASE-ZR frame structure performs clock compensation between the two clock domains.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 2 # 204

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type т Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The description of the 20-bit pad says it is inserted after the OH blocks, but the OH is a 1280 bit field (which is later described as four chunks of 320 bits that are interleaved). Since much of the text talks about 66b blocks or 257 blocks, it is probably better to refer to the OH bits rather than blocks.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "A 20 bit pad of all zeros is added after the OH blocks" to "A 20 bit pad of all zeros is added after the 1280 OH bits."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

P 38 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 L 11 # 205

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Clause 9.4.3.2 of ITU-T G.709 does not discuss GMP. Since the GMP OH being used aligns with 400ZR, maybe it is better to point to 155.2.4.5.3 (which then points to the OIF 400ZR IA). ITU-T G.709 and G.709.x don't specifically discuss the GMP encoding that is used in 400ZR and 400GBASE-ZR

SuggestedRemedy

Change

The principles of the GMP mapper are described in ITU-T G.709 (06/2020) Annex D, with details of the encoding of the GMP overhead in ITU-T G.709 Clause 9.4.3.2.

The principles of the GMP mapper are described in ITU-T G.709 (06/2020) Annex D. Details of the overhead encoding for 400GBASE-ZR are in 155.2.4.5.3.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

rewrite bucket

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.4 P 38 L 46 # 206 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 46 L 36 # 209 Huber, Thomas Nokia Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket This text could be clarified. GMP is converting from the clock domain of the payload Missing an "of" in the second sentence (stream of 257b blocks) to the clock domain of the 400GBASE-ZR frame. Presumably the SugaestedRemedy payload blocks are already aligned to the payload clock. Change "Each incoming block 10976 x 119 bits." to "Each incoming block of 10976 x 119 SugaestedRemedy bits " Rewrite as follows: The AM, pad, and OH fields are populated after the GMP mapping Response Response Status C process has rate-matched the 257B block stream to the payload area of the 400GBASE-ZR frame. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 46 L 43 # 210 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Nokia Huber, Thomas See response to comment #346. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Missing a subscript in Bi corrected. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3 P 40 L 25 # 207 SuggestedRemedy Huber, Thomas Nokia Make the i in Bi subscripted. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Response Response Status C The 'nD' in CnD(t) should be subscripted ACCEPT SuggestedRemedy Change the nD to subscript. C/ 155 SC 155 2 5 7 P 47 L 19 # 211 Response Response Status C Huber. Thomas Nokia ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Figure 155-9 is identical to Figure 155-4. It is also not referenced in the text at all, though it See response to comment #346. is obvious how it relates to the text. To avoid potential divergence of the figures, it would be better to refer to the earlier figure rather than replicate it. C/ 155 P 44 L 30 # 208 SC 155.2.4.10 SuggestedRemedy Huber. Thomas Nokia Remove figure 155-9. Add a sentence to the end of clause 155.2.5.7 indicating that the Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket overhead bytes over the four-frame multiframe are shown in Figure 155-4. The convolutional interleaver and Hamming encoder are working with 10976 rows, but Response Response Status C figure 155-7 indicates 10970 rows ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy

See response to comment #346.

See response to comment #346.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Response

Change 10970 to 10976 in Faiure 155-7.

Response Status C

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 21 # 212 C/ 155 P 53 L 34 # 215 SC 155.3.3.2 Huber, Thomas Nokia Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket It looks like there is an 'of' that should be 'or' - I think the intent is that if the receiver can't The intended interleaving is that first symbol of each of 16 codewords is transmitted, then frame to the DSP frame, or the 400ZR frame or multiframe, it inserts LF the second symbol, etc. The example is not consistent with that - S(1,1) should follow S(0,1) rather than S(0,2) (as seen in figure 155-11). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "In the case of a DSP framing of 400GBASE-ZR frame or multi-frame loss." to "In the case of a DSP framing loss or 400GBASE-ZR frame or multi-frame loss." Change S0,2 to S1,1 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.3.3 P 52 L 3 # 213 C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2 P 54 L 11 # 216 Huber. Thomas Nokia Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Status A Comment Type т rewrite bucket Awkward grammar in the first sentence There is a horizontal line missing between the second and third sets of symbols in Figure 155-11 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change ". adapt between the PCS laver digital symbols to and from the four analog signals." to ". adapt the PCS layer digital signals to and from the four analog signals." Add the missing line Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.3.3 P 52 L 5 # 214 C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 15 # 217 Huber, Thomas Nokia Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket In the rest of 802.3, loopback is not hyphenated In the GET BLOCK state, the variable slip done should be faw slip done SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change loop-back to loopback Change slip done to faw slip done Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 217

Page 47 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

Cl 156 SC 156.5.2 P 77 L 39 # 218

Huber. Thomas Nokia

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"Binary values 3, 1, -1, -3" doesn't seem to be correct since there are four values listed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "binary values" to "symbol values".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #95.

Cl 156 SC 156.5.2 P77 L 40 # 219

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A bucket

Table 155-2 is mapping the value of a pair of FEC-encoded bits to the symbol values.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the last sentence of the paragraph to read "The mapping of FEC bits to symbol amplitudes is listed in Table 155-2."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 P 95 L 9 # 220

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The editor's note about TBDs is no longer relevant

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the editor's note.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 122

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.153.1a P 23 L 4 # 221

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Subclause 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx index ability 48 through 63 (1.804.0 through 1.804.15)' says that 'Bits 1.804.1 through 1.804.15 indicate the equivalent for index values 48 through 63, respectively.'. Bit 1.804.1 is Tx index ability 49, not Tx index ability 48 (see page 23, line 23).

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text '... for index values 48 through 63 ...' should read '... for index values 49 through 63 ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 198

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.153.1a P 23 L 37 # 222

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Subclause 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx index ability 48 through 63 (1.804.0 through 1.804.15)' includes the text 'For 400GBASE-ZR see Table 156–4.' at the end of the subclause. Similarly, subclause 45.2.1.157a 'Rx optical frequency ability 4 register (Register 1.824)' includes the text 'For 400GBASE-ZR see Table 156–4.' at the end of the subclause. Since Tx index ability 0 through 47 and Rx index ability 0 through 47 will now also apply to 400GBASE-ZR, as well as 100GBASE-ZR, suggest that similar text be added to the end of subclauses 45.2.1.151.1 through 45.2.1.157.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest changes to subclauses 45.2.1.151.1 through 45.2.1.157 be added to the draft. These changes should change the text at the end of these existing subclauses that reads 'For 100GBASE-ZR see Table 154–5.' to read 'For 100GBASE-ZR see Table 154–5, for 400GBASE-ZR see Table 154–5.'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 45.2.1.151.1, 152.1, 153.1, 155.1, 156.1, and 157.1 change the last sentence from "For 100GBASE-ZR see Table 154–5." to "For 100GBASE-ZR see Table 154–5 and for 400GBASE-ZR see Table 156–4." In 45.2.1.150.1 add a new last sentence "For 400GBASE-ZR the specific optical frequency corresponding to each channel index number is listed in Table 156–4." In 45.2.1.154.1 add a new second to last sentence "For 400GBASE-ZR the specific optical frequency corresponding to each channel index number is listed in Table 156–4." With editorial license.

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 43 # 223

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder' says that 'The 128-bit code words are sent as 8-bit symbols to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer on the PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_7.request inter-sublayer signals.'. Further, subclause 155.2.5.1 'Hamming SD-FEC decoder' says 'The incoming DP-16QAM symbols are digitized to an m-bit resolution by the PMA sublayer receive direction (see 155.3.3.5) and provided to the PCS receive direction by PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.indication to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_m-1.indication inter-sublayer signals.' and that 'The Hamming SD-FEC decoder is a soft decision decoder and so requires a higher resolution than 2 bits / 4 levels for each of the signals XI, XQ, YI, and YQ.'. Finally, Figure 155-10 '400GBASE-ZR PMA functional block diagram' says 'm is implementation dependent and is the number of bits of resolution of the DP-16QAM symbols.'

Rather than operating as n parallel asynchronous PCS lanes that carry alignment markers and lane numbers that enable the original data to be restored or n lanes to be multiplex into m lanes, it appears the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface between the PCS and the PMA operates as an n-bit synchronous data path, transferring a single DP-16QAM symbol during each operation. This seems to be confirmed by subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' that says '... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes ...'. In the case of the transmit path, the DP-16QAM symbols are encoded as 8-bit words, 2 bits representing the 4 levels for each of the in-phase and quadrature components of the X and Y polarizations. In the case of the receive path, the DP-16QAM symbols are encoded as p bits representing g levels, where p and g are implementation dependant.

This all seems to preclude the physical instantiation of the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface between the PCS and the PMA as a 400GAUI. This is because [1] the PMA service interface doesn't support alignment markers and lane numbers allowing multiplexing and de-multiplexing to different widths; [2] the PMA service interface width on the receive path is implementation dependant; and [3] the PMA service interface operates as a synchronous data path, transferring a single DP-16QAM symbol during each operation, requiring a skew between the bits of less than one 400GBASE-ZR frame DP-16QAM symbol time (~17.3 ps) which I don't believe a 400GAUI would meeting. This seems to be confirmed by the one example given in annexe 120A.6 'Partitioning example supporting 400GBASE-ZR' which only shows a 400GAUI 'above' the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, and not 'below'.

Based on the above, add footnotes to the 'O's in the 400GAUI columns of the 400GBASE-ZR row in Table 116–5 to note the 400GAUI is only supported 'above' the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a footnote to the 'O's in the 400GAUI columns of the 400GBASE-ZR row in Table 116–5 that reads '400GAUI only supported as a physical instantiation of the 400GMII Extender (see 118.1.3).'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 174

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 40 # 224

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The terms 'overhead fields' (page 36, line 40) and 'OH fields' (page 38, line 46), 'OH bytes' (page 38, line 2) then 'OH blocks' on the next line, and 'GMP overhead' (page 38, line 12), seem to be used interchangeable.

SuggestedRemedy

Please use a consistent term. 'overhead field' seems to be the most common.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.2.4 P 37 L 8 # 225

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The only 'shall' statement regarding the PCS transmit path (155.2.4) is in subclause 155.2.4.9 'Frame synchronous scrambler', similarly the only 'shall' statement regarding the PCS receive path (155.2.5) is in subclause 155.2.5.3 'Descrambler' and 155.2.5.6 'CRC32 check and error marking'. Mandatory PCS transmit requirements, mandatory PCS receive requirements and other mandatory requirements need to be covered by 'shall' statements.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 225

Page 49 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:03 A

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 37 L 29 # 226

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says that 'The GMP mapper inserts the serialized stream of 257B blocks into the payload area of a 400GBASE-ZR frame.' and that 'The frame is illustrated as a structure with 256 rows of 10 280 bits with a logical transmission order of left to right, top to bottom.'. This seems to imply that the stream of 257B blocks is inserted into one 400GBASE-ZR frame at a time.

Subclause 155.2.4.3 however then says that 'The Payload area of a four-frame multi-frame is divided into 10 220 GMP words of 4 x 257 = 1028 bits.' and that 'Each 1028-bit GMP word is either filled with data (the logically serialized 257B encoded stream produced according to 155.2.4.2)'. This seems to imply that the 257B blocks are inserted into four 400GBASE-ZR frames, that form a single multi-frame, at a time.

Subclause '155.2.4.6 CRC32 and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS) insertion' then says 'The stream of 400GBASE-ZR frames, illustrated in Figure 155-3, provide the input ...' seems to imply 400GBASE-ZR frames are formed one at a time, and does not reference multi-frames.

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify the definition of a multi-frame, potentially through a figure, how 257B blocks are mapped to it, and how it is mapped to the SC-FEC message.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 5 # 227

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.2.4.3 says 'The 400GBASE-ZR PCS payload is mapped ...' however this is the only use of the term '400GBASE-ZR PCS payload' in the draft.

SugaestedRemedy

Suggest that the text 'The 400GBASE-ZR PCS payload is mapped ...' is changed to read 'The 400GBASE-ZR PCS payload of the serialized stream of 257B blocks is mapped ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3

P 38

L 8

228

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The antepenultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' seems to be an introduction to the GMP and would be better placed as the first paragraph.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the antepenultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' should be moved to be the first paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.3.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 12 # 229

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says 'The principles of the GMP mapper ... with details of the encoding of the GMP overhead in ITU-T G.709 Clause 9.4.3.2.'. On review of ITU-T G.709/Y.1331 (06/2020) https://www.itu.int/rec/recommendation.asp?lang=en&parent=T-REC-G.709-202006-I, there doesn't seem to be a subclause 9.4.3.2. Perhaps the reference should have been to subclause 19.4.3.2 'Generic mapping procedure (GMP)' in ITU-T G.709, although that only seems to address the justification overhead bytes.

SuggestedRemedy

Correct the reference to the GMP overhead in ITU-T G.709.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 39 L 48 # 230

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.2.4.5.2 says 'The RPF bit indicates signal fail status was detected by the remote 400GBASE-ZR receive function ...' which seems to imply that the RPF bit is mapped from the it is mapped from the SIGNAL_OK parameter of the PMA:IS SIGNAL.indication primitive.

SuggestedRemedy

If the RPF bit is mapped from the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive, replace the second sentence of the second paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.5.2 with 'The bit is set based on the most recently received SIGNAL_OK parameter of the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primative. It is "0" if the value was OK and "1" if the value was FAIL.'.

If the RPF bit is not mapped from the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive, please define where it is mapped from, or the conditions for when it is set and cleared.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 39 L 49 # 231

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Isn't '... 400GBASE-ZR receive function in the upstream direction ...' duplicative as the 'upstream direction' is the receive path. And since there is only one 400GBASE-ZR receive function, it doesn't need to be qualified by 'in the upstream direction'.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that '... 400GBASE-ZR receive function in the upstream direction and ...' should read '... 400GBASE-ZR receive function and ...'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 39 L 50 # 232

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.2.4.5.2 'Link status monitoring and signaling' says 'RPF is set to "1" to indicate a remote 400GBASE-ZR PHY defect indication' however there appears to be no definition of a 400GBASE-ZR PHY defect in the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Please provide a definition of the conditions considered a 400GBASE-ZR PHY defect.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 53 # 233

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

SIGNAL_OK is a parameter that is passed by the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that '... the SIGNAL_OK primitive has the value FAIL.' should be changed to read '... the SIGNAL OK parameter has the value FAIL.'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.3 P 52 L 5 # 234

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.3 'Functions within the PMA' says 'The purpose of the PMA is to ... and optionally to provide test signals and loop-back.'.

There, however, doesn't appear to be any subclauses under subclause 155.3 'Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer, type 400GBASE-ZR' that define test signals or loop-back.

SuggestedRemedy

Either add definitions defining test signals and loop back within the PMA or remove this text from subclause 155.3.3.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3 P 52 L 9 # 235

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.3 'Functions within the PMA' says '... elements of a symbol, namely IX, QX, IY, or QY, ...', referencing IX, QX, IY, and QY as 'elements' of a DP-16QAM symbol. Subclause 155.3.3.1 'Gray mapping and polarization distribution' says '- (c8i, c8i+1) maps to the in-phase (I) component of the X-polarization of si' referencing IX, QX, IY, and QY as 'components' of a DP-16QAM symbol.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that either 'element' or 'component' be used consistently to describe IX, QX, IY, and QY used to form a DP-16QAM symbol.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1 P 52 L 32 # 236

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The terms 'DP-16QAM symbol' (e.g., page 52, line 32 and line 48), 'Gray-coded signals' (e.g., page 52, line 44) and 'Gray mapped' symbols (e.g., page 54, line 29) seem to be used interchangeably in the subclauses of 155.3.3 'Functions within the PMA'. For example, subclause 155.3.3.2 Symbol interleaving' says 'The DP-16QAM symbols are time interleaved ...' yet the following subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' says '... the stream of Gray mapped, interleaved symbols are ...'. It, however, appears the 'symbols' in both cases are the same.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that a consistent terminology should be used for DP-16QAM symbols.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1

ER

P **52**

L 32

237

rewrite bucket

Law, David

Comment Type

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Status A

The terms '128-bit code word' (e.g., page 52, line 32), 'FEC codeword' (e.g., page 52, line 44), SD-FEC codewords (e.g., page 53, line 36), 'Hamming code words' (e.g., page 52, line 53), and just 'code word' (page 53, line 32) seem to be used interchangeably to describe the 128-bit code word that is passed across the 8 lane PMA service interface to the PMA sublayer as 16 groups of 8

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the term 'SD-FEC codeword' be used consistently in subclause 155.3.3 to describe the 128-bit code word passed across the PMA service interface.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.2 P 52 L 53 # 238

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Doesn't the symbol interleaving operate on groups of sixteen DP-16QAM symbols, mapped from the 128-bit SD-FEC codewords passed across the PMA service interface, as described in subclause 155 3.3.1

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text 'The symbol interleaver performs an 8-way interleaving of symbols from Hamming code words ...' be changed to read 'The symbol interleaver performs an 8-way interleaving of groups of sixteen symbols mapped from SD-FEC codewords ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.2 P 52 L 54 # 239

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

On page 52, line 54, the symbol number is in normal font whereas it is in subscript font in the remainder of subclause 155.3.3.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that, based on page 52, line 54, the symbol number should be in normal rather than subscript font in the rest of the subclause to make it clear the two numbers following 'S' separated by a comma are the code word number followed by the symbol number in the code word. Alternatively, perhaps it should be stated that two numbers following 'S' separated by a comma are the code word number followed by the symbol number in the code word.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2 P 53 L 33 # 240

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

According to 155.3.3.1 Gray mapping and polarization distribution the 'S' code word is an array of DP-16QAM symbols (page 52, line 35). As a result, aren't 'Symbols from eight code words [S0, ...,S7] ...' (page 52, line 54) a total of 128 DP-16QAM symbols? This seems to be confirmed by Figure 155-11 'Eight-way Hamming code interleaver' which shows symbols S0,0 through S7,15 which is 128 symbols.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the text 'When the 64-symbol buffer is full ...' be changed to read 'When the 128-symbol buffer is full ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3

P **54**

L 27

241

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

There is no specification of how the output from PAM symbol interleaving function is mapped into the payload fields of the sub-frame of a super-frame.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a subclause to describe how the output of the PAM symbol interleaving function is mapped into the payload fields of the sub-frame of a super-frame.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 54 L 31 # 242

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' however says 'A super-frame is defined as a set of 181 888 symbols in each of the X and Y polarizations including'. Since a separate super-frame for each of the X and Y polarizations, the 'symbols' seem to be 16QAM symbols rather than DP-16QAM symbols.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text 'A super-frame is defined as a set of 181 888 symbols in each of the X and Y polarizations including 175 616 payload symbols and 6272 additional symbols.' be changed to read 'A super-frame is defined as a set of 181 888 16QAM symbols for each of the X and Y polarizations including 175 616 payload 16QAM symbols and 6272 additional 16QAM symbols.'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 54 L 37 # 243

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The second paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' says 'The first sub-frame of a super-frame includes ... 76 reserved symbols (rsvd<0:75>) ...', however, there is no specification of what 16QAM symbol should be transmitted for these reserved symbols.

SuggestedRemedy

Define the 16QAM symbol to be transmitted for these 76 reserved symbols.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 55 L 4 # 244

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The contents of the sub-frame 0 between P4 and P115, and sub-frame 1 and 48 between P2 and P115, are not defined in Figure 155-12.

For sub-frame 0, the number of symbols shown in Figure 155-12 after P0, P1, P2, P3 and P115 is 31. A sub-frame is 3712 symbols long, and there are 116 PS symbols, and since 3712/32 = 116 it seems reasonable to assume that there are 31 symbols after every PS symbol for sub-frame 0, but this needs to be specified.

