C/ FM SC FM P 1 L 2 # 151 C/ FM SC FM P 1 L 25 # 153 Grow. Robert **RMG** Consulting Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket IEEE Std 802.3-2022 is both approved and published. List of amendments is not current. IEEE Std 802.3dd-2022 is approved and can be referenced by year; and cs, db, ck, and de are all at RevCom and depending on when your SuggestedRemedy D2.1 is produced might also be able to be listed with approval year of 2022. Amendment 6 Change all instances of 802.3-202x to 802.3-2022 (headers and draft text). is cx, Amendment 7 is cz. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Update list order and years as appropriate. Make the same edits to the list of amendments in the introduction starting on page 10. See response to comment 1 Response Response Status C P 1 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ FM SC FM / 10 # 152 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting See response to comment 21 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket C/ FM P 1 SC FM L 25 I think P802.3cw is currently identified as Amendment 8. **Charter Communications** Haiduczenia. Marek SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Fill in assigned amendment number. "IEEE Std 802.3-202x" is no lomnger correct - we know it will be 2022 release Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change all dated references to 802.3 from 202x to 2022 See response to comment 21 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM P 1 / 23 # 21 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems C/ FM SC FM P 2 13 # 410 Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket Dawe. Piers Nvidia Change 802.3-202x to 802.3-2022 and correct list of amendments Comment Status R Comment Type T SuggestedRemedy for operation over DWDM systems - not. Figure 156-1 has it right: "PMD FOR DWDM Change to "This draft is an amendment of IEEE Std 802.3-2022 as amended by IEEE Std CHANNEL OVER A DWDM BLACK LINK" 802.3dd-2022, IEEE Std 802.3cs-202x, IEEE Std 802.3db-202x, IEEE Std 802.3ck-202x. SuggestedRemedy IEEE Std 802.3de-202x, IEEE Std 802.3cx-202x, and IEEE Std 802.3cz-202x." Change "for operation over DWDM systems" to "for DWDM operation" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT Make the amendment order consistent with the order prescribed by the Working Group chair and update their descriptions as required. See response to comment 1. With There was no consensus to make a change. The approved project title per the PAR is editorial license. "Standard for Ethernet Amendment: Physical Layers and Management Parameters for 400 Gb/s Operation over

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/Closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

C/ FM Page 1 of 128

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SC FM 10/24/2022 11:39:31 A

DWDM (dense wavelength division multiplexing) systems".

The same language is used 802.3ct-2021 amendment title and abstract.

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ FM SC FM P 3 L 18 # 154 C/ FM SC FM P 10 L 44 # 373 Grow. Robert **RMG** Consulting Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket This is not the current mandatory front matter. Because it contains legal disclaimers and 802.3dd has been approved notices it should be current. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: IEEE Std 802.3dd(TM)-202x Replace mandatory frontmatter with that in the current IEEE SA templates. To: IEEE Std 802.3dd(TM)-2022 Response Response Status U Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ FM SC FM P 7 L 18 # 155 See response to comment #21. RMG Consulting Grow, Robert C/ FM SC FM P 11 13 # 368 Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors The P802.3cw ballot group is now inown, and can be inserted so participants can review Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket their names for proper presentation. The expansion for PMA is physical medium attachment per 802.3-2022 1.5. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Populate list with the P802.3cw ballot group (removing the officer names already listed in Change: Physical Media Attachment (PMA) lines 5 through 16. To: Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ FM SC FM P 10 / 34 C/ FM P 11 SC FM L 20 # 156 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Section 9 goes up Clause 160 P802.3cx is no longer designated as Amendment 5. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "Section Nine—Includes Clause 141 through Clause 160 and Annex 142A Renumber and move to Amendment 6. P802.3de/D3.1 has been submitted to Revcom as through Annex 154A. Clause 141 through Clause 144 and associated annexes specify Amendment 5. Reorder and number IEEE Std 802.3de-202x (or 2022 if approved). symmetric and asymmetric operation of Ethernet passive optical networks over multiple 25 Gb/s channels. Clause 145 and associated annexes specify increased power delivery Response Response Status C using all four pairs in the structured wiring plant. Clause 146 through Clause 149 and ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. associated annexes specify Physical Layers for 10 Mb/s, 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s

See response to comment 21

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

operation over a single balanced pair of conductors. Clause 150 and Clause 151 include

Gb/s, and 50 Gb/s bidirectional Physical Layer specifications."

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

additional 400 Gb/s Physical Layer specifications. Clause 153 and Clause 154 specify 100 Gb/s operation over DWDM channels. Clause 157 through Clause 160 include 10 Gb/s, 25

C/ FM SC FM Page 2 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:33 A

C/ FM SC FM P 11 L 21 # 23 C/ FM SC FM P 11 L 32 # 370 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Swap cx and de and add cz Missing ammendment 7 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make 802.3de amendment 5 and 802.3cx amendment 6.. Add amendment 7 for "IEEE Std Add: IEEE Std 802.3cz™-202x 802.3cz -202x Amendment 7 - This amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2022 adds physical Amendment 7—This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2022 and adds Clause 166. This amendment adds 2.5 Gb/s. 5 Gb/s. 10 Gb/s. 25 Gb/s and 50 Gb/s layer specifications and management parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s and 50 Gb/s operation on optical fiber for use in automotive applications." Physical Layer specifications and management parameters for optical automotive Ethernet. Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 21 See response to comment 21 C/ FM SC FM P 11 L 30 # 369 C/ FM SC FM P 11 L 33 # 158 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket The description of cx doesn't match D3.0 of P802.3cx. I believe P802.3cw has been designated Amendment 8. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: transmit and receive path delays Number based on current designations from the WG Chair. To: transmit and receive path data delays Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. See response to comment 21 C/ FM SC FM P 11 # 157 L 32 C/ FM SC FM P 11 L 35 # 371 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket P802.3cz has been designated Amendment 7. cw is ammendment 8 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert self description from the current P802.3cz draft (D2.3 soon to be released, with D3.0 Change: Ammendment x expected following September interim). To: Ammendment 8 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 21 See response to comment 21

C/ FM SC FM P 11 L 37 # 411 C/ 00 SC 0 Р L # 372 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket for operation over DWDM systems - not. Figure 156-1 has it right: "PMD FOR DWDM 802.3 has been approved CHANNEL OVER A DWDM BLACK LINK" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: IEEE Std 802.3-202x Change "for operation over DWDM systems" to "for DWDM operation". To: IEEE Std 802.3-2022 This should match the abstract on page 2. throughout the document Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 410 See response to comment 1 SC 0 P L # 582 C/ 00 C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 L 2 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Ran Adee Cisco Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket 8 could be p = 4, 8, or 16 as in Figure 120A-8. Or just 4 P802.3 was approved as a revision standard by the IEEE SA Standards Board on 13 May 2022. SuggestedRemedy P802.3dd was approved as a new standard by the IEEE SA Standards Board on 16 June Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "IEEE Std 802.3™-202x" to "IEEE Std 802.3™-2022" in the page header. Create annex 155A with title "400GBASE-ZR PCS/PMA sublayer partitioning examples" Change "IEEE Std 802.3dd-202x" to "IEEE Std 802.3dd-2022" on line 25. Move figure 120A-9 from annex 120A to new annex 155A. Change figure number to 155A-1 Apply in other places across the document as appropriate, with editorial license. Response Response Status C In figure 155A-1, in MMD10 change "16:8" to "16:4", in MMD9 change "8:16" to "4:16", ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

change "400GAUI-8" to "400GAUI-4" and change figure title to "Example 400GBASE-ZR PCS/PMA layering with a 400GMII Extender using one 400GAUI-4 interface".

Delete annex 120A from draft.

With editorial license.

See responses to comments 1 and 21

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144b P 18 L 9 # 412

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status A

"using 400GBASE-R encoding" doesn't represent what's in this draft: the BASE-R encoded signal is transported, but what is actually used is GMP, SC-FEC, SD-FEC, DP-16QAM and coherent transmission and detection. But we would call any 80 km-capable PHY "Z" anyway, whatever coding technology it used. The definitions for BASE-H, T, E, L, S don't discuss coding, they adress medium, reach or wavelength.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:

1.4.14b 400GBASE-Z: IEEE 802.3 family of Physical Layer devices with reach up to at least 80 km on single-mode optical fiber. (See IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 156.)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 170

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"family of Physical Layer devices" is misleading, as there would be only one member, based on this draft. Also it's unnecessary: any future 400GBASE-Z project could add the word at the time when the facts change.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "family of"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 170

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144b P 18 L 9 # 347

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The term 400GBASE-Z seems to only once in the specification, and there is no description of the "family" described in this definition. Further, based on where it is used appears to be in error. I only find it in connection with Figure 155-2 (page 35) in the sentence "A functional block diagram of the 400GBASE-Z PCS sublayer is shown in Figure 155-2". The figure itself calls this the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, and 400GBASE-ZR is used everywhere else. Suggest this definition may be left over from some earlier thought...

SuggestedRemedy

Delete 1.4.144b definition. Alternatively, add text to the draft (likely 155) explaining the general family and its members...

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 170

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144b P 18 L 9 # 170

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

As the 400GBASE-ZR PHY uses the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, and is the only device that uses it - there is no family. Furthermore, while it leverages the 400GBASE-R PCS, it is not really 400GBASE-R encoded.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete 1.4.144b

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete 1.4.144b. Replace 400GBASE-Z with 400GBASE-ZR throughout draft.

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144c P 18 L 12 # 171

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The 400GBASE-ZR PHY is not encoded with the 400GBASE-R PCS.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify definition to

IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 400 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 400GBASE-ZR encoding, dual polarization 16-state quadrature amplitude

modulation (DP-16QAM) modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km. (See IEEE

Std 802.3, Clause 155 and Clause 156.)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change 1.4.144c to

"400GBASE-ZR: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 400 Gb/s dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) PHY using 400GBASE-ZR PCS and PMA encoding. dual polarization 16-state quadrature amplitude (DP-16QAM) modulation, and coherent detection with reach up to at least 80 km.

(See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 155 and Clause 156.)"

C/ 1 SC 1.4.144c P 18 L 13 # 414

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Defining this PHY as "using 400GBASE-R encoding ... DP-16QAM, and coherent detection" is highly misleading. The BASE-R encoded signal is transported, but what is actually used is GMP, SC-FEC, SD-FEC DP-16QAM and coherent transmission and detection. Although it is debatable whether GMP is useful, or just included because it's there. In a short definition we need to say something about the GMP and FEC becuase neither are BASE-R, but we don't need the detail.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "using 400GBASE-R encoding, dual polarization 16-state quadrature amplitude modulation (DP-16QAM) modulation, and coherent detection" to "using 400GBASE-R encoding, GMP, strong FEC, dual polarization 16-state quadrature amplitude modulation (DP-16QAM) modulation, and coherent optical signalling"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 171

C/ 1 SC 1.5 P 18 L 21

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

# 339

# 415

Comment Type T Comment Status R

ADC is already used in IEEE Std 802.3 and is a well understood term. See later comments about use in this draft as well...

SuggestedRemedy

delete inserted abbreviation

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The term "ADC" is used in the base standard as well as this document but is not in the base standard abbreviation list so consensus of the CRG was it should be added.

C/ 1 SC 1.5 P 18 L 23 # 340

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

Comment Type T Comment Status R

DAC is already used in IEEE Std 802.3 and is a well understood term. This is only used in a figure, and without expansion in the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

delete inserted abbreviation

SC 1.5

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

C/ 1

The term "DAC" is used in the base standard as well as this document but is not in the base standard abbreviation list so consensus of the CRG was it should be added.

L 24

P 18

Dawe. Piers Nvidia

Comment Status R Comment Type ER

As the base 802.3 uses PAM2, PAM4, PAM5, PAM16, DSQ128, QAM8, QAM16 and QAM128

SuggestedRemedy

Change 16QAM to QAM16 and DP-16QAM to DP-QAM16 throughout

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

16QAM or DP-16QAM is commonly used in the industry for this optical modulation technique.

C/ 1 SC 1.5 P 18 L 30 # 149 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 19 L 17 # 24 Lusted. Kent Intel Corporation Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status A The term "GMP" is used 42 times in the draft and is not listed in the abbreviation table. MAU type needs to mention the medium The term "GMP" is loosely defined in 155.1.3 item c as "Generic mapping procedure". SuggestedRemedy GMP is described in 155.2.4.3 (p38, line 8) but not formally defined Change to "400GBASE-ZR PCS/PMA over single-mode fiber PMD with reach up to at least SuggestedRemedy 80 km as specified in Clause 156" Add "GMP: generic mapping procedure" to the entries. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. As noted in 156.1 the medium is stated as a single-mode fiber-based dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) channel which may contain one or more optical amplifiers "GMP" is included in 1.5 of IEEE Std 802.3-2022. and is specified using a black link approach (see 156.6). C/ 1 SC 1.5 P 18 L 30 # 148 Change to "400GBASE-ZR PCS/PMA over a DWDM channel PMD with reach up to at Lusted Kent Intel Corporation least 80 km as specified in Clause 156". Comment Status R Comment Type TR C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P 20 L 14 # 374 The term "SC-FEC" is used 59 times in the draft and is not listed in the abbreviation table. Cl 155.1.2 defines SC-FEC to mean "staircase forward error correction". Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Add "SC-FEC: staircase forward error correction" to the entries. syle Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Add an elipses in the first blank row in Tagle 45-3. Delet the blank row after the row for 1.825 through 1.899. "SC-FFC" is included in 1.5 of IFFF Std 802 3-2022 Response Response Status C C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 19 L 12 # 196 ACCEPT. Huber, Thomas Nokia Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.9 P 21 / 32 # 159 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Grow, Robert RMG Consulting The values of aMAUType are alphabetized by rate in 802.3-2022. 400GBASE-ZR should Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket be inserted after 400GBASE-VR4 that 802.3db added. Incorrect subclause number. SuggestedRemedy Change SR16 to VR4 in the editing instruction SuggestedRemedy Change to 45.2.1.22 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT Change editing instruction to "Insert 400GBASE-ZR PHY type into the "APPROPRIATE

SYNTAX" section of 30.5.1.1.2 after 400GBASE-VR4 (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3db-

202x) as follows"

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.22.13 P 22 L 1 # 160 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.150.1 P 22 L 11 # 161 Grow. Robert **RMG** Consulting Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Incorrect insert point, subclauses are in decreasing register bit number order. The subclause title for this subclause number and the following text is: Tx optical channel index (1.800.5:0) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert new subclause 45.2.1.22.1c after 45.2.1.22.1b (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3db-Correct title as in 802.3-2022. 202x) as follows: Renumber subclause as 45.2.1.22.1.c. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change subclause title to "Tx optical channel index (1.800.5:0)" See response to comment 25 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.150.1 P 22 / 17 # 416 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.22.13 P 22 L 1 # 25 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Marris Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type E Comment Status R Comment Status A Comment Type ER bucket It would help to point out that these the channel plans differ in more ways than that one Needs to reference modification made by 802.3db and change paragraph number to has more channels than the other. 45.2.1.22.1aa SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Maybe NOTE--These two tables are significantly different? Change editig instruction to: "Insert new subclause 45.2.1.22.1aa after 45.2.1.22.1 and Response Status C before 45.2.1.22.1a (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3db-2022) as follows:" REJECT. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The referenced tables provide the information necessary to understand how they are different Change editing instruction to "Insert new subclause 45.2.1.22.1c after 45.2.1.22.1b (as C/ 45 P 23 L 4 inserted by IEEE Std 802.3db-2022) as follows:" SC 45.2.1.153.1a # 221 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise CI 45 P 22 # 375 SC 45.2.1.1150 L 15 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Subclause 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx index ability 48 through 63 (1.804.0 through 1.804.15)' says Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket that 'Bits 1.804.1 through 1.804.15 indicate the equivalent for index values 48 through 63, typo 154.6 is not a proper Table number. respectively.'. Bit 1.804.1 is Tx index ability 49, not Tx index ability 48 (see page 23, line 23). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: 154.6 To: 154-5 Suggest that the text '... for index values 48 through 63 ...' should read '... for index values 49 through 63 ...'. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 198

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.153.1a**  Page 8 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:33 A

CI 45

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.153.1a L 31

# 376

General Motors

Comment Type E

Wienckowski. Natalie

Comment Status A

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise bucket

45.2.1.153.1a is not being placed under 45.2.1.153.1 in the base spec, it should be under 45.2.1.153a in this spec.

P 23

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 45.2.1.153.1a

To: 45.2.153a.1

Also in the instructions on P22L19

Response

C/ 45

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 162

SC 45.2.1.153.1a

P 23

L 35

# 198

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The index value associated with bit 1.804.1 should be 49 rather than 48

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"Bits 1.804.1 through 1.804.15 indicate the equivalent for for index values 48 through 63, respectively."

"Bits 1.804.1 through 1.804.15 indicate the equivalent for for index values 49 through 63, respectively."

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type Comment Status A

SC 45.2.1.153.1a

Subclause 45.2.1.153.1a 'Tx index ability 48 through 63 (1.804.0 through 1.804.15)' includes the text 'For 400GBASE-ZR see Table 156-4.' at the end of the subclause. Similarly, subclause 45.2.1.157a 'Rx optical frequency ability 4 register (Register 1.824)' includes the text 'For 400GBASE-ZR see Table 156-4.' at the end of the subclause. Since Tx index ability 0 through 47 and Rx index ability 0 through 47 will now also apply to 400GBASE-ZR, as well as 100GBASE-ZR, suggest that similar text be added to the end of subclauses 45.2.1.151.1 through 45.2.1.157.1.

L 37

P 23

#### SugaestedRemedy

Suggest changes to subclauses 45.2.1.151.1 through 45.2.1.157 be added to the draft. These changes should change the text at the end of these existing subclauses that reads 'For 100GBASE-ZR see Table 154-5.' to read 'For 100GBASE-ZR see Table 154-5, for 400GBASE-ZR see Table 154-5.'.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 45.2.1.151.1, 152.1, 153.1, 155.1, 156.1, and 157.1 change the last sentence from "For 100GBASE-ZR see Table 154-5." to "For 100GBASE-ZR see Table 154-5 and for 400GBASE-ZR see Table 156-4." In 45.2.1.150.1 add a new last sentence "For 400GBASE-ZR the specific optical frequency corresponding to each channel index number is listed in Table 156-4." In 45.2.1.154.1 add a new second to last sentence "For 400GBASE-ZR the specific optical frequency corresponding to each channel index number is listed in Table 156-4." With editorial license.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.153a P 22 L 19 # 197

# 222

Huber. Thomas

Nokia

Comment Type E

Comment Status A

bucket

The numbering of the subclauses in the editing instruction is not consistent with the style quide. The subclause underneath new subclause 45.2.1.153a should be numbered as .1 rather than 1a.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 45.2.1.153.1a to 45.2.1.153a.1

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 162

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Insert point is after the subclauses of 45.2.1.153.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert 45.2.1.153a and 45.2.1.153.1a after 45.2.1.153.1 as follows:

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Change editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.1.153a after 45.2.1.153.1 as follows" and add new editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.1.153a.1 after 45.2.1.153a as follows"

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.157.1a P 24 L 1 # 377

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

45.2.1.157.1a is not being placed under 45.2.1.157.1 in the base spec, it should be under 45.2.1.157a in this spec.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 45.2.1.157.1a To: 45.2.157a.1

Also in the instructions on P24L3.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 163

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.157a P 22 L 19 # [163

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Insert point is after the subclauses of 45.2.1.157.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert 45.2.1.157a and 45.2.1.157.1a after 45.2.1.157.1 as follows:

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.1.1573a after 45.2.1.157.1 as follows" and add new editing instruction to "Insert 45.2.1.157a.1 after 45.2.1.157a as follows"

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.157a P 24 L 19 # 199

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The numbering of the subclauses in the editing instruction is not consistent with the style guide. The subclause underneath new subclause 45.2.1.157a should be numbered as .1 rather than 1a.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 45.2.1.157.1a to 45.2.1.157a.1

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 163

CI 78 SC 78 P 26 L 1 # 35

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

802.3cw does not have an objective to support EEE.