For sub-frame 1, the number of symbols shown in Figure 155-12 after P0 is 31, after P1 is 31, however, after P115 it is 32. Similarly, for sub-frame 48, the number of symbols shown in Figure 155-12 after P0 is 42, after P1 is 31, and after P115 it is 32. It is therefore difficult to make an assumption about the number of symbols after each PS between P2 and P115, so this needs to be specified.

SuggestedRemedy

Specify the contents of the sub-frame 0 between P4 and P115, and sub-frame 1 and 48 between P2 and P115

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 55 L 10 # 245

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The third paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' says that 'The next 48 sub-frames of the super-frame have an 11-symbol TS (ts<0:10>), 116 PS symbols [P0, .,P115], and 3586 payload symbols.' which seems to imply that sub-frames 1 through 48 are all the same formats. Figure 155-12, however, shows 31 symbols after P0 for sub-frame 1, yet 42 symbols after P0 for sub-frame 48. Similarly, Figure 155-12 shows 31 symbols after P1 for sub-frame 1, yet 32 symbols after P1 for sub-frame 48. And if sub-frame 1 and sub-frame 48 are different formats, what are the formats for sub-frames 2 through 47.

The 31 symbols after P0 shown for sub-frame 1 in Figure 155-12 are ts<0:10>, but P0 overlaps ts<0>, so this is 10 bits, followed by m<3488:3508> which is 21 bits resulting in a total of 31 bits. The 42 symbols after P0 shown for sub-frame 48 in Figure 155-12 are ts<0:10>, but P0 overlaps ts<0>, so this is 10 bits, followed by m<172 030:172 061> which is 32 bits, resulting in a total of 42 bits. The 31 symbols after P1 shown for sub-frame 1 in Figure 155-12 are m<3509:3539>, the 32 symbols after P1 shown for sub-frame 48 in Figure

155-12 are m<172 062:172 093>.

SuggestedRemedy

If sub-frames 1 through 48 are not the same format, specify which sub-frames are in what format. If they are in the same format, correct the figure to show the correct number of bits.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 40 L 9 # 246

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Suggest that '... connected to a MAC-RS ... ' should be changed to read '... connected directly to a MAC-RS ...'.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

It appears that the 10-bit interleaver isn't specified.

SuggestedRemedy

Specify the 10-bit interleaver.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P 40 L 37 # 248

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.2.4.6 'CRC32 and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS) insertion' says that 'Each SC-FEC block has 119 x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 664 bits.', but isn't an input SC-FEC block 244 736 bits, formed of 244 664 information bits, 32 CRC bits, 6 MBAS bits, and 34 bits of padding (see figure 155-5). In addition, based on figure 155-5 and subclause 155.2.4.7, subclause 155.2.4.6 describes the input SC-FEC block.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

- [1] The first paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be changed to read 'The stream of 400GBASE-ZR frames, illustrated in Figure 155-3, provide the information bits for the calculation of SC-FEC input blocks. To conform with the format of the input SC-FEC block, 119 rows from the stream of 400GBASE-ZR frames are mapped to the information bits in 5 successive SC-FEC input blocks. Each SC-FEC input block has 119 x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 664 information bits.'.
- [2] The text '... cyclic redundancy code is calculated over 244 664 input bits as ...' in the second paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be changed to read '... cyclic redundancy code is calculated over the 244 664 information bits as ...'.
- [3] The term 'SC-FEC block' be changed to read 'SC-FEC input block' in subclause 155.2.4.6.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P 40 L 42 # 249

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.2.4.6 'CRC32 and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS) insertion' says 'The 32 bits of the CRC value are placed with the x31 term as the left-most bit...', however, it doesn't specify where. In addition, it also says, 'Following the CRC32 a 6-bit MBAS is added.', without specifying the bit order. Finally, the CRC is referred to as a field (page 40, line 44) whereas the MBAS is referred to as overhead.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

- [1] The text '... the CRC value are placed with ...' in the second paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be changed to read '... the CRC value are placed immediately after the information bits in the SC-FEC input block with ...'.
- [2] The first sentence of the last paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be moved to the end of the paragraph and changed to read 'The 6 bits of the MBAS field are placed immediately after the CRC with the most significant bit as the left-most bit of the MBAS field and the least significant bit as the right-most bit of the MBAS field. The bits of the MBAS are transmitted in the order of most significant bit first, least significant bit last.'.
- [3] The two instances of 'MBAS overhead' should be changed to read 'MBAS field'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P 40 L 49 # 250

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

IEEE Std 802.3 doesn't specify implementations.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that '... staircase FEC implementation uses ...' should read '... staircase FEC uses ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.7 P 41 L 1 # 251 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.7 P 42 L 5 # 253 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Suggest that subclause 155.2.4.7 be retitled 'SC-FEC adapt and encoding' to match the There is no specification of how the 8 parity blocks are mapped into bits 10280 to 10970 of the 400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC encoded frames. equivalent block in Figure 155-2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment. Add a new paragraph to subclause 155.4.7 to specify the mapping of the 16384 parity bits into bits 10280 to 10970 of the 400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC encoded frames. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.7 P 41 / 11 # 252 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.7 P 42 L 11 # 254 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Hewlett Packard Enterprise Law. David Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Subclause 155.2.4.7 '400GBASE-ZR frame to SC-FEC adaptation' says '... which are Both instances of block 7.11 in figure 155-6 are marked with an asterisk which, I assume, added to the 400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC frame as ...'. This seems to be the only time the term is meant to reference a footnote that says that only the information bits of block 7.11 are '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC frame' is used and the title of the referenced figure 155-6 is '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC encoded frames'. included, that the CRC32 and MBAS bits are appended after the parity bits, and the pad is discarded SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Subclause 155.2.4.7 '400GBASE-ZR frame to SC-FEC adaptation' says '... which are added to the 400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC frame as'. This seems to be the only time the term Add a new paragraph to subclause 155.4.7 to specify the mapping of the CRC32 and '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC frame' is used and the title of the referenced figure 155-6 is MBAS bits from block 7.11 and add a suitable footnote to figure 155-6. '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC encoded frames'. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.10 P 43 L 20 # 255 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Suggest that '... SC-encoder ...' should read '... SC-FEC encoder ...'. SugaestedRemedy See comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 255

Page 56 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.10 P 43 L 22 # 256

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

IEEE Std 802.3 doesn't specify implementations.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest, based on the in subclause 155.2.4.9 above (page 43, line 8), that the text The convolutional interleaver is described in ITU-T G.709.3 subclause 15.4.3. It contains 16 parallel delay lines that are accessed sequentially for each block of 119 bits.' is changed to read 'The convolutional interleaver shall be functionally equivalent to the convolutional interleaving process described in ITU-T G.709.3 subclause 15.4.3'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.11 P 44 L 36 # 257

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause seems to use the terms '119b', '119-bit block' and '119-bit message' interchangeably. Suggest that '119-bit message' is used to match subclause 155.2.5.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

- [1] The text 'The 119b outputs of the convolutional interleaver are encoded ...' is changed to read 'The 119-bit messages output by the convolutional interleaver are encoded ...'
- [2] The text '... to each of the 10 976 119-bit blocks as output ...' is changed to read '... '... to each of the 10 976 119-bit messages as output ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.11

P **44**

L 40

258

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comm

Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The 128-bit code word referenced in subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder' is called the 'SD-FEC codeword' in Figure 155-8, subclause 155.2.5.1 (page 46, line 5) and subclause 155.3.3.2 (page 53, line 36). Suggest the same terminology should be used in subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder'.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

- [1] The text '... results in 10 796 128-bit blocks.' be changed to read '... results in 10 796 128-bit SD-FEC codewords.'.
- [2] The text '... is encoded to the 128-bit code word ...' be changed to read '... is encoded to the 128-bit SD-FEC codeword ...'.
- [3] The text 'The 128-bit code words are ...' should be changed to read 'The 128-bit SD-FEC codewords are ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.12 P 45 L 50 # 259

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Suggest that Figure 155-8 and the last paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.11 be updated to describe how the 128-bit code word from the SD-FEC encoder is passed across the PMA service interface. In addition, the fourth paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.1 should be updated to note that the 128-bit code word is passed across the PMA service interface to the PMA where the Gray mapping and polarization distribution described occurs.

SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Suggest that the PMA service interface be added to Figure 155-8. To do this suggest that the label 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.request' be added to the leftmost arrow at the bottom of the figure, with the label 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_1.request' and 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_2.request' staggered above on the next two arrows to the right. The label 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_7.request' should be added to the rightmost arrow. As an existing example, see Figure 119-10 '200GBASE-R Transmit bit ordering and distribution'.
- [2] Suggest that the last paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.11 be changed to read 'The 128-bit code word is then passed across the 8 lane PMA service interface to the PMA sublayer as 16 groups of 8 bits, each representing a DP-16QAM symbol. The first group of 8 bits are c0 through c7, the last group of 8 bits are c120 through C127, with the LSB through the MSB or each group of 8 bits mapped in order to the tx_symbol parameter of the PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.request through the PMA:IS_UNITDATA_7.request primitive respectively (see Figure 155-8).'.
- [3] Suggest that the text 'Each 128-bit code word from the SD-FEC encoder c = [c0, c1, ..., c127], is mapped ...' in the fourth paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.1 should be changed to read 'Each 128-bit code word from the SD-FEC encoder is passed across the PMA service interface as described in 155.2.4.11. Each 128-bit code word c = [c0, c1, ..., c127], is mapped ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.1 P 46 L 12 # 260

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The vast majority of references to the in-phase and quadrature-phase X and Y polarization use the symbols I<subscript>X</subscript>, Q<subscript>X</subscript>, I<subscript>Y</subscript>, and Q<subscript>Y</subscript> (e.g., Figure 155-10 on page 51, line 28 and subclause 155.3.3, page 52, line 9). There, however, seem to be a few instances where the X and Y are not in subscript, or the phase and polarization symbols are reversed.

SugaestedRemedy

On the assumption that they are referencing the same signals, please use I<subscript>X</subscript>, Q<subscript>X</subscript>, I<subscript>Y</subscript>, and Q<subscript>Y</subscript> in the following locations:

Subclause 155.2.5.1, page 46, line 12

Table 155-3, page 55, line 38

Table 155-4, page 56, line 35

Table 155-7, page 59, line 5 through 16

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 14 # 261

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Suggest a direct reference to the Alignment marker lock state diagram is provided in subclause 155.2.5.7.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.1.1 P 49 L 9 # 262

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Since [1] the subclause of 156.5 'PMD functional specifications' lists more than just a transmit and receive function, and [2] to parallel the text 'The PMA allows the 400GBASE-ZR PCS (specified in 155.2) ...', suggest that '... media-independent way to a coherent transmitter and receiver specified in Clause 156.' should be changed to read '... media-independent way to the 400GBASE-ZR PMD (specified in 156).'

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 1 # 263

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder' says that 'The 128-bit code words are sent as 8-bit symbols to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer on the PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_7.request inter-sublayer signals.'. Further, subclause 155.2.5.1 'Hamming SD-FEC decoder' says 'The incoming DP-16QAM symbols are digitized to an m-bit resolution by the PMA sublayer receive direction (see 155.3.3.5) and provided to the PCS receive direction by PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.indication to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_m-1.indication inter-sublayer signals.' and that 'The Hamming SD-FEC decoder is a soft decision decoder and so requires a higher resolution than 2 bits / 4 levels for each of the signals XI, XQ, YI, and YQ.'. Finally, Figure 155-10 '400GBASE-ZR PMA functional block diagram' says 'm is implementation dependent and is the number of bits of resolution of the DP-16QAM symbols.'

Rather than operating as n parallel asynchronous PCS lanes that carry alignment markers and lane numbers that enable the original data to be restored or n lanes to be multiplex into m lanes, it appears the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface between the PCS and the PMA operates as an n-bit synchronous data path, transferring a single DP-16QAM symbol during each operation. This seems to be confirmed by subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' that says '... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes ...'. In the case of the transmit path, the DP-16QAM symbols are encoded as 8-bit words, 2 bits representing the 4 levels for each of the in-phase and quadrature components of the X and Y polarizations. In the case of the receive path, the DP-16QAM symbols are encoded as p bits representing q levels, where p and q are implementation dependant.

It, therefore, doesn't seem correct to define the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface through reference to the lane-based PMA service interface definition in 116.3 when it doesn't support the features of a lane-based service interface. Based on this, suggest that the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface be defined using a single .request and .indicate primitive, with a tx_symbol and rx_symbol parameter respectively, to reflect the synchronous data path nature of the interface.

SuggestedRemedy

Specify the 400GBASE-ZR PMA as a single .request and .indicate primitive, with a tx_symbol and rx_symbol parameter respectively as follows:

- Change the three instances of 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_i.request' to read 'PMA_UNITDATA.request' in subclause 155.2.1 'Functions within the PCS'.
- Change subclause 155.1.4.2 'Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) service interface' to read as follows:

The 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface provided by the 400GBASE-ZR PMA for the 400GBASE-ZR PCS is described in an abstract manner and does not imply any particular implementation. The 400GBASE-ZR PMA Service Interface supports the exchange of

encoded DP-16QAM symbols between the PCS and PMA sublayer. The 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface is defined in 155.3.2

- Change the last paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder' to read:

The 128-bit code words are sent as 8-bit encoded DP-16QAM symbols to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer using sixteen PMA UNITDATA.request messages.

- Change the text '... by PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.indication to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_m-1.indication inter-sublayer signals.' to read '... by the PMA_UNITDATA.indication primitive.' in subclause 155.2.5.1 'Hamming SD-FEC decoder'.
- Change subclause 155.3.2 '400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface', adding new subclauses 155.3.2.1 through 155.3.2.2.3, to read:

155.3.2 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface

The 400GBASE-ZR PMA Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded DP-16QAM symbols between the PCS and PMA sublayer. The inter-sublayer 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface is described in an abstract manner and does not imply any particular implementation. The inter-sublayer service interface primitives are defined as follows:

PMA_UNITDATA.request PMA_UNITDATA.indication PMA_SIGNAL.indication

The PMA_UNITDATA.request primitive is used to define the transfer of a DP-16QAM symbol from the 400GBASE-ZR PCS to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA. The PMA_UNITDATA.indication primitive is used to define the transfer of a DP-16QAM symbol from the 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS. The PMA_SIGNAL.indication primitive is used to define the transfer of signal status from the 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

155.3.2.1 PMA UNITDATA.request

This primitive defines the transfer of encoded DP-16QAM symbols in the tx_symbol parameter from the 400GBASE-ZR PCS to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA.

155.3.2.1.1 Semantics of the primitive

PMA UNITDATA.request (tx symbol)

During transmission, the PMA_UNITDATA.request simultaneously conveys 8 bits of a 128-bit code word generated by the SD-FEC encoder (see 155.2.4.11) representing an encoded DP-16QAM symbol to the PMA. The encoding used for the in-phase and quadrature-phase components of the X and Y polarization is defined in subclause 155.3.3.1.

155.3.2.1.2 When generated

The PCS generates sixteen PMA_UNITDATA.request messages for each 128-bit code word from the PCS SD-FEC encoder. The messages convey the least significant octet C<7:0> first, most significant octet C<127:120> last, with code word bits C<n+7:n> mapped to tx_symbol<7:0>. The nominal rate of PMA_UNITDATA.indication messages is 57.78 GBd.

155.3.2.1.3 Effect of receipt

The PMA continuously forms the tx_symbol parameters received in sixteen consecutive PMA_UNITDATA.indication messages into 128-bit code words that are passed to the PMA Gray mapping and polarization distribution function (see 155.3.3.1).

155.3.2.2 PMA_UNITDATA.indication

This primitive defines the transfer of encoded DP-16QAM symbols in the rx_symbol parameter from the 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

155.3.2.2.1 Semantics of the primitive

PMA UNITDATA.indication (rx symbol)

During reception, the PMA_UNITDATA.indication simultaneously conveys m bits of an n-bit code word generated by the symbol de-interleaving function (see 155.3.3.8) representing an encoded DP-16QAM symbol to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS where m is implementation dependent, representing the number of bits of the encoded DP-16QAM symbol. and n = 16 x m.

155.3.2.2.2 When generated

The PMA generates sixteen PMA_UNITDATA.indication messages for each n-bit code word generated by the PMA symbol de-interleaving function. The messages convey the least significant m bits of the n-bit code word first. The nominal rate of PMA_UNITDATA.indication messages is 57.78 GBd.

155.3.2.2.3 Effect of receipt

The PCS continuously forms the rx_symbol parameters received in sixteen consecutive PMA_UNITDATA.indication messages into n-bit code words that are passed to the PCS Hamming SD-FEC decoder function (see 155.2.5.1).

155.3.2.3 PMA SIGNAL indication

This primitive defines the transfer of the status of the PMA receive process in the SIGNAL OK parameter from 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

155.3.2.3.2 When generated

The PMA generates a PMA_SIGNAL.indication message whenever there is change in the value of the SIGNAL OK parameter (see 155.3.3.9).

155.3.2.2.3 Effect of receipt

The PCS Synchronization process monitors the PMA_SIGNAL indication primitive for a change in the SIGNAL OK parameter (see 155.2.1).

- Move the last paragraph of the current subclause to a new subclause 155.3.3.9 titled 'Signal Indication Logic (SIL)'.
- Change the last paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.8 'Polarization combining and symbol deinterleaving' to read:

The sixteen encoded DP-16QAM symbols are transferred to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS sublayer as m-bit DP-16QAM symbols using sixteen PMA_UNITDATA.indication messages.

- Change 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_7.request' to read 'PMA_UNITDATA.request' and 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.indication to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_m-1.indication' to read 'PMA_UNITDATA.indication' in Figure 155-2 'Functional block diagram'.
- Change 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_7.request' to read 'PMA_UNITDATA.request' and 'PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.indication to PMA:IS_UNITDATA_m-1.indication' to read 'PMA_UNITDATA.indication' in Figure 155-10 '400GBASE-ZR PMA functional block diagram'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 3 # 264

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Since subclause 155.3.2 only summarizes the primitives, a cross reference to where they are defined should be added.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that 'The 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface is provided ...' should be changed to read 'The 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface (see 155.1.4.2) is provided ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 265

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket each at a nominal

rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.2 says '... sends eight parallel bit streams to the PMA, each at a nominal signaling rate of ...'. Since this is a signalling rate, the unit of measurement should be in Bd rather than Hz (see the following paragraph).

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that '... ~50.212875 Gb/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s).' should read '... ~50.212875 GBd +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GBd).' (where +/- is a plus-minus symbol).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 18 # 266

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

There is a rectangle to the right of the 'Carrier phase recovery', 'PMD equalizer' and 'chromatic dispersion equalizer' within the 400GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer box in Figure 155-10 '400GBASE-ZR PMA functional block diagram' that is unlabelled.

SugaestedRemedy

Either label the rectangle or delete it.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 266

Page 61 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

CI 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 28 # 267

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.3.4.1 says that 'All of the coherent signal to physical lane mappings in Table 155-7 are allowed for the Tx signal. This is because receivers can determine which physical lane is carrying which signal based on the contents of the FAW.'. As a result, it seems that the in-phase and quadrature-phase components of the X and Y polarizations can be mapped to the receive PMD service interface primitives in any of the eight ways listed in Table 155-7.

Further, subclause 155.3.3.7 'FAW, TS, and PS symbol removal' says 'The 400GBASE-ZR PMA receive path attains alignment lock to the 22-symbol FAW that is transmitted on each of the two transmission polarizations on the in-phase and quadrature-phase lanes.' and 'When the X and Y polarization symbol streams are identified and aligned to the superframe format of Figure 155-12, the FAW, TS, and PS symbols are removed ...'. As a result, it seems the X and Y polarizations identification is performed by the FAW lock function, and pilot removal occurs after the FAW lock function.

SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Suggest that the labels 'IX', 'QX', 'IY' and 'QY' be removed from below the 'ADC' block in Figure 155-10.
- [2] Suggest that the Pilot removal (X) Pilot removal (Y) block be removed from Figure 155-10
- [3] Suggest that the label 'Align CFEC and FAW/TS symbols (X) remove' be changed to read:

FAW alignment Remove FAW, PS, TS symbols

[4] Suggest that the label 'Align CFEC and FAW/TS symbols (Y) remove' be changed to read:

FAW alignment Remove FAW, PS, TS symbols

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 48 # 268

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Suggest that '... through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports ...' should read '... through a signal indication logic (SIL) function that reports ...'.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 49 # 269

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.2 '400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface' says that 'The PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is generated through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports signal health based on receipt of the PMD:IS_SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer, data being processed successfully by the signal processing functions, and symbols being sent to the PCS on all of the output lanes.' however subclause 156.5.4 'PMD global signal detect function' says that 'The PMD global signal detect function shall set the state of the SIGNAL_DETECT parameter to a fixed OK value.' and that 'The presence of a valid signal is determined only by the 400GBASE-ZR PCS (see 155.2.1).'. In addition, subclause 155.2.1 says 'The PCS Synchronization process continually monitors PMA:IS_IGNAL_indication(SIGNAL_OK). When SIGNAL_OK indicates OK, then the PCS synchronization process accepts the streams of symbols via the PMA:IS_UNITDATA_i.indication primitive.'.

Based on the signal indication logic (SIL) contained in the PMA sublayer described in subclause 155.3.2, and subclause 155.2.1 describing only the use of the SIGNAL_DETECT parameter in the PCS sublayer, it doesn't seem correct to say in subclause 156.5.4 that a valid signal is determined only by the PCS sublayer. And based on subclause 156.5.4 setting the SIGNAL_DETECT parameter of the PMD:IS_SIGNAL.indication to a fixed 'OK' value, it doesn't seem correct to say that the SIL will report signal health based on the PMD:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive since it is fixed.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

- [1] The PMD:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is disconnected from the SIL box in figure 155-10 and is shown as not used by the PMA sublayer.
- [2] In subclause 155.3.2 the text '... reports signal health based on receipt of the PMD:IS_SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer, data being processed successfully by the signal ...' be changed to read '... reports signal health based on data being processed successfully by the signal ...'.
- [3] In subclause 156.5.4 the text 'The presence of a valid signal is determined only by the 400GBASE-ZR PCS (see 155.2.1).' should be changed to read 'The presence of a valid signal is determined only by the SIL function in the PMA (see 155.3.2).'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 55 L 11 # 270

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

While sub-frames 1 and 48 are annotated with 3 and 0 in P0, sub-frames 0 doesn't have this annotation. In addition, it isn't clear what the 3 to 0 signifies, perhaps that each DP-16QAM symbol has four components, but subclause 155.3.3.3 (page 54, line 29) says 'For each polarization, the stream of Gray mapped, interleaved symbols are assembled into a frame format suitable for transmission over ...' which seems to imply a sperate frame for each polarization.

SuggestedRemedy

Either remove the 3 to 0 annotation for sub-frames 1 and 48 or add to sub-frames 0 and define the meaning.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 55 L 25 # 271

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' says 'The super-frame and sub-frame formats are shown in Figure 155-12.', however the title of Figure 155-12 'Transmission frame and sub-frame organization and bit ordering' and there doesn't seem to be any illustration of a super-frame.

SugaestedRemedy

- [1] Suggest the title of Figure 155-12 be changed to read 'Super-frame and sub-frame organization and bit ordering'.
- [2] Suggest that the transmission order of the sub-frame and sub-frames to from a super-frame be added to the figure.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 P 57 L 8 # 272 SC 155.3.3.3.3

Hewlett Packard Enterprise Law, David

Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.3.3.3 'Pilot sequence (PS)' says that 'The seed is reset at the start of every sub-frame ...'. Isn't it the generator that is reset at the start of every sub-frame using the seed value?

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text 'The seed is reset at the start of every sub-frame, so that the same ...' be changed to read 'The generator is initialized using the seed at the start of every subframe, so that the same ...'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 P 57 L 8 # 273 SC 155.3.3.3.3

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR

Comment Status A rewrite bucket

There is no specification of how the PRBS10 sequence is mapped to 16QAM symbols. From review of Table 155-6 it appears that the generator in Figure 155-13 is used to produce 232 bits. The even bits are mapped to the in-phase component of the 16QAM symbol, odd bits mapped to the quadrature-phase component of the 16QAM symbol, with a 0 mapped to a '-3' and a 1 mapped to a '3'.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the second paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.3 be changed to read:

The seed is reset at the start of every sub-frame, so that the same 116 symbols, [P0,P115] are inserted into every sub-frame of the same polarization. For each polarization X and Y, the generator produces 232 bits PRBS[231:0] that are mapped to 116 16QAM symbols,

[P0, ...,P115]

where for i = 0 to 115,

- PSBR[2i] maps to the in-phase (I) component of the 16QAM symbol [Pi] for the respective polarization
- PSBR[2i+1] maps to the quadrature-phase (Q) component of the 16QAM symbol [Pi] for the respective polarization

and where.

- 0 maps to -3 for the respective 16QAM symbol component
- 1 maps to +3 for the respective 16QAM symbol component

The generator polynomial and seed values are listed in Table 155-6 and the complete PS sequence is shown in Table 155-6.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 P 57 # 274 C/ 155 P 58 L 30 SC 155.3.3.3.3 L 10 SC 155.3.3.4 # 277 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Е Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Since the abbreviation 'PS' is 'pilot sequence' the text '... PS sequence ...' expands to '... The title of subclause 155.3.3.4 is '16QAM encode and signal drivers' however I don't think pilot sequence sequence ...'. IEEE P802.3cw specifies a physical instantiation of the PMD service interface, and I don't see any text related to signal drivers in subclause 155.3.3.4. Perhaps it would be better to SuggestedRemedy reference the DAC (see Figure 155-10) to parallel the title of subclause 155.3.3.5 below. Suggest the text '... the complete PS sequence is ...' be changed to read '... the complete SuggestedRemedy PS is ...'. Suggest that the title of subclause 155.3.3.4 is changed to read '16QAM encode and DAC'. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 P 57 L 12 # 275 SC 155.3.3.3.3 C/ 155 P 59 SC 155.3.3.7 L 41 # 278 Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Hewlett Packard Enterprise Law, David Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Add an arrow head to the line from P8, P4 and P3 where they connect to the XOR logic operator symbol. Suggest that '... frames with minimum interpacket ...' should read '... frames with a minimum interpacket ...'. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment. See comment. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. See response to comment #346. P 59 C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.7 L 42 # 279 C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3 P 57 L 33 # 276 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket Ε Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Subclause 155.3.3.6 'Receive signal processing' says 'Implementations are required to There appear to be two separate tables number 155-6, the first labelled 'Table 155-5-PS have a frame loss ratio (see 1.4.275) of less than 1.7 x 10-12 for 64-octet frames with generator polynomial and seed values', the second labelled 'Table 155-6-PS'. minimum interpacket gap when additionally processed according to this clause.'. It's not clear what the additionally processed is in reference to as there is no other processing SuggestedRemedy referenced. [1] Suggest that the second Table 155-6 'PS' be renumbered to be 155-7, with subsequent SuggestedRemedy tables renumbered, and its title should be [2] Suggest that the title of the second Table 155-6 should be changed from 'PS' to read Suggest that '... when additionally processed according to this clause.' should read '... 'Pilot sequence'. when processed according to this clause.'. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

See response to comment #346.

Comment ID 279

Page 65 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

bucket

 CI 155
 SC 155.4.2.1
 P 60
 L 26
 # 280

 Law, David
 Hewlett Packard Enterprise

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status
 A
 rewrite bucket

Assuming this is a boolean variable, suggest this should be noted in the variable description, as with other boolean variables.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that 'A variable set by the ...' should read 'A boolean variable set by the ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 L 29 # 281

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The description of the 'pma_enable_deskew' variable says 'A boolean variable that enables and disables the PMA deskew process.'. Is this correct as 'pma_enable_deskew' is an output of the Figure 155 15 'PMA deskew state diagram' that doesn't appear to be used anywhere else.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the description of the 'pma_enable_deskew' variable should be changed to read 'A Boolean variable that set to true when deskew is enabled and set to false when deskew is disabled. Received symbols may be discarded whenever deskew is enabled.'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 L 30 # 282

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Since Boolean is named after George Boole, I believe that it should always be Boolean (and not boolean).

SuggestedRemedy

Change all instances of 'boolean' to 'Boolean'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1

P 60

L 40

283

Law, David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The description of the 'reset' variable says that it is 'A boolean variable that controls the resetting of the PCS and PMA sublayers' and that 'It is true whenever a reset is necessary including when reset is initiated from the MDIO ... and when the MDIO has put the PCS and PMA sublayers into low-power mode.'.

The PMA and PCS are separate MMDs (see Table 45-1). The PMA/PMD reset bit is 1.0.15 and the low power bit is 1.0.11, both found in PMA/PMD control 1 register. The PCS reset bit is 3.0.15 and the low power bit is 3.0.11, both found in the PCS control 1 register. Since these registers are in separate MMDs, and since their state is not communicate across the PMA service interface, the PMA and PCS resets can operate independently.

SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Rename the 'reset' variable used in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to be 'pma reset'.
- [2] Rename the 'reset' variable used in Figure 155-15 'PMA deskew state diagram' to be 'pma reset'.
- [3] Rename the 'reset' variable used in Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram' to be 'pcs' reset'.
- [4] Rename the 'reset' variable defined in subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' to be 'pma_reset' and change the description to read 'A Boolean variable that controls the resetting of the PMA sublayer. It is true whenever a reset is necessary including when reset is initiated from the MDIO, during power on, and when the MDIO has put the PMA sublayer into low-power mode.
- [5] Add a definition of the 'pcs_reset' variable to subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' with the description 'A Boolean variable that controls the resetting of the PCS sublayer. It is true whenever a reset is necessary including when reset is initiated from the MDIO, during power on, and when the MDIO has put the PCS sublayer into low-power mode.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

rewrite bucket

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 L 44 # 284

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The description of the 'signal_ok' variable says 'A boolean variable that is set based on the most recently received value of PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication(SIGNAL_OK).' however that is generated by the PMA, see last paragraph of subclause 155.3.2 400GBASE-ZR 'PMA service interface'.

SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Rename the 'signal_ok' variable used in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to be 'pma signal ok'.
- [2] Rename the 'signal_ok' variable used in Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram' to be 'pcs_signal_ok'.
- [3] Rename the 'signal_ok' variable defined in subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' to be 'pcs_signal_ok' and change the description to read 'A Boolean variable that is set based on the most recently received SIGNAL_OK parameter of the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primative. It is true if the value was OK and false if the value was FAIL.'.
- [4] Add a new variable 'pma_signal_ok' with the description 'A Boolean variable that is set by the signal indication logic (see 155.3.2.). It is true when symbols received from the PMD are being processed successfully by the signal processing, false otherwise.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 L 44 # 285

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' says 'The PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is generated through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports signal health based on ... symbols being sent to the PCS on all of the output lanes.'. The SIGNAL_OK parameter of the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is, however, used to derive the signal_ok variable (page 60, line 45) which is used as an 'open arrow' entry condition to the 'LOCK_INIT' state of the Figure 155-14 Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram.

As a result, it appears that if the SIGNAL_OK parameter is ever set to FAIL, setting 'signal_ok' to FALSE, the figure 155-14 Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram will enter the 'LOCK_INIT' state. I assume this will mean that symbols will not be sent to the PCS since the PMA will not have FAW alignment. This in turn will mean the condition 'symbols being sent to the PCS' for the SIL to set the SIGNAL_OK parameter to OK will not be met.

The PMA will then be locked in this condition permanently. The SIL cannot set the SIGNAL_OK parameter to OK until symbols are sent to the PCS. Yet symbols won't be sent to the PCS until the SIGNAL OK parameter is set to OK.

SuggestedRemedy

Please clarify the operation of the signal indication logic. Suggest, based on Figure 155-10, and the dotted line from the 'Carrier phase recovery block to the SIL, that the 'signal_ok' variable used by the Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram should be based on the status of the blocks below the 'Pilot removal' blocks while the SIGNAL_OK parameter sent to the PCS should also use the FAW alignment status.

See also my other comment suggest separate 'pma_signal_ok' and 'pcs_signal_ok' variables.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 60 L 48 # 286

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The description of the 'restart_lock' variable says 'A boolean variable that is set by the frame alignment word (FAW) lock process to reset the synchronization process on all PMA lanes. It is set to TRUE when 15 FAWs in a row fail to match (15_BAD state).' While the restart_lock variable is used in the frame alignment word (FAW) lock process described in Figure 155-14, it is also used in the Alignment marker lock process described in Figure 155-16.

SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Rename all instances of the 'restart_lock' variable used in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to be 'pma_restart_lock'.
- [2] Rename all instances of the 'restart_lock' variable used in Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram' to be 'pcs restart lock'.
- [3] Rename 'restart_lock' variable in subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' to be 'pma restart lock'.
- [4] Add a definition of the 'pcs_restart_lock' variable to subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 287

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The description of the 'faw_valid' variable says 'The FAW consists of one of the sequences listed in Table 155-3.' but then 'The sequence is considered to be valid if at least 36 bits match the 44 known bits of the FAW pattern described in 155.3.3.3.1.'. The sequence listed in Table 155-3, and the candidate sequences received over the PMD service interface, are both 22 DP-16QAM symbols, not 44 bits. Based on slide 4 of the contribution 'faw valid analysis' from Mike Sluyski

https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22_0523/sluyski_3cw_01a_220523.pdf#page=4 referencing a 'QPSK FAW' value of 44 in the spreadsheet, I assume the reference to 36 bits matching the 44 known bits should be to 36 16QAM symbols matching the 44 16QAM symbols (which form the 22 DP-16QAM symbol FAW sequence), defined in Table 155-3.

Additionally, isn't it the case that the four components of the DP-16QAM symbols of the candidate 22 symbol block received over the four-lane PMD service interface can be mapped to the four lanes in any of eight ways defined in Table 155-7? If that is the case, suggest that this is also addressed in the description of the 'faw valid' variable.

SugaestedRemedy

Suggest that the 'faw valid' variable description should be changed to read:

A Boolean variable that is set to true if the candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block received over the four-lane PMD service interface is a valid FAW sequence. The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is compared to the FAW sequence defined in Table 155-3, considering all permitted PMD service interface lanes mappings defined in Table 155-7. The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is considered to be a valid FAW sequence if at least 36 of its component 16QAM symbols match, in value, sequence position, and the 44 known 16QAM symbols of the FAW sequence defined in Table 155-3.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

rewrite bucket

rewrite bucket

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 288

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The definition of the 'faw_valid' variable says '... set to true if the received 22-symbol block is a valid FAW.'. According to the super-frame format defined in subclause 155.3.3.3 the 22 FAW symbols are transmitted over a total of 23 symbols, as Pilot Sequence index P1 is inserted between the symbols faw<20> and faw<21> (see figure 155-12). As a result, a valid FAW will never be found in a received 22-symbol block, only in a received 23-symbol block after the 22nd symbol is deleted.

SuggestedRemedy

If needed, clarify the definition of the 'faw_valid' variable to account for the P1 symbol inserted between the faw<20> and faw <21> symbols.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 18 # 289

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' says that 'A super-frame is defined as including 175 616 payload symbols and 6272 additional symbols.' and that 'The first sub-frame of a super-frame includes ... a 22-symbol FAW (faw<0:21>) ... and 3488 payload symbols (m<0:3487>).' Based on this it seems that the FAW is not considered part of the payload.

SuggestedRemedy

Since the title of subclause 155.3.3.3.1 'Frame alignment word (FAW) sequence', suggest that the four instances of '... FAW payload ...' (page 61, lines 16, 18, 20 and 23) be changed to read '... FAW sequence ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 19 # 290

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The description of the variable 'current_pmal' says 'The PMA lane number is determined by the FAW payloads based on the mapping defined in 155.3.3.3.1.' and the description of the variable 'pma_lane' says 'The PMA lane number is determined by matching the received 22-symbol sequence to the values in one of the columns of Table 155-3 ...'. Subclause 155.3.3.3.1, nor Table 155-3, provide any lane numbers.

The PMA lane number is not referenced outside the state diagrams, other than in Table 155-9 where pma_lane_mapping<x> is mapped to register 3.400 through 3.403, which doesn't seem correct as these are PCS lane registers, not PMA lane registers (see my other comment on this). As a result, rather than add PMA lane numbers to subclause 155.3.3.3.1 and/or Table 155-3, suggest references to 'PMA lane numbers' be changed to 'PMA lane identifiers' with the values 'Ix', 'Qx', 'Iy' and 'Qy'. The state diagram can compare PMA lane identifiers to see if they match and can test for a unique PMA lane identifier for each PMA lane as easily as it can for PMA lane numbers.

In addition, the description of the 'faw_valid' variable says 'The sequence is considered to be valid if at least 36 bits match the 44 known bits of the FAW pattern described in 155.3.3.3.1.'. The description of the variable 'current_pmal' however says 'The PMA lane number is determined by the FAW payloads based on the mapping defined in 155.3.3.3.1.'. Similarly, the description of the variable 'pma_lane' says 'The PMA lane number is determined by matching the received 22-symbol sequence to the values in one of the columns of Table 155-3 ...'. Neither mention the '36 out 44' approach used for the 'faw valid' variable.

The 'current_pmal' description could imply a requirement for a full match to a column of Table 155-3, and the 'pma_lane' description requires a full match to a column of Table 155-3. Since the entry into states where 'current_pmal' is used is based on faw_valid = TRUE, doesn't this mean that the use of the '36 out 44' approach, which permits 8 16QAM symbols to not match, needs to be considered when determining 'current_pmal' and 'pma_lane'. As a worst-case example, couldn't a faw_valid = TRUE result from eight 16QAM symbols not matching due to errors on just one phase of just one of polarization. This would seem to imply that the compare for the values received on a lane with the columns of Table 155-3 also needs to permit eight values not matching.

In the case of 'current_pmal' and 'pma_lane', as there are only 22 values in a column of Table 155-3, it would seem a match would have to be valid if at least 14 values received on the lane match the 22 known values defined in a column to address the worst-case of all eight errors on one phase of one of polarization. It seems there may, however, be another approach to determine 'current_pmal' and 'pma_lane'. Doesn't the PMD lane mapping row selected from Table 155-7 to achieve faw_valid = TRUE inherently provide the 'current_pmal' and 'pma_lane' values (see my comment on faw_valid)?

Finally, as this variable is used by a state diagram within the PMA, which sits above the PMD, the text '... is recognized on a given lane of the PMA service interface.' should read '... is recognized on a given lane of the PMD service interface.'.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] Change the description of the first_pmal variable to read as follows (note my other comment to change the coherent signal labels in Table 155-7 would impact this item if accepted):

A variable that holds the PMA lane identifier corresponding to the first FAW sequence that is recognized on a given lane of the PMD service interface. It is compared to the PMA lane identifier corresponding to the next FAW payload that is tested. The PMA lane identifier is the value for the given lane in the row of Table 155-7 that defines the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence as described in the faw valid variable.

Values:

Ix: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is XI.

Qx: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is XQ.

ly: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is YI.

Qy: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is YQ.