The usage of EEE in current high-speed Ethernet applications is practically non-existent. Therefore there is no need to list new PHYs as supporting EEE, nor to add LPI specific features to new PCSs that are added for these PHYs. Having optional features that are never used is a burden for readers and implementers.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove clause 78 from this amendment.

Remove the "O" in the 400GBASE-ZR row for EEE in Table 116-5.

Delete all registers and functions related to EEE or LPI from the PCS specifications in clause 155.

Implement additional changes as necessary with editorial license.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Cl 78 SC 78.1.4 P 26 L 16 # 172

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

EEE Clauses point to the respective PCS, PMA, and PMD sublayers of the PHY. Clause 118 is an extender sublayer but the DTE/ PHY XS sublayers, which are essentially PCS functions. So it may be ok to leave - but this has never been done before. Clause 120 is not part of the 400GBASE-ZR stack.

SuggestedRemedy

Change entry in Clause field to:

155, 156

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Overtaken by events. See response to comment 35.

C/ 116 SC 116.1.3 P 27 L 22 # 417

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status A

As in an earlier comment: just saying "using 400GBASE-R encoding" is highly misleading This PHY and its coding is very different to normal BASE-R.

SuggestedRemedy

Either, change "using 400GBASE-R encoding" to "using 400GBASE-R encoding, GMP, strong FEC, dual polarization DP-16QAM, and coherent optical signalling", or delete "using 400GBASE-R encoding". People can follow the link to Clause 156 to find out more.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment 173

C/ 116 SC 116.1.3 P 27 L 22 # 418

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status A

All normal BASE-R PHYs use the same Clause 120 PMA, so it has not been mentioned in this table up to now. This one is different.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "(see Clause 156)" to "(see Clause 155 and Clause 156)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 173

C/ 116 SC 116.1.3 P 27 L 22 # 173

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The 400GBASE-ZR PHY leverages the 400GBASE-R PCS, but is not really 400GBASE-R encoded.

SuggestedRemedy

modify description entry of Table 116-2 to: 400 Gb/s PHY using 400GBASE-ZR encoding capable of transmission over a

400 Gb/s PHY using 400GBASE-ZR encoding capable of transmission over a specified channel on a defined DWDM grid in each direction of transmission with reach up to at least 80 km (see Clause 155 and Clause 156)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change description Table 116-2 to

"400 Gb/s PHY using 400GBASE-ZR PCS and PMA encoding capable of transmission over a specified channel on a defined DWDM grid in each direction of transmission with reach up to at least 80 km (see Clauses 155 and 156)"

C/ 116 SC 116.1.3 P 27 L 22 # 419

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The manipulations described in this draft don't describe a BASE-R "native Ethernet"; rather, they are like 10GBASE-W. An Ethernet signal is packed into a telecoms wrapper (then, based on SONET, here, based on OTN).

The combination is clumsy and messy. Starting from Ethernet building blocks, one would not engineer it like this. I understand that the rationale is because those designs were already there, and the cost of a clean design was thought to outweigh the inefficiencies of this scheme. But that calls "broad market potential" into question.

#### SuggestedRemedy

I can think of three options:

Redo Clause 155, leaving out GMP and FAW and simplifying the training sequence and pilot sequence to make an Ethernet PHY;

Cancel this project, and encourage those interested to feed their learnings into OIF's "400ZR" maintenance:

Rename this PHY to 400GBASE-ZW, which is more honest and leaves the "400GBASE-ZR" name available to any future native Ethernet PHY, should the broad market potential be found.

Response Status U

REJECT.

No consensus within the CRG to change the name of the 400GBASE-ZR PHY

CI 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 8 # 4

Brown, Matt Huawei

devices called 400GBASE-Z as defined in 1.4.144b.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

This table is wider than the defined margins. It would be better to create a new table for 400GBASE-Z optical PHYs. Note that 400GBASE-ZR is part of the family of physical layer

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change title of Table 116-5 to "PHY type and clause correlation (400GBASE-R optical)" with appropriate editorial instruction and change formating. Insert new Table 116-x "PHY type and clause correlation (400GBASE-Z optical)" and include the row for 400GBASE-ZR as provided in Table 116-5 in D2.0 with only the necessary columns.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Change title of Table 116-5 to "PHY type and clause correlation (400GBASE-R optical)" and remove the table from the draft. With editorial license.

Insert new Table 116-x "PHY type and clause correlation (400GBASE-ZR optical)" and include the row for 400GBASE-ZR as provided in Table 116-5 in D2.0 with only the necessary columns. See response to comment 174.

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 10 # 164

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Base text is not correct. P802.3db/D3.2 inserted two columns under clause 167 (400GBASE-SR4 PMD is missing). The column is also missing from P802.3ck/D3.3

SuggestedRemedy

Add column for 400GBASE-SR4 PMD under Clause 157 as found in the latest version of P802.3db (or if approved or published IEEE Std 802.3db).

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 4

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Table 116-5 has been changed in 802.3db to have one column group for clause 167 (with its two PHYs).

Also, the table ruling should be cleaned up.

SuggestedRemedy

Align the columns with 802.3db D3.2 and apply formatting as required to match the original table structure.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 4

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 42 # 175

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

While the 400GMII Extender is optional, it may only be used above the 400GBASE-ZR PHY, and not within the PHY itself.

SuggestedRemedy

Add note C to entry for Clause 118.

Note C - The 400GMII Extender SHALL only be used between the RS and 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

Proposed Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 42

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The table notes the following clauses as optional - 119, 120, 120B, 120C, 120D, 120E, 120F, and 120G. These layers are not directly used as part of the 400GBASE-ZR PHY, but are inferred through the use of the 400GMII Extender.

SuggestedRemedy

Make entries for the following clauses blank: 119, 120, 120B, 120C, 120D, 120E, 120F, and 120G..

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For the 400GBASE-ZR row in Table 116-5 delete "o" (optional) in following clauses (119, 120, 120B – 120G)

# 174

CI 116 SC 116.1.4 P 28 L 43 # 223

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder' says that 'The 128-bit code words are sent as 8-bit symbols to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer on the PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_7.request inter-sublayer signals.'. Further, subclause 155.2.5.1 'Hamming SD-FEC decoder' says 'The incoming DP-16QAM symbols are digitized to an m-bit resolution by the PMA sublayer receive direction (see 155.3.3.5) and provided to the PCS receive direction by PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.indication to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_m-1.indication inter-sublayer signals.' and that 'The Hamming SD-FEC decoder is a soft decision decoder and so requires a higher resolution than 2 bits / 4

levels for each of the signals XI, XQ, YI, and YQ.'. Finally, Figure 155-10 '400GBASE-ZR PMA functional block diagram' says 'm is implementation dependent and is the number of bits of resolution of the DP-16QAM symbols.'

Rather than operating as n parallel asynchronous PCS lanes that carry alignment markers and lane numbers that enable the original data to be restored or n lanes to be multiplex into m lanes, it appears the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface between the PCS and the PMA operates as an n-bit synchronous data path, transferring a single DP-16QAM symbol during each operation. This seems to be confirmed by subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' that says '... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes ...'. In the case of the transmit path, the DP-16QAM symbols are encoded as 8-bit words, 2 bits representing the 4 levels for each of the in-phase and quadrature components of the X and Y polarizations. In the case of the receive path, the DP-16QAM symbols are encoded as p bits representing g levels, where p and g are implementation dependant.

This all seems to preclude the physical instantiation of the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface between the PCS and the PMA as a 400GAUI. This is because [1] the PMA service interface doesn't support alignment markers and lane numbers allowing multiplexing and de-multiplexing to different widths; [2] the PMA service interface width on the receive path is implementation dependant; and [3] the PMA service interface operates as a synchronous data path, transferring a single DP-16QAM symbol during each operation, requiring a skew between the bits of less than one 400GBASE-ZR frame DP-16QAM symbol time (~17.3 ps) which I don't believe a 400GAUI would meeting. This seems to be confirmed by the one example given in annexe 120A.6 'Partitioning example supporting 400GBASE-ZR' which only shows a 400GAUI 'above' the 400GBASE-ZR PCS, and not 'below'.

Based on the above, add footnotes to the 'O's in the 400GAUI columns of the 400GBASE-ZR row in Table 116–5 to note the 400GAUI is only supported 'above' the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add a footnote to the 'O's in the 400GAUI columns of the 400GBASE-ZR row in Table 116–5 that reads '400GAUI only supported as a physical instantiation of the 400GMII Extender (see 118.1.3).'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 174

CI 116 SC 116.2.3 P 28 L 53 # 5

Brown, Matt Huawei

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The 400GBASE-ZR is part of the family of physical layer devices called 400GBASE-Z as defined in 1.4.144b, not 400GBASE-R. The editorial changes in 116.2.3 are therefore incorrect.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Rather than changing the first paragraph, add the following new paragraph at the end of 116.2.3: "The term 400GBASE-Z refers to a specific family of Physical Layer devices using 400GBASE-R encoding, a combination of phase and amplitude modulation, and coherent detection. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS defined in Clause 155 performs encoding of data from the 400GMII, applies FEC, and transfers the encoded data to the PMA."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete existing text in D2.0 for 116.2.3

Add a new last paragraph to 116.2.3

"The 400GBASE-ZR PHY uses the PCS specified in Clause 155. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS performs encoding of data from the 400GMII to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface."

C/ 116 SC 116.2.3 P 29 L 1 # 176

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The changes to the base text are incorrect as 400GBASE-ZR is not a member of 400GBASE-R family.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete noted text in 802.3cw D2.0 116.2.3

recommended text will be provided in a follow-up presentation.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 5

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 116 SC 116.2.3 Page 14 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:33 A

Cl 116 SC 116.2.3 P 29 L 2 # 420

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

TR

Comment Status A

This says "The term 400GBASE-R refers to a specific family of Physical Layer implementations based upon the 64B/66B coding method specified in Clause 119 or Clause 155 and the PMA specifications defined in Clause 120 or Clause 155." But these are two distinctly different "families".

SuggestedRemedy

Revert this text and add a separate paragraph introducing 400GBASE-W

Comment Status A

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 5

C/ 116 SC 116.2.3 P 29 L 6 # 421

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

TR

This paragraph summarizing the PCS needs a new sentence specifically for the Clause 155 PCS, which does clock domain translation and uses a concatenated FEC scheme, neither part of which is a BASE-R FEC

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Add new sentence.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment 5

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The changes to the base text are incorrect as 400GBASE-ZR is not a member of 400GBASE-R family.

P 29

L 10

# 177

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 116

Delete noted text in 802.3cw D2.0 116.2.4

recommended text will be provided in a follow-up presentation.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 6

C/ 116 SC 116.2.4

Brown, Matt Huawei

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The 400GBASE-ZR is not a 400GBASE-R PMA, but rather a 400GBASE-Z PMA as defined in 1.4.144b. The editorial changes in 116.2.3 are therefore incorrect.

P 29

L 12

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editorial instructions to modify the content of 116.2.4 as follows.

Make the first sentence of the first paragraph a paragraph of its own.

Merge the second paragraph with the previous paragraph.

Add a new paragraph at the end of 116.2.4 as follows:

"The 400GBASE-ZR PMA, which is a 400GBASE-Z PMA, is defined in Clause 155."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 116.2.4 change editing instruction to "Replace 116.2.4 with"

With the following text

"The PMA provides a medium-independent means for the PCS to support the use of a range of physical media.

The 200GBASE-R and 400GBASE-R PMAs perform the mapping of transmit and receive data streams between the PCS and PMA via the PMA service interface, and the mapping and multiplexing of transmit and receive data streams between the PMA and PMD via the PMD service interface. In addition, the PMA performs retiming of the received data stream when appropriate, optionally provides data loopback at the PMA or PMD service interface, and optionally provides test pattern generation and checking. The 200GBASE-R and 400GBASE-R PMAs are specified in Clause 120.

The 400GBASE-ZR PHY uses the PMA specified in Clause 155"

With editorial license

C/ 116 SC 116.2.4 P 29 L 12 # 422 C/ 116 SC 116.2.5 P 29 L 19 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Brown, Matt Huawei Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A "all 400GBASE-R PMAs other than 400GBASE-ZR" is making my point that this is not a The 400GBASE-ZR is not a 400GBASE-R PMD, but rather a 400GBASE-Z PMD as type R PMA. defined in 1.4.144b. The editorial changes in 116.2.3 are therefore incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a new sentence to the first paragraph explaining what the Clause 155 PMA does - it's Change the editorial instructions to modify the contents of 116.2.5 as follows: Add the following sentence: "The 400GBASE-ZR PMD, which is a 400GBASE-Z PMD, and different (including, no loopback). its corresponding media is specified in Clause 156." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 6 Delete existing 116.2.5 D2.0 text SC 116.2.4 P 29 C/ 116 L 12 # 200 Add as new last paragraph: Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type Comment Status A "The 400GBASE-ZR PMD and its corresponding media is specified in Clause 156." P802.3cw is introducing a second PMA for 400GBASE-R. While the text "all 400GBASE-R SC 116.4 C/ 116 P 29 L 27 PMAs other than 400GBASE-ZR are specified in clause 120" is correct, it also implies that there are many 400GBASE-R PMAs besides the one in clause 155, which is not the case. Brown, Matt Huawei SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Change the first sentence to read "The 200GBASE-R PMA and 400GBASE-R PMA for In the editorial instruction, statement "unchanged rows not shown" is incorrect since the PHYs other than 400GBASE-ZR are specified in Clause 120." two rows shown are inserted, not changed. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Change "unchanged rows not shown" to "some unchanged rows not shown". Response Response Status C See response to comment 6 ACCEPT. C/ 116 SC 116.2.5 P 29 / 18 # 178 D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Type TR Comment Status A The changes to the base text are incorrect as 400GBASE-ZR is not a member of 400GBASE-R family. SuggestedRemedy Delete noted text in 802.3cw D2.0 116.2.5 recommended text will be provided in a follow-up presentation.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 7 bucket

Cl 116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 30 # 179

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

As noted, 400GBASE-ZR is not a member of 400GBASE-R. It is also noted that per 1.4.215, the bit time is the reciprocal of the bit rate.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify beginning of notes a and b to For 400GBASE-R and 400GBASE-ZR

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Modify beginning of footnotes a and b from "For 400GBASE-R" to "For 400GBASE".

Updated editing instruction to include modification of the footnotes.

Comment Status A

With editorial license.

C/ 116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 35 # 37

Ran, Adee Cisco

Т

4688 pause\_quanta equals 2400256 bit times, not 2400000, and 6000.64 ns, not 6000. So either BT and ns column or pause quanta column should be changed.

The precedence (e.g. in 153.2.2) is to use integer pause\_quanta and whatever time/BT that result from it.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change maximum in BT from 2400000 to 2400256 and maximum in ns from 6000 to 6000.64.

Also change in 155.6.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy in conjunction with clause 155 rewrite, see response to comment #346. With editorial license.

C/ 116 SC 116.4 P 29 L 35 # 183

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Note a and b for Table 116-7 only provide respective defiintions for 400GBASE-R.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify notes to provide definitions for 400GBASE-ZR.

Proposed Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 9 # 195

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

400GBASE-ZR has no PCS lanes -

SuggestedRemedy

all of these notes need to remove any references to clause 156

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #180.

C/ 116 SC 116.5 P 30 L 30 # 180 C/ 120A SC 120A.6 P 103 L 8 D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communications Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Upon further review it is not clear how Table 116-8 actually ties into 400GBASE-ZR: Text of the editorial instruction should be bolded and italics The skew variation is tied to 400GBASE-R - 3RD column SuggestedRemedy - Unclear that there are PCS lanes in 400GBASE-ZR Per comment - Both Fig 1164 and 116-5 are relevant to 400GBASE-ZR and these are not the same service interfaces that are defined for 400GBASE-ZR Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT Presentation to be provided to address topic. Proposed remedy at this time -C/ 120A SC 120A.6 P 103 L 30 1. Delete Table 116-8 in P802.3cw - not relevant to 400GBASE-ZR Charter Communications Hajduczenia, Marek 2. Create new skew constratint table 3. A skew points diagram for 400GBASE-ZR is neeeded. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Missing space between "400GXS" and "=" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Per comment Update skew constraint requirements in conjunction with clause 155 rewrite, see response to comment #346. With editorial license. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 119 SC 119 P 31 L 1 # 201 SC 120A.6 P 103 Huber. Thomas Nokia C/ 120A L 43 # 581 Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Dawe. Piers Nvidia The change indicated to be made to the NOTE in 119.2.5.7 has already been made in Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket 802.3-2022 two 400GMII and 400GAUI-8 interfaces. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove clause 119 (and all subclauses) Only one 400GAUI-8 interface Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 165 See response to comment #582. C/ 119 SC 119 P 31 / 1 # 165 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Ε Comment Type Comment Status A

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

The strikethrough text does not appear in the published IEEE Std 802.3-2022 standard.

Response Status C

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

Delete Clause 119 from the draft.

C/ **120A** SC **120A.6**  Page 18 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:33 A

C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 L 3 # 126 C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 L 14 # 26 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Comment Status A bucket This is a single clause that covers both the PCS and PMA sublavers. Section 155.1 Missing space includes a summary of the PCS functions (in section 155.1.3). For consistency with SuggestedRemedy previous standards I think this section should also include a summary of the PMA functions. Change "characters. The" to "characters. The" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Add a new sub-section after 155.1.3 and before 155.1.4, to include a summary of the PMA ACCEPT functions Response Response Status C SC 155.1.1 P 32 C/ 155 L 14 # 423 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dawe, Piers Nvidia See response to comment #346. Comment Type TR Comment Status A PCS description "The 64B/66B code is transcoded to 256B/257B encoding to reduce the overhead before # 9 C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 L 10 the addition of forward error correction (FEC)": that's what true 400GBASE-R does. This is Brown. Matt Huawei different. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket SuggestedRemedy PHY name breaks across two rows. before clock domain translation, addition of a CRC, the addition of forward error correction (FEC) and SC-FEC, scrambling, interleaving and a second FEC SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C In 400GBASE-ZR change hyphen to non-breaking hyphen ([ESC],[-],[h]). Same for "DP-16QAM" on line 18. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Replace 155.1.1 with ACCEPT. "This clause specifies the physical coding sublayer (PCS) and physical medium P 32 # 125 C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 L 10 attachment (PMA) sublayer for the physical layer implementation known as 400GBASE-ZR. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS and 400GBASE-ZR PMA are sublavers of the 400GBASE-Cisco Systems Nicholl, Gary ZR PHY listed in Table 116-2. The term 400GBASE-ZR is used when referring to the Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket 400GBASE-ZR PHY, which uses the PCS and PMA defined in this clause." Use non-breaking hypen for "400GBASE-ZR" C/ 155 SC 155.1.1 P 32 L 17 # 169 SuggestedRemedy Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis Use non-breaking hypen for "400GBASE-ZR" throughtout document... Comment Type T Comment Status R PCS description Response Response Status C The QAM naming convention in the 802.3-2022 document employs a hyphen between the ACCEPT. number of states and QAM (e.g., 16-QAM). See 45.2.1.208.3 for an example reference. SuggestedRemedy Globally replace "16QAM" with "16-QAM" and "DP-16QAM" with "DP-16-QAM". Response Response Status C REJECT. See reponse to comment 415

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **155** SC **155.1.1**  Page 19 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:33 A

C/ 155 SC 155.1.2 P 32 L 29 # 38 C/ 155 SC 155.1.2 P 32 L 30 # 186 Ran. Adee Cisco D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status D Clause 119 is included in this amendment. SC-FEC is used throughout the draft, but is not detailed in 1.5 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make "Clause 119" an active cross reference. add abbreviation SD-FEC - staircase forward error correction Proposed Response Response Response Status C Response Status Z REJECT ACCEPT. SC 155.1.2 P 32 # 39 C/ 155 L 30 Ran. Adee Cisco This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket C/ 155 SC 155.1.2 P 33 L 18 # 181 Superfluous comma before "and" D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket Delete the comma See Figure 155-1. The bottom of the stack should include a label that is the PMD Response Response Status C Reference Figure 124-1 for a similar diagram. ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Add 400GBASE-ZR under the box labeled "MEDIUM". Reference Figure 124-1 for a C/ 155 SC 155.1.2 P 32 L 30 # 378 similar diagram. Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Response Response Status C Comment Status A Comment Type E bucket ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. A comma is not needed after "and" when it is a list of only 2 items. See response to comment #346. SuggestedRemedy Change: staircase forward error correction (SC-FEC), and soft decision forward error C/ 155 SC 155.1.3 P 33 L 36 # 379 correction Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors To: staircase forward error correction (SC-FEC) and soft decision forward error correction Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Response Response Status C wording ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change: Transcoding from 66-bit blocks to (from) 257-bit blocks. To: Transcoding of 66-bit blocks to (from) 257-bit blocks. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **155** SC **155.1.3**  Page 20 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:33 A

C/ 155 SC 155.1.3 P 33 L 40 # 127 C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 33 L 52 # 182 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Type Comment Status D references Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Item d on the list references to "ITU-T G.709 Annex D". Is this a publically available When using an Extender, the PCS is connecting to the 400GMII in theory. This sentence does not express this document? Optionally the upper interface may connect to a 400GMII Extender, defined in Clause 118, SuggestedRemedy which then This is just a question for clarification. connects to the Reconciliation Sublayer. Proposed Response Response Status Z SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Delete noted sentence. Response Response Status C This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.1.3 P 33 / 42 # 128 See response to comment #346. Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket P 34 C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 12 # 424 Item e) and f) mention SC-FEC, but there is no definiton of "SC-FEC" in the definitions Dawe. Piers Nvidia section (1.4). Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket SuggestedRemedy 8 x 59.84375 x (28/29) ... Add a definition for "SC-FEC" into section 1.4 (unless it was added by a previous project). SuggestedRemedy Response Status C use multiplication sign as elsewhere ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 33 L 49 # 129 See response to comment #346. Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 34 L 2 # 425 Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket Dawe, Piers Nvidia This section is under "overview" and is titled "Inter-sublayer interfaces". However it only Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket mentions the inter-sublayer interfaces above and below the PCS. Shouldn't this section also cover the PMA inter-sublayer interfaces? Giving an encoded rate in "Gb/s" is confusing because that's how we express MAC rates. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a description of the PMA inter-sublayer interfaces to this section. Something like: The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a nominal transfer rate rate at the 8-wide PMA service Response Response Status C interface of 59.84375 x (28/29) Gtransfers/s +/- 20 ppm for a total of ~462.2414 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Gtransfers/s. Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346.