[2] Change the description of the current_pmal variable to read as follows:

A variable that holds the PMA lane identifier corresponding to the current FAW sequence that is recognized on a given lane of the PMD service interface. It is compared to the variable first_pmal to confirm that the location of the FAW sequence has been detected. The PMA lane identifier is the value for the given lane in the row of Table 155-7 that defines the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence as described in the faw valid variable.

Values:

See first pmal.

[3] Change the description of the pma lane variable to read as follows:

pma lane

A variable that holds the PMA lane identifier received on lane x of the PMA service interface when faws_lock<x> = TRUE. The PMA lane identifier is determined by matching the received 22-symbol FAW sequence to the values in one of the columns of Table 155-3. The PMA lane identifier is the value for the given lane in the row of Table 155-7 that defines the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence as described in the faw valid variable.

Values:

See first pmal.

[4] Change all instances of '... PMA lane number ...' to '... PMA lane identifier ...'.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1

P 61

L 33

291

Law. David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

There are nine instances of 'super-frame' and two instances of 'DSP super-frame'. Suggest that one term is used consistently.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the two instances of '... DSP super-frame ...' (page 61, line 33 and page 63 and line 4) be changed to read '... super-frame ...'.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Comment ID 291

Page 70 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.2 P 62 L 28 # 292

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The description of the 'FAW_COMPARE' function in subclause 155.4.2.2 'Functions' says that 'If current_pmal and first_pmal both found a match and ... faw_match is set to true.'. Since faw_valid '... is considered to be valid if at least 36 bits match the 44 known bits of the FAW pattern ...'. I assume rather than a 'match', this really should say something along the lines of 'if at least 36 symbols of the current receive 22-symbol block match the 44 known bits of the FAW pattern'.

It however seems simpler to just add faw_valid is TRUE as a condition to enter the COMP state, which would become 'faw_counter_done * faw_valid', and have a path from the 'COUNT_2' state to the 'INVALID_FAW' state if 'faw_counter_done * !faw_valid' is FALSE. This would also mirror the similar use of the 'FAW_COMPARE' function in the 'COMP_2ND' state where the condition to transition to the state is 'faw_counter_done * faw_valid' and 'faw_counter_done * !faw_valid' results in a transition to the 'FAW_SLIP' state.

SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Change the text 'If current_pmal and first_pmal both found a match and indicate the same PMA lane number, faw_match is set to true' in the description of the FAW_COMPARE function to read 'If current_pmal and first_pmal indicate the same PMA lane number, faw_match is set to true'.
- [2] Change the condition on the transition from the 'COUNT_2' state to the 'COMP' state in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to read 'faw counter done * faw valid'.
- [3] Add a transition from the 'COUNT_2' state to the 'INVALID_FAW' state in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' that reads 'faw_counter_done * !faw valid'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.3 P 62 L 40 # 293

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.4.2.3 'Counters' defines the 'cw_bad_count' counter, however this counter is not reference anywhere else in the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the 'cw bad count' counter definition.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 63 L 7 # 294

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

rewrite bucket

As the PMA is 'above' the PMD, the PMA would detect alignment in the symbols for a given lane of the PMD service interface.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text '... the PMA service interface.'. to read '... the PMD service interface.'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 63 L 12 # 295

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Subclause 155.4.2.4 'State diagrams' says that 'The PCS shall implement the alignment marker lock process as shown in Figure 155-16 to identify the AM sequence at the start of each 400GBASE-ZR frame by observing data from the SC-FEC decoder output.', however Figure 155-2 (page 35, line 20) shows the 'AM/OH detect & removal' block after the 'CRC32 checking' block and subclause 155.2.5.7 'AM and OH detect and removal' says '.... after removal of CRC32. MBAS, and pad. ...'.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text '... by observing data from the SC-FEC decoder output.' be changed to read '... by observing data from the CRC32 check and error marking output.'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 3 # 296

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Based on the description of the 'faw_valid' variable, and slide 4 of the contribution 'faw valid analysis' from Mike Sluyski

https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22_0523/sluyski_3cw_01a_220523.pdf#page=4 referencing a 'QPSK FAW' value of 44, it seems a valid FAW sequence can only be detected across all four lanes. As a result, it will only be possible to achieve FAW lock on all lanes, or no lanes. There is no case where some lanes can be FAW locked, and others are not. There, therefore, seems no need to have four instances of the Frame alignment word lock state diagram (page 63, line 3). If there were, they wouldn't operate independently on each lane (page 63, line 5), and instead would operate in lock step.

It therefore seems that the four Frame alignment word lock state diagram can be collapsed in to one if the first_pmal and current_pmal variables hold the mapping number found in table 155-7 to achieve faw_valid rather than the lane number. The PMA deskew state diagram can then be removed.

SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Delete the variables 'pma_alignment_valid', 'all_locked', and PMA_lane_mapping<x> from subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' and Figure 155-14.
- [2] Change the description of the 'faws lock<x>' variable (page 61, line 1) to read:

faws lock

A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW.

- [3] Change the description of the faw valid as suggested in my comment about faw valid.
- [4] Change the description of the first_pmal to read (this overrides my other comment about first_pmal):

A variable that holds the PMA lane mapping number found in the first column of Table 155-7 corresponding to the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the first FAW sequence. It is compared to the PMA lane mapping number corresponding to the next FAW payload that is found.

[5] Change the description of the current_pmal to read (this overrides my other comment about current_pmal):

A variable that holds the PMA lane mapping number found in the first column of Table 155-7 corresponding to the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence. It is compared to the variable first_pmal to confirm that the location of the FAW sequence has been detected.

[6] Change all instances of '... PMA lane number ...' to '... PMA lane mapping number ...'.

- [7] Change the text '... of the next FAW on a PMA lane.' to read '... of the next FAW.' in the 'faw_counter' description.
- [8] Change the first paragraph of subclause 155.4.2.4 'State diagrams' to read 'The PMA shall also implement the deskew process as shown in Figure 155-14.
- [9] Delete the second paragraph of subclause 155.4.2.4.
- [10] Add the assignment 'pma_align_status <= FALSE' to the 'LOCK_INIT' state of Figure 155-14.
- [14] Add the assignment 'pma_align_status <= TRUE' to the '2_GOOD' state of Figure 155-14.
- [15] Delete Figure 155-15.
- [16] Change the 'Value/Comment' filed of PICS item SM1 in subclause 155.7.4.4 'State diagrams' to read 'Meets the requirements of Figure 155-14'.
- [17] Delete the SM2 row from subclause 155.7.4.4 and renumber following items.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 15 # 297

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The 'slip_done' variable assigned to FALSE in the GET_BLOCK state of the Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram is not defined. Suspect it should read 'faw_slip_done' so that it is set to FALSE before the FAW_SLIP function, which sets it TRUE, is called in the FAW_SLIP state.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text 'slip_done <= FALSE' in the GET_BLOCK state in Figure 155-14 to read 'faw slip done <= FALSE'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 297

Page 72 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 19 # 298

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

There is no definition of the 'prev_pmal' variable used in the 'INVALID_FAW' state of figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram', and there is no use or reference to the 'prev_pmal' variable elsewhere in the IEEE P802.3cw draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the assignment ' prev_pmal <= prev_pmal + 4) mod 252' from the 'INVALID_FAW' state.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 19 # 299

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The description of the 'first_pmal' variable says it '... the PMA lane number that corresponds to the first FAW payload ...' however, it is updated by the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' every cycle through the '2_GOOD' and 'GOOD_FAW' states. With that said, the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' in the '2_GOOD' and 'GOOD_FAW' states appear to be redundant since the only way to enter these states is if 'faw_match' is TRUE and for 'faw_match' to be TRUE the first_pmal and current_pmal variables have to be equal (see FAW_COMPARE function, page 62, line 28).

SuggestedRemedy

Consider removing the assignment 'first_pmal <= current_pmal' from the '2_GOOD' and 'GOOD FAW' states.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4

P 64

L 22

300

Law, David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.4.2.3 'Counters' defines the 'faws_bad_count' whereas the Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' uses 'faw_bad_count' ('faw' vs 'faws').

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The transition from the 'INVALID_FAW' state to the '15_BAD' state be changed to read 'faws bad count = 15'.

[2] The transition from the 'INVALID_FAW' state to the 'COUNT_2' state be changed to read 'faws bad count < 15'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 24 # 301

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The 'restart_lock' variable is set to TRUE on entry to the '15_BAD' state. This will cause the state diagram to transition to the 'LOCK_INIT' state because 'restart_lock' is one of the OR conditions in the 'open arrow' entry to that state. The actions in the 'LOCK_INIT' state will be executed, but since 'restart_lock' remains set to TRUE, and 'open arrow' transitions are evaluated continuously whenever any state is evaluating its exit conditions (see 21.5.3), on exit the state diagram will loop back to the 'LOCK_INIT' state. The state diagram will then be locked in this loop permanently.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that either the action 'restart_lock <= FALSE' be added to the 'LOCK_INIT' state or the 'restart_lock' be deleted and a 'UCT' be added from the '15_BAD' state to the 'LOCK_INIT' state.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 41 # 302 **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Law, David Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Complete the line under '2 GOOD'. SuggestedRemedy See comment. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 / 42 # 303 C/ 155 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket The variable 'PMA lane mapping' in the 2 GOOD state of the Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram should read 'pma lane mapping' based on the definition in

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text 'PMA_lane_mapping<x> <= current_pmal' in the 2_GOOD state in Figure 155-14 to read 'pma_lane_mapping<x> <= current_pmal'.

Response Status C

subclause 155.4.2.1 (page 61, line 34).

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 48 # 304

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Since the title of Figure 155-15 is 'PMA deskew state diagram' suggest that PMA should be added to the title of Figure 155-14 and PCS to the title of Figure 155-16.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

- [1] The title of Figure 155-14 should be changed to read 'PMA Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram'.
- [2] The title of Figure 155-16 should be changed to read 'PCS Alignment marker lock state diagram'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 66 L 8 # 305

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

There are two instances of amps_lock and one of amps_lock<x> in figure 155-16
Alignment marker lock state diagram. Since subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says '...
400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes ...', and since subclause
155.4.2.1 'Variables' defines amps_lock without an index, it seems that 'amps_lock<x>' should read 'amps_lock'.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 'amps lock<x> <= FALSE' in the LOCK INIT state to read 'amps lock <= FALSE'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 66 L 11 # 306

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The figure 155-16 PCS alignment marker lock state diagram uses the variable 'pma_align_status', however that variable is generated by the figure 155-14 PMA frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram, and it is not passed across the PMA service interface from the PMA to the PCS. As a result, it is not available to be used in the figure 155-16 PCS alignment marker lock state diagram.

Suggest that 'pma_align_status' being 'TRUE' be used as a condition to set the SIGNAL_OK parameter of the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive to OK and therefore communicate it across the PMA service interface. Since 'signal_ok', derived from the SIGNAL_OK parameter, is already used as an 'open arrow' entry to the 'LOCK_INIT' state of the figure 155-16 PCS alignment marker lock state diagram, 'pma_align_status' can be deleted as an exit condition from that state.

SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Add 'pma_align_status' being 'TRUE' as a condition to set the SIGNAL_OK parameter of the PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive to OK in subclause 155.3.2 '400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface'
- [2] Delete that exit condition 'pma_align_status' from the LOCK_INIT state in figure 155-16.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 66 L 18 # 307 **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Law, David Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Typo, amps ... should be amp ... based on counter definition, see page 62, line 37. SuggestedRemedy Change the action 'amps bad count <= 0' to read 'amp bad count <= 0' in the 'GOOD AM' state of the Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram'. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 66 L 24 # 308

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The 'restart_lock' variable is set to TRUE on entry to the '5_BAD' state. This will cause the state diagram to transition to the 'LOCK_INIT' state because 'restart_lock' is one of the OR conditions in the 'open arrow' entry to that state. The actions in the 'LOCK_INIT' state will be executed, but since 'restart_lock' remains set to TRUE, and 'open arrow' transitions are evaluated continuously whenever any state is evaluating its exit conditions (see 21.5.3), on exit the state diagram will loop back to the 'LOCK_INIT' state. The state diagram will then be locked in this loop permanently.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that either the action 'restart_lock <= FALSE' be added to the 'LOCK_INIT' state or the 'restart_lock' be deleted and a 'UCT' be added from the '5_BAD' state to the 'LOCK_INIT' state.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 66 L 39 # 309

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Complete the line under '2 GOOD'.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.5 P 67 L 3 # 310

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Strictly speaking, protocol agnostic management 'objects' are defined in Clause 30, with protocol specific 'objects' defined in IEEE Std 802.3.1 and IEEE Std 802.3.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Since the title of subclause 45.2 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 is 'MDIO Interface registers', suggest that the text 'The following objects apply ...' in subclause 155.5 ne changed to read 'The following registers apply ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346

CI 155 SC 155.5 P 67 L 10 # 311

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.5 '400GBASE-ZR PCS and PMA management' uses the term 'provided' yet the following subclause 155.5.1 'PCS and PMA MDIO function mapping' uses 'implemented' about the MDIO interface.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that in subclause 155.5 '400GBASE-ZR PCS and PMA management' the text 'If an MDIO interface is provided ...' is changed top read 'If an MDIO interface is implemented ...'

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.5.1 P 68 L 27 # 312

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Register bits 3.52.3:0 (IEEE Std 802.3-2022 subclause 45.2.3.25) are PCS lane alignment lock status registers, yet they are mapped to PMA lane alignment lock variables (faw_lock<3:0>). Similarly, register bit 3.50.12 is the PCS alignment status, yet it is mapped to the PMA alignment status variable (pma_align_status).

If there was a 400GBASE-ZR framing issue on a link where the PMA framing was operating correctly, the faws_lock<3:0> bits and the pma_align_status would all be true based on the respective frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagrams, while the PCS would not be aligned based on the alignment marker lock state diagram. In that case, the current regsiter mapping would indicate that all the PCS lanes were aligned, and the overall PCS was aligned, when in fact this is not the case. This would seem to be misleading information to provide in the management registers in such a case.

Further, register 3.400 (IEEE Std 802.3-2022 subclause 45.2.3.49) through 3.419 are the 'PCS lane mapping registers, lanes 0 through 19' and these registers report the PCS lane number provide by the alignment marker for the respective PMA service interface lane. Table 155-9, however, maps these PCS lane mapping registers to the PAM lane mapping variable 'pma_lane_mapping<x>' output by Figure 155-14, the 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram'.

Subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says 'The first 1920 bits of the frame contain alignment markers (AM).' and that 'These are identical to the 16 x 120b markers defined for 400GBASE-R in 119.2.4.4.2.'. Since the 16 different 400GBASE-R PCS lane alignment markers are all placed in a single 400GBASE-ZR alignment marker (see 155.2.4.4.1) it seems that 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes. This seems to be confirmed in subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' which says '... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes ...'. As a result, there are no PCS lanes across the PMA service interface, therefore there is no PCS lane alignment lock status nor PCS Lane mapping.

Finally, register bits 3.52.3:0, 3.50.12, and 3.400 through 3.403, which are all PCS register bits defined for MMD 3 (see IEEE Std 802.3-2022 Table 45-1), are mapped to variables found in the PMA. As illustrated in Figure 120A-9 (page 103), MMD 3 does not have access to the PMA (or PMD) as they are in MMD 1.

Based on the above, suggest that two new subclauses are added to say that registers 3.52, 3.53 and 3.400 through 3.403 are not used by the 400GBASE-ZR PCS because the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface. Require all PCS lane alignment bits to be set to zero. The content of the PCS lane mapping registers does not need to be defined because their content is only valid when the respective PCS lane alignment bit is set to one. In addition, suggest that the PCS lane alignment status bit be mapped from the 'amps_lock' variable generated by the Figure 155-16. the PCS alignment marker lock state diagram.

Suggested changes:

- [1] Delete the antepenultimate row of Table 155-9.
- [2] Add a new subclause 155.5.1 as follows:

155.5.1 PCS lane alignment registers

The PCS lane alignment registers (registers 3.52 and 3.53) are not used as the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface (see 155.2.4.3). A 400GBASE-ZR PCS shall return a zero for all bits in these registers.

- [3] Change the variable 'pma_align_status' in the 'ZR-PCS/PMA variable' column of the penultimate row of Table 155-9 to 'amps_lock'.
- [4] Delete the last row of Table 155-9.
- [5] Add a new subclause 155.5.2 as follows:

155.5.2 PCS lane mapping registers

The PCS lane mapping registers (registers 3.400 through 3.419) are not used as the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 156 SC 156.1.1 P 74 L 41 # 313

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Subclause '156.1.1 Bit error ratio' says '... for 64-octet frames with minimum interpacket gap when additionally processed by the CFEC (Clause 155).'. The text '... the CFEC (Clause 155)' seems to imply a function but isn't CFEC '... a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC' to quote subclause 155.2.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text '... for 64-octet frames with minimum interpacket gap when additionally processed by the CFEC (Clause 155).' should be changed to read '... '... for 64-octet frames with a minimum interpacket gap after CFEC error correction (see 155.2.1).'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 91.

SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 313

Page 76 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

rewrite bucket

C/ 156 SC 156.1.1 P 74 L 41 # 314

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Suggest that '... frames with minimum interpacket ...' should read '... frames with a minimum interpacket ...'.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 91.

C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 74 L 52 # 315

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Suggest that '... PMA entity that resides just above the PMD, and the PMD entity.' should read '... PMA sublayer that resides just above the PMD, and the PMD sublayer.'.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 14 # 316

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause '155.3.3 Functions within the PMA' says that 'The purpose of the PMA is to adapt between the PCS layer digital symbols to and from the four analog signals ...' and subclause 155.3.3.4 '16QAM encode and signal drivers' says that '... stream of symbols is converted to four analog signals ...' and that 'The analog signals are sent to the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer over the PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_3.request sublayer signals.'. It, therefore, appears that the PMD service interface is a set of analogue signals. Finally, Figure 155-10 shows a DEC block above the PMD service interface.

Subclause 156.2 'Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) service interface', however, says ' In the transmit direction, the PMA continuously sends four analog streams to the PMD ... with binary values of 3, 1, -1, and -3 using the PMD:IS_UNITDATA_i.request primitive.'. Is it correct to say '... with binary values ...'.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] Suggest that in subclause 156.2 (page 75, line 14) the text '... X and Y polarizations with binary values of 3, 1, -1, and -3 using the ...' should be changed to read '... X and Y polarizations with the values of 3, 1, -1, and -3 using the ...'.

[2] Suggest that in subclause 156.5.2 (page 77, line 39) the text '... X and Y polarizations with binary values of 3, 1, -1, and -3.' should be changed to read '... X and Y polarizations with the values of 3, 1, -1, and -3.'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

rewrite bucket

Cl 156 SC 156.3.2 P 75 L 46 # 317

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Subclause 156.3.2 'Skew constraints' says that 'The Skew (relative delay) between the lanes is kept within limits so that the information on the FEC lanes can be reassembled by the FEC.'. On review of Clause 155, 400GBASE-ZR doesn't seem to mention FEC lanes anywhere else. Further, subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says '... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes ...'. As far as I can see, the 8-bit PMA service interface carries an 8-bit word that describes an DP-16QAM symbols based on the mapping defined in Table 155-2. As a result, the only lanes seem to be the PMD service interface which has four lanes which carry four analogue streams representing the inphase and quadrature-phase component of the two polarizations (page 75, line 13).

Table 156-6 specifies a maximum polarization skew of 5 ps (page 82, line 45) and a maximum quadrature skew is 0.75 ps (page 83, line 6). Subclause 156.3.2, however, says The Skew at SP3 (the transmitter MDI) shall be less than 54 ns and the Skew Variation at SP3 is limited to 600 ps'. I suspect that the former values are correct. And based on this, assuming no retiming in the PMD, the other values in subclause 156.3.2 don't seem correct either.