Page 21 of 128

10/24/2022 11:39:33 A

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/Closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 155.1.4

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 P 34 L 2 # 41 C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 32 L 15 # 27 Ran. Adee Cisco Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket The letter x should be replaced by the multiplication sign? (twice) Missing word "The" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change per comment, and apply across the draft (search for "x" as a whole word) Change to "The PMA service interface" Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT ACCEPT SC 155.1.4 P 34 L 2 # 42 C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 34 L 15 C/ 155 # 380 Cisco Wienckowski, Natalie Ran. Adee General Motors Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket The "rate" of the PCS output has been defined as per-lane transfer rate in previous PCS wording clauses, not as the aggregate bit rate as defined here. SuggestedRemedy Consistency is preferable. Change: PMA service interface SuggestedRemedy To: The PMA service interface Change to the per-lane rate (59.84375 ? 28/29 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes). Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 34 L 15 # 184 See response to comment #346. Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei D'Ambrosia, John P 34 C/ 155 SC 155.1.4 12 # 40 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Missing word "The" at beginning of first sentence. Ran, Adee Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status A PCS description SuggestedRemedy The nominal rate is a specific number, and should not include range (in ppm). add "The" at the beginning of the sentence. Response Response Status C Also in 155.3.2. ACCEPT SuggestedRemedy Either delete "+/- 20 ppm" or delete "nominal", in both subclauses.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

At 155.1.4, delete +/- 20 ppm.

At 155.3.2, delete +/- 20 ppm in two places.

Response Status C

C/ **155** SC **155.1.4.2**  Page 22 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:33 A

C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 34 L 16 # 185 C/ 155 SC 155.1.5 P 35 L 1 # 427 D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket TR Comment Status R The inclusion of the word FEC in this sentence implies that the only encoding is FEC -This PCS is too complicated for just a "directive" specification. We need examples. The PMA Service Interface supports the exchange of FEC encoded data between the PCS SuggestedRemedy and PMA sublaver. Create examples of e.g. FEC and other blocks before and after coding. Smallish ones can There is also the 64B/66B encoding. go in the document, all can be uploaded to the directory that IEEE provides for these SuggestedRemedy things. They might need to cover some of the PMA. delete the word FFC Response Response Status U Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. A detailed suggested remedy containing an editor's instruction on how to modify the draft See response to comment #346. was not provided. C/ 155 SC 155.1.4.2 P 34 L 17 # 381 The following straw poll was taken: General Motors Wienckowski, Natalie I would support rejecting comment #427 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Yes - 10 N- 2 grammar, you are talking about 2 sublayers, not 1 sublayer. SuggestedRemedy C/ 155 SC 155.1.5 P 35 L 3 # 10 Change: between the PCS and PMA sublayer. Brown, Matt Huawei To: between the PCS and PMA sublayers. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Response Response Status C "400GBASE-Z" should be "400GBASE-ZR". ACCEPT. SugaestedRemedy SC 155.1.4.2 P 34 C/ 155 L 17 # 187 Change "400GBASE-Z" to "400GBASE-ZR". D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Response Response Status C Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Stated sentence - The PMA service interface is defined in 155.3 See response to comment #346. The link for 155.3 does not go to a PMA service interface sub clause. SuggestedRemedy Pointer should be to 155.3.2. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.1.5 P 35 L 3 # 130 C/ 155 SC 155.1.5 P 35 L 43 # 429 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Figure 155-2 is only a functional block diagram of the PCS. However section 155.1 is an "PMA:IS UNITDATA m-1.indication": the "m" in one direction only is not usual (so it looks overview for both the PCS and PMA sub-layers, so I think the functional block diagram like a leftover from Clause 119 where two widths are possible, but for a known and should include both lavers. different reason), and not explained until much later in the document SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either update Figure 155-2 to include the PMA functions, or add a separate functional Add an informative NOTE saying why it's m-1 not 7, and referring to the appropriate block diagram of the 400BASE-ZR PMA. subclause Response Response Status C Another option would be delete section 155.1.5, and include the functional block diagrams ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. of the PCS and the PMA under sections 155.2 and 155.3 respectively. Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. # 338 C/ 155 SC 155.1.5 P 55 L 3 See response to comment #346. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket C/ 155 SC 155.1.5 P 35 L 13 # 426 The sentence savs 400GBASE-Z PCS sublaver, but the figure is labeled and used as the Dawe. Piers Nvidia 400GBASE-ZR PCS sublayer (also the "R" generally is used to refer to the BASE-R Comment Status A Comment Type Ε bucket encoding used here.) Transcode SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change 155.1.5, page 34 line 3, to "400GBASE-ZR PCS sublayer" to agree with the figure transcode Response Response Status C Scrub the figures for capitals that should not be there. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See response to comment #346. P 36 C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 L 6 # 43 SC 155.1.5 P 35 # 428 C/ 155 L 25 Ran. Adee Cisco Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket The sentence "The PCS . can operate in nromal mode or in test-pattern mode" is out of "SC-FEC adapt & encoding", "SC-FEC decoding & adapt" - it would help to know that there place in the first paragraph. These modes are only discussed in the third paragraph. is interleaving here as well as below. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move the last sentence of the first paragraph to a separate paragraph before the current "SC-FEC adapt, encoding and interleaving", "SC-FEC de-interleving, decoding & adapt"? third paragraph. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **155** SC **155.2.1**  Page 24 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:33 A

Comment Type E Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Line 5 says "PCS Transmit and PCS Receive processes", but then in lines 7,17, and 27 it is "transmit channel", and line 35 "receive channel".

"channel" is an overloaded term, it is not defined in this clause and its other meanings are quite different.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "transmit channel" to "Transmit process", 3 times. Change "receive channel" to "Receive function"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 12 # 188

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The following is stated -

When communicating with the PMA in the transmit direction, the 400GBASE-ZR PCS provides eight digital lanes, which the PMA encodes into two streams of 16QAM symbols.

What are eight digital lanes? Isn't this just the PMA Service Interface

SuggestedRemedy

Reword

Transmit data-units are sent to the PMA service interfacee via the

PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_i.request primitive. The PMA then encodes the data into two streams of 16QAM symbols.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 13 # 202

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

There is inconsistency wording between Figure 155-2 (which shows m lanes in the receive direction between the PMA and PCS), the text in 155.2.1 (which indicates two streams of m-bit symbols), and text in 155.2.5.1 and in 155.3 2 (both of (which reference DP-16QAM symbols digitized to m-bit resolution).

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"When communicating with the PMA in the receive direction, the 400GBASE-ZR PCS receives two streams of digitally encoded m-bit 16QAM symbols."

"When communicating with the PMA in the receive direction, the 400GBASE-ZR PCS receives digitally encoded m-bit DP-16QAM symbols."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 14 # 430

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"receives two streams of digitally encoded m-bit 16QAM symbols" we need an explanation

of why "m-bit".

SuggestedRemedy

Add sentence explaining that m is an implementation choice, for SD-FEC.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

rewrite bucket

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 20 # 431 C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 21 # 432 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status R GMP mapper Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Is 20 ppm necessary or useful? 100GEL introduced 50, and considering the raw BER, this Markers is a very noisy signal. There is spare space in the GMP wrapper. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy markers If GMP is kept, consider changing 20 nearer to 50 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT REJECT. C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 22 # 190 There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change. D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei # 16 C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 / 20 Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Gorshe, Steve This line has inner and outer FEC codes reversed -Microchip Technology The transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC. The current text refers to "the +/- 100ppm 257-bit blocks" Blocks don't have a frequency or SuggestedRemedy ppm offset in and of themselves. Rather it is the block stream that has a rate with associate frequency tolerance. Modify noted sentence -The transmit data is encoded SuggestedRemedy with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an outer SC-FEC In this paragraph and any other occurances, references to the frequency or frequency code and an inner offset of "blocks" should be changed to "block stream" Hamming code SD-FEC. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 / 20 # 45 # 433 C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 22 Ran. Adee Cisco Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status A Comment Type E bucket Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Missing space between "20" and the unit "ppm". "transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC": this is intuitive SuggestedRemedy but not the accepted (Forney's) use of inner and outer. Insert a space. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C transmit data is encoded with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code ACCEPT. consisting of an outer SC-FEC code and an inner Hamming code SD-FEC Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **155** SC **155.2.1**  Page 26 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:33 A

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 22 # 20

Gustlin, Mark Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The use of inner and outer FEC codes seems to be backwards when compared to industry standards. Two industry books on FEC are: Error control coding (Shu Lin/Daniel Costello) and Error Control Coding (Peter Sweeney), both refere to the first code in a concatenation as the outer, and the 2nd code in a concatenation as the inner. This makes sense when you look at a diagram of the FEC codes, though it does not make sense when looking at the locaiton of the cods in the concatenation.

SuggestedRemedy

Reverse the usage to: "an outer SC-FEC code" and "an inner Hamming code SD-FEC"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 22 # 434

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

As interleavers are a significant feature of this scheme

SuggestedRemedy

Mention the interleavers in the transmit direction. (There is one mention in the receive direction.)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 25 # 131

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"Transmit data-units are sent to the service interface via the PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_i.request primitive." I presume when we say "service interface here" we are referring to the PMA service interface and not the PCS service interface?

SuggestedRemedy

Change

From:

"Transmit data-units are sent to the service interface via the PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_i.request primitive."

To:

"Transmit data-units are sent to the PMA service interface via the

PMA:IS UNITDATA i.request primitive."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 29 # 46

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The scrambled idle pattern defined in 119.2.4.9 cannot be used here as is, because the PCS processes are different.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a new subclause based on 119.2.4.9 but specific to this clause, and refer to it instead.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 31 # 435

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Suddenly talking about receiver without warning - hard to understand at first.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert "in the receive direction,"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 155	SC 155.2.1	P <b>36</b>	L 32	# 436	C/ 155 SC 155.2.1	P 36	L 38	# 47
Dawe, Piers Nvidia					Ran, Adee	Cisco		
Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket PCS Synchronization process					Comment Type E "SC-FEC blocks of 5		<b>''0</b> \	bucket
SuggestedRemedy PCS synchronization process ?					I assume is it the number of bits (otherwise, what is it?)  SuggestedRemedy  Add "bits" after "510 ? 512".			
Response ACCEPT	Г.	Response Status C			Add "bits" after "510  Response  ACCEPT.	? 512". Response Status <b>C</b>		
C/ <b>155</b>	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L <b>35</b>	# 28	C/ <b>155</b> SC <b>155.2.1</b>	P 36	L 38	# 439
Marris, Arthu		Cadence Des	ign Systems		Dawe. Piers	Nvidia	2 30	# 439
Comment Type T Comment Status A rew Should this be "128 bit"?				rewrite bucket	Comment Type E  SC-FEC blocks	Comment Status A		rewrite bucket
SuggestedRemedy  Consider changing "128-symbol" to "128 bit symbol". Similar issue with "119-symbol" on line 37.					SuggestedRemedy SC-FEC codewords (as on line 39)			
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C		
See resp	oonse to comm	nent #346."			See response to com	nment #346.		
C/ <b>155</b>	SC 155.2.1	P 36	L <b>35</b>	# 437	C/ <b>155</b> SC <b>155.2.1</b>	P 36	L 38	# 438
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket PCS Receive process				Comment Type <b>T</b> SC-FEC blocks of 51	Comment Status A 0 x 512		rewrite bucket	
SuggestedRemedy PCS Receive function or PCS receive process					SuggestedRemedy whats? bits? bytes?			
Response ACCEP1	Γ IN PRINCIPL	Response Status <b>C</b> .E.			Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C		
See response to comment #346.					See response to comment #346.			

C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 40 # 224 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket The terms 'overhead fields' (page 36, line 40) and 'OH fields' (page 38, line 46), 'OH bytes' (page 38, line 2) then 'OH blocks' on the next line, and 'GMP overhead' (page 38, line 12), seem to be used interchangeable. SuggestedRemedy Please use a consistent term, 'overhead field' seems to be the most common. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 41 # 29 Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Is "frame" the correct word to use here? SuggestedRemedy Consider changing "each 400GBASE-ZR frame" to "each 400GBASE-ZR PCS lane" or

define what "frame" means in this context. Perhaps add a link to Figure 155-3.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.2.1 P 36 L 43 # 48

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket
"257B blocks" is inconsistent with "257-bit blocks" used earlier. "B" is not used to denote

bits elsewhere (except as abbrevations in coding scheme names).

Similarly "66b", "120b", and other instances in this draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "257B" to "257-bit" across the draft except where it is part of "256B/257B".

Similarly, change "66b" to "66-bit" in 155.2.2, "120b" to "120-bit" in 155.2.4.3, and similar instances as necessary.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4 P 37 L 8 # 132

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

It is not clear to me from reading the descriptions as to how the 400GBASE-ZR base frame (Figure 155-3), 400GBASE-ZR OH frame (Figure 155-4) and the SC-FEC frame (Figure 155-5) are related and aligned?

SuggestedRemedy

Add a description or diagram to indicate how the various frame structures described in the comment are related and aligned (if indeed they are aligned).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.2.4 P 37 L 8 # 225

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The only 'shall' statement regarding the PCS transmit path (155.2.4) is in subclause 155.2.4.9 'Frame synchronous scrambler', similarly the only 'shall' statement regarding the PCS receive path (155.2.5) is in subclause 155.2.5.3 'Descrambler' and 155.2.5.6 'CRC32 check and error marking'. Mandatory PCS transmit requirements, mandatory PCS receive requirements and other mandatory requirements need to be covered by 'shall' statements.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.1 P 37 L 12 # 203

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The two paragraphs of 155.2.4.1 jump back and forth between 66b and 257b blocks in a way that could confuse a reader who is unfamiliar with the details of the clause 119 PCS.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite the text as follows:

The transmit PCS generates 66-bit blocks based upon the TXD<63:0> and <TXC<7:0> signals received from the 400GMII, as specified in the transmit state diagram showni in Figure 119-14. One 400GMII data transfer is encoded into one 66-bit block. The contents of each block are contained in a vector tx\_coded<65:0>, which is passed to the 64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder. tx\_coded<1:0> contains the sync header and the remainder of the bits contain the block payload. The rate matching described in 119.2.4.1 is not required for the 400GBASE-ZR PCS because the mapping of the transcoded block stream into the 400GBASE-ZR frame structure performs clock compensation between the two clock domains.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 37 L 29 # 226

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says that 'The GMP mapper inserts the serialized stream of 257B blocks into the payload area of a 400GBASE-ZR frame.' and that 'The frame is illustrated as a structure with 256 rows of 10 280 bits with a logical transmission order of left to right, top to bottom.'. This seems to imply that the stream of 257B blocks is inserted into one 400GBASE-ZR frame at a time.

Subclause 155.2.4.3 however then says that 'The Payload area of a four-frame multi-frame is divided into 10 220 GMP words of 4 x 257 = 1028 bits.' and that 'Each 1028-bit GMP word is either filled with data (the logically serialized 257B encoded stream produced according to 155.2.4.2) ...'. This seems to imply that the 257B blocks are inserted into four 400GBASE-ZR frames, that form a single multi-frame, at a time.

Subclause '155.2.4.6 CRC32 and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS) insertion' then says 'The stream of 400GBASE-ZR frames, illustrated in Figure 155-3, provide the input ...' seems to imply 400GBASE-ZR frames are formed one at a time, and does not reference multi-frames.

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify the definition of a multi-frame, potentially through a figure, how 257B blocks are mapped to it, and how it is mapped to the SC-FEC message.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 37 L 29 # 440

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket 257B

SuggestedRemedy

257-bit, many places. Compare base doc. "256B/257B" can stay.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 37 L 30 # 49 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 Ran. Adee Cisco Dawe, Piers Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket "The frame is illustrated as a structure with 256 rows of 10 280 bits with a logical 16 x 120b markers transmission order of left to right, top to bottom. This frame contains 5140 bits of overhead SuggestedRemedy and 10 220 257B blocks of payload. This frame is illustrated in Figure 155-3" 120-bit The order should be clearly defined in the text, not just "illustrated" in a figure. Response ACCEPT The text can be made shorter and clearer. SuggestedRemedy C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 Change the guoted text to: Slavick, Jeff "The frame is a structure that contains 5140 bits of overhead followed by 10 220 257-bit blocks of payload. This frame is illustrated in Figure 155-3, with transmission order from Comment Type Ε top row to bottom row and from left to right within each row". Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. Response ACCEPT. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 37 L 31 # 392 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 TR Comment Status A Comment Type rewrite bucket Marris. Arthur We traditionally refer to the 257b blocks as 257-bit blocks not 257B blocks (which could be Comment Type E inferred as 257 Byte) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the seven instances of 257B block to 257-bit block Response Response Status C Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 37 / 44 # 441 Dawe, Piers Nvidia

P 37 L 49 # 442 Nvidia Comment Status A bucket Response Status C P 38 L 1 Broadcom Comment Status A bucket Section 155.2.4.5 defines/describes how the OH works Change "discussed" to "described" Response Status C P 38 L 1 # 30 Cadence Design Systems Comment Status A bucket Define OH acronvm as it is the first use in the Clause Change "OH bytes" to "overhead (OH) bytes" Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

bucket

Ε

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "frame"

ACCEPT.

Response

Comment Status A

Response Status C

"Base Frame": undefined term not used elsewhere, roque capitals

C/ **155** SC **155.2.4.3**  Page 31 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:33 A

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 2 # 204

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The description of the 20-bit pad says it is inserted after the OH blocks, but the OH is a 1280 bit field (which is later described as four chunks of 320 bits that are interleaved). Since much of the text talks about 66b blocks or 257 blocks, it is probably better to refer to the OH bits rather than blocks.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change "A 20 bit pad of all zeros is added after the OH blocks" to "A 20 bit pad of all zeros is added after the 1280 OH bits."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

L 5 # 50 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 Cisco

Ran. Adee

Comment Status A Comment Type T rewrite bucket

"starting at column 5141 of row 0 and ending at column 10 280 of row 255, using GMP"

"column" has not been mentioned in preceding text. I assume a column is a bit, so there's no no need to use another term (and possibly create confusion, since in the related Clause 155 the columns denote octets).

The payload area ends simply at the end of the frame, so rows are not necessary either.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change the quoted text to "from bit 5141 to the end of the frame, using GMP"

Change "column" to "bit" across this description.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 5 # 227

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type Comment Status A

Subclause 155.2.4.3 says 'The 400GBASE-ZR PCS payload is mapped ...' however this is the only use of the term '400GBASE-ZR PCS payload' in the draft.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text 'The 400GBASE-ZR PCS payload is mapped ...' is changed to read 'The 400GBASE-ZR PCS payload of the serialized stream of 257B blocks is mapped ...'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

P 38 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 L 6 # 394

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

in item 5 it refes to the PCS payload beginning at column 5141 which would be true for a indexing that begins at 1, but Table 155-1 appears to use column indexing that begins with 0

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change "column 5141 or row 0 and ending at column 10 280 of row 255" to "column 5140 of row 0 and ending at collumn 10 279 of row 255".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 18 # 228

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The antepenultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' seems to be an introduction to the GMP and would be better placed as the first paragraph.

#### SugaestedRemedy

Suggest that the antepenultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' should be moved to be the first paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.3.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346

rewrite bucket

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 11 # 393 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 11 # 443 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket I could not find a Clause 9.4.3.2 in ITU-T G.709 but I did find a 19.4.3.2 that talks about ITU-T G.709 Clause 9.4.3.2 **GMP** SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ITU-T G.709 Clause 19.4.3.2 ? Change 9.4.3.2 to 19.4.3.2 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 / 12 # 229 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 / 11 # 205 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Nokia Huber, Thomas Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says 'The principles of the GMP mapper ... with details Clause 9.4.3.2 of ITU-T G.709 does not discuss GMP. Since the GMP OH being used of the encoding of the GMP overhead in ITU-T G.709 Clause 9.4.3.2.'. On review of ITU-T aligns with 400ZR, maybe it is better to point to 155.2.4.5.3 (which then points to the OIF G.709/Y.1331 (06/2020) <a href="https://www.itu.int/rec/recommendation.asp?lang=en&parent=T-">https://www.itu.int/rec/recommendation.asp?lang=en&parent=T-</a> 400ZR IA). ITU-T G.709 and G.709.x don't specifically discuss the GMP encoding that is REC-G.709-202006-I>, there doesn't seem to be a subclause 9.4.3.2. Perhaps the used in 400ZR and 400GBASE-ZR reference should have been to subclause 19.4.3.2 'Generic mapping procedure (GMP)' in ITU-T G.709, although that only seems to address the justification overhead bytes. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy The principles of the GMP mapper are described in ITU-T G.709 (06/2020) Annex D. with Correct the reference to the GMP overhead in ITU-T G.709. details of the encoding of the GMP overhead in ITU-T G.709 Clause 9.4.3.2. Response Response Status C The principles of the GMP mapper are described in ITU-T G.709 (06/2020) Annex D. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Details of the overhead encoding for 400GBASE-ZR are in 155.2.4.5.3. See response to comment #346. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P 38 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 / 14 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors See response to comment #346. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

> To: The payload area Response Response Status C ACCEPT

Payload should not be capitalized.

Change: The Payload area

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 15 # 150

Lusted, Kent Intel Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

As a first time reader of this section, the term "stuff" and its use in this sub-clause is difficult to follow. It took me a while to understand what "stuff" was. In this case, I interpret "stuff" to mean non-data blocks or stuffing blocks. The last two paragraphs of the sub-clause could use wording improvements to make it clearer to the reader.

#### SuggestedRemedy

In the second to last paragraph, change:

"Each 1028-bit GMP word is either filled with data (the logically serialized 257B encoded stream produced

according to 155.2.4.2) or stuff, which is transmitted as zero and ignored on receipt."

"Each 1028-bit GMP word is either filled with data bits (the logically serialized 257B encoded stream produced

according to 155.2.4.2) or stuffing blocks, which is transmitted as zero and ignored on receipt."

In the last paragraph, change:

"While the GMP mechanism is generic, the particular clock rates and tolerances for this application result in

only five cases, allowing the positions of data and stuff to be pre-computed."

"While the GMP mechanism is generic, the particular clock rates and tolerances for this application result in

only five cases, allowing the positions of data blocks and stuffing blocks to be precomputed."

Update title of Table 155-1 to:

"GMP stuffing block locations in 400GBASE-ZR frame"

In Table 155-1, change column header from:

"GMP word numbers of stuff

locations"

locations"

to

"GMP word numbers of stuffing block

In Table 155-1, change column header from:

"(row, column) of stuff location starting bits"

to

"(row, column) of stuffing block starting location"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 17 # 444

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status R

155.2.4.1 says "The rate matching described in 119.2.4.1 is not required", so the 257B encoded data can have a rate of 401.5625 Gb/s +/- 100 ppm, not 401.542892 Gb/s +/- 100 ppm

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change 401.5625 to 401.542892 mention both

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

No consensus to make a change.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 18 # 445

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The clock rate of the 400GBASE-ZR frame (GMP clock domain) is not given, although 155.1.4 gives the PMA service interface rate

#### SuggestedRemedy

Deffine the GMP rate in the PCS section

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 20 # 446

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

~10 214.684 -eh?

#### SugaestedRemedy

Wow, this is hard to read! Spaces inside indivsible things such as numbers or variable names are bad!

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 20 # 51 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 42 # 447 Ran. Adee Cisco Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Comment Status A bucket The space as thousands separator in numbers with fractional digits is unusual and Blank line confusing. SuggestedRemedy Remove Also the tilde prefix with numbers with three fractional digits seems unnecessary, especially since these numbers are then bounded by integer values. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT Change "between ~10 214.684 and ~10 217.136" to "between 10 214 and 10 218". C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 39 L 6 Alternatively keep the fractions and delete the space separators. Ran. Adee Cisco Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "10 970 bit row aligned" - the number is part of a compound noun so a hyphen should be used. The separator is not helpful in this case. See response to comment #346. SuggestedRemedy # 53 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 L 30 Change to "10970-bit row aligned". Ran. Adee Cisco Response Response Status C Comment Status A Comment Type rewrite bucket ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The "(row, column)" column seems redundant with the GMP word numbers. Also, "rows" is only used for illustration and "column" is not defined. See response to comment #346 SuggestedRemedy C/ 155 P 39 L 7 SC 155.2.4.3 # 55 Consider deleting the third column. Otherwise, change "column" to "bit #". Cisco Ran. Adee Response Response Status C Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "The AM field, containing am mapped<1919:0> is transmitted LSB first, i.e. am mapped<0> first, and am mapped<1919> last" See response to comment #346. This phrasing is awkward (am mapped has already been defined in the first paragraph) C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.3 P 38 / 30 # 52 and redundant Ran. Adee Cisco SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A Comment Type Т rewrite bucket Change to "The transmission order of am mapped is from am mapped<0> to It seems that the GMP word numbers start from 1 while the bits and rows start from 0. am mapped<1919>". If the starting index is inconsistent, it should at least be explicit. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add "(starting from 1)" after "GMP word numbers". See response to comment #346. Response Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

C/ **155** SC **155.2.4.3**  Page 35 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:33 A

rewrite bucket

C/ 155

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.4 P 38 L 46 # 206 Nokia

Huber, Thomas Comment Type Comment Status A Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

SC 155.2.4.5

frame, as shown in Figure 155-4 "

rewrite bucket

# 56

This text could be clarified. GMP is converting from the clock domain of the payload (stream of 257b blocks) to the clock domain of the 400GBASE-ZR frame. Presumably the payload blocks are already aligned to the payload clock.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite as follows: The AM, pad, and OH fields are populated after the GMP mapping process has rate-matched the 257B block stream to the payload area of the 400GBASE-ZR frame.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346. octet frames, as shown in Figure 155-4". # 387 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.4.1 P 38 L 50

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type Comment Status A Ε rewrite bucket

The name of the section include 400GBASE-ZR, why? Cl119 uses "for 200GBASE-R" and "for 400GBASE-R" since it has two different methods done for the different rates. But this is only 1 rate clause and Clause 91 and 135 don't attach the rate to it's section heading

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "400GBASE-ZR" from the section title of 155.2.4.4.1 and 155.2.4.4.2

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

There are 3 occurrences of "frame" in this sentence, it's unclear what they mean (especially with "400GBASE-ZR frame" also being defined: "frame" is an overly overloaded term).

"The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multi-

P 39

L 16

Also, "byte" is not strictly defined in 802.3 and we typically use the more specific "octet"

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "The 400GBASE-ZR overhead is a 160-octet block that is divided into four 40-

Change "byte" to "octet" globally.

In 151.2.4.5.1, change "a 256-frame multi-frame sequence" to "a 256-frame sequence".

In 155.2.4.5.3 change "four-frame multi-frame" to "OH".

Change elsewhere as appropriate. Implement with editorial license.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5 P 39 L 16 # 397

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The OH section of the 400GBASE-ZR frame is 1280 bits in size. This intro sentence states that OH is only a 40-byte is only 320 bits of data.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove 155.2.4.5.4 and update 155.2.4.5 as follows (retaining Figure 155-4):

155.2.4.5 Overhead (OH)

The 400GBASE-ZR frame contains a 1280-bit OH field. This field is logically composed of four 320- bit structures. The 40-byte overhead frame described in 155.2.4.5.1 is the first such 320-bit structure. The second, third, and fourth 320-bit structures are all zeros. The four 320-bit structures are 10-bit interleaved to form the 1280-bit overhead field.

155.2.4.5.1 40-byte overhead frame

The 40-byte overhead frame is a 40-byte frame structure that uses a four-frame multiframe, as shown in Figure 155-4 and described in 155.2.4.5.1.1 through 155.2.4.5.1.3. The contents of the 40-byte overhead frame is dependent upon the two LSB bits of the MFAS (see 155.2.4.5.1.1)

155.2.4.5.1.1 Multi-frame alignment signal (MFAS)

The MFAS is in the first byte of the 40-byte overhead frame. It is a wrapping counter that is incremented each frame to provide a 256-frame multi-frame sequence as defined by ITU-T G.709.1 Clause 9.2.1.

Renumber 155.2.4.5.2 and 155.2.4.5.3 to 155.2.4.5.1.2 and 155.2.4.5.1.3 keeping the text unchanged for those sections.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.1 P 38 L 38 # 189

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

MFAS is not listed in abbreviations

SuggestedRemedy

Add to 1.5

MFAS Multi-frame alignment signal

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.1 P 39 / 40 # 58

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

I assume the MFAS is an 8-bit counter, but figure 155-4 shows only 2 bits. This can confuse readers.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "It is a wrapping counter that is incremented each frame" to "It is an auto-wrapping 8-bit counter that is incremented on each 40-octet frame within the OH block".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.1 P 39 L 41 # 59

Ran. Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

ITU-T G.709.1 seems to be a normative reference. It does not appear in the list in 1.3 (the

ones that appear are G.709 and G.709.2; these are separate documents).

SuggestedRemedy

Add a reference in 1.3.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Add an entry in 1.3 as follows:

ITU-T Recommendation G.709.1 - Flexible OTN short-reach interfaces

references

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.1 P 39 L 41 # 448

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

G.709.1 is not a normative reference

SuggestedRemedy

Remove GMP, define the 256-frame multi-frame sequence here, or add the reference

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 39 L 32 # 390

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewirte bucket

Figure 155-4 shows the status field as having 4 different defined bits. But only 3 are specified in 155.2.4.5.2. The RES in the figure appears to be meant to be a "Reserved" field.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the RES text from Figure 155-4 and change the color of the box to be grey

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 39 L 48 # 230

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.2.4.5.2 says 'The RPF bit indicates signal fail status was detected by the remote 400GBASE-ZR receive function ...' which seems to imply that the RPF bit is mapped from the it is mapped from the SIGNAL\_OK parameter of the PMA:IS SIGNAL.indication primitive.

### SuggestedRemedy

If the RPF bit is mapped from the PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive, replace the second sentence of the second paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.5.2 with 'The bit is set based on the most recently received SIGNAL\_OK parameter of the PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primative. It is "0" if the value was OK and "1" if the value was FAIL.'.

If the RPF bit is not mapped from the PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive, please define where it is mapped from, or the conditions for when it is set and cleared.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 39 L 48 # 450

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

"The RPF bit indicates signal fail status was detected by the remote 400GBASE-ZR receive function": why is this here? Doesn't Ethernet RF do that job?

#### SuggestedRemedy

If the idea is that a 400GBASE-ZR PHY should continue to transmit data while its input is bad, then changes elsewhere would be needed for unidirectional operation

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 39 L 48 # 449 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 39 L 50 # 232 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket "signal fail status was detected by the remote 400GBASE-ZR receive function in the Subclause 155.2.4.5.2 'Link status monitoring and signaling' says 'RPF is set to "1" to indicate a remote 400GBASE-ZR PHY defect indication' however there appears to be no upstream direction". But see 1.4.586 upstream: In an access network, transmission away from the subscriber end of the definition of a 400GBASE-ZR PHY defect in the draft. link. Applicable to networks where there is a clear indication in each deployment as to SuggestedRemedy which end of a link is closer to a subscriber. Please provide a definition of the conditions considered a 400GBASE-ZR PHY defect. A status is generated, maybe based on detecting something. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Something like: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The RPF bit is used by a 400GBASE-ZR PHY to indicate to its link partner the signal fail See response to comment #346. status at its receive function Response Response Status C C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 39 L 51 # 389 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Slavick Jeff Broadcom Comment Status A Comment Type TR rewrite bucket See response to comment #346. Per Figure 155-4 the RPF field is in bit location 0 of the Status Octect. But the Text states C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 39 L 49 # 231 it's bit location 1. Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A Comment Type Ε rewrite bucket Change "in bit 1" to "the first bit" Isn't '... 400GBASE-ZR receive function in the upstream direction ...' duplicative as the Response Response Status C 'upstream direction' is the receive path. And since there is only one 400GBASE-ZR receive ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. function, it doesn't need to be qualified by 'in the upstream direction'. SuggestedRemedy See response to comment #346. Suggest that '... 400GBASE-ZR receive function in the upstream direction and ...' should C/ 155 P 40 SC 155.2.4.5.2 L 1 # 60 read '... 400GBASE-ZR receive function and ...'. Ran. Adee Cisco Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket What do "downstream", "host interface signal" and "MDI" signal" mean? See response to comment #346. Perhaps "downstream" should be "link partner"? For signals, are these the signals received by the 400GAUI C2M (which is optional) and the MDI? SuggestedRemedy Please rephrase to clarify. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 40 L 5 # 451 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.2 P 40 L 10 # 452 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Two sections, both called "Link status monitoring and signaling", say different things about "the received status byte in the receive direction": eh? e.g. STAT<6> 155.2.5.7.2 says "in the received STAT<6>", this earlier Tx one doesn't SuggestedRemedy have the equivalent. Change "then the value of RD in STAT<6> is set to the value of LD in STAT<6> of the SuggestedRemedy received status Add extra words to make the context clear. "in the transmitted" would help, but more may byte in the receive direction" to "then the value of RD in the transmitted STAT<6> is set to be needed the value of LD in the received STAT<6>"? Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346 C/ 155 P 40 # 61 SC 155.2.4.5.2 L 9 C/ 155 P 40 L 17 SC 155.2.4.5.3 # 62 Ran. Adee Cisco Cisco Ran, Adee Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket "If there is not an adjacent PHY 400GXS sublaver" "OIF-400ZR-01.0, March 10, 2020, subclause 8.9" Also in 155.2.5.7.2. This should be a normative reference document (in addition to the ITU-T documents). I found a matching document in https://www.oiforum.com/wp-content/uploads/OIF-400ZR-SuggestedRemedv 01.0 reduced2.pdf. Change to "If there is no adjacent PHY 400GXS sublayer" (2 places). Note that there are updates to this document (OIF-400ZR-01.0 Maintenance, Response Response Status C https://www.oiforum.com/get/51820) where the subclause number seems to have changed. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Consider whether the reference should be to a specific dated version or to the up-to-date See response to comment #346. Preferably provide a URL to the specific document. C/ 155 P 40 L 9 # 246 SC 155.2.4.5.2 SuggestedRemedy Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Add a reference in 1.3 with either dated or undated version, preferebly with a URL. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Suggest that '... connected to a MAC-RS ... ' should be changed to read '... connected Delete the date from the subclause text, here and in 155.2.4.6 (if a dated version is used, directly to a MAC-RS ...'. place the full dated reference in a footnote). SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C See comment ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C See response to comment #346.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3 P 40 L 17 # 453 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3 P 40 L 24 # 57 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Reference to OIF-400ZR-01.0. March 10, 2020, subclause 8.9. Note that this document is C m(t) and CnD(t) are used but not defined. I assume they are defined in an external reference, but it is unclear. If all control bytes are subject to active maintenance defined externally then there is no need for this text. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy If feasible, write the specification here. If not, check that the reference is complete, correct and detailed enough, add a normative reference. Refer to a later OIF-400ZR if appropriate. Preferably add the detailed definitions from the referenced document. Otherwise, delete the entire last paragraph. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. P 40 1 22 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3 # 396 P 40 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3 L 25 # 207 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Huber. Thomas Nokia Comment Type ER Comment Status A bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Everywhere else uses the word four not the number The 'nD' in CnD(t) should be subscripted SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "4-frame multi-frame" to "four-frame multi-frame" Change the nD to subscript. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.3 P 40 L 24 # 17 See response to comment #346. Gorshe. Steve Microchip Technology C/ 155 P 40 SC 155.2.4.5.4 L 30 Comment Type Comment Status A # 348 Ε rewrite bucket It seems worthwhile to provide some basic context regarding the meaning of Cm(t) and Maniloff, Eric Ciena SCn(t). Although G.709 provides the details, it may be worthwhile expanding this Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket statement somewhat. A figure showing the interleaving of the 4 OH instances would help clarify the OH structure. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy I suggest adding the following sentences to the end of this paragraph: "Note that Cm(t) Add a figure showing the interleaved OH mapping indicates the number of 1028-bit GMP data words that will be transmitted during the next multi-frame, with SCnD(t) nominally indicating the running remainder. Averaging the Cm(t) Response Response Status C plus SCnD(t) values across multiple multi-frames, the average represent the incoming ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. serial stream rate as the number of information bytes arriving at the GMP encoder per

See response to comment #346.

multi-frame "

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See response to comment #346.

Response Status C

Response

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.5.4 P 40 L 32 # 247

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

It appears that the 10-bit interleaver isn't specified.

SuggestedRemedy

Specify the 10-bit interleaver.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P 40 L 37 # 248

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.2.4.6 'CRC32 and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS) insertion' says that 'Each SC-FEC block has 119 x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 664 bits.', but isn't an input SC-FEC block 244 736 bits, formed of 244 664 information bits, 32 CRC bits, 6 MBAS bits, and 34 bits of padding (see figure 155-5). In addition, based on figure 155-5 and subclause 155.2.4.7, subclause 155.2.4.6 describes the input SC-FEC block.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

- [1] The first paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be changed to read 'The stream of 400GBASE-ZR frames, illustrated in Figure 155-3, provide the information bits for the calculation of SC-FEC input blocks. To conform with the format of the input SC-FEC block, 119 rows from the stream of 400GBASE-ZR frames are mapped to the information bits in 5 successive SC-FEC input blocks. Each SC-FEC input block has 119 x 10 280 / 5 bits = 244 664 information bits.'
- [2] The text '... cyclic redundancy code is calculated over 244 664 input bits as ...' in the second paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be changed to read '... cyclic redundancy code is calculated over the 244 664 information bits as ...'.
- [3] The term 'SC-FEC block' be changed to read 'SC-FEC input block' in subclause 155.2.4.6.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P 40 L 39 # [63

Ran. Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"mapped to 5 successive SC-FEC blocks"

isolated numbers less than 10 in general text should be spelled out.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "5" to "five".