SuggestedRemedy

Since 400GBASE-ZR doesn't seem to support FEC lanes, and says it doesn't support PCS lanes, suggest that subclause 156.3.2 is deleted.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 156 SC 156.4 P 76 L 38 # 318

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status R

There is no description of how the PMD_global_signal_detect variable, defined in subclause 156.4, should be driven. Subclause 156.5.4 'PMD global signal detect function' says that SIGNAL_DETECT is set to a fixed OK value, hence there is in effect no signal detect to report in the PMD.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The PMD_global_signal_detect row in Table 156-3 (page 76, line 38) should be deleted. [2] A change to subclause 45.2.1.9.7 'Global PMD receive signal detect (1.10.0)' be added to the draft that adds 'This bit is not supported by the 400GBASE-ZR PMDs.' to subclause 45.2.1.9.7.

Response Status C

REJECT.

There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change at this time.

Cl 156 SC 156.4 P 76 L 40 # 319

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

There are no references to describe the use of the variables Tx_index_ability_0 to Tx_index_ability_63 and Rx_index_ability_0 to Rx_index_ability_63 defined in Table 156–3 in the draft. What happens if a value is selected in Tx optical channel index or Rx optical channel index register (page 76, line 25) corresponding to an index value in the Tx index ability 0 to Tx index ability 63 or Rx index ability 0 to Rx index ability 63 registers, respectively, that is false. Is the write to the Tx optical channel index or Rx optical channel index register ignored and operation continues on the existing value? Or is the value accepted, but then transmission of reception ceases, as the index value is not supported?

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the last paragraph of 164.5, that already discusses Tx_optical_channel_index and the Rx_optical_channel_index be update the describe how Tx_optical_channel_index and the Rx_optical_channel_index interacts with the Tx_index_ability_0 to Tx index ability_63 and Rx index ability_0 to Rx index ability_63 variables.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

At new sentence at the end of 45.2.1.150.1 and 45.2.1.154.2

"The supported channel indices of the PMA/PMD are advertised in the PMA/PMD index ability registers. A PMA/PMD may ignore writes to the PMA/PMD channel index bits that select a channel it has not advertised in the PMA/PMD channel ability registers."

With editorial license.

C/ 156 SC 156.5.1 P77 L18 # 320

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Since subclause 156.5.4 'PMD global signal detect function' says that 'The PMD global signal detect function shall set the state of the SIGNAL_DETECT parameter to a fixed OK value.' it doesn't seem correct to show the SIGNAL_DETECT emanating from the 'Optical receiver' block in Figure 156-2 'Block diagram for 400GBASE-ZR transmit/receive paths'.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that SIGNAL DETECT be removed from Figure 156-2.

Response Status C

REJECT.

There was no consensus to make a change at this time.

C/ 156 SC 156.5.2

Е

P **77**

L 35

321

rewrite bucket

Law, David

Comment Type

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Status A

Rather than being requested by the PMD service interface messages, messages are passed across the PMD service interface, either from the PMA to the PMD or from the PMD to the PMA. In addition, abstract service interfaces pass data in the parameters of primitives. In the case of the inter-sublayer service interface primitives defined in subclause 116.3 referenced by IEEE P802.3cw, these parameters are tx_symbol (see 116.3.3.1.1) and rx_symbol (see 116.3.3.2.1).

SugaestedRemedy

Suggest:

- [1] The text ' The PMD Transmit function shall convert the four analog streams requested by the PMD service interface messages PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_3.request into ...' (page 77, line 35) should be changed to read ' The PMD Transmit function shall convert the four analog streams from the PMA passed across the PMD service interface in the tx_symbol parameters of the PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_3.request primitives into ...'.
- [2] The text ' The PMD Receive function shall convert the composite optical signal received from the MDI into four analog streams for delivery to the PMD service interface using the messages PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.indication to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_3.indication, all according ...' (page 77, line 45) should be changed to read 'The PMD Receive function shall convert the composite optical signal received from the MDI into four analog streams passed across the PMD service interface to the PMA in the rx_symbol parameters of the PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.indication to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_3.indication primitives, all according ...'.
- [3] The text 'The analog signals are sent to the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer over the PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_3.request sublayer signals.' in subclause 155.3.3.4 (page 58, line 33) is changed to read 'The four analog signals are passed across the PMD service interface to the PMD in the tx_symbol parameters of the PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.request to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_3.request primatives.'.
- [4] The text 'Four coherent signals IX, QX, IY, and QY are supplied by the receive function of the 400GBASE-ZR PMD and input to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA over the PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.indication to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_3.indication.' in subclause 155.3.3.5 (page 58, line 47) is changed to read 'Four coherent signals IX, QX, IY, and QY received by the PMD are passed across the PMD service interface to the PMA in the rx_symbol parameters of the PMD:IS_UNITDATA_0.indication to PMD:IS_UNITDATA_3.indication primitives.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 321

Page 79 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

bucket

C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 P 77 L 41 # 322

Subclause 156.5.2 'PMD transmit function' says 'The mapping of the analog values to the

symbol amplitudes is listed in Table 155-2.'. Is this correct. Table 155-2 seems to provide

the mapping between the 128-bit digital code word from the SD-FEC encoder to the in-

phase (I) and quadrature-phase (Q) components of the 16QAM symbols.

Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise**

Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type

C/ 156

Law, David

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Status A

324

L 52

The reference to the variable 'Rx optical frequency index' here and on page 81 line 44 should be to 'Rx optical channel index', see page 76, line 25.

P 79

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

SC 156.4

Т

Implement suggested remedies with editorial license

C/ 156 SC 156.4 P 79 L 52 # 325

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A bucket

bucket

bucket

The two references to the variable 'Tx optical frequency index' in this subclause should be to 'Tx optical channel index', see page 76, line 22.

SugaestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedies with editorial license

C/ 156 SC 156.4 P 79 L 53 # 326

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Status A The reference to the variable 'Tx Rx diff opt freg ability' should be to

'Tx Rx diff opt chan ability', see page 76, line 44.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

See comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Т

Implement suggested remedies with editorial license

SuggestedRemedy

Change reference if required

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 219

C/ 156 SC 156.6

P 78

L 49

323

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Law, David Comment Type T Comment Status A

Subclause 156.6 'The DWDM channel over a DWDM black link' says '... the medium associated with the 400GBASE-ZR PMD, over which the PHY operates at a single optical frequency ...'. Dpoesn't the PHY to operate over two different optical frequencies when the Tx Rx different optical channel ability is true?

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text '... over which the PHY operates at a single optical frequency ...' in subclause 156.6 be changed to read '... over which the PHY transmits at a single optical frequency ...'.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to "over which the PHY operates at a single optical frequency (often also referred to by its associated wavelength) on a defined frequency grid in each direction."

C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 84 # 327 C/ 156 P 83 L 34 SC 156.7.1 L 16 **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Law, David Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status A Subclause 156.8 '400GBASE-ZR DWDM black link transfer characteristics' says 'Some Transmit output power stability can't be negative clarification of the requirements in Table 156–8 is provided in informative Annex 156A, as SugaestedRemedy well as examples of compliant DWDM black links. however there don't appear to be any Remove the negative line clarification of the requirements in Table 156-8 in annexe 156A, just two examples of 400GBASE-ZR compliant DWDM black links. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Suggest that the text 'Some clarification of the requirements in Table 156-8 is provided in informative Annex 156A, as well as examples of compliant DWDM black links, in See responses to comments 353 and 354 subclause 156.8 be changed to read 'Some examples of compliant DWDM black links are provided in Annex 156A.'. C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 83 L 16 Response Response Status C Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell ACCEPT. Comment Type TR Comment Status A Transmit ouptut power stability max=1 dB does not define the time interval SC 156.6 C/ 156 P 79 L 10 # 328 SuggestedRemedy Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Ghiasi, Ali Is the time interval 1 us. 1 ms. 1 s. or 1 hour. Suggest that the power stability is measured Comment Type ER Comment Status R over 1 s period where optical power is sampled every 10 ms time interval. It would be helpful on figure 156-3 to also add TP2 0, TP2 n, TP3 0, and TP3 n Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE add TP2 0, TP2 n, TP3 0, and TP3 n Add footnote "Power stability is measured in time internals of greater than 100ms" Response Response Status C C/ 156 P 83 REJECT. SC 156.7.1 L 18 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell The 0 and n-1 PMDs connecting to TP2 and TP3 are included in the diagram. Figure matches same 100ZR figure in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 154.6 Comment Type TR Comment Status R Transmit ouptut power absolute accuracy has to be in dBm. Also not clear if this line # 329 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 35 remain dB what is different with power stability? Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status A Need discustions on the intent RRC is introudced for 1st time in table 156-6 with not reference Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Accuracy is measured in dB not dBm.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

Response

Add reference to 156.9.4

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 103

Response Status C

Comment ID 332

Page 81 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

330

331

332

C/ 156 SC 156.7 P 84 # 333 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.1 P 93 L 24 L 44 # 336 Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R Receive OSNR tolerance is not defined at point till one reads section 156.9.24 Assuming just 4 bits ENOB from 10 MHz to 29.9 MHz the reference receiver will have additional penalty than real receiver that has typically 6+ bits ENOB at low frequencies and SuggestedRemedy about 4 bits at high frequncy Please add reference to 156.9.24 SugaestedRemedy Response Response Status C If there is interest I can bring a frequency dependent ENOB mask REJECT. Response Response Status U REJECT All specifications in Tables 156-7, -8 and -9 including Receive OSNR tolerance are defined in 156.9 which is after the tables but consistent with multiple clauses in IEEE Std 802.3-No suggested remedy provided 2022. C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 48 # 337 C/ 156 SC 156.7 P 84 1 22 # 334 Ghiasi Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R For full interoperability using EVM may need additional constrains based on the data in The receiver must tolerate 26 dB OSNR and meet the required error rate, it is not clear what receive OSNR (min) of 29 dB provides rahn 3cw 01a 220223 and way 3cw 01a 220523 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Need more data to prove that EVM will provide the IEEE level of interoperability Need discustions on the intent Response Response Response Status U Response Status U REJECT. REJECT. No suggested remedy provided Receiver OSNR tolerance is measured without line immpairments, see 156.9.24, which is different than Receiver OSNR which includes line impairments, see 156.9.23 C/ 155 P 55 L 3 SC 155.1.5 # 338 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 P 95 L 3 # 335 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A The sentence says 400GBASE-Z PCS sublayer, but the figure is labeled and used as the 400GBASE-ZR PCS sublaver (also the "R" generally is used to refer to the BASE-R Improve definition of the FIR encoding used here.) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy The signal is equalized using an FIR filter with 15 T spaced equalizer with real taps. The change 155.1.5, page 34 line 3, to "400GBASE-ZR PCS sublayer" to agree with the figure sum of all taps is equal to 1, and the main tap is allowed to varry from tap 1 to tap 8.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status C

Change the first sentence of 156.10.1.2.6 to "The signal is equalized using a 15-tap, T-

spaced, feed-forward equalizer with real taps. The sum of all taps is equal to 1 and the

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

main tap is allowed to vary from tap 1 to tap 8."

Comment ID 338

Response Status C

Page 82 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

C/ 1 SC 1.5 P 18

L 21

339 C/ 155 CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

P 58

L 45

CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

341

Zimmerman, George Comment Type

Comment Status R

ADC is already used in IEEE Std 802.3 and is a well understood term. See later comments about use in this draft as well...

SuggestedRemedy

delete inserted abbreviation

Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The term "ADC" is used in the base standard as well as this document but is not in the base standard abbreviation list so consensus of the CRG was it should be added

C/ 1 SC 1.5 P 18

L 23

340

Zimmerman, George

CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

Comment Type T Comment Status R

DAC is already used in IEEE Std 802.3 and is a well understood term. This is only used in a figure, and without expansion in the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

REJECT.

delete inserted abbreviation

Response

Response Status C

The term "DAC" is used in the base standard as well as this document but is not in the base standard abbreviation list so consensus of the CRG was it should be added.

Zimmerman, George

Comment Type TR

Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

"The signals are sampled by an ADC on each lane at a sampling rate." "The details of the ADC, are implementation specific". This is a description of an implementation, not appropriate for an interoperability specification. If someone could do the signal processing optically, analog, or by magic, it would still comply with the standard. The fact that an ADC is used, isn't a part of the interoperability standard, or even any of the characteristics of the ADC. Hence the mention is inappropriate and should be deleted. The sentence works just fine anyways and describes the processing without the "by an ADC".

SuggestedRemedy

Change header of 155.3.5 to Receive signal sampling.

On line 50, Delete "by an ADC"

SC 155.3.3.5

Change line 54 to "The details of the sampling, including any quantization and the chosen sampling rate are implementation specific."

P 52

Replace "ADC" with "Sampler" in figure 155-10.

Response

C/ 155

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346

/ 28

342

SC 155.3.3.1 Zimmerman, George

CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"The received symbol signals are digitized into more than 4 discrete levels by the analog to digital converters (ADC) in the PMA sublaver and the number of bits for each signal is m/4 bits." This is a description of an implementation and is inappropriate for an interoperability standard. If some description is needed, one could rewrite this more generally, as is suggested in the remedy. Further, it appears that the "m/4 bits" is a detail that is unused in the draft (I searched). If it is used somewhere, please provide a pointer to where it is relevant. Otherwise delete the unnecessary detail which looks like a specification but isn't.

SuggestedRemedy

Preferably - delete the indicated sentence.

Alternatively, change the indicated sentence to read "The received symbol signals are sampled and quantized in the PMA sublaver."

If the m/4 bits is used somewhere, provide a reference.

Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 342

Page 83 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.5 P 58 L 45 # 343

CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma Zimmerman, George

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"The signals are sampled by an ADC on each lane at a sampling rate." "The details of the ADC, are implementation specific". This is a description of an implementation, not appropriate for an interoperability specification. If someone could do the signal processing optically, analog, or by magic, it would still comply with the standard. The fact that an ADC is used, isn't a part of the interoperability standard, or even any of the characteristics of the ADC. Hence the mention is inappropriate and should be deleted. The sentence works just fine anyways and describes the processing without the "by an ADC".

SuggestedRemedy

Change header of 155.3.5 to Receive signal sampling.

On line 50, Delete "by an ADC"

Change line 54 to "The details of the sampling, including any quantization and the chosen sampling rate are implementation specific."

Replace "ADC" with "Sampler" in figure 155-10.

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346. SC 155.3.1.3

P 49 # 344 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

/ 51

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Figure 155-10 is separated from the text which describes it, by the intervening description of the service interface

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 155

Beat on frame, and move the figure 155-10 be after 155.3.1.3 and before 155.3.2 (one way to do this may be forcing a page break before 155.3.2)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.3 P 51 L 26

CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

345

Zimmerman, George Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

This figure is supposed to be a functional block diagram, not an implementation diagram. There are no characteristics for the DAC blocks defined in the specification. The closest thing in the text is 155.3.3.4 which are called the 16QAM encode and signal drivers. However, most other 802.3 PHY clauses leave out signal drivers, DACs and the like, and there are no specific requirements in 155.3.3.4, so deleting the blocks seems the right approach to making a functional block diagram.

SuggestedRemedy

Preferably, delete the "DAC" blocks from Figure 155-10 (going straight to the output is fine) Alternatively, Relabel "16QAM Encoder and Signal Driver" (probably drawing as 2 blocks since you show I&Q paths)

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.7.4.1 P 70 L 24 # 346

CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma Zimmerman, George

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

This is a general comment on the requirements. I am attaching it to these PICS because this is where it became apparent. The style of IEEE SA standards (and IEEE Std 802.3) is that requirements use the term "shall". Each PICS item should have an associated "shall" and each "shall" should have a PICS. However, 155.7.4.1 is a list of the subclauses for the most part. Further, looking at the subclauses, they are largely without "shalls". Most of the items in clause 155 are descriptive of an implementation, and do not use the term shall. They use "is" or other descriptive language. The PICS are a list of the functional blocks described, but most of those functional blocks are lacking actual requirements. Instead they often describe an implementation or, worse yet, sometimes try to require a particular implementation ("an implementation shall"). What needs to happen is that the clause needs to be rewritten carefully considering what requirements are needed for interoperability, and deleting the unnecessary implementation description. This is a big job, and, in my opinion, means the draft is not technically complete, and should not have begun initial working group ballot. I truly regret having to make a comment like this, but I believe this is a great example of why we have working group ballots in 802.

SuggestedRemedy

Unfortunately, the draft is so far from complete that I cannot propose a specific remedy for the systematic problem. I can suggest that the TF look at each subblock, determine what the observed behavior is, determine which parts matter to interoperability, and write "shall" statements in the subclauses. Then those shall statements can be made as PICS. Additionally, this will highlight where there is implementation description that can be deleted. When this is done, restart working group ballot.

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

With editorial license, restructure and clarify Clause 155 and 156 as appropriate: to identify interoperability requirements using "SHALL" statements, as needed. to address issues noted in

https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22 10/dambrosia 3cw 01b 221018.pdf

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144b P 18

L 9

347

Zimmerman, George

CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The term 400GBASE-Z seems to only once in the specification, and there is no description of the "family" described in this definition. Further, based on where it is used appears to be in error. I only find it in connection with Figure 155-2 (page 35) in the sentence "A functional block diagram of the 400GBASE-Z PCS sublayer is shown in Figure 155-2". The figure itself calls this the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, and 400GBASE-ZR is used everywhere else. Suggest this definition may be left over from some earlier thought...

SuggestedRemedy

Delete 1.4.144b definition. Alternatively, add text to the draft (likely 155) explaining the general family and its members...

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 170

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.4 L 30

348

Maniloff, Eric Comment Type

Ciena Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

A figure showing the interleaving of the 4 OH instances would help clarify the OH structure.

P 40

SuggestedRemedy

Add a figure showing the interleaved OH mapping

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1

P 62 Ciena

L 1

349

Maniloff, Eric

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

A bad CW can be detected either by detecting errors after FEC decoding or by CRC errors. This should be clarified in the counter definition.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following to the definition of cw bad: An uncorrected codeword is detected if either errors remain after FEC correction or if the CRC32 check fails.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 349

Page 85 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

Cl 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 49 # 350

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec

SuggestedRemedy

Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Max Instantaneous)"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In Tables 156-6 and table 156-11 change "I-Q (max instantaneous)" to "Instantaneous I-Q offset per polarization (max)"

With editorial license

Cl 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 50 # 351

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec

SuggestedRemedy

Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Mean)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In Table 156-6 and table 156-11 change "I-Q (mean)" to "Mean I-Q offset per polarization (max)"

With editorial license

CI 156 SC 156.7.1 P 83 L 8 # 352

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In-band should not be capitalized

SuggestedRemedy change In to in

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1

P **82** Ciena L 30

353

Maniloff, Eric

Comment Type

_

TR Comment Status A

Limiting Adjacent channel crosstalk penalty requires a reduction in the power deltas between channels. To ensure this, adjustable power must be specified.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an entry "Adjustable Range of Tx Output Power" with Min limited to -13 to -9 dBm

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In table 156-6 change

Average channel output power (min) to -16.0 dBm

In table 156-6 add new parameter "Adjustable range of Tx output power" with a value of -13 to -9 dBm. Add note "The transmitter shall be provisionable within this power range. Provisioning outside this range is allowed provided the max and min limits are met."

In table 156-6 add new parameter "Minimum average channel power at maximum adjustable power setting" with a value of -10 dBm

Add related PICS to 156.13.4.4 Optical measurement methods

In 156.9 add new subclause "Adjustable range of Tx output power" with a definition of

"This field specifies the minimum range over which the Tx output power can be provisioned. The Tx power shall be provisionable up to the higher value of the adjustable range or greater, and down to the lower value of the adjustable range or lower.

When set to the highest provisionable power, the average Tx output power must be within the range defined by the min and max values of average channel output power as specified in Table 156-6."