Implement similar changes, and write numbers greater than 9 in digits, across the document as necessary.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P 40 L 42 # 249

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.2.4.6 'CRC32 and multi-block alignment signal (MBAS) insertion' says 'The 32 bits of the CRC value are placed with the x31 term as the left-most bit...', however, it doesn't specify where. In addition, it also says, 'Following the CRC32 a 6-bit MBAS is added.', without specifying the bit order. Finally, the CRC is referred to as a field (page 40, line 44) whereas the MBAS is referred to as overhead.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

- [1] The text '... the CRC value are placed with ...' in the second paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be changed to read '... the CRC value are placed immediately after the information bits in the SC-FEC input block with ...'.
- [2] The first sentence of the last paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.6 should be moved to the end of the paragraph and changed to read 'The 6 bits of the MBAS field are placed immediately after the CRC with the most significant bit as the left-most bit of the MBAS field and the least significant bit as the right-most bit of the MBAS field. The bits of the MBAS are transmitted in the order of most significant bit first, least significant bit last.'.
- I31 The two instances of 'MBAS overhead' should be changed to read 'MBAS field'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P 40 L 43 # 64 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P 40 L 50 # 455 Ran. Adee Cisco Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket "The 32 bits of the CRC value are placed with the x31 term as the left-most bit of the between source and sink CRC32 field and the x0 term as the right-most bit of the CRC32 field" SuggestedRemedy eh? Change to the usual terminology There is no illustration of the CRC32 block, so "right" and "left" are not really meaningful; The subsequent sentence defines the transmission order, so this sentence seems Response Response Status C redundant. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE SuggestedRemedy Delete the quoted sentence. See response to comment #346. Response Response Status C P 41 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.7 / 1 # 251 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Law David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type T Comment Status A See response to comment #346. rewrite bucket Suggest that subclause 155.2.4.7 be retitled 'SC-FEC adapt and encoding' to match the C/ 155 P 40 L 49 # 250 SC 155.2.4.6 equivalent block in Figure 155-2. **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Law. David SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A Ε bucket See comment. IEEE Std 802.3 doesn't specify implementations. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Suggest that '... staircase FEC implementation uses ...' should read '... staircase FEC uses See response to comment #346 ...**'**. Response Status C Response C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.7 P 41 L 11 # 252 ACCEPT. Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.6 P 40 L 50 # 454 Subclause 155.2.4.7 '400GBASE-ZR frame to SC-FEC adaptation' says '... which are Dawe, Piers Nvidia added to the 400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC frame as ....'. This seems to be the only time the term Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC frame' is used and the title of the referenced figure 155-6 is '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC encoded frames'. Needs a figure showing the 400GBASE-ZR frame rows, SC-FEC blocks, CRC32 and MBAS SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Subclause 155.2.4.7 '400GBASE-ZR frame to SC-FEC adaptation' says '... which are added to the 400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC frame as ....'. This seems to be the only time the term Please add a figure per comment. '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC frame' is used and the title of the referenced figure 155-6 is Response Response Status C '400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC encoded frames'. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **155** SC **155.2.4.7**  Page 43 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:34 A

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.7 P 42 L 5 # 253 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.7 P 42 L 42 # 388 Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Slavick. Jeff Broadcom Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket There is no specification of how the 8 parity blocks are mapped into bits 10280 to 10970 of Figure 155-6 does not show the 6x119b pad the 400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC encoded frames. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add box at the end of the i+119 row to the right of the CRC+MBAS labeled 6x119b PAD Add a new paragraph to subclause 155.4.7 to specify the mapping of the 16384 parity bits Response Response Status C into bits 10280 to 10970 of the 400GBASE-ZR SC-FEC encoded frames. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. P 43 See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.8 14 # 391 Slavick. Jeff Broadcom SC 155.2.4.7 P 42 / 11 C/ 155 # 254 Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** What is the contents of the PAD? Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket SuggestedRemedy Both instances of block 7.11 in figure 155-6 are marked with an asterisk which, I assume, is meant to reference a footnote that says that only the information bits of block 7.11 are Change "pad bits added" to "pad bits of all zeroes added" included, that the CRC32 and MBAS bits are appended after the parity bits, and the pad is Response Response Status C discarded ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Add a new paragraph to subclause 155.4.7 to specify the mapping of the CRC32 and See response to comment #346. MBAS bits from block 7.11 and add a suitable footnote to figure 155-6. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 19 # 456 Response Response Status C Dawe, Piers Nvidia ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket See response to comment #346. sequence 65 535 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.7 P 42 L 12 # 400 SuggestedRemedy Slavick, Jeff Broadcom sequence length 65 535 ?

Response

ACCEPT.

rewrite bucket

SuggestedRemedy

it's Bi+3 box.

Comment Type

Thicken the right edge of the grey boxes that represne the CRC+MBAS.

Comment Status A

The "dark" line appears to be on the wrong side of the CRC+MBAS grey box. Should be

on the right edge of all boxes but that's not true for 3 of them. And the last one isn't part of

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Response Status C

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 9 # 65 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 12 # 398 Ran. Adee Cisco Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Comment Status A bucket "a frame-synchronous scrambler of sequence 65 535" Extra "." Unclear, should it be "with sequence length of 65535"? SuggestedRemedy A 16-degree polynomial creates a periodic sequence length of 131071, so is it the first Remove the . After the 1 in the equation 65535 bits of that periodic sequence starting from the reset value? SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Rewrite as appropriate. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 # 459 L 12 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dawe, Piers Nvidia See response to comment #346. Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket which end goes first? C/ 155 P 43 L 10 # 460 SC 155.2.4.9 SuggestedRemedy Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Response Response Status C More iformation needed. Given the "generating polynomial", what has to be done? There are examples of scrambler definitions in the base document. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy See response to comment #346. P 43 L 12 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 # 458 Response Response Status C Dawe. Piers Nvidia ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket See response to comment #346. Х C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 12 # 461 SuggestedRemedy Dawe. Piers Nvidia define x Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Response Response Status C is row 1 the first or second row? ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy See response to comment #346. ? Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 12 # 457 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 14 # 66 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket The definition of the scrambler is ambiguous; The choice of coefficient order, shift direction, and the point from which the output is taken can create different results. SuggestedRemedy italic Scrambler specifications typically include a block diagram of an LFSR and sometimes a portion of the sequence for clarity. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT Add a diagram (similar to e.g. Figure 49-8) and some portion of the sequence following the initial 16 bits (0xFFFF). SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 13 # 383 C/ 155 Response Response Status C Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type E Comment Status A The equation should be numbered. See response to comment #346. SuggestedRemedy C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 16 # 399 Add Equation number to the scrambler equation, e.g. (155-1). Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Response Response Status C Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket ACCEPT. The scrambler stops advancing during the PAD bits? So the 714b of PAD will be either all 0's or all 1's? C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.9 P 43 L 14 # 31 SuggestedRemedy Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Systems Define the pad to be a random pattern or change "the scrambling state advances during Comment Status A Comment Type Т rewrite bucket each bit of the five SC-FEC blocks" to "the scrambling state advances for each transmitted Is resetting the scrambler a functional requirement? bit" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Consider changing "resets" to "shall be reset" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.10 P 43 / 20 # 255 See response to comment #346. Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Suggest that '... SC-encoder ...' should read '... SC-FEC encoder ...'. SugaestedRemedy See comment. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 155 Page 46 of 128 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 155.2.4.10 10/24/2022 11:39:34 A

See response to comment #346.

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.10 P 43 L 21 # 67 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.10 P 43 L 22 # 256 Ran. Adee Cisco Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket IEEE Std 802.3 doesn't specify implementations. ITU-T G.709.3 seems to be a normative reference. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a reference in 1.3. Suggest, based on the in subclause 155.2.4.9 above (page 43, line 8), that the text The convolutional interleaver is described in ITU-T G.709.3 subclause 15.4.3. It contains 16 Response Response Status C parallel delay lines that are accessed sequentially for each block of 119 bits.' is changed to ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE read 'The convolutional interleaver shall be functionally equivalent to the convolutional interleaving process described in ITU-T G.709.3 subclause 15.4.3'. See response to comment #346. Response Response Status C C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.10 P 43 / 21 # 462 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dawe. Piers Nvidia See response to comment #346. Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket P 44 C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.10 / 30 # 208 G 709 3 is not a normative reference Huber, Thomas Nokia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Add the content locally or add the reference and any information that is needed to make The convolutional interleaver and Hamming encoder are working with 10976 rows, but the definition accessible, complete and unambiguous figure 155-7 indicates 10970 rows Response Response Status C SugaestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Change 10970 to 10976 in Fgiure 155-7. See response to comment #346. Response Response Status C C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.10 P 43 L 21 # 68 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Cisco Ran, Adee See response to comment #346. Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.11 P 44 L 36 "The convolutional interleaver is described in ITU-T G.709.3 subclause 15.4.3" The text in this subclause and figure 155-7 are insufficient to understand/implement the Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type Comment Status A Е bucket If it isn't fully defined (defined only in an external document) then there is no need for this text and figure. 119b SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Preferably add the detailed definitions from the referenced document. Change "119b" to "119-bit" Otherwise, delete the whole subclause except for the quoted sentence. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.4.11 P 44 L 36 # 257

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause seems to use the terms '119b', '119-bit block' and '119-bit message' interchangeably. Suggest that '119-bit message' is used to match subclause 155.2.5.1.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The text 'The 119b outputs of the convolutional interleaver are encoded ...' is changed to read 'The 119-bit messages output by the convolutional interleaver are encoded ...'

[2] The text '... to each of the 10 976 119-bit blocks as output ...' is changed to read '... '... to each of the 10 976 119-bit messages as output ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.11 P 44 L 36 # 463

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

generic operation ... in ITU-T G.709.3 Annex D: but that contains undefined symbols and terms.

SuggestedRemedy

As it seems it is not very long, write it out cleanly here

Response Status U

REJECT.

No consensus to make a change.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.11 P 44 L 37 # 69

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket
"The generic operation of the Hamming SD-FEC scheme is specified in ITU-T G.709.3

"The generic operation of the Hamming SD-FEC scheme is specified in TTU-T G.709.3 Annex D"

The text in this subclause is insufficient to understand/implement the SD-FEC encoder function.

If it isn't fully defined (defined only in an external document) then there is no need for the details in the second paragraph.

### SuggestedRemedy

Preferably add the detailed definitions from the referenced document.

Otherwise, delete the second paragraph.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.11 P 44 L 40 # 258

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The 128-bit code word referenced in subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder' is called the 'SD-FEC codeword' in Figure 155-8, subclause 155.2.5.1 (page 46, line 5) and subclause 155.3.3.2 (page 53, line 36). Suggest the same terminology should be used in subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder'.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The text '... results in 10 796 128-bit blocks.' be changed to read '... results in 10 796 128-bit SD-FEC codewords.'.

[2] The text '... is encoded to the 128-bit code word ...' be changed to read '... is encoded to the 128-bit SD-FEC codeword ...'.

[3] The text 'The 128-bit code words are ...' should be changed to read 'The 128-bit SD-FEC codewords are ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.11 P 44 L 45 # 464 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket This says 8-bit symbols, 155,2.1 says two streams of 4-bit data. PMA:IS UNITDATA i.request is 7 wide. SuggestedRemedy The difference may matter when we are discussing Skew limits Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.12 P 45 / 33 # 465 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket hamming SuggestedRemedy Hamming Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Suggest that Figure 155-8 and the last paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.11 be updated to describe how the 128-bit code word from the SD-FEC encoder is passed across the PMA service interface. In addition, the fourth paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.1 should be updated to note that the 128-bit code word is passed across the PMA service interface to the PMA where the Gray mapping and polarization distribution described occurs.

### SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Suggest that the PMA service interface be added to Figure 155-8. To do this suggest that the label 'PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request' be added to the leftmost arrow at the bottom of the figure, with the label 'PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_1.request' and 'PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_2.request' staggered above on the next two arrows to the right. The label 'PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_7.request' should be added to the rightmost arrow. As an existing example, see Figure 119-10 '200GBASE-R Transmit bit ordering and distribution'.
- [2] Suggest that the last paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.11 be changed to read 'The 128-bit code word is then passed across the 8 lane PMA service interface to the PMA sublayer as 16 groups of 8 bits, each representing a DP-16QAM symbol. The first group of 8 bits are c0 through c7, the last group of 8 bits are c120 through C127, with the LSB through the MSB or each group of 8 bits mapped in order to the tx\_symbol parameter of the PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request through the PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_7.request primitive respectively (see Figure 155-8).'.
- [3] Suggest that the text 'Each 128-bit code word from the SD-FEC encoder c = [c0, c1, ..., c127], is mapped ...' in the fourth paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.1 should be changed to read 'Each 128-bit code word from the SD-FEC encoder is passed across the PMA service interface as described in 155.2.4.11. Each 128-bit code word c = [c0, c1, ..., c127], is mapped ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

rewrite bucket

C/ 155 SC 155.2.4.12 P 45 L 52 # 133 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.1 P 46 L 12 # 260 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket The format of the text in Figure 155-8 is all over the place. I know in 802.3df we are using a The vast majority of references to the in-phase and quadrature-phase X and Y polarization constant font for all text in figures. use the symbols I<subscript>X</subscript>, Q<subscript>X</subscript>, I<subscript>Y</subscript>, and Q<subscript>Y</subscript> (e.g., Figure 155-10 on page SuggestedRemedy 51, line 28 and subclause 155.3.3, page 52, line 9). There, however, seem to be a few Update Figure 155-8 to use a constant font for all text. instances where the X and Y are not in subscript, or the phase and polarization symbols are reversed. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On the assumption that they are referencing the same signals, please use See response to comment #346. I<subscript>X</subscript> \ Q<subscript> \ X</subscript> \ I<subscript> \ Y</subscript> \ and Q<subscript>Y</subscript> in the following locations: C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.1 P 46 / 11 # 467 Subclause 155.2.5.1. page 46. line 12 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Table 155-3, page 55, line 38 Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Table 155-4, page 56, line 35 "Logic described generically in ITU-T G.709.3 Annex D": generically - vague, and Annex D Table 155-7, page 59, line 5 through 16 doesn't address FEC decoding at all, only check-block generation. Response Response Status C SugaestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Write out what you need to say, here See response to comment #346. Response Status C P 46 L 14 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.1 # 11 Lewis. Jon Dell Technologies See response to comment #346. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.1 P 46 L 11 # 466 need a non-breaking space between "Annex" and "D" Dawe, Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Add non-breaking space. "The Hamming SD-FEC decoder is a soft decision decoder" Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. What requires this? a sensitivity / OSNR tolerance spec? Please refer to wherever the reason is given. C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.1 P 46 L 16 # 468 Response Response Status C Dawe, Piers Nvidia ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket interleaver See response to comment #346. SuggestedRemedy Missing full stop Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/2 155 Page 50 of 128 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 155.2.5.1 10/24/2022 11:39:34 A

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.3 P 46 L 26 # 384 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 46 L 36 # 209 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Comment Status A bucket You should refer to the equation. Missing an "of" in the second sentence SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: polynomial given in 155.2.4.9. Change "Each incoming block 10976 x 119 bits." to "Each incoming block of 10976 x 119 To: polynomial given by Equation (155-1). bits." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 46 L 43 # 210 Huber, Thomas Nokia C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 46 / 36 # 70 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Ran, Adee Cisco Missing a subscript in Bi corrected. Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket SuggestedRemedy "The SC-FEC decoder function is described in ITU-T G.709.2 Annex A" The text in this subclause is insufficient to understand/implement the SD-FEC decoder Make the i in Bi subscripted. Response Response Status C If it isn't fully defined (defined only in an external document) then there is no need for the details in the first paragraph. ACCEPT. SugaestedRemedy C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 46 L 46 # 71 Preferably add the detailed definitions from the referenced document. Ran. Adee Cisco Otherwise, delete the first two paragraphs, retaining the quoted sentence. Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Response Response Status C The third paragraph "The 400GBASE-ZR PCS provides detection and signaling of link ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE degrade for use by network equipment..." is repeated verbatim in 155.2.5.7.2. No need to write it twice. See response to comment #346. SuggestedRemedy C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 46 L 36 # 469 Delete the third paragraph. Dawe, Piers Nvidia Response Response Status C Comment Status A Comment Type E rewrite bucket ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. incoming block 10 ... See response to comment #346. SuggestedRemedy incoming block of 10 ...?

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Response Status C

Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 46 L 46 # 401

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Last paragraph of this section states that link degrade status is provided,, but there's no MDIO mapping provided in the text to indicate it's status bits or coontrol of thresholds

SuggestedRemedy

Add references to the MDIO registers to control and observe link degrade

Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 46 L 48 # 408

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The last paragraph states that the link degrade function is provided and that the bit error ratio is used to indicate this. But in the MDIO mapping (Table 155-8) points to fields that exist but reference 119.2.5.3 which specifies the thresholds in terms of rs-symbol error rates and FEC codewords.

### SuggestedRemedy

Replace the last paragraph of 155.2.5.5 with the following:

The 4000GBASE-ZR PCS may optionally provide the ability to signal degradation of the received signal. The presence of this option is indicated by the assertion of the FEC\_degraded\_SER\_ability\_variable (see 155.4.2.1). When the option is provided it is enabled by the assertion of the FEC\_degraded\_SER\_enable variable (see 155.4.2.1).

When FEC\_degraded\_SER\_enable is asserted, additional error monitoring is performed by the PCS. The PCS counts the number of bits corrected by the SC-FEC decoder in consecutive nonoverlapping SC-FEC frames of FEC\_degraded\_SER\_interval (see 155.4.2.1). If the SC-FEC decoder determines that a codeword is uncorrectable or errors are detected by the CRC32 check (see 155.2.5.6), the number of symbol errors detected is increased by 957 x 257. When the number of bit errors exceeds the threshold set in FEC\_degraded\_SER\_activate\_threshold (see 155.5.1), the FEC\_degraded\_SER bit (see 155.5.1) is set. At the end of each interval, if the number of symbol errors is less than FEC\_degraded\_SER\_deactivate\_threshold, the FEC\_degraded\_SER bit is cleared. If either FEC\_degraded\_SER\_ability or FEC\_degraded\_SER\_enable is de-asserted then the FEC\_degraded\_SER bit is cleared.

Bring in 45.2.3.60.1 and add "155.2.5.5" to the see list Bring in 45.2.3.61.1 and add "155.4.2.1" to the see list Bring in 45.2.3.61.3 and add "155.2.5.5" to the see list Bring in 45.2.3.61.4 and add "155.4.2.1" to the see list

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

rewrite bucket

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.6 P 46 L 53 # 470 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 9 # 471 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket base block": not defined, used only once will have SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy I think this means the "B" blocks of 155.2.5.5. Are they "SC-FEC codewords", and are has they named? Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 19 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.6 P 47 / 53 # 402 Ran. Adee Cisco Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket "will" is deprecated. Uncorrectable blocks are not tracked in MDIO registers SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "will have" to "has". Add references to the MDIO register for counting corrected and uncorrected FEC CW and bits Change other instances as necessary. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 7 # 134 C/ 155 P 47 SC 155.2.5.7 L 14 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket in "952 x 257B" does the "B" stand for bits ? If so I am not sure this follows the 802.3 style There are multiple state machines (diagrams) in 155.4. manual? I assume Figure 155-16 is the one. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "952 x 957B" into "952 x 957 bits". Similar comment in the rest of this section where "B" is used Change "follows the state machine in 155.4" to "is depicted by the state diagram in Figure 155-16". Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **155** SC **155.2.5.7**  Page 53 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:34 A

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 P 47 L 14 # 403 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P 47 L 33 # 473 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Reference is to 155.4 which is all the FSM blocks, call out the specific AM lock one. Figure 155-9 seems to be identical to Figure 155-4 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 155.4 to Figure 155-16 Remove it. refer to 155-4 instead Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. P 47 P 47 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 / 14 # 261 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 / 33 # 395 Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Slavick. Jeff Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type TR rewrite bucket Comment Status A rewrite bucket Suggest a direct reference to the Alignment marker lock state diagram is provided in Figure 155-9 is identical to 155-4 and is not referenced subclause 155.2.5.7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete Figure 155-9. Add "(see Figure 155-4)" to the end of last paragraph Suggest that the first sentence of the penultimate paragraph of subclause 155.2.5.7 be Response Response Status C changed to read 'The process of locking to the AM field is described in the Alignment marker lock state diagram in Figure 155-16.'. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7.1 P 47 / 33 # 472 See response to comment #346. Dawe, Piers Nvidia P 47 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.7 L 19 # 211 Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket Figure 155-9 is an orphan Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket SuggestedRemedy Figure 155-9 is identical to Figure 155-4. It is also not referenced in the text at all, though it Reference it or remove it. See another comment. is obvious how it relates to the text. To avoid potential divergence of the figures, it would Response Response Status C be better to refer to the earlier figure rather than replicate it. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy

See response to comment #346.

Remove figure 155-9. Add a sentence to the end of clause 155.2.5.7 indicating that the overhead bytes over the four-frame multiframe are shown in Figure 155-4.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.	7.2 P 48	L <b>5</b>	# 474	C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.	7.2 P 48	3 L 22	# 476
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia	a	
Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket upstream, downstream				Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket framing of frame or multi-frame loss - eh?				
SuggestedRemedy Rx, Tx. Compare base doc.				SuggestedRemedy In the case of a loss of 400GBASE-ZR frame sync or multi-frame sync?				
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C			Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIF	Response Status PLE.	С	
See response to comment #346.				See response to comment #346.				
C/ <b>155</b> SC <b>155.2.5</b> .	7.2 P 48	L 9	# 475	C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.	7.2 P 48	3 L 23	# 74
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket detailed in 155.2.5.7.2 - but this is 155.2.5.7.2				Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket  "LF ordered sets" are not defined in this draft.				
SuggestedRemedy				l assun	ne it is the "Loo	cal Fault" RS ordered s	set.	
?				Suggested	Remedy			
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346.				Change to "Local Fault ordered sets (see 81.3.4)".  (or another ordered set if so intended)				
C/ <b>155</b> SC <b>155.2.5</b> .	7.2 P 48	L <b>21</b>	# 212	ACCEF	T IN PRINCIP	LE.		
Huber, Thomas Nokia				See response to comment #346.				
	Comment Status A							
	ne, or the 400ZR frame or mu	Itiframe, it insert	S LF					
SuggestedRemedy	, pop , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(						
	of a DSP framing of 400GBAS ming loss or 400GBASE-ZR							

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.8 P 48 L 36 # 19

Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology

Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

This sentence appears to incorrectly imply that the CRC8 is the sole protection against errors in JC1-3. Although G.709 provides the details, it may be worthwhile expanding this statement somewhat

### SuggestedRemedy

In conjunction with the change proposed in the previous comment, add the following sentence to the end of the paragraph: "The JC1-2 field information is also protected by limits on how the JC1-2 fields can change in successive multi-frames and the coding technique for indicating these changes, which combine with the CRC8 in JC3 to provide error correction capability for bit and burst errors impacting JC1-3."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.8 P 48 L 36 # 18

Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology

Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The sentence incorrectly confuses the location and coverage of the GMP CRC fields. Specifically, it says that the CRC8 is found in JC1-3 and the CRC4 is found in JC4-6. The CRC8 is located in JC3 and the CRC4 is located in JC6.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change the last sentence of the paragraph to read: "The CRC8 value in JC3 provides error detection coverage for the information in JC1-JC3 and the CRC4 value in JC4 provides error detection coverage for the associated information fields in JC4-6."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.10 P 48 L 53 # 477

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The PCS receives decode blocks

SuggestedRemedy

The PCS receive function decodes blocks?

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.1 P 49 L 3 # 135

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The first several sub-sections of 155.3.1appear to repeat the same format as section 155.1. It appears that this overview information for the PCS sublayer is in 155.1 and the same overview information for the PMA sublayer is in 155.3.

### SuggestedRemedy

I would propose to delete section 155.1., and put all of the corresponding overview information into either the PCS section (155.2) or the PMA section (155.3) respectively.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.1 P 49 L 9 # 262

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Since [1] the subclause of 156.5 'PMD functional specifications' lists more than just a transmit and receive function, and [2] to parallel the text 'The PMA allows the 400GBASE-ZR PCS (specified in 155.2) ...', suggest that '... media-independent way to a coherent transmitter and receiver specified in Clause 156.' should be changed to read '... media-independent way to the 400GBASE-ZR PMD (specified in 156).'.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.1 P 49 L 11 # 478 C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.3 P 49 L 51 # 344 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket The interfaces for the inputs of Figure 155-10 is separated from the text which describes it, by the intervening description of the service interface. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy The interfaces of? Beat on frame, and move the figure 155-10 be after 155.3.1.3 and before 155.3.2 (one way Response Response Status C to do this may be forcing a page break before 155.3.2) ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.2 P 49 / 16 # 481 See response to comment #346. Dawe. Piers Nvidia P 51 C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.3 L 3 # 479 Comment Type Е Comment Status A rewrite bucket Dawe, Piers Nvidia relationship with Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket SuggestedRemedy "m is ... the number of bits of resolution of the DP-16QAM symbols" relationship to Also 156.1 SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Is a symbol for one polarisation or both? Is this off by 2? ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346 C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.3 P 49 / 23 # 75 Ran. Adee Cisco C/ 155 SC 155.3.1.3 P 51 L 13 # 480 Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Dawe, Piers Nvidia The term "symbol" seems to be overloaded in the PMA subclause, sometimes meaning bit, Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket other times an element of the set {-3, -1, +1, +3}, and other times a pair of such elements Align CFEC and FAW/TS symbols (X) remove (DP-16QAM symbol). SuggestedRemedy This is confusing. Align CFEC and remove FAW/TS symbols (X)? SuggestedRemedy Response Status C Define a clear terminology (e.g. bits, quaternary symbols, DP-16QAM symbols) and apply ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. it across 155.3 Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

rewrite bucket

Cl 155 SC 155.3.1.3 P 51 L 26 # 345

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

This figure is supposed to be a functional block diagram, not an implementation diagram. There are no characteristics for the DAC blocks defined in the specification. The closest thing in the text is 155.3.3.4 which are called the 16QAM encode and signal drivers. However, most other 802.3 PHY clauses leave out signal drivers, DACs and the like, and there are no specific requirements in 155.3.3.4, so deleting the blocks seems the right approach to making a functional block diagram.

### SuggestedRemedy

Preferably, delete the "DAC" blocks from Figure 155-10 (going straight to the output is fine) Alternatively, Relabel "16QAM Encoder and Signal Driver" (probably drawing as 2 blocks since you show I&Q paths)

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 1 # 263

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder' says that 'The 128-bit code words are sent as 8-bit symbols to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer on the PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_7.request inter-sublayer signals.'. Further, subclause 155.2.5.1 'Hamming SD-FEC decoder' says 'The incoming DP-16QAM symbols are digitized to an m-bit resolution by the PMA sublayer receive direction (see 155.3.3.5) and provided to the PCS receive direction by PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.indication to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_m-1.indication inter-sublayer signals.' and that 'The Hamming SD-FEC decoder is a soft decision decoder and so requires a higher resolution than 2 bits / 4 levels for each of the signals XI, XQ, YI, and YQ.'. Finally, Figure 155-10 '400GBASE-ZR PMA functional block diagram' says 'm is implementation dependent and is the number of bits of resolution of the DP-16QAM symbols.'

Rather than operating as n parallel asynchronous PCS lanes that carry alignment markers and lane numbers that enable the original data to be restored or n lanes to be multiplex into m lanes, it appears the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface between the PCS and the PMA operates as an n-bit synchronous data path, transferring a single DP-16QAM symbol during each operation. This seems to be confirmed by subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' that says '... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes ...'. In the case of the transmit path, the DP-16QAM symbols are encoded as 8-bit words, 2 bits representing the 4 levels for each of the in-phase and quadrature components of the X and Y polarizations. In the case of the receive path, the DP-16QAM symbols are encoded as p bits representing q levels, where p and q are implementation dependant.

It, therefore, doesn't seem correct to define the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface through reference to the lane-based PMA service interface definition in 116.3 when it doesn't support the features of a lane-based service interface. Based on this, suggest that the 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface be defined using a single .request and .indicate primitive, with a tx\_symbol and rx\_symbol parameter respectively, to reflect the synchronous data path nature of the interface.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Specify the 400GBASE-ZR PMA as a single .request and .indicate primitive, with a tx\_symbol and rx\_symbol parameter respectively as follows:

- Change the three instances of 'PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_i.request' to read 'PMA UNITDATA.request' in subclause 155.2.1 'Functions within the PCS'.
- Change subclause 155.1.4.2 'Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) service interface' to read as follows:

The 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface provided by the 400GBASE-ZR PMA for the 400GBASE-ZR PCS is described in an abstract manner and does not imply any particular implementation. The 400GBASE-ZR PMA Service Interface supports the exchange of

encoded DP-16QAM symbols between the PCS and PMA sublayer. The 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface is defined in 155.3.2.

- Change the last paragraph of subclause 155.2.4.11 'Hamming SD-FEC encoder' to read:

The 128-bit code words are sent as 8-bit encoded DP-16QAM symbols to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer using sixteen PMA UNITDATA.request messages.

- Change the text '... by PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.indication to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_m-1.indication inter-sublayer signals.' to read '... by the PMA\_UNITDATA.indication primitive.' in subclause 155.2.5.1 'Hamming SD-FEC decoder'.
- Change subclause 155.3.2 '400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface', adding new subclauses 155.3.2.1 through 155.3.2.2.3, to read:

155 3 2 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface

The 400GBASE-ZR PMA Service Interface supports the exchange of encoded DP-16QAM symbols between the PCS and PMA sublayer. The inter-sublayer 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface is described in an abstract manner and does not imply any particular implementation. The inter-sublayer service interface primitives are defined as follows:

PMA\_UNITDATA.request PMA\_UNITDATA.indication PMA\_SIGNAL.indication

The PMA\_UNITDATA.request primitive is used to define the transfer of a DP-16QAM symbol from the 400GBASE-ZR PCS to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA. The PMA\_UNITDATA.indication primitive is used to define the transfer of a DP-16QAM symbol from the 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS. The PMA\_SIGNAL.indication primitive is used to define the transfer of signal status from the 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

155.3.2.1 PMA UNITDATA.request

This primitive defines the transfer of encoded DP-16QAM symbols in the tx\_symbol parameter from the 400GBASE-ZR PCS to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA.

155.3.2.1.1 Semantics of the primitive

PMA UNITDATA.request (tx symbol)

During transmission, the PMA\_UNITDATA.request simultaneously conveys 8 bits of a 128-bit code word generated by the SD-FEC encoder (see 155.2.4.11) representing an encoded DP-16QAM symbol to the PMA. The encoding used for the in-phase and quadrature-phase components of the X and Y polarization is defined in subclause

155.3.3.1.

155.3.2.1.2 When generated

The PCS generates sixteen PMA\_UNITDATA.request messages for each 128-bit code word from the PCS SD-FEC encoder. The messages convey the least significant octet C<7:0> first, most significant octet C<127:120> last, with code word bits C<n+7:n> mapped to tx\_symbol<7:0>. The nominal rate of PMA\_UNITDATA.indication messages is 57.78 GBd.

155.3.2.1.3 Effect of receipt

The PMA continuously forms the tx\_symbol parameters received in sixteen consecutive PMA\_UNITDATA.indication messages into 128-bit code words that are passed to the PMA Gray mapping and polarization distribution function (see 155.3.3.1).

155.3.2.2 PMA UNITDATA.indication

This primitive defines the transfer of encoded DP-16QAM symbols in the rx\_symbol parameter from the 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

155.3.2.2.1 Semantics of the primitive

PMA UNITDATA.indication (rx symbol)

During reception, the PMA\_UNITDATA.indication simultaneously conveys m bits of an n-bit code word generated by the symbol de-interleaving function (see 155.3.3.8) representing an encoded DP-16QAM symbol to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS where m is implementation dependent, representing the number of bits of the encoded DP-16QAM symbol, and n = 16 x m.

155.3.2.2.2 When generated

The PMA generates sixteen PMA\_UNITDATA.indication messages for each n-bit code word generated by the PMA symbol de-interleaving function. The messages convey the least significant m bits of the n-bit code word first. The nominal rate of PMA\_UNITDATA.indication messages is 57.78 GBd.

155.3.2.2.3 Effect of receipt

The PCS continuously forms the rx\_symbol parameters received in sixteen consecutive PMA\_UNITDATA.indication messages into n-bit code words that are passed to the PCS Hamming SD-FEC decoder function (see 155.2.5.1).

155.3.2.3 PMA SIGNAL indication

This primitive defines the transfer of the status of the PMA receive process in the SIGNAL OK parameter from 400GBASE-ZR PMA to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **155** SC **155.3.2**  Page 59 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:34 A

155.3.2.3.2 When generated

The PMA generates a PMA\_SIGNAL.indication message whenever there is change in the value of the SIGNAL OK parameter (see 155.3.3.9).

155.3.2.2.3 Effect of receipt

The PCS Synchronization process monitors the PMA\_SIGNAL.indication primitive for a change in the SIGNAL OK parameter (see 155.2.1).

- Move the last paragraph of the current subclause to a new subclause 155.3.3.9 titled 'Signal Indication Logic (SIL)'.
- Change the last paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.8 'Polarization combining and symbol deinterleaving' to read:

The sixteen encoded DP-16QAM symbols are transferred to the 400GBASE-ZR PCS sublayer as m-bit DP-16QAM symbols using sixteen PMA\_UNITDATA.indication messages.

- Change 'PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_7.request' to read 'PMA\_UNITDATA.request' and 'PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.indication to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_m-1.indication' to read 'PMA\_UNITDATA.indication' in Figure 155-2 'Functional block diagram'.
- Change 'PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_7.request' to read 'PMA\_UNITDATA.request' and 'PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.indication to PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_m-1.indication' to read 'PMA\_UNITDATA.indication' in Figure 155-10 '400GBASE-ZR PMA functional block diagram'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 3 # 264

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Since subclause 155.3.2 only summarizes the primitives, a cross reference to where they are defined should be added.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that 'The 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface is provided ...' should be changed to read 'The 400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface (see 155.1.4.2) is provided ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 11 # [76

Cisco

Ran, Adee

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

"The primitives are defined for i = 0 to 7, and for j = 0 to m-1, where m is the number of bits of resolution of the received digitized DP-16QAM symbols"

The next paragraph says the nominal signaling rate is approximately 57.78 Gb/s in the transmit side and 57.78 GBd in the receive side.

Each DP-16QAM symbol corresponds to 4 bits, so with this definition, the rate of the receive direction DP-16QAM symbols should be a quarter of the transmit direction bit rate.

Alternatively m should be the number of bits of resolution per bit of information.

The meaning of tx\_symbol and rx\_symbol is unclear in this subclause, and may be changed e.g. if the tx\_symbols are defined as Gray-coded PAM4 symbols or SD-FEC encoder codewords (suggested by another comments).

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite this subclause as necessary such that the meaning of tx\_symbol and rx\_symbol is clear, and the rates match the meaning.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 482 C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 18 # 266 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket \* ~50.212875 Gb/s: ~ too vague, signaling rate should be in GBd There is a rectangle to the right of the 'Carrier phase recovery', 'PMD equalizer' and 'chromatic dispersion equalizer' within the 400GBASE-ZR PMA sublayer box in Figure 155-SuggestedRemedy 10 '400GBASE-ZR PMA functional block diagram' that is unlabelled. Specify the rate without approximation SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Either label the rectangle or delete it. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 / 16 # 136 See response to comment #346. Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 19 # 15 Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Bruckman, Leon Huawei Why is the approximate sign used in the term "  $(512/511) \times (5485/5140) \times (5488/5485) \times$ Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket (128/119) x ~50.212875 Gb/s ?20 ppm" Isn't the nominal signalling rate known exactly ? Empty box without any fuction I don't remember seeing the "approximate" sign used in other IEEE standards when referring to the nominal signaling rate? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove empty fbox from figure 155-10 This is more of a question of clarification? Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 50 L 16 # 265 Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.2 says '... sends eight parallel bit streams to the PMA, each at a nominal signaling rate of ...'. Since this is a signalling rate, the unit of measurement should be in Bd

Suggest that '... ~50.212875 Gb/s +/-20 ppm (~57.78 Gb/s).' should read '... ~50.212875

GBd +/-20 ppm (~57.78 GBd).' (where +/- is a plus-minus symbol). Response Status C

rather than Hz (see the following paragraph).

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Response

CI 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 28 # 267

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.3.4.1 says that 'All of the coherent signal to physical lane mappings in Table 155-7 are allowed for the Tx signal. This is because receivers can determine which physical lane is carrying which signal based on the contents of the FAW.'. As a result, it seems that the in-phase and quadrature-phase components of the X and Y polarizations can be mapped to the receive PMD service interface primitives in any of the eight ways listed in Table 155-7.

Further, subclause 155.3.3.7 'FAW, TS, and PS symbol removal' says 'The 400GBASE-ZR PMA receive path attains alignment lock to the 22-symbol FAW that is transmitted on each of the two transmission polarizations on the in-phase and quadrature-phase lanes.' and 'When the X and Y polarization symbol streams are identified and aligned to the superframe format of Figure 155-12, the FAW, TS, and PS symbols are removed ...'. As a result, it seems the X and Y polarizations identification is performed by the FAW lock function, and pilot removal occurs after the FAW lock function.

### SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Suggest that the labels 'IX', 'QX', 'IY' and 'QY' be removed from below the 'ADC' block in Figure 155-10.
- [2] Suggest that the Pilot removal (X) Pilot removal (Y) block be removed from Figure 155-10.
- [3] Suggest that the label 'Align CFEC and FAW/TS symbols (X) remove' be changed to read:

FAW alignment Remove FAW, PS, TS symbols

[4] Suggest that the label 'Align CFEC and FAW/TS symbols (Y) remove' be changed to read:

FAW alignment Remove FAW, PS, TS symbols

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 31 # 12

Lewis, Jon Dell Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Text and arrow intersect.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove intersection of text and arrow to make the figure more legible.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 31 # 385

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

It's hard to see the text with the line through it.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a box around "400GBASE-ZR PMA sublaver" so the line is "behind" it.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 48 # 268

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Suggest that '... through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports ...' should read '... through a signal indication logic (SIL) function that reports ...'.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

rewrite bucket

C/ 155

Cl 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 49 # 77

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

SC 155.3.2

Signal health should not be "based on receipt of the PMD:IS\_SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer" because this indication is always OK.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "receipt of the PMD:IS\_SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer," and the comma after "functions".

In Figure 155-10 delete PMD:IS SIGNAL.indication as input to the SIL.

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Subclause 155.3.2 '400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface' says that 'The PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive is generated through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports signal health based on receipt of the PMD:IS\_SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer, data being processed successfully by the signal processing functions, and symbols being sent to the PCS on all of the output lanes.' however subclause 156.5.4 'PMD global signal detect function' says that 'The PMD global signal detect function shall set the state of the SIGNAL\_DETECT parameter to a fixed OK value.' and that 'The presence of a valid signal is determined only by the 400GBASE-ZR PCS (see 155.2.1).' In addition, subclause 155.2.1 says 'The PCS Synchronization process continually monitors PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication(SIGNAL\_OK). When SIGNAL\_OK indicates OK, then the PCS synchronization process accepts the streams of symbols via the PMA:IS\_UNITDATA\_indication primitive.'.

P 51

L 49

# 269

rewrite bucket

Based on the signal indication logic (SIL) contained in the PMA sublayer described in subclause 155.3.2, and subclause 155.2.1 describing only the use of the SIGNAL\_DETECT parameter in the PCS sublayer, it doesn't seem correct to say in subclause 156.5.4 that a valid signal is determined only by the PCS sublayer. And based on subclause 156.5.4 setting the SIGNAL\_DETECT parameter of the PMD:IS\_SIGNAL.indication to a fixed 'OK' value, it doesn't seem correct to say that the SIL will report signal health based on the PMD:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive since it is fixed.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The PMD:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive is disconnected from the SIL box in figure 155-10 and is shown as not used by the PMA sublayer.

[2] In subclause 155.3.2 the text '... reports signal health based on receipt of the PMD:IS\_SIGNAL.indication from the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer, data being processed successfully by the signal ...' be changed to read '... reports signal health based on data being processed successfully by the signal ...'.