In 156.9 add new subclause "Minimum average channel power at maximum adjustable power setting" with editorial for the definition.

With editorial license.

bucket

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 30 # 354 C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 13 # 356 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A When adding the Tx output power tuning, its accuracy should be defined as well Text for OSNR... should not be present SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Add an entry "Transmit output power control absolute accuracy" with Min = -1.0 dB and Delete text "for OSNR at TP3 (12.5 GHz)" Max = 1.0 dBResponse Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Table 156-8 change "Optical path OSNR penalty (max), for OSNR at TP3 (12.5 GHz)" to In table 156-6 add a new parameter "Transmit output power control absolute accuracy" "Optical path OSNR penalty (max)" with a value of +/-1 dB C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 87 L 8 # 357 In 156.9 add new subclause "Transmit output power control absolute accuracy" with Maniloff, Eric Ciena editorial for the definition. Comment Type E Comment Status A With editorial license. I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 18 # 355 SuggestedRemedy Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Max Instantaneous)" Maniloff, Eric Ciena Response Comment Type Response Status C Ε Comment Status A ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Text for OSNR... should not be present SuggestedRemedy See response to comment 350 Delete text "for OSNR at TP3 (12.5 GHz)" C/ 156 P 87 SC 156.9.1 L 10 # 358 Response Response Status C Maniloff, Eric Ciena ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A In Table 156-8 change "Average output power at TP3 (min): for OSNR at TP3 (12.5 GHz)" I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec to "Average output power at TP3 (min)" SuggestedRemedy Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Mean) Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment 351

CI 156 SC 156.9.5 P 88 L 1 # 359

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

This clause defines the transmit mask as following a RRC. The RRC definition should be included.

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Add an equation to 156.9.4 defining the RRC function and Beta used to define the mask, or a reference to a definition elsewhere in 802.3

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Е

Add footnote for RRC Roll-Off "Root raised cosine (RRC) is the square root of the raised cosine which is calculated as" (see piecewise-defined function at https://en.widipedia.org/wiki/raised-cosine_filter)

See 11.3.1.2.3 for possible RRC formula.

With editorial license

Cl 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 24 # 360

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec

SuggestedRemedy

Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Max Instantaneous)"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Change spec name to "Instantaneous I-Q offset per polarization"

C/ 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Add a definition for I-Q Offset Measurement

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following Specification:

IQoffset(Max) = 10log10[(Imean^2 + Qmean^2)/Psignal]

with a measurement interval of 1 us

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change 156.9.11 to "The instantaneous I-Q offset per polarization is calculated as Iqoffset = 10log10[(Imean^2 + Qmean^2)/Psignal] with a measurement interval of 1 us. The instantaneous I-Q offset per polarization is the maximum value per polarization and shall be within the limits given in Table 156–6."

L 24

361

With editorial license

Cl 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 28 # 362

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec

SuggestedRemedy

Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Mean)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"Mean I-Q offset per polarization"

C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 P 90 L 28 # 363 C/ 156 SC 156.9.17 P 91 L 4 # 365 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Add a definition for I-Q Offset Measurement Both in-band and out-of-band OSNR use the same definition for Signal Power. 156.9.17 refers to this as average signal power. 156.9.19 refers to this as the total signal power. SuggestedRemedy These should be the same. Add the following Specification: SuggestedRemedy IQoffset(Mean) = 10log10[(Imean^2 + Qmean^2)/Psignal] Change Average to Total on line 4 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "ratio of the average signal power" to "ratio of the total signal power within the signal's -20 dB spectral mask points". See response to comment #362. Change 156.9.12 to "The mean IQ offset is calculated as Igoffset(mean) = 10log10[(Imean^2 + Qmean^2)/Psignal]. The mean I-Q offset per polarization is the mean value per polarization and shall be within the limits given in Table C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 P 95 L 9 # 366 156-6 " Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket With editorial license. Editor's Note should be removed C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 P 90 L 30 # 364 SugaestedRemedy Maniloff, Eric Ciena Remove Note Comment Type T Comment Status A Response Response Status C ≤ 1us measurement interval applies to Max, not mean ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 122

SuggestedRemedy

Remove reference to ≤ 1 us from 156.9.12

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 363

C/ 156 SC 156.A.1 P 104 L 45 # 367 C/ FM SC FM P 11 L 32 # 370 Maniloff, Eric Ciena General Motors Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Black Link examples should be expanded to include some specifications for Mux and Missing ammendment 7 Demux devices that would satisfy the black-link transfer funtion SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add: IEEE Std 802.3cz™-202x Add a table to 156.A.1 including Mux and Demux example specifications. For example see Amendment 7—This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2022 and adds https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22 0523/maniloff 3cw 01 220523.pdf#page=5 Clause 166. This amendment adds 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s and 50 Gb/s Physical Layer specifications and management parameters for optical automotive Ethernet. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Adopt slides 4 and 5 from https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22 09/maniloff 3cw 01 220929.pdf. See response to comment 21 Adding clarifying language that the filter characteristics in this presentation were derived for C/ FM SC FM P 11 L 35 # 371 the case where adjacent channels were propogating in the same direction in one fiber . Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors With editorial license. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket cw is ammendment 8 P 11 C/ FM SC FM L 3 # 368 SugaestedRemedy Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Change: Ammendment x Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket To: Ammendment 8 The expansion for PMA is physical medium attachment per 802.3-2022 1.5. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: Physical Media Attachment (PMA) To: Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) See response to comment 21 Response Response Status C P CI 00 SC 0 # 372 ACCEPT. Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket C/ FM SC FM P 11 L 30 # 369 802.3 has been approved Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A Comment Type E bucket Change: IEEE Std 802.3-202x The description of cx doesn't match D3.0 of P802.3cx. To: IEEE Std 802.3-2022 SuggestedRemedy throughout the document Change: transmit and receive path delays Response Response Status C To: transmit and receive path data delays ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See response to comment 1

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 372

Page 90 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

C/ FM SC FM P 10 L 44 # 373 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.153.1a P 23 L 31 # 376 General Motors General Motors Wienckowski, Natalie Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket 802.3dd has been approved 45.2.1.153.1a is not being placed under 45.2.1.153.1 in the base spec, it should be under 45.2.1.153a in this spec. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: IEEE Std 802.3dd(TM)-202x Change: 45.2.1.153.1a To: IEEE Std 802.3dd(TM)-2022 To: 45.2.153a.1 Response Response Status C Also in the instructions on P22L19. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #21. See response to comment 162 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P 20 L 14 # 374 Wienckowski. Natalie **General Motors** CI 45 SC 45.2.1.157.1a P 24 L 1 # 377 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors syle Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket SuggestedRemedy 45.2.1.157.1a is not being placed under 45.2.1.157.1 in the base spec, it should be under Add an elipses in the first blank row in Tagle 45-3. Delet the blank row after the row for 45.2.1.157a in this spec. 1.825 through 1.899. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change: 45.2.1.157.1a ACCEPT. To: 45.2.157a.1 Also in the instructions on P24L3. CI 45 SC 45.2.1.1150 P 22 L 15 # 375 Response Response Status C General Motors Wienckowski. Natalie ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket See response to comment 163 typo 154.6 is not a proper Table number. C/ 155 SC 155.1.2 P 32 L 30 # 378 SuggestedRemedy Change: 154.6 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors To: 154-5 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Response Response Status C A comma is not needed after "and" when it is a list of only 2 items. ACCEPT SuggestedRemedy Change: staircase forward error correction (SC-FEC), and soft decision forward error To: staircase forward error correction (SC-FEC) and soft decision forward error correction Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 378

Page 91 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

C/ 155 SC 155.1	.3 P 33	L 36	# 379	Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 14 # 382
Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors				Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors
Comment Type E wording	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status A buc Payload should not be capitalized.
	ing from 66-bit blocks to (from) 2 66-bit blocks to (from) 257-bit bl			SuggestedRemedy Change:The Payload area To: The payload area
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
CI 155 SC 155.1 Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E wording	4.2 P 34 General Motor Comment Status A	∠ 15 rs	# 380 bucket	Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 13 # 383 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status A The equation should be numbered.
SuggestedRemedy Change: PMA serv To: The PMA serv Response ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy Add Equation number to the scrambler equation, e.g. (155-1). Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy Change: between	General Motor Comment Status A alking about 2 sublayers, not 1 so the PCS and PMA sublayer. CS and PMA sublayers. Response Status C		# [3 <u>81</u> bucket	CI 155 SC 155.2.5.3 P 46 L 26 # 384 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite buck You should refer to the equation. SuggestedRemedy Change: polynomial given in 155.2.4.9. To: polynomial given by Equation (155-1). Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
7.0021 1.				See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 384

Page 92 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 # 385 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.7 P 42 L 42 # 388 L 31 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket It's hard to see the text with the line through it. Figure 155-6 does not show the 6x119b pad SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Add box at the end of the i+119 row to the right of the CRC+MBAS labeled 6x119b PAD Add a box around "400GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer" so the line is "behind" it. Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 / 1 # 386 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 39 L 51 # 389 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Section 155.2.4.5 defines/describes how the OH works Per Figure 155-4 the RPF field is in bit location 0 of the Status Octect. But the Text states it's bit location 1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "discussed" to "described' Change "in bit 1" to "the first bit" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE # 387 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.4.1 P 38 L 50 See response to comment #346 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom P 39 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 L 32 # 390 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket The name of the section include 400GBASE-ZR, why? CI119 uses "for 200GBASE-R" Slavick, Jeff Broadcom and "for 400GBASE-R" since it has two different methods done for the different rates. But Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewirte bucket this is only 1 rate clause and Clause 91 and 135 don't attach the rate to it's section heading Figure 155-4 shows the status field as having 4 different defined bits. But only 3 are SuggestedRemedy specified in 155.2.4.5.2. The RES in the figure appears to be meant to be a "Reserved" field. Remove "400GBASE-ZR" from the section title of 155.2.4.4.1 and 155.2.4.4.2 SugaestedRemedy Response Response Status C Remove the RES text from Figure 155-4 and change the color of the box to be grey ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 390

Page 93 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.8 P 43 L 4 # 391 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 6 # 394 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket What is the contents of the PAD? in item 5 it refes to the PCS payload beginning at column 5141 which would be true for a indexing that begins at 1, but Table 155-1 appears to use column indexing that begins with SuggestedRemedy Change "pad bits added" to "pad bits of all zeroes added" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change "column 5141 or row 0 and ending at column 10 280 of row 255" to "column 5140 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. of row 0 and ending at collumn 10 279 of row 255". Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 37 L 31 # 392 See response to comment #346 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P 47 L 33 # 395 Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket We traditionally refer to the 257b blocks as 257-bit blocks not 257B blocks (which could be Slavick, Jeff Broadcom inferred as 257 Byte) Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket SuggestedRemedy Figure 155-9 is identical to 155-4 and is not referenced Change the seven instances of 257B block to 257-bit block SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 See response to comment #346. SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 11 # 393 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 155 P 40 L 22 SC 155.2.4.5.3 # 396 Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Slavick, Jeff Broadcom I could not find a Clause 9.4.3.2 in ITU-T G.709 but I did find a 19.4.3.2 that talks about Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket **GMP** Everywhere else uses the word four not the number SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change 9.4.3.2 to 19.4.3.2 Change "4-frame multi-frame" to "four-frame multi-frame" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

See response to comment #346.

Comment ID 396 Page 94 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.5 P 39 L 16 # 397

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The OH section of the 400GBASE-ZR frame is 1280 bits in size. This intro sentence states that OH is only a 40-byte is only 320 bits of data.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove 155.2.4.5.4 and update 155.2.4.5 as follows (retaining Figure 155-4):

155.2.4.5 Overhead (OH)

The 400GBASE-ZR frame contains a 1280-bit OH field. This field is logically composed of four 320- bit structures. The 40-byte overhead frame described in 155.2.4.5.1 is the first such 320-bit structure. The second, third, and fourth 320-bit structures are all zeros. The four 320-bit structures are 10-bit interleaved to form the 1280-bit overhead field.

155.2.4.5.1 40-byte overhead frame

The 40-byte overhead frame is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multi-frame, as shown in Figure 155-4 and described in 155.2.4.5.1.1 through 155.2.4.5.1.3. The contents of the 40-byte overhead frame is dependent upon the two LSB bits of the MFAS (see 155.2.4.5.1.1)

155.2.4.5.1.1 Multi-frame alignment signal (MFAS)

The MFAS is in the first byte of the 40-byte overhead frame. It is a wrapping counter that is incremented each frame to provide a 256-frame multi-frame sequence as defined by ITU-T G.709.1 Clause 9.2.1.

Renumber 155.2.4.5.2 and 155.2.4.5.3 to 155.2.4.5.1.2 and 155.2.4.5.1.3 keeping the text unchanged for those sections.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 12 # 398

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Extra ".'

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the . After the 1 in the equation

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9

P 43

L 16

399

rewrite bucket

rewrite bucket

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The scrambler stops advancing during the PAD bits? So the 714b of PAD will be either all 0's or all 1's?

SuggestedRemedy

Define the pad to be a random pattern or change "the scrambling state advances during each bit of the five SC-FEC blocks" to "the scrambling state advances for each transmitted bit"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.7 P 42 L 12 # 400

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The "dark" line appears to be on the wrong side of the CRC+MBAS grey box. Should be on the right edge of all boxes but that's not true for 3 of them. And the last one isn't part of it's Bj+3 box.

SuggestedRemedy

Thicken the right edge of the grey boxes that represne the CRC+MBAS.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 46 L 46 # 401

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Last paragraph of this section states that link degrade status is provided,, but there's no MDIO mapping provided in the text to indicate it's status bits or coontrol of thresholds

SuggestedRemedy

Add references to the MDIO registers to control and observe link degrade

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 401

Page 95 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:04 A

Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.6 P 47 L 53 # 402 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Uncorrectable blocks are not tracked in MDIO registers

SuggestedRemedy

Add references to the MDIO register for counting corrected and uncorrected EEC

Add references to the MDIO register for counting corrected and uncorrected FEC CW and bits

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 14 # 403

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Reference is to 155.4 which is all the FSM blocks, call out the specific AM lock one.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 155.4 to Figure 155-16

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 14 # 404

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The reference to 155.3.3.3.1 is not hyperlinked in faw valid

SuggestedRemedy

make it a link

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 L 51

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Definition of restart_lock begins by talking about how it affects all lanes, then states it activates when 15 FAWs fail to match, but doesn't clearly define that's 15 failures in a row on a single PMA lane.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "fail to match" to "fail to match on a given PMA lane"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

405

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 46 # 406

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The MDIO references for corrected and uncorrected codeword counters only point to the Clause 45 register, which then points you back to Clause 153 for the definition of the counter. In Clause 153 it refers to "fec align status" which does not exist in Clause 155.

SuggestedRemedy

Add sub-clauses for corrected and uncorrected codeword counters:

155.5.1.x FEC corrected cw counter

A corrected FEC codeword is a codeword that contained errors and was corrected.

The FEC_corrected_cw_counter is a 32-bit counter that counts once for each corrected FEC codeword processed when pma_alignment_valid is TRUE. This variable is mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.227 (1.2276, 1.2277).

153.5.1.y FEC_uncorrected_cw_counter

An uncorrected FEC codeword is a codeword that contains errors that were not corrected, including FEC codewords that may have been mis-corrected or not completely corrected.

The FEC_uncorrected_cw_counter is a 32-bit counter that counts once for each uncorrected FEC codeword processed when pma_alignment_valid is TRUE. This variable is mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.228 (1.2278, 1.2279).

Bring in 45.2.1.227 and 45.2.1.228 and references to the newly added sub-clauses in Clause 155.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 46 # 407

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The corrected bit and total bit MDIO registers refer to Clause 153 only but are being used in Clause 155 now.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following sub-clauses: 155.5.1.x FEC total bits counter

See 153.2.5.3 for the definition of this counter.

155.5.1.y FEC corrected bits counter

See 153.2.5.4 for the definition of this counter.

Bring in 45.2.1.229 and 45.2.1.230 and add appropriate references to these new subclauses

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 46 L 48 # 408

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The last paragraph states that the link degrade function is provided and that the bit error ratio is used to indicate this. But in the MDIO mapping (Table 155-8) points to fields that exist but reference 119.2.5.3 which specifies the thresholds in terms of rs-symbol error rates and FEC codewords.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the last paragraph of 155.2.5.5 with the following:

The 4000GBASE-ZR PCS may optionally provide the ability to signal degradation of the received signal. The presence of this option is indicated by the assertion of the FEC_degraded_SER_ability_variable (see 155.4.2.1). When the option is provided it is enabled by the assertion of the FEC_degraded_SER_enable variable (see 155.4.2.1).

When FEC_degraded_SER_enable is asserted, additional error monitoring is performed by the PCS. The PCS counts the number of bits corrected by the SC-FEC decoder in consecutive nonoverlapping SC-FEC frames of FEC_degraded_SER_interval (see 155.4.2.1). If the SC-FEC decoder determines that a codeword is uncorrectable or errors are detected by the CRC32 check (see 155.2.5.6), the number of symbol errors detected is increased by 957 x 257. When the number of bit errors exceeds the threshold set in FEC_degraded_SER_activate_threshold (see 155.5.1), the FEC_degraded_SER bit (see 155.5.1) is set. At the end of each interval, if the number of symbol errors is less than FEC_degraded_SER_deactivate_threshold, the FEC_degraded_SER bit is cleared. If either FEC_degraded_SER_ability or FEC_degraded_SER_enable is de-asserted then the FEC degraded SER bit is cleared.

Bring in 45.2.3.60.1 and add "155.2.5.5" to the see list Bring in 45.2.3.61.1 and add "155.4.2.1" to the see list Bring in 45.2.3.61.3 and add "155.2.5.5" to the see list Bring in 45.2.3.61.4 and add "155.4.2.1" to the see list

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 68 L 26 # 409

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

FEC high SER is not a feature of 400GBASE-ZR

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the FEC high SER row from Table 155-9

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ FM SC FM P2 L3 # 410

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status R

for operation over DWDM systems - not. Figure 156-1 has it right: "PMD FOR DWDM CHANNEL OVER A DWDM BLACK LINK"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "for operation over DWDM systems" to "for DWDM operation"

Response Status C

REJECT.

There was no consensus to make a change. The approved project title per the PAR is "Standard for Ethernet

Amendment: Physical Layers and Management Parameters for 400 Gb/s Operation over DWDM (dense wavelength division multiplexing) systems".

The same language is used 802.3ct-2021 amendment title and abstract.

C/ FM SC FM P 11 L 37 # 411

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status R

for operation over DWDM systems - not. Figure 156-1 has it right: "PMD FOR DWDM CHANNEL OVER A DWDM BLACK LINK"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "for operation over DWDM systems" to "for DWDM operation".

This should match the abstract on page 2.

Response Status C

REJECT.

See response to comment 410

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144b P 18 L 9 # 412

Nvidia

Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR Comment Status A

"using 400GBASE-R encoding" doesn't represent what's in this draft: the BASE-R encoded signal is transported, but what is actually used is GMP, SC-FEC, SD-FEC, DP-16QAM and coherent transmission and detection. But we would call any 80 km-capable PHY "Z" anyway, whatever coding technology it used. The definitions for BASE-H, T, E, L, S don't discuss coding, they adress medium, reach or wavelength.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:

1.4.144b 400GBASE-Z: IEEE 802.3 family of Physical Layer devices with reach up to at least 80 km on single-mode optical fiber. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 156.)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 170

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144b P 18 L 9 # 413

Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status A Comment Type

"family of Physical Layer devices" is misleading, as there would be only one member, based on this draft. Also it's unnecessary: any future 400GBASE-Z project could add the word at the time when the facts change.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "family of"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 170

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144c P 18

Comment Status A

L 13

414

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

TR

Defining this PHY as "using 400GBASE-R encoding ... DP-16QAM, and coherent detection" is highly misleading. The BASE-R encoded signal is transported, but what is actually used is GMP, SC-FEC, SD-FEC DP-16QAM and coherent transmission and detection. Although it is debatable whether GMP is useful, or just included because it's there. In a short definition we need to say something about the GMP and FEC becuase neither are BASE-R, but we don't need the detail.

SugaestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change "using 400GBASE-R encoding, dual polarization 16-state quadrature amplitude modulation (DP-16QAM) modulation, and coherent detection" to "using 400GBASE-R encoding, GMP, strong FEC, dual polarization 16-state quadrature amplitude modulation (DP-16QAM) modulation, and coherent optical signalling"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 171

C/ 1 SC 1.5 P 18 L 24 # 415

Nvidia Dawe. Piers

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

As the base 802.3 uses PAM2, PAM4, PAM5, PAM16, DSQ128, QAM8, QAM16 and **QAM128**

SuggestedRemedy

Change 16QAM to QAM16 and DP-16QAM to DP-QAM16 throughout

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

16QAM or DP-16QAM is commonly used in the industry for this optical modulation technique.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.150.1 P 22 L 17 # 416

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status R

It would help to point out that these the channel plans differ in more ways than that one has more channels than the other.

SuggestedRemedy

Maybe NOTE--These two tables are significantly different?

Response Status C

REJECT.

The referenced tables provide the information necessary to understand how they are different.

C/ 116 SC 116.1.3 P 27 L 22 # 417

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status A

As in an earlier comment: just saying "using 400GBASE-R encoding" is highly misleading. This PHY and its coding is very different to normal BASE-R.

SuggestedRemedy

Either, change "using 400GBASE-R encoding" to "using 400GBASE-R encoding, GMP, strong FEC, dual polarization DP-16QAM, and coherent optical signalling", or delete "using 400GBASE-R encoding". People can follow the link to Clause 156 to find out more.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment 173

C/ 116 SC 116.1.3 P 27 L 22 # 418

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

T

Comment Status A

All normal BASE-R PHYs use the same Clause 120 PMA, so it has not been mentioned in this table up to now. This one is different.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "(see Clause 156)" to "(see Clause 155 and Clause 156)"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 173

C/ 116 SC 116.1.3

P **27**

L 22

419

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The manipulations described in this draft don't describe a BASE-R "native Ethernet"; rather, they are like 10GBASE-W. An Ethernet signal is packed into a telecoms wrapper (then, based on SONET, here, based on OTN).

The combination is clumsy and messy. Starting from Ethernet building blocks, one would not engineer it like this. I understand that the rationale is because those designs were already there, and the cost of a clean design was thought to outweigh the inefficiencies of this scheme. But that calls "broad market potential" into question.

SuggestedRemedy

I can think of three options:

Redo Clause 155, leaving out GMP and FAW and simplifying the training sequence and pilot sequence to make an Ethernet PHY;

Cancel this project, and encourage those interested to feed their learnings into OIF's "400ZR" maintenance:

Rename this PHY to 400GBASE-ZW, which is more honest and leaves the "400GBASE-ZR" name available to any future native Ethernet PHY, should the broad market potential be found.

Response Status U

REJECT.

No consensus within the CRG to change the name of the 400GBASE-ZR PHY

C/ 116 SC 116.2.3 P 29 L 2 # 420

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

This says "The term 400GBASE-R refers to a specific family of Physical Layer

implementations based upon the 64B/66B coding method specified in Clause 119 or Clause 155 and the PMA specifications defined in Clause 120 or Clause 155." But these are two distinctly different "families".

SuggestedRemedy

Revert this text and add a separate paragraph introducing 400GBASE-W

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 5

C/ 116 SC 116.2.3 P 29 L 6 # 421 Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

This paragraph summarizing the PCS needs a new sentence specifically for the Clause 155 PCS, which does clock domain translation and uses a concatenated FEC scheme. neither part of which is a BASE-R FEC

SuggestedRemedy

Add new sentence.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 5

C/ 116 SC 116.2.4 P 29 L 12 # 422 Nvidia

Comment Status A Comment Type TR

"all 400GBASE-R PMAs other than 400GBASE-ZR" is making my point that this is not a type R PMA.

SuggestedRemedy

Dawe Piers

Add a new sentence to the first paragraph explaining what the Clause 155 PMA does - it's different (including, no loopback).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 6

C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32

L 14

423

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

PCS description

"The 64B/66B code is transcoded to 256B/257B encoding to reduce the overhead before the addition of forward error correction (FEC)": that's what true 400GBASE-R does. This is different.

SuggestedRemedy

before clock domain translation, addition of a CRC, the addition of forward error correction (FEC) and SC-FEC, scrambling, interleaving and a second FEC

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace 155.1.1 with

"This clause specifies the physical coding sublayer (PCS) and physical medium attachment (PMA) sublayer for the physical layer implementation known as 400GBASE-ZR. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS and 400GBASE-ZR PMA are sublavers of the 400GBASE-ZR PHY listed in Table 116-2. The term 400GBASE-ZR is used when referring to the 400GBASE-ZR PHY, which uses the PCS and PMA defined in this clause."

C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 34 L 2 # 424

Dawe. Piers Nvidia

Comment Status A Comment Type Ε rewrite bucket

8 x 59.84375 x (28/29) ...

SuggestedRemedy

use multiplication sign as elsewhere

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 34 L 2 # 425 C/ 155 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Giving an encoded rate in "Gb/s" is confusing because that's how we express MAC rates. SuggestedRemedy Something like: The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a nominal transfer rate rate at the 8-wide PMA service interface of 59.84375 x (28/29) Gtransfers/s +/- 20 ppm for a total of ~462.2414 Gtransfers/s. Response Response Response Status C REJECT ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 P 35 L 13 # 426 SC 155.1.5 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Yes - 10 N- 2 Transcode SuggestedRemedy C/ 155 transcode Dawe, Piers Scrub the figures for capitals that should not be there.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

SC 155.1.5 P 35 L 1 # 427 Nvidia TR Comment Status R

This PCS is too complicated for just a "directive" specification. We need examples.

SuggestedRemedy

Create examples of e.g. FEC and other blocks before and after coding. Smallish ones can go in the document, all can be uploaded to the directory that IEEE provides for these things. They might need to cover some of the PMA.

Response Status U

A detailed suggested remedy containing an editor's instruction on how to modify the draft was not provided.

The following straw poll was taken:

I would support rejecting comment #427

SC 155.1.5 P 35 L 25 # 428

Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"SC-FEC adapt & encoding", "SC-FEC decoding & adapt" - it would help to know that there is interleaving here as well as below.

SuggestedRemedy

"SC-FEC adapt, encoding and interleaving", "SC-FEC de-interleving, decoding & adapt"?

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

rewrite bucket

C/ 155 SC 155.1.5 P 35 L 43 # 429 C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 21 # 432 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket "PMA:IS UNITDATA m-1.indication": the "m" in one direction only is not usual (so it looks Markers like a leftover from Clause 119 where two widths are possible, but for a known and SugaestedRemedy different reason), and not explained until much later in the document markers SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Add an informative NOTE saving why it's m-1 not 7, and referring to the appropriate subclause. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C SC 155.2.1 P 36 C/ 155 1 22 # 433 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dawe, Piers Nvidia See response to comment #346. Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket "transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 14 # 430 consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC": this is intuitive Dawe. Piers Nvidia but not the accepted (Forney's) use of inner and outer. Ε Comment Status A Comment Type rewrite bucket SuggestedRemedy "receives two streams of digitally encoded m-bit 16QAM symbols" we need an explanation transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code of why "m-bit". consisting of an outer SC-FEC code and an inner Hamming code SD-FEC SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Add sentence explaining that m is an implementation choice, for SD-FEC. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 22 # 434 See response to comment #346. Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 20 # 431 Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket As interleavers are a significant feature of this scheme Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status R Comment Type Т GMP mapper SuggestedRemedy Is 20 ppm necessary or useful? 100GEL introduced 50, and considering the raw BER, this Mention the interleavers in the transmit direction. (There is one mention in the receive is a very noisy signal. There is spare space in the GMP wrapper. direction.) SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C If GMP is kept, consider changing 20 nearer to 50 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

REJECT.

There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change.

Comment ID 434

Page 103 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 31 # 435 C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 38 # 438 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Suddenly talking about receiver without warning - hard to understand at first. SC-FEC blocks of 510 x 512 SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Insert "in the receive direction," whats? bits? bytes? Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. SC 155.2.1 L 32 C/ 155 P 36 # 436 Dawe. Piers Nvidia C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 38 # 439 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Dawe. Piers Nvidia PCS Synchronization process Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket SuggestedRemedy SC-FEC blocks PCS synchronization process? SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C SC-FEC codewords (as on line 39) ACCEPT. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 35 # 437 Dawe. Piers Nvidia See response to comment #346. Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 37 L 29 # 440 PCS Receive process Dawe, Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A Comment Type E rewrite bucket PCS Receive function or PCS receive process 257B Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 257-bit, many places. Compare base doc. "256B/257B" can stay. See response to comment #346. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 440

Page 104 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 37 L 44 # 441 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 17 # 444 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket Comment Type T Comment Status R "Base Frame": undefined term not used elsewhere, roque capitals 155.2.4.1 says "The rate matching described in 119.2.4.1 is not required", so the 257B encoded data can have a rate of 401.5625 Gb/s +/- 100 ppm, not 401.542892 Gb/s +/- 100 SuggestedRemedy Change to "frame" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change 401.5625 to 401.542892 mention both ACCEPT. Response Response Status C REJECT SC 155.2.4.3 P 37 # 442 C/ 155 L 49 Dawe. Piers Nvidia No consensus to make a change. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 18 # 445 16 x 120b markers Dawe Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A Comment Type T rewrite bucket 120-bit The clock rate of the 400GBASE-ZR frame (GMP clock domain) is not given, although Response Response Status C 155.1.4 gives the PMA service interface rate ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Deffine the GMP rate in the PCS section C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 11 # 443 Response Response Status C Dawe Piers Nvidia ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket ITU-T G 709 Clause 9 4 3 2 See response to comment #346. SuggestedRemedy C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 20 # 446 ITU-T G 709 Clause 19 4 3 2 ? Dawe, Piers Nvidia Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ~10 214.684 -eh? See response to comment #346. SugaestedRemedy Wow, this is hard to read! Spaces inside indivsible things such as numbers or variable names are bad! Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 446

Page 105 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 42 # 447 C/ 155 P 39 L 48 SC 155.2.4.5.2 # 450 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Blank line "The RPF bit indicates signal fail status was detected by the remote 400GBASE-ZR receive function": why is this here? Doesn't Ethernet RF do that job? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove If the idea is that a 400GBASE-ZR PHY should continue to transmit data while its input is Response Response Status C bad, then changes elsewhere would be needed for unidirectional operation ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. # 448 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.1 P 39 / 41 Dawe. Piers Nvidia See response to comment #346. Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 40 L 5 # 451 G.709.1 is not a normative reference Dawe. Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Remove GMP, define the 256-frame multi-frame sequence here, or add the reference Two sections, both called "Link status monitoring and signaling", say different things about Response Response Status C e.g. STAT<6> 155.2.5.7.2 says "in the received STAT<6>", this earlier Tx one doesn't have the equivalent. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy See response to comment #346. Add extra words to make the context clear. "in the transmitted" would help, but more may be needed C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 39 L 48 # 449 Response Response Status C Dawe. Piers Nvidia ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Status A Comment Type T rewrite bucket "signal fail status was detected by the remote 400GBASE-ZR receive function in the See response to comment #346. upstream direction". But see 1.4.586 upstream: In an access network, transmission away from the subscriber end of the C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 40 / 10 # 452 link. Applicable to networks where there is a clear indication in each deployment as to Dawe, Piers Nvidia which end of a link is closer to a subscriber. A status is generated, maybe based on detecting something. Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket "the received status byte in the receive direction": eh? SuggestedRemedy Something like: SuggestedRemedy The RPF bit is used by a 400GBASE-ZR PHY to indicate to its link partner the signal fail Change "then the value of RD in STAT<6> is set to the value of LD in STAT<6> of the status at its receive function received status byte in the receive direction" to "then the value of RD in the transmitted STAT<6> is set to Response Response Status C the value of LD in the received STAT<6>"? ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 452

Page 106 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3 P 40 L 17 # 453 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 9 # 456 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Reference to OIF-400ZR-01.0, March 10, 2020, subclause 8.9. Note that this document is sequence 65 535 subject to active maintenance SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy sequence length 65 535 ? If feasible, write the specification here. If not, check that the reference is complete, correct Response Response Status C and detailed enough, add a normative reference. Refer to a later OIF-400ZR if appropriate. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 12 # 457 Dawe, Piers Nvidia See response to comment #346. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P 40 L 50 # 454 х Dawe. Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket italic Needs a figure showing the 400GBASE-ZR frame rows, SC-FEC blocks, CRC32 and MBAS Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Please add a figure per comment. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 12 # 458 Response Response Status C Dawe. Piers Nvidia ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Х See response to comment #346. SuggestedRemedy P 40 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.6 L 50 # 455 define x Nvidia Dawe. Piers Response Response Status C Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. between source and sink SuggestedRemedy See response to comment #346. eh? Change to the usual terminology

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Response Status C

SC 155.2.4.10 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 12 # 459 C/ 155 P 43 L 21 # 462 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket which end goes first? G.709.3 is not a normative reference SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Add the content locally or add the reference and any information that is needed to make the definition accessible, complete and unambiguous Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 10 # 460 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.11 P 44 L 36 # 463 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status R More iformation needed. Given the "generating polynomial", what has to be done? There generic operation ... in ITU-T G.709.3 Annex D: but that contains undefined symbols and are examples of scrambler definitions in the base document. terms. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As it seems it is not very long, write it out cleanly here Response Response Status C Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE REJECT. See response to comment #346. No consensus to make a change. C/ 155 P 43 # 461 SC 155.2.4.9 L 12 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.11 P 44 L 45 # 464 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Status A Comment Type Т rewrite bucket is row 1 the first or second row? This says 8-bit symbols, 155.2.1 says two streams of 4-bit data. SuggestedRemedy PMA:IS UNITDATA i.request is 7 wide. ? SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C The difference may matter when we are discussing Skew limits ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 464

Page 108 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 155	SC 155.2.4.12	2 P 45	L 33	# 465	C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.	1 <i>P</i> 46	L 16	# <u>4</u> 68
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment T		Comment Status A		bucket	Comment Type E interleaver	Comment Status A		bucket
SuggestedF Hammi	•				SuggestedRemedy Missing full stop			
Response ACCEP	PT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.1	P 46	L 11	# 466	C/ 155 SC 155.2.5 .	5 P 46	L 36	# 469
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment T	• •	Comment Status A C decoder is a soft decision of	decoder"	rewrite bucket	Comment Type E incoming block 10	Comment Status A		rewrite bucket
		ensitivity / OSNR tolerance s	spec? Please re	fer to wherever the	SuggestedRemedy incoming block of 10 .			
Response	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.			Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.		
See res	sponse to comm	ent #346.			See response to comr	ment #346.		
C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.1	P 46	<i>L</i> 11	# 467	C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.0	6 P 46	L 53	# 470
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia	2	" 401	Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		rewrite bucket	Comment Type T base block": not define	Comment Status A ed, used only once		rewrite bucket
		ically in ITU-T G.709.3 Anne ecoding at all, only check-blo		- vague, and Annex D	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedF	Remedy		ook gonoration.		I think this means the they named?	"B" blocks of 155.2.5.5. Are	they "SC-FEC co	odewords", and are
	ut what you nee	•			Response	Response Status C		
Response ACCEP	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.			ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.		

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7	P 47	L 9	# 471	C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 5	# 474		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia			
Comment Type E will have	Comment Status A		rewrite bucket	Comment Type T Comment Status A upstream, downstream	rewrite bucket		
SuggestedRemedy has				SuggestedRemedy Rx, Tx. Compare base doc.			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
See response to comme	ent #346.			See response to comment #346.			
C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1	P 47	L 33	# 472	C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 9	# 475		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Dawe, Piers Nvidia	·		
Comment Type E Figure 155-9 is an orpha	Comment Status A		rewrite bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status A detailed in 155.2.5.7.2 - but this is 155.2.5.7.2	rewrite bucket		
SuggestedRemedy Reference it or remove it	t. See another comment.			SuggestedRemedy ?			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
See response to comme	ent #346.			See response to comment #346.			
C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7. 1	P 47	L 33	# 473	C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.2 P 48 L 22	# 476		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia			
Comment Type E Figure 155-9 seems to b	Comment Status A e identical to Figure 155-4		rewrite bucket	Comment Type T Comment Status A framing of frame or multi-frame loss - eh?	rewrite bucket		
SuggestedRemedy Remove it, refer to 155-4	1 instead			SuggestedRemedy In the case of a loss of 400GBASE-ZR frame sync or multi-frame sync?			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
See response to comment #346.				See response to comment #346.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.10 P 48 L 53	# 477	C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.3 P 51 L 13	# 480		
Dawe, Piers Nvidia		Dawe, Piers Nvidia			
Comment Type T Comment Status A The PCS receives decode blocks	rewrite bucket	Comment Type T Comment Status A Align CFEC and FAW/TS symbols (X) remove	rewrite bucket		
SuggestedRemedy The PCS receive function decodes blocks?		SuggestedRemedy Align CFEC and remove FAW/TS symbols (X)?			
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
See response to comment #346.		See response to comment #346.			
Cl 155 SC 155.3.1.1 P 49 L 11	# 478	Cl 155 SC 155.3.1.2 P 49 L 16	# 481		
Dawe, Piers Nvidia		Dawe, Piers Nvidia			
Comment Type T Comment Status A The interfaces for the inputs of	rewrite bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status A relationship with	rewrite bucket		
SuggestedRemedy The interfaces of ?		SuggestedRemedy relationship to Also 156.1			
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
See response to comment #346.		See response to comment #346.			
Cl 155 SC 155.3.1.3 P 51 L 3	# 479	CI 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16	# 482		
Dawe, Piers Nvidia		Dawe, Piers Nvidia			
Comment Type T Comment Status A "m is the number of bits of resolution of the DP-16QAM symbols'	rewrite bucket	Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket * ~50.212875 Gb/s: ~ too vague, signaling rate should be in GBd			
SuggestedRemedy Is a symbol for one polarisation or both? Is this off by 2?		SuggestedRemedy Specify the rate without approximation			
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
See response to comment #346.		See response to comment #346.			

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3 P 52 L 5 # 483 C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3 P 57 L 14 # 486 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket I don't see any loopback here. The only test signal comes from the PCS. Missing arrowheads on 3 vertical paths SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete "and optionally to provide test signals and loop-back" Add them Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1 P 52 L 21 # 484 C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3 P 57 L 32 # 487 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Table 155-6--PS This says the PMA does Gray de-mapping then it says it doesn't the PCS does it. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove lines 20-25, add apprpriate material to PCS section. Use whole words. Pilot sequence Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.1 P 55 L 40 # 485 C/ 155 SC 155.5 P 67 L 3 # 488 Nvidia Dawe. Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E rewrite bucket split table (not properly indicated). Also Table 155-6-PS The following objects apply to: objects? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Reword Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 9 # 489 C/ 156 SC 156.1 P 73 L 48 # 492 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket in 45 Clause 116 and the purpose SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy in Clause 45 and why green when line 4 has black? comma Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. Change "Clause 116 and the purpose" to "Clause 116, and the purpose SC 156.1.1 C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 28 # 490 C/ 156 P 74 L 39 # 493 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A FEC degraded SER activate threshold register should be PCS FEC degraded SER activate PMA (Clause 155) threshold register, but it's for Clause 119 PCS RS(544,514) FEC and there is no FEC SuggestedRemedy degraded SER feature in this draft. PMA (155.3) SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Delete the four FEC degraded SER rows ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 91. See response to comment #346. C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 14 # 494 Dawe, Piers Nvidia P 67 C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 L 47 # 491 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Dawe, Piers Nvidia 3, 1, -1, and -3 Comment Status A Comment Type Ε rewrite bucket SuggestedRemedy broken variable names Please count forwards in the usual way: -3, -1, 1, and 3, and in next paragraph and 156.5.2 SuggestedRemedy and 156.5.3 Widen the right column width until they fit Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

See response to comment #346.

Comment ID 494

Page 113 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 22 # 495 C/ 156 SC 156.3.2 P 75 L 52 # 498 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket "the variable SIGNAL DETECT parameter": 156.5.4 says it's a parameter, this and that Are these Skew and SV limits plausible? What does the PMA need? This is a hybrid of "parellel" and "serial". needs new numbers. sav not variable SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Revise to limits that are appropriate to DP-16PAM technology and the channel. Delete variable Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change at this time. See response to comment #346. C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 26 # 496 C/ 156 SC 156.5.1 P 77 L 30 # 499 Dawe. Piers Dawe. Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type T Comment Status R Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket "poor quality link to provide sufficient light for a SIGNAL DETECT = OK": this note isn't blank line(s) relevant if the parameter is fixed SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove Change the note to explain the situation Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE REJECT. Remove any blank lines with editorial license There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change at this time. C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 P 77 L 40 # 500 C/ 156 SC 156.3.1 P 75 L 35 # 497 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Status A Comment Type Т The mapping of the analog values to the symbol amplitudes is listed in Table 155-2. 2048 bit times SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 8192 bit times Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 219 Change "no more than 2048 bit times (4 pause quanta or 20.48 ns)" to "no more than

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

8192 bit times (16 pause guanta or 20.48 ns)"

Comment ID 500

Page 114 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 156 SC 156.5.4 P 78 L 3 # 501 C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 79 L 52 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Comment Type E Comment Status A No SD! Rx optical frequency index Tx optical frequency index Tx Rx diff opt freq ability SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Tables 156-2, 3 and a later sentence have Tx optical channel index Rx optical channel index Tx Rx diff opt chan ability Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change at this time. See responses to comments 324, 325 and 326 C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 79 L 18 # 502 C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 1 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Ε Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Type E Comment Status A misuse of TP2 blank lines 1 to 3 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment unclear and no suggested remedy provided Remove any blank lines with editorial license # 503 C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 79 L 38 Nvidia Dawe. Piers Comment Type Comment Status A Ε bucket blank line SuggestedRemedy

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove any blank lines with editorial license

Response Status C

504

505

bucket

bucket

C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 7 # 506 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 23 # 508 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Comment Type Ε Comment Status R f not defined Why 59.84375? SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 59.84375 Response Response Response Status C Response Status C REJECT. REJECT. fi is defined on page 79, line 31 as "all channel frequencies fi." and is consistent with figure This is an exact value per adopted baseline from page 24 of 154-3 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 https://www.ieee802.org/3/cn/public/19 01/lyubomirsky 3cn 01b 0119.pdf P 82 A straw poll was taken: C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 L 23 # 509 Dawe, Piers Nvidia I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed Comment Type E Comment Status R Yes: 16 Why +/-20 ppm? No: 2 SuggestedRemedy C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Response Response Status C Comment Type E Comment Status R REJECT. square or round brackets This is a value per adopted baseline from page 6 of SuggestedRemedy https://www.ieee802.org/3/cn/public/19 01/lyubomirsky 3cn 01b 0119.pdf. There was no proposed remedy or justification for a change. Response Response Status C C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 27 # 510 REJECT. Nvidia Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Comment Status R Use of [] brakets consistent with Table 154-5 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 Average channel output power SuggestedRemedy Average launch power as for single-wavelength duplex fibre PMDs such as 100GBASE-DR. 100GBASE-FR1, and 100GBASE-LR1 Response Response Status C REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 510

Use of "Average channel output power" consistent with Table 154-7 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022

Page 116 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 35 # 511 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 54 # 514 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket RRC Roll-Off bottom line of table SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 103 Remove any blank lines with editorial license C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 49 # 512 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 83 L 8 # 515 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A bucket I-Q (max instantaneous), I-Q (mean) Transmitter In-band OSNR SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change In to in Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See responses to comment 350 and 351 See response to comment 352 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 53 # 513 C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 P 84 L 24 # 516 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status A Several things with max and min, others without. Definition of 156.9.14 in I-Q phase error says that receiver OSNR tolerance "is informative and compliance is not required" doesn't define its sign SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Table needs a footnote. Example of current wording from 140: Receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max) for 100GBASE-DR is optional and is defined for a transmitter with a value of SECQ up to 3.4 dB. 140.7.12.1 Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-DR The Response Response Status C receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-DR is optional and is defined for a transmitter with a ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. value of SECQ up to 3.4 dB. Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-DR should meet Equation (140-1), which is illustrated in Figure 140-9. The normative requirement for the 100GBASE-In table 156-6 delete "I-Q phase error (min)", change "I-Q phase error (max)" to "I-Q phase DR receiver is stressed receiver sensitivity. error magnitude (max)" with a value of 5. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. With editorial license Add note in Table 156-7 for Receiver OSNR tolerance stating "OSNR tolerance is optional

and compliance is not required."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 516

Page 117 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 84 L 33 # 517 C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 22 # 520 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type Е Comment Status R Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Are these specs for "black link" or for "DWDM channel"? DGD-max SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Is there a spec to make the Rx tolerate it? Response Response Response Status C Response Status C REJECT. REJECT. No suggested remedy provided No consensus to make a change. This requirement in the specifications defined in 156.9.23. C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 84 L 35 # 518 SC 156.8 P 85 C/ 156 L 28 # 521 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Nvidia Dawe, Piers Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A Some clarification of the requirements in Table 156-8 is provided in informative Annex 156A, as well as examples of compliant DWDM black links. Adjacent channel isolation SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Leftover from 100GBASE-ZR (154.8). Delete? refer to 154A? ? see G.671 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 367 In 156.9.29 delete reference to ITU-T G671 C/ 156 L 5 # 519 SC 156.8 P 85 C/ 156 P 85 SC 156.8 L 29 # 522 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Average output power at TP3 Interferometric crosstalk at TP3 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy each / per channel? ? Proposed Response Response Status Z Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT. REJECT This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 522

Page 118 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 35 # 523 C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 86 L 35 # 525 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status R Only relevant Scrambled idle encoded by CFEC SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy and not SD-FEC? Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. In footnote d change: Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC" "Only relevant with implementations of a DWDM black link with one or more optical adddrop multiplexers present." C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 86 L 42 # 526 to Dawe. Piers Nvidia "Applicable to implementations of a DWDM black link with one or more optical add-drop Comment Type E Comment Status A multiplexers present." valid 400GBASE-R SuggestedRemedy C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 / 44 # 524 400GBASE-ZW Dawe, Piers Nvidia Response Response Status C Comment Type E Comment Status D ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75? SuggestedRemedy In table 156-11 change "400GBASE-R" to "400GBASE-ZR". With editorial license. C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 87 L 13 # 527 Proposed Response Response Status Z Dawe. Piers Nvidia REJECT. Comment Status A Comment Type E This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. I-Q phase error (max), I-Q phase error (min) SuggestedRemedy Combine, as for Average receive power Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 513

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 527

Page 119 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 87 L 25 # 528 C/ 156 SC 156.9.4 P 88 L 1 # 530 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Is Average receive power a kind of sensitivity/overload? If not, why not any 400GBASE-ZW As this mask is a normative spec signal? Same for Ripple? which is a channel (black link) property SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Write out the frequency-domain equations for a RRC response with a damping factor of 0.4 Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status Z ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See response to comment 359 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. C/ 156 SC 156.9.4 P 88 L 8 # 531 Dawe. Piers Nvidia C/ 156 SC 156.9.4 P 87 L 52 # 529 Comment Type E Comment Status A Dawe Piers Nvidia set at -9 dB up to the -9 dB of an RRC Ε Comment Status A Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Compliant transmitters ... are required to ... by applying minimum and maximum masks to the spectrum acquired using an optical spectrum analyzer. set at -9 dB up to 30.8 GHz offset for an RRC SuggestedRemedy Response Status C Not ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Change "is set at -9 dB up to the -9 dB of an RRC with ß of 0.05." to "is set at -9 dB up to ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 30.8 GHz offset and follows a RRC ß of 0.05 for higher frequencies." Change 156.9.4 to: C/ 156 P 88 SC 156.9.4 L 40 # 532 Dawe. Piers Nvidia "The transmit spectrum shall be within the limits of this subclause if measured per IEC 61280-1-3. Upper and lower limits are defined by truncated root-raised-cosine (RRC) Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket responses around the signal's center frequency. Blank line The upper and lower masks are illustrated in Figure 156-4. SuggestedRemedy Remove The upper limit follows a RRC response with a roll-off factor β of 0.4 from 0 dB at zero frequency offset up to 40.4 GHz offset, it is -20 dB at higher frequencies. The lower limit Response Response Status C

Remove any blank lines with editorial license

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

is set at -9 dB up to 30.8 GHz offset and follows a RRC β of 0.05 for higher frequencies."

C/ 156 SC 156.9.5 P 88 L 45 # 533 C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88 L 52 # 536 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A within the limits fbaud SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy below the limit? Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete 156.9.5. See response to comment 112 In 156.9.4 Change C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 89 L 3 # 537 Dawe. Piers Nvidia "Spectral content above 40.4 GHz is limited to -20 dB." Comment Type E Comment Status A 1-sided noise power spectral density [Hz^2/Hz] SuggestedRemedy "Spectral content above 40.4 GHz is limited to -20 dB by the spectral floor." but noise power should be in watts, or dBc. Figure title has "spectral power density" C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88 L 48 # 534 Response Status C Dawe, Piers Nvidia ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type E Comment Status R See response to comment 168 frequency noise SuggestedRemedy C/ 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 26 # 538 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Response Response Status C Comment Type E Comment Status A REJECT. I-Q (max instantaneous) SuggestedRemedy No suggested remedy provided ? C/ 156 P 88 SC 156.9.6 L 51 # 535 Response Response Status C Dawe. Piers Nvidia ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type E Comment Status R See response to comment 350 the frequency of interest SuggestedRemedy

No suggested remedy provided.

Response Status C

Response

REJECT.

C/ 156 SC 156.9.12	P 90	L 30	# 539	C/ 156 SC 156.9.14	P 90	L 41	# <u>5</u> 42
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E I-Q (mean)	Comment Status A			Comment Type E local oscillator	Comment Status R		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy ?			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C .E.			Response REJECT.	Response Status C		
See responses to com	ments 351 and 363			Comment unclear and	no suggested remedy provided	d	
C/ 156 SC 156.9.13	P 90	L 35	# 540	C/ 156 SC 156.9.15	P 90	L 45	# 543
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E I-Q amplitude imbaland	Comment Status R ce (mean)			Comment Type E ditto. why is this separ	Comment Status R rate?		
SuggestedRemedy proportional amplitude	difference?			SuggestedRemedy			
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Response REJECT.	Response Status C		
Comment unclear and	no suggested remedy provide	d		Comment unclear and	no suggested remedy provided	d	
C/ 156 SC 156.9.14	P 90	L 40	# 541	C/ 156 SC 156.9.17	P 91	L 3	# 544
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E	Comment Type E Comment Status A				Comment Status D		
proportional phase di	ifference				t on this "shall"? Black link, as Don't write in the passive voice		le 156-8. 156.8 has
SuggestedRemedy ?				SuggestedRemedy			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C .E.			Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z		
Delete "proportional".				This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.			

C/ 156 SC 156.9.17 P 91 L 3 # 545 C/ 156 SC 156.9.21 P 91 L 36 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A shall with no PICS No verb SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add "Optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR)" to 156.13.4.4. With editorial license Start the sentence with "Transmit output power absolute accuracy is the" C/ 156 SC 156.9.17 P 91 L 5 # 546 SC 156.9.22 C/ 156 P 91 L 41 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A maximum spectral excursion The average receive power shall be within the limits given in Table 156-7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy unused / undefined Average output power at TP3, Table 156-8? sensivitity and overload? "shall" should not Response Response Status C be here ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 156.9.17 change the end of the second sentence from "plus and minus the maximum spectral excursion" to "plus and minus the maximum spectral excursion as defined in ITU-T G.698.2." Change 156.9.22 to C/ 156 SC 156.9.18 P 91 L 15 # 547 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A in-band OSNR SuggestedRemedy

"The average receive power defines the range of average receiver input power over which the BER requirement in 156.1.1 has to be met at the values of minimum OSNR defined in Table 156-7. This power may be measured per IEC 61280-1-3".

Define in-band

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status C

Update definition of in-band OSNR to define relative noise with editorial license.

Response

548

549

C/ 156 SC 156.9.24 P 92 L 9 # 550 C/ 156 SC 156.9.24 P 92 L 4 # 552 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A see earlier for table footnote and "optional" pre-FEC BER level lower than the CFEC threshold SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy which is? and the SD-FEC? Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the last sentence in 156.9.24 to Change "while maintaining a pre-FEC BER level lower than the CFEC threshold" to "while maintaining a frame loss ratio within the limit specified in 156.1.1" "OSNR tolerance is optional and compliance is not required. The normative receiver requirement is receiver OSNR, see 156.9.23." Only applies to CFEC, see response to comment #525. P 92 C/ 156 SC 156.9.24 L 5 # 551 With editorial license. Dawe. Piers Nvidia C/ 156 SC 156.9.25 P 92 L 13 # 553 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Dawe, Piers Nvidia has to be met with a worst-case compliant transmitter, but it does not have to be met Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy insertion loss SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z channel response? REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status Z This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. C/ 156 P 92 SC 156.9.26 L 18 # 554 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D [Optical path OSNR penalty, defined in Recommendation ITU-T G.698.2, qv] SuggestedRemedy

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Response Status Z

Proposed Response

REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 554

Page 124 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 156 SC 156.9.29 P 92 L 33 # 555 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 P 92 L 49 # 558 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status A Comment Type Е Comment Status A Comment Type Е [Adjacent channel isolation, defined in Recommendation ITU-T G.671, qv] Connect the 400 Gb/s DP-16QAM transmitter to SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy The 400GBASE-ZW transmitter is connected to Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 156.9.29 change subclause name to "Adjacent channel spectral isolation" and the Change to "The transmitter under test is connected to" definition to "The adjacent channel isolation, as defined in TBD, shall be within the limits given in Table 156-9." in 156.9.10 change "400 Gb/s DP-16QAM transmitter" to "400GBASE-ZR transmitter". The changes in 156.9.10 are in conjunction with comments #114 and #115. With editorial license. with editorial license. P 92 # 556 C/ 156 SC 156.9.30 L 38 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 P 93 L 9 # 559 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Type E Comment Status A [Interferometric crosstalk at TP3, defined in Recommendation ITU-T G.698.2, qv] It would be helpful to show the patch cord, between Tx and TP2 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Add patch cord and MDI point to figure 156-6 similar to figure 156-2, with editorial license C/ 156 SC 156.1 P 92 L 44 # 557 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 P 93 L 9 # 560 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Comment Status A Comment Type Ε bucket Should be under 156.9.10 TX SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Tx Response Response Response Status C Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change. Change "TX" to "Tx"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 560

Page 125 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

SC 156.10.1.2.2 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 P 93 L 8 # 561 C/ 156 P 94 L 36 # 564 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status R Calibrated Coherent Receiver Need a bigger block size for at least one of these, to go with the jitter corner frequency SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Calibrated coherent receiver and so on, also in other figures Response Response Response Status C Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. In 156.10 ensure correct capitialization with editorial license The CRG had no consensus to make a change at this, more study on a suitable solution is required. C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 P 93 L 8 # 562 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 P 94 L 45 # 565 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Nvidia Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status A Digital Signal Processing 3rd-order super Gaussian filter with RRC = 0.2 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy A to D and analysis? 156.10.1.2 says it's Offline Proposed Response Response Status Z Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. See response to comment 121 C/ 156 P 94 # 563 C/ 156 P 94 SC 156.10.1.2 L 3 SC 156.10.1.2.4 L 45 # 566 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket super Gaussian https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gaussian function#Higherblank line order Gaussian or super-Gaussian function SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove any blank lines with editorial license See response to comment 121

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 566

Page 126 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 P 94 L 45 # 567 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 P 94 L 4 # 570 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status R **RRC** using the signal with additive white Gaussian noise considering the Receiver OSNR(min) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy do what? Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See response to comment 359 No consensus to make a change. C/ 156 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.5 P 94 L 47 # 568 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 20 # 571 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Ε Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Comment Status R IQ Offset define k and K SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy IQ offset (twice) Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. Change "IQ Offset" to "IQ offset" with editorial license No suggested remedy provided. # 569 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 P 94 L 3 Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome. Nvidia Dawe. Piers C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 20 # 572 Comment Status A Comment Type E Dawe. Piers Nvidia FIR filter with 15 real taps Comment Status R Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy It would be better to count from 1 to K in the usual way Where is the cursor? SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C REJECT. See response to comment 335. No suggested remedy provided. Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome. See response to comment 571.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 572

Page 127 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

SC 156.10.1.2.7 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 25 # 573 C/ 156 P 95 L 49 # 576 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Comment Type Е Comment Status R I delta and Q delta not norm then norm starting at 0 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Response Response Status C Response Status C REJECT. REJECT. No suggested remedy provided. No suggested remedy provided. Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome. Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome. C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 31 # 574 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 51 # 577 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status R Comment Type E Comment Status R N vs K vs 1000 Do what with alpha peak? add equation SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Response Response Status C Response Status C REJECT. REJECT. No suggested remedy provided. No suggested remedy provided. Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome. Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome. C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 / 45 # 575 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 96 L 28 # 578 Nvidia Dawe. Piers Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status A bucket n and eta are the same thing? Why not k? blank line SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Response Response Status C Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove any blank lines with editorial license No suggested remedy provided.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome.

Comment ID 578

Page 128 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A

C/ 156 SC 156.12 P 97 L 41 # 579 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A (compare 156A) SuggestedRemedy Make it clear that there is one fibre per direction at the MDI even if there is bidirectional fibre between mux/demuxes Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "is coupled to the DWDM black link medium at the MDI" to "is coupled to the DWDM black link medium via one fiber per direction at the MDI" C/ 156 SC 156.13.4.2 P 100 L 28 # 580 Dawe Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket PMD global transmit disable variable Tx Rx diff opt channel abili ty variable SuggestedRemedy roque underscore, column widths Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Correct underscore and column widths, with editorial license C/ 120A SC 120A.6 P 103 L 43 # 581 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status A Comment Type Ε rewrite bucket two 400GMII and 400GAUI-8 interfaces SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

Only one 400GAUI-8 interface

See response to comment #582.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 582

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A
8 could be p = 4, 8, or 16 as in Figure 120A-8. Or just 4

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Create annex 155A with title "400GBASE-ZR PCS/PMA sublayer partitioning examples"

Move figure 120A-9 from annex 120A to new annex 155A. Change figure number to 155A-1

In figure 155A-1, in MMD10 change "16:8" to "16:4", in MMD9 change "8:16" to "4:16", change "400GAUI-8" to "400GAUI-4" and change figure title to "Example 400GBASE-ZR PCS/PMA layering with a 400GMII Extender using one 400GAUI-4 interface".

Delete annex 120A from draft.

With editorial license

SugaestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 129 of 129 10/24/2022 11:40:05 A