[3] In subclause 156.5.4 the text 'The presence of a valid signal is determined only by the 400GBASE-ZR PCS (see 155.2.1).' should be changed to read 'The presence of a valid signal is determined only by the SIL function in the PMA (see 155.3.2).'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.2 P 51 L 53 # 233 C/ 155 SC 155.3.3 P 52 L 5 # 234 Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket SIGNAL OK is a parameter that is passed by the PMA:IS SIGNAL indication primitive. Subclause 155.3.3 'Functions within the PMA' says 'The purpose of the PMA is to ... and optionally to provide test signals and loop-back.'. SuggestedRemedy There, however, doesn't appear to be any subclauses under subclause 155.3 'Physical Suggest that '... the SIGNAL OK primitive has the value FAIL.' should be changed to read Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer, type 400GBASE-ZR' that define test signals or loop-'... the SIGNAL OK parameter has the value FAIL.'. back. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Either add definitions defining test signals and loop back within the PMA or remove this text from subclause 155.3.3. See response to comment #346. Response Response Status C C/ 155 SC 155.3.3 P 52 13 # 213 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Nokia Huber, Thomas See response to comment #346. Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket C/ 155 SC 155.3.3 P 52 L 5 # 214 Awkward grammar in the first sentence Huber, Thomas Nokia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket Change ". adapt between the PCS layer digital symbols to and from the four analog signals." to ". adapt the PCS layer digital signals to and from the four analog signals." In the rest of 802.3, loopback is not hyphenated Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change loop-back to loopback Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT C/ 155 SC 155.3.3 P 52 L 5 # 483 C/ 155 SC 155.3.3 P 52 L 9 # 235 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Т Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket I don't see any loopback here. The only test signal comes from the PCS. Т Subclause 155.3.3 'Functions within the PMA' savs '... elements of a symbol, namely IX. SuggestedRemedy QX, IY, or QY, ...', referencing IX, QX, IY, and QY as 'elements' of a DP-16QAM symbol. Delete "and optionally to provide test signals and loop-back" Subclause 155.3.3.1 'Gray mapping and polarization distribution' says '- (c8i, c8i+1) maps to the in-phase (I) component of the X-polarization of si' referencing IX, QX, IY, and QY as Response Response Status C 'components' of a DP-16QAM symbol. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy See response to comment #346. Suggest that either 'element' or 'component' be used consistently to describe IX, QX, IY, and QY used to form a DP-16QAM symbol. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/2 155 Page 64 of 128 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1 P 52 L 15 # 78 Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket It is not clear how the "Gray-coded symbol" defined here is used in the remainder of the process - the subsequent DP-16QAM mapping is defined in terms of bits, not symbols. SuggestedRemedy Consider defining the Gray code mapping as a function from bit-pairs to bit-pairs, instead of the set {-3, -1, +1, +3}, or removing it completely since it is embedded it in the mapping defined in Table 155-2 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 # 79 SC 155.3.3.1 P 52 L 20 Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket "Grav-coded signals" should be "Grav-coded symbols". SugaestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1 P 52 L 21 # 484 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket This says the PMA does Gray de-mapping then it says it doesn't the PCS does it. SuggestedRemedy Remove lines 20-25, add apprpriate material to PCS section. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

 CI 155
 SC 155.3.3.1
 P 52
 L 27
 # 80

 Ran, Adee
 Cisco

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status
 A
 rewrite bucket

"Note that the receive process mapping of Gray-coded signals is applicable only after the SD-FEC decoder process in the 400GBASE-ZR PCS"

This means that the Gray de-mapping function is not part of the PMA but part of the PCS; indeed, the service interface of the PMA is based on ADC samples, not bits, and the Gray de-mapping does not appear in Figure 155-10, because it cannot be performed until SD-FEC decoding (in the PCS) is completed.

Similarly, the Gray mapping in the Tx direction logically belongs in the PCS, because its output is Gray-coded symbols.

### SuggestedRemedy

Possibly, move the content of the Gray mapping function to the PCS (retaining the polarization distribution in the PMA).

Or find another way to cleanly separate these functions.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1 P 52 L 28 # 342

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"The received symbol signals are digitized into more than 4 discrete levels by the analog to digital converters (ADC) in the PMA sublayer and the number of bits for each signal is m/4 bits." This is a description of an implementation and is inappropriate for an interoperability standard. If some description is needed, one could rewrite this more generally, as is suggested in the remedy. Further, it appears that the "m/4 bits" is a detail that is unused in

the draft (I searched). If it is used somewhere, please provide a pointer to where it is relevant. Otherwise delete the unnecessary detail which looks like a specification but isn't.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Preferably - delete the indicated sentence.

Alternatively, change the indicated sentence to read "The received symbol signals are sampled and quantized in the PMA sublayer."

If the m/4 bits is used somewhere, provide a reference.

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **155** SC **155.3.3.1**  Page 65 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:34 A

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1 P 52 L 32 # 236

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The terms 'DP-16QAM symbol' (e.g., page 52, line 32 and line 48), 'Gray-coded signals' (e.g., page 52, line 44) and 'Gray mapped' symbols (e.g., page 54, line 29) seem to be used interchangeably in the subclauses of 155.3.3 'Functions within the PMA'. For example, subclause 155.3.3.2 Symbol interleaving' says 'The DP-16QAM symbols are time interleaved ...' yet the following subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' says '... the stream of Gray mapped, interleaved symbols are ...'. It, however, appears the 'symbols' in both cases are the same.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that a consistent terminology should be used for DP-16QAM symbols.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

 CI 155
 SC 155.3.3.1
 P 52
 L 32
 # 81

 Ran, Adee
 Cisco

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status A
 rewrite bucket

"Each 128-bit code word from the SD-FEC encoder c = [c0, c1,.,c127], is mapped to sixteen DP-16QAM symbols (S)"

Does the PMA have to be aligned with the SD-FEC encoder codewords?

If so, the alignment function is not defined; it may be more appropriate to define the service interface in the Tx direction in terms of 128-bit codewords instead of bits on 8 lanes, such that the alignment is inherent.

If not, please clarify that the 128-bit blocks start point within the SD-FEC codeword is arbitrary.

A similar question holds for the Rx direction (based on the text in 155.3.3.8) - is the alignment of SD-FEC defined as a PMA function or a PCS function?

#### SuggestedRemedy

From 155.3.3.2 it seems that alignment is necessary, so the service interface should be defined with 128-element vectors (instead of lanes), and perhaps use tx\_word instead of tx symbol and rx word instead of rx symbol.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.3.1 P 52 L 32 # 237

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The terms '128-bit code word' (e.g., page 52, line 32), 'FEC codeword' (e.g., page 52, line 44), SD-FEC codewords (e.g., page 53, line 36), 'Hamming code words' (e.g., page 52, line 53), and just 'code word' (page 53, line 32) seem to be used interchangeably to describe the 128-bit code word that is passed across the 8 lane PMA service interface to the PMA sublayer as 16 groups of 8

#### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the term 'SD-FEC codeword' be used consistently in subclause 155.3.3 to describe the 128-bit code word passed across the PMA service interface.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2 P 52 L 53 # 238

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Doesn't the symbol interleaving operate on groups of sixteen DP-16QAM symbols, mapped from the 128-bit SD-FEC codewords passed across the PMA service interface, as described in subclause 155.3.3.1.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text 'The symbol interleaver performs an 8-way interleaving of symbols from Hamming code words ...' be changed to read 'The symbol interleaver performs an 8-way interleaving of groups of sixteen symbols mapped from SD-FEC codewords ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

rewrite bucket

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.2 P 52 L 54 # 239

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

On page 52, line 54, the symbol number is in normal font whereas it is in subscript font in the remainder of subclause 155.3.3.2.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that, based on page 52, line 54, the symbol number should be in normal rather than subscript font in the rest of the subclause to make it clear the two numbers following 'S' separated by a comma are the code word number followed by the symbol number in the code word. Alternatively, perhaps it should be stated that two numbers following 'S' separated by a comma are the code word number followed by the symbol number in the code word.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2 P 53 L 33 # 240

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

According to 155.3.3.1 Gray mapping and polarization distribution the 'S' code word is an array of DP-16QAM symbols (page 52, line 35). As a result, aren't 'Symbols from eight code words [S0, ...,S7] ...' (page 52, line 54) a total of 128 DP-16QAM symbols? This seems to be confirmed by Figure 155-11 'Eight-way Hamming code interleaver' which shows symbols S0.0 through S7.15 which is 128 symbols.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the text 'When the 64-symbol buffer is full ...' be changed to read 'When the 128-symbol buffer is full ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.3.2 P 53 L 34 # 215

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The intended interleaving is that first symbol of each of 16 codewords is transmitted, then the second symbol, etc. The example is not consistent with that - S(1,1) should follow S(0,1) rather than S(0,2) (as seen in figure 155-11).

SuggestedRemedy

Change S0,2 to S1,1

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.2 P 54 L 11 # 216

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

There is a horizontal line missing between the second and third sets of symbols in Figure 155-11

SuggestedRemedy

Add the missing line

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 54 L 27 # 241

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

There is no specification of how the output from PAM symbol interleaving function is mapped into the payload fields of the sub-frame of a super-frame.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a subclause to describe how the output of the PAM symbol interleaving function is mapped into the payload fields of the sub-frame of a super-frame.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 54 L 31 # 242

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' however says 'A super-frame is defined as a set of 181 888 symbols in each of the X and Y polarizations including ....'. Since a separate super-frame for each of the X and Y polarizations, the 'symbols' seem to be 16QAM symbols rather than DP-16QAM symbols.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text 'A super-frame is defined as a set of 181 888 symbols in each of the X and Y polarizations including 175 616 payload symbols and 6272 additional symbols.' be changed to read 'A super-frame is defined as a set of 181 888 16QAM symbols for each of the X and Y polarizations including 175 616 payload 16QAM symbols and 6272 additional 16QAM symbols.'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 54 L 32 # 137

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The sentence states " Each super-frame is

made up of 49 sub-frames . ". This is unusual terminolgy as a super-frame (or mutli-frame) is usually made of n frames (and not -sub-frames). This also begs the question as to why "super-frame" is used instead of the more usual "multi-frame"

#### SuggestedRemedy

Propose changing "super-frame" to "multi-frame" and "sub-frame" to "frame" throughout this section. An alternative would be to use "frame" and "sub-frame".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 54 L 37 # 243

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The second paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' says 'The first sub-frame of a super-frame includes ... 76 reserved symbols (rsvd<0:75>) ...', however, there is no specification of what 16QAM symbol should be transmitted for these reserved symbols.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Define the 16QAM symbol to be transmitted for these 76 reserved symbols.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346

CI 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 55 L 4 # 244

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The contents of the sub-frame 0 between P4 and P115, and sub-frame 1 and 48 between P2 and P115, are not defined in Figure 155-12.

For sub-frame 0, the number of symbols shown in Figure 155-12 after P0, P1, P2, P3 and P115 is 31. A sub-frame is 3712 symbols long, and there are 116 PS symbols, and since 3712/32 = 116 it seems reasonable to assume that there are 31 symbols after every PS symbol for sub-frame 0, but this needs to be specified.

For sub-frame 1, the number of symbols shown in Figure 155-12 after P0 is 31, after P1 is 31, however, after P115 it is 32. Similarly, for sub-frame 48, the number of symbols shown in Figure 155-12 after P0 is 42, after P1 is 31, and after P115 it is 32. It is therefore difficult to make an assumption about the number of symbols after each PS between P2 and P115, so this needs to be specified.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Specify the contents of the sub-frame 0 between P4 and P115, and sub-frame 1 and 48 between P2 and P115.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

rewrite bucket

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 55 L 10 # 245

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The third paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' says that 'The next 48 sub-frames of the super-frame have an 11-symbol TS (ts<0:10>), 116 PS symbols [P0, .,P115], and 3586 payload symbols.' which seems to imply that sub-frames 1 through 48 are all the same formats. Figure 155-12, however, shows 31 symbols after P0 for sub-frame 1, yet 42 symbols after P0 for sub-frame 48. Similarly, Figure 155-12 shows 31 symbols after P1 for sub-frame 1, yet 32 symbols after P1 for sub-frame 48. And if sub-frame 1 and sub-frame 48 are different formats, what are the formats for sub-frames 2 through 47.

The 31 symbols after P0 shown for sub-frame 1 in Figure 155-12 are ts<0:10>, but P0 overlaps ts<0>, so this is 10 bits, followed by m<3488:3508> which is 21 bits resulting in a total of 31 bits. The 42 symbols after P0 shown for sub-frame 48 in Figure 155-12 are ts<0:10>, but P0 overlaps ts<0>, so this is 10 bits, followed by m<172 030:172 061> which is 32 bits, resulting in a total of 42 bits. The 31 symbols after P1 shown for sub-frame 1 in Figure 155-12 are m<3509:3539>, the 32 symbols after P1 shown for sub-frame 48 in Figure

155-12 are m<172 062:172 093>.

#### SuggestedRemedy

If sub-frames 1 through 48 are not the same format, specify which sub-frames are in what format. If they are in the same format, correct the figure to show the correct number of bits.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 55 L 11 # 270

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

While sub-frames 1 and 48 are annotated with 3 and 0 in P0, sub-frames 0 doesn't have this annotation. In addition, it isn't clear what the 3 to 0 signifies, perhaps that each DP-16QAM symbol has four components, but subclause 155.3.3.3 (page 54, line 29) says 'For each polarization, the stream of Gray mapped, interleaved symbols are assembled into a frame format suitable for transmission over ...' which seems to imply a sperate frame for each polarization.

### SuggestedRemedy

Either remove the 3 to 0 annotation for sub-frames 1 and 48 or add to sub-frames 0 and define the meaning.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.3.3 P 55 L 25 # 271

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' says 'The super-frame and sub-frame formats are shown in Figure 155-12.', however the title of Figure 155-12 'Transmission frame and sub-frame organization and bit ordering' and there doesn't seem to be any illustration of a super-frame.

#### SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Suggest the title of Figure 155-12 be changed to read 'Super-frame and sub-frame organization and bit ordering'.
- [2] Suggest that the transmission order of the sub-frame and sub-frames to from a superframe be added to the figure.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.1 P 55 L 40 # 485 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket split table (not properly indicated). Also Table 155-6-PS SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See response to comment #346. 13 C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3 P 57 # 82

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"The PS is a fixed PRBS10 sequence mapped to 16QAM symbols with different seed values for X and Y polarizations. The generator for the pilot sequence is shown in Figure 155-13"

Is it two separate PRBS sequences with different seeds?

Also it is unclear how bits are mapped to the I and Q values in Table 155-6.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite to clarify.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3 P 57 L 8 # 272

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.3.3.3 'Pilot sequence (PS)' says that 'The seed is reset at the start of every sub-frame ...'. Isn't it the generator that is reset at the start of every sub-frame using the seed value?

#### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text 'The seed is reset at the start of every sub-frame, so that the same ...' be changed to read 'The generator is initialized using the seed at the start of every sub-frame, so that the same ...'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

CI 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3 P 57 L 8 # 273

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

There is no specification of how the PRBS10 sequence is mapped to 16QAM symbols. From review of Table 155-6 it appears that the generator in Figure 155-13 is used to produce 232 bits. The even bits are mapped to the in-phase component of the 16QAM symbol, odd bits mapped to the quadrature-phase component of the 16QAM symbol, with a 0 mapped to a '-3' and a 1 mapped to a '3'.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the second paragraph of subclause 155.3.3.3.3 be changed to read:

The seed is reset at the start of every sub-frame, so that the same 116 symbols, [P0, ...,P115] are inserted into every sub-frame of the same polarization. For each polarization X and Y, the generator produces 232 bits PRBS[231:0] that are mapped to 116 16QAM symbols.

[P0, ...,P115]

where for i = 0 to 115,

- PSBR[2i] maps to the in-phase (I) component of the 16QAM symbol [Pi] for the respective polarization
- PSBR[2i+1] maps to the quadrature-phase (Q) component of the 16QAM symbol [Pi] for the respective polarization

and where,

- 0 maps to -3 for the respective 16QAM symbol component
- 1 maps to +3 for the respective 16QAM symbol component

The generator polynomial and seed values are listed in Table 155-6 and the complete PS sequence is shown in Table 155-6.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3 P 57 L 10 # 274

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket
Since the abbreviation 'PS' is 'pilot sequence' the text '... PS sequence ...' expands to '...
pilot sequence sequence ...'.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the text '... the complete PS sequence is ...' be changed to read '... the complete PS is ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3 P 57 L 12 # 275

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Add an arrow head to the line from P8, P4 and P3 where they connect to the XOR logic
operator symbol.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3 P 57 L 14 # 486

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Missing arrowheads on 3 vertical paths

SuggestedRemedy

Add them

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3 P 57 L 32 # 487 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Table 155-6--PS SuggestedRemedy Use whole words. Pilot sequence Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.3.3 P 57 L 33 # 276

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

There appear to be two separate tables number 155-6, the first labelled 'Table 155-5-PS generator polynomial and seed values', the second labelled 'Table 155-6-PS'.

### SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Suggest that the second Table 155-6 'PS' be renumbered to be 155-7, with subsequent tables renumbered, and its title should be
- [2] Suggest that the title of the second Table 155-6 should be changed from 'PS' to read 'Pilot sequence'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.4 P 58 L 30 # 277

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The title of subclause 155.3.3.4 is '16QAM encode and signal drivers' however I don't think IEEE P802.3cw specifies a physical instantiation of the PMD service interface, and I don't see any text related to signal drivers in subclause 155.3.3.4. Perhaps it would be better to reference the DAC (see Figure 155-10) to parallel the title of subclause 155.3.3.5 below.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the title of subclause 155.3.3.4 is changed to read '16QAM encode and DAC'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.3.4 P 58 L 32 # 138

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The first sentence states " On each polarization, the stream of symbols is converted to four analog signals per symbol: IX, QX, IY, and

I thought IX and QX formed one 16QAM symbol on one polarization (the X polarization) and IY and QY formed one 16QAM symbol for the other polarization (the Y polarization).

### SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite the text to make it clear that there are not four analog signals (IX, QX, IY, QY) for each polarization (which would mean 8 analog signals in total), but instead there are two analog signals (IX, QX) per symbol for the X polarization and two analog signals (IY, QY) per symbol for the Y polarization.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.4.1 P 58 L 38 # 83

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The title says "Symbol mapping to physical lanes", but in the text it is "coherent signal to physical lane mappings".

The conversion of symbols to signals is done in the PMD.

#### SuggestedRemedy

rewrite bucket

Change "All of the coherent signal to physical lane mappings" to "All options for symbol mapping to physical lanes". Change Table 155-7 title accordingly.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.4.1 P 58

# 191

C/ 155

P 58

L 45

# 341

D'Ambrosia, John

Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

L 39

Comment Type Comment Status A This sentence appears to include unnecessary information - rewrite bucket

Note that interleaving of signals by polarization is not allowed since this would add a nonessential

level of complexity to the Rx digital processing.

SuggestedRemedy

modify sentence to

Note that interleaving of signals by polarization is not allowed.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155

SC 155.3.3.4.1

P 58

L 42

# 139

Nicholl, Garv

Cisco Systems

Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The last sentence states ". which correspond to the inter-sublayer signals PMD:IS UNITDATA 0.request ...". I presume in this case we are talking about the intersublayer signals below the PMA (PMD service interface) and not the inter-sublayer signals above the PMA. (PMA service interface).

SuggestedRemedy

Update the text to make it clear that the "inter-sublayer signals" being referred to are below the PMA, or alternatively just refer to the PMD service interface directly.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

Zimmerman, George

CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

Comment Type TR

Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

"The signals are sampled by an ADC on each lane at a sampling rate." "The details of the ADC . are implementation specific". This is a description of an implementation, not appropriate for an interoperability specification. If someone could do the signal processing optically, analog, or by magic, it would still comply with the standard. The fact that an ADC is used, isn't a part of the interoperability standard, or even any of the characteristics of the ADC. Hence the mention is inappropriate and should be deleted. The sentence works just fine anyways and describes the processing without the "by an ADC".

### SugaestedRemedy

Change header of 155.3.5 to Receive signal sampling.

On line 50, Delete "by an ADC"

SC 155.3.3.5

Change line 54 to "The details of the sampling, including any quantization and the chosen sampling rate are implementation specific."

P 58

Replace "ADC" with "Sampler" in figure 155-10.

Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.5

L 45

CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma

# 343

Zimmerman, George

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

"The signals are sampled by an ADC on each lane at a sampling rate." "The details of the ADC, are implementation specific". This is a description of an implementation, not appropriate for an interoperability specification. If someone could do the signal processing optically, analog, or by magic, it would still comply with the standard. The fact that an ADC is used, isn't a part of the interoperability standard, or even any of the characteristics of the ADC. Hence the mention is inappropriate and should be deleted. The sentence works just fine anyways and describes the processing without the "by an ADC".

### SuggestedRemedy

Change header of 155.3.5 to Receive signal sampling.

On line 50, Delete "by an ADC"

Change line 54 to "The details of the sampling, including any quantization and the chosen sampling rate are implementation specific."

Replace "ADC" with "Sampler" in figure 155-10.

Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.5 P 58 L 47 # 84

Ran. Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The signals IX/QX/IY/QX are just signals (per 155.3.3.4 and 156.1), and are not "coherent" by themselves. The coherency is part of the PMD.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Four coherent signals" to "Four continuous signals".

In 155.3.3.4.1 and in Table 155-7 change "coherent signal" to "symbol".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.6 P 59 L 22 # 85

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

"The encoding of 16QAM symbols is based on Table 155-2"

This table does not define any encoding of input symbols - it defines mapping of bits tuples to output symbols.

"but with a higher resolution than 4 bits"

Resolution is for the digital representation of each analog value. The resolution here should be more than two bits (per dimension). The resolution seems to be left open to implementation.

This should be written more clearly. The suggested remedy is my attempt, but other text may be used.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from

"The encoding of 16QAM symbols is based on Table 155-2 but with a higher resolution than 4 bits to enable the SD-FEC decoder to detect and correct symbol errors"

to "The 16QAM symbols should be sampled with more than two bits per dimension, in order to enable the SD-FEC decoder to correct errors and recover the bits from the symbols based on the mapping in Table 155-2".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.3.3.6 P 59 L 40 # 86

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The hyphen in "-12" should be an en-dash (or minus sign).

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.7 P 59 L 41 # 278

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Suggest that '... frames with minimum interpacket ...' should read '... frames with a minimum interpacket ...'.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.7 P 59 L 42 # 279

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Subclause 155.3.3.6 'Receive signal processing' says 'Implementations are required to have a frame loss ratio (see 1.4.275) of less than 1.7 x 10-12 for 64-octet frames with minimum interpacket gap when additionally processed according to this clause.'. It's not

minimum interpacket gap when additionally processed according to this clause.'. It's no clear what the additionally processed is in reference to as there is no other processing referenced.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that '... when additionally processed according to this clause.' should read '... when processed according to this clause.'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

bucket

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.8 P 60 L 4 # 87 C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 L 26 # 280 Ran. Adee Cisco Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket "comprising sixteen symbols encoded as shown in Table 155-2 but at a higher resolution Assuming this is a boolean variable, suggest this should be noted in the variable than 8 bits" description, as with other boolean variables. SuggestedRemedy SD-FEC codewords are by definition 128 bits; and table 155-2 shows mapping of bit tuples Suggest that 'A variable set by the ...' should read 'A boolean variable set by the ...'. into output symbols. Response Response Status C Also, according to the next paragraph, the output of the process is a single stream of ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. samples, not codewords. See response to comment #346. This text seems to specify that the input to the decoder should be four streams of samples (combinations of X/Y and I/Q) with more than two bits per sample. C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 / 29 # 281 SuggestedRemedy Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Rewrite to clarify. Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Response Response Status C The description of the 'pma enable deskew' variable says 'A boolean variable that enables ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. and disables the PMA deskew process.' Is this correct as 'pma enable deskew' is an output of the Figure 155 15 'PMA deskew state diagram' that doesn't appear to be used See response to comment #346. anywhere else. SuggestedRemedy P 60 C/ 155 SC 155.4.2 L 22 # 88 Suggest the description of the 'pma enable deskew' variable should be changed to read Ran. Adee Cisco 'A Boolean variable that set to true when deskew is enabled and set to false when deskew Comment Type Е Comment Status A rewrite bucket is disabled. Received symbols may be discarded whenever deskew is enabled.'. The subclause hierarchy below "State variables" is unnecessary, and includes subclauses Response Response Status C that are not about state variables (155.4.2.2 through 155.4.2.4) ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE SuggestedRemedy See response to comment #346 Delete 155.4.2 and move its subclauses upper in the hierarchy (to become 55.4.2 through 155.4.5). C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 L 30 # 282 Response Response Status C Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Comment Type Comment Status A bucket See response to comment #346. Since Boolean is named after George Boole, I believe that it should always be Boolean (and not boolean). SuggestedRemedy Change all instances of 'boolean' to 'Boolean'. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 L 34 # 140

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Definition of "pma\_alignment \_valid" variable. Reading the previous text it is not clear exactly what consititues a PMA lane, and how many PMA lanes there are, and how each PMA lane is assigned a unique lane number? The definition also refers to "PMA lanes are deskewed". I don't see any mention of PMA lane deskew in the functional block diagram in Figure 155-10.

### SuggestedRemedy

Maybe this is all clearly defined earlier in the document. If so then the editors can reject this comment with a reference to the appropriate section of text. If not then the variable description needs to be updated to better refelct thefunctional descriptions earlier in this clause. This comment also applies to other variables defined in 155.4.2.1, that refer to "PMA lanes".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 L 40 # 283

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The description of the 'reset' variable says that it is 'A boolean variable that controls the resetting of the PCS and PMA sublayers' and that 'It is true whenever a reset is necessary including when reset is initiated from the MDIO ... and when the MDIO has put the PCS and PMA sublayers into low-power mode.'.

The PMA and PCS are separate MMDs (see Table 45-1). The PMA/PMD reset bit is 1.0.15 and the low power bit is 1.0.11, both found in PMA/PMD control 1 register. The PCS reset bit is 3.0.15 and the low power bit is 3.0.11, both found in the PCS control 1 register. Since these registers are in separate MMDs, and since their state is not communicate across the PMA service interface, the PMA and PCS resets can operate independently.

### SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Rename the 'reset' variable used in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to be 'pma reset'.
- [2] Rename the 'reset' variable used in Figure 155-15 'PMA deskew state diagram' to be 'pma reset'.
- [3] Rename the 'reset' variable used in Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram' to be 'pcs reset'.
- [4] Rename the 'reset' variable defined in subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' to be 'pma\_reset' and change the description to read 'A Boolean variable that controls the resetting of the PMA sublayer. It is true whenever a reset is necessary including when reset is initiated from the MDIO, during power on, and when the MDIO has put the PMA sublayer into low-power mode.
- [5] Add a definition of the 'pcs\_reset' variable to subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' with the description 'A Boolean variable that controls the resetting of the PCS sublayer. It is true whenever a reset is necessary including when reset is initiated from the MDIO, during power on, and when the MDIO has put the PCS sublayer into low-power mode.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 L 44 # 285

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' says 'The PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive is generated through a signal indication logic (SIL) that reports signal health based on ... symbols being sent to the PCS on all of the output lanes.'. The SIGNAL\_OK parameter of the PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive is, however, used to derive the signal\_ok variable (page 60, line 45) which is used as an 'open arrow' entry condition to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state of the Figure 155-14 Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram.

As a result, it appears that if the SIGNAL\_OK parameter is ever set to FAIL, setting 'signal\_ok' to FALSE, the figure 155-14 Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram will enter the 'LOCK\_INIT' state. I assume this will mean that symbols will not be sent to the PCS since the PMA will not have FAW alignment. This in turn will mean the condition 'symbols being sent to the PCS' for the SIL to set the SIGNAL\_OK parameter to OK will not be met.

The PMA will then be locked in this condition permanently. The SIL cannot set the SIGNAL\_OK parameter to OK until symbols are sent to the PCS. Yet symbols won't be sent to the PCS until the SIGNAL\_OK parameter is set to OK.

### SuggestedRemedy

Please clarify the operation of the signal indication logic. Suggest, based on Figure 155-10, and the dotted line from the 'Carrier phase recovery block to the SIL, that the 'signal\_ok' variable used by the Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram should be based on the status of the blocks below the 'Pilot removal' blocks while the SIGNAL\_OK parameter sent to the PCS should also use the FAW alignment status.

See also my other comment suggest separate 'pma\_signal\_ok' and 'pcs\_signal\_ok' variables.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 L 44 # 284

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The description of the 'signal\_ok' variable says 'A boolean variable that is set based on the most recently received value of PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication(SIGNAL\_OK).' however that is generated by the PMA, see last paragraph of subclause 155.3.2 400GBASE-ZR 'PMA service interface'.

### SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Rename the 'signal\_ok' variable used in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to be 'pma signal ok'.
- [2] Rename the 'signal\_ok' variable used in Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram' to be 'pcs signal ok'.
- [3] Rename the 'signal\_ok' variable defined in subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' to be 'pcs\_signal\_ok' and change the description to read 'A Boolean variable that is set based on the most recently received SIGNAL\_OK parameter of the PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primative. It is true if the value was OK and false if the value was FAIL.'.
- [4] Add a new variable 'pma\_signal\_ok' with the description 'A Boolean variable that is set by the signal indication logic (see 155.3.2.). It is true when symbols received from the PMD are being processed successfully by the signal processing, false otherwise.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 60 L 51 # 405

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Definition of restart\_lock begins by talking about how it affects all lanes, then states it activates when 15 FAWs fail to match, but doesn't clearly define that's 15 failures in a row on a single PMA lane.

### SuggestedRemedy

Change "fail to match" to "fail to match on a given PMA lane"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 3 # 141

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Defintion of variable "faws\_lock<x>". A number of issues here. Firstly the text states that "...receiver has detected the location of the FAW for a given lane on the PMA service interface.". There is no "FAW" on the "PMA service interface" (i.e. the interface above the PMA sublayer) as the FAW is inserted/removed by the PMA sublayer itself. I tinhk what is meant here is the "PMD service interface" and not the "PMA service interface"? Secondly the description states "...where x=0:3". This suggests that there are four separate FAWs being locked to, whereas according to section 155.3.3.3 and Figure 155-10 there is only a single FAWs inserted per polarization, so one FAW for X polarization and one FAW for Y polarization.

### SuggestedRemedy

Correct the reference to the PMD service interface (if the assumption in the comment is correct) and explain why there are 4 "faws\_lock<x>" boolean variables when according to section 155.3.3.3 there are only two FAWs (one for X polarization and one for Y polarization)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # [142

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type ER Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Definition of "faw\_valid". The references to "Table 155-3" and section "155.3.3.3.1" are not active cross-references.

SuggestedRemedy

Correct cross-references.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 287

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The description of the 'faw\_valid' variable says 'The FAW consists of one of the sequences listed in Table 155-3.' but then 'The sequence is considered to be valid if at least 36 bits match the 44 known bits of the FAW pattern described in 155.3.3.3.1.'. The sequence listed in Table 155-3, and the candidate sequences received over the PMD service interface, are both 22 DP-16QAM symbols, not 44 bits. Based on slide 4 of the contribution 'faw valid analysis' from Mike Sluvski

<a href="https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22\_0523/sluyski\_3cw\_01a\_220523.pdf#page=4">https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22\_0523/sluyski\_3cw\_01a\_220523.pdf#page=4</a> referencing a 'QPSK FAW' value of 44 in the spreadsheet, I assume the reference to 36 bits matching the 44 known bits should be to 36 16QAM symbols matching the 44 16QAM symbols (which form the 22 DP-16QAM symbol FAW sequence), defined in Table 155-3.

Additionally, isn't it the case that the four components of the DP-16QAM symbols of the candidate 22 symbol block received over the four-lane PMD service interface can be mapped to the four lanes in any of eight ways defined in Table 155-7? If that is the case, suggest that this is also addressed in the description of the 'faw valid' variable.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the 'faw valid' variable description should be changed to read:

A Boolean variable that is set to true if the candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block received over the four-lane PMD service interface is a valid FAW sequence. The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is compared to the FAW sequence defined in Table 155-3, considering all permitted PMD service interface lanes mappings defined in Table 155-7. The candidate 22 DP-16QAM symbol block is considered to be a valid FAW sequence if at least 36 of its component 16QAM symbols match, in value, sequence position, and the 44 known 16QAM symbols of the FAW sequence defined in Table 155-3.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 11 # 288

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The definition of the 'faw\_valid' variable says '... set to true if the received 22-symbol block is a valid FAW.'. According to the super-frame format defined in subclause 155.3.3.3 the 22 FAW symbols are transmitted over a total of 23 symbols, as Pilot Sequence index P1 is inserted between the symbols faw<20> and faw<21> (see figure 155-12). As a result, a valid FAW will never be found in a received 22-symbol block, only in a received 23-symbol block after the 22nd symbol is deleted.

### SuggestedRemedy

If needed, clarify the definition of the 'faw\_valid' variable to account for the P1 symbol inserted between the faw<20> and faw <21> symbols.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 14 # 404

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

The reference to 155.3.3.3.1 is not hyperlinked in faw valid

SuggestedRemedy

make it a link

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 14 # 13

Bruckman, Leon Huawei

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucke

Clause 155.3.3.3.1 defines FAW as a 22 symbols sequence, "bits" are not mentioned there

#### SuggestedRemedy

For consistency replace: "The sequence is considered to be valid if at least 36 bits match the 44 known bits of the FAW pattern described in 155.3.3.3.1.", with: "The sequence is considered to be valid if at least 18 symbols match the 22 known symbols of the FAW pattern described in 155.3.3.3.1."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 18 # 289

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.3.3.3 'Insert FAW, TS and PS symbols' says that 'A super-frame is defined as .... including 175 616 payload symbols and 6272 additional symbols.' and that 'The first sub-frame of a super-frame includes ... a 22-symbol FAW (faw<0:21>) ... and 3488 payload symbols (m<0:3487>).' Based on this it seems that the FAW is not considered part of the payload.

### SuggestedRemedy

Since the title of subclause 155.3.3.3.1 'Frame alignment word (FAW) sequence', suggest that the four instances of '... FAW payload ...' (page 61, lines 16, 18, 20 and 23) be changed to read '... FAW sequence ...'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 19 # 290

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The description of the variable 'current\_pmal' says 'The PMA lane number is determined by the FAW payloads based on the mapping defined in 155.3.3.3.1.' and the description of the variable 'pma\_lane' says 'The PMA lane number is determined by matching the received 22-symbol sequence to the values in one of the columns of Table 155-3 ...'. Subclause 155.3.3.3.1, nor Table 155-3, provide any lane numbers.

The PMA lane number is not referenced outside the state diagrams, other than in Table 155-9 where pma\_lane\_mapping<x> is mapped to register 3.400 through 3.403, which doesn't seem correct as these are PCS lane registers, not PMA lane registers (see my other comment on this). As a result, rather than add PMA lane numbers to subclause 155.3.3.3.1 and/or Table 155-3, suggest references to 'PMA lane numbers' be changed to 'PMA lane identifiers' with the values 'Ix', 'Qx', 'Iy' and 'Qy'. The state diagram can compare PMA lane identifiers to see if they match and can test for a unique PMA lane identifier for each PMA lane as easily as it can for PMA lane numbers.

In addition, the description of the 'faw\_valid' variable says 'The sequence is considered to be valid if at least 36 bits match the 44 known bits of the FAW pattern described in 155.3.3.3.1.\(^1\). The description of the variable 'current\_pmal' however says 'The PMA lane number is determined by the FAW payloads based on the mapping defined in 155.3.3.3.1.\(^1\). Similarly, the description of the variable 'pma\_lane' says 'The PMA lane number is determined by matching the received 22-symbol sequence to the values in one of the columns of Table 155-3 \(^1\). Neither mention the '36 out 44' approach used for the 'faw valid' variable.

The 'current\_pmal' description could imply a requirement for a full match to a column of Table 155-3, and the 'pma\_lane' description requires a full match to a column of Table 155-3. Since the entry into states where 'current\_pmal' is used is based on faw\_valid = TRUE, doesn't this mean that the use of the '36 out 44' approach, which permits 8 16QAM symbols to not match, needs to be considered when determining 'current\_pmal' and 'pma\_lane'. As a worst-case example, couldn't a faw\_valid = TRUE result from eight 16QAM symbols not matching due to errors on just one phase of just one of polarization. This would seem to imply that the compare for the values received on a lane with the columns of Table 155-3 also needs to permit eight values not matching.

In the case of 'current\_pmal' and 'pma\_lane', as there are only 22 values in a column of Table 155-3, it would seem a match would have to be valid if at least 14 values received on the lane match the 22 known values defined in a column to address the worst-case of all eight errors on one phase of one of polarization. It seems there may, however, be another approach to determine 'current\_pmal' and 'pma\_lane'. Doesn't the PMD lane mapping row selected from Table 155-7 to achieve faw\_valid = TRUE inherently provide the 'current\_pmal' and 'pma\_lane' values (see my comment on faw\_valid)?

Finally, as this variable is used by a state diagram within the PMA, which sits above the PMD, the text '... is recognized on a given lane of the PMA service interface.' should read '... is recognized on a given lane of the PMD service interface.'.

### SuggestedRemedy

[1] Change the description of the first\_pmal variable to read as follows (note my other comment to change the coherent signal labels in Table 155-7 would impact this item if accepted):

A variable that holds the PMA lane identifier corresponding to the first FAW sequence that is recognized on a given lane of the PMD service interface. It is compared to the PMA lane identifier corresponding to the next FAW payload that is tested. The PMA lane identifier is the value for the given lane in the row of Table 155-7 that defines the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence as described in the faw valid variable.

#### Values:

Ix: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is XI.

Qx: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is XQ.

ly: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is YI.

Qy: Value for given lane from mapping used in Table 155-7 to find the current FAW sequence is YQ.

[2] Change the description of the current\_pmal variable to read as follows:

A variable that holds the PMA lane identifier corresponding to the current FAW sequence that is recognized on a given lane of the PMD service interface. It is compared to the variable first\_pmal to confirm that the location of the FAW sequence has been detected. The PMA lane identifier is the value for the given lane in the row of Table 155-7 that defines the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence as described in the faw\_valid variable.

#### Values:

See first pmal.

[3] Change the description of the pma lane variable to read as follows:

#### pma lane

A variable that holds the PMA lane identifier received on lane x of the PMA service interface when faws\_lock<x> = TRUE. The PMA lane identifier is determined by matching the received 22-symbol FAW sequence to the values in one of the columns of Table 155-3. The PMA lane identifier is the value for the given lane in the row of Table 155-7 that defines the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence as described in the faw valid variable.

Values:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 Page 80 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:34 A

See first pmal. [4] Change all instances of '... PMA lane number ...' to '... PMA lane identifier ...'. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 28 # 143 Nicholl, Garv Cisco Systems Comment Type TR rewrite bucket Comment Status A Defintion of variable "pma lane". The defintion states that there can be 4 PMA lane numbers on the PMA service interface. But if I look at Figure 155-10 there are 8 lanes on the PMA sevice interface. There are however 4 lanes on the PMD service interface. I suspect the editor meant "PMD service interface (i.e. the interface below the PMA sublayer) and not the PMA service interface (the interface above the PMA sublayer). Also the reference to Table 155-3 is not an active cross reference SuggestedRemedy Change "PMA service interface" to "PMD service interfce". Fix the cross-reference to Table 155-3. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346. # 291 SC 155.4.2.1 P 61 L 33

C/ 155 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** Comment Status A Comment Type Ε rewrite bucket There are nine instances of 'super-frame' and two instances of 'DSP super-frame'. Suggest that one term is used consistently. SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the two instances of '... DSP super-frame ...' (page 61, line 33 and page 63 and line 4) be changed to read '... super-frame ...'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.1 P 62 L 1 # 349

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket

A bad CW can be detected either by detecting errors after FEC decoding or by CRC errors. This should be clarified in the counter definition.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following to the definition of cw bad: An uncorrected codeword is detected if either errors remain after FEC correction or if the CRC32 check fails.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

SC 155.4.2.1 P 68 C/ 155 / 26 # 409

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

FEC high SER is not a feature of 400GBASE-ZR

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the FEC high SER row from Table 155-9

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

CI 155 SC 155.4.2.2 P 62 L 28 # 292

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The description of the 'FAW\_COMPARE' function in subclause 155.4.2.2 'Functions' says that 'If current\_pmal and first\_pmal both found a match and ... faw\_match is set to true.'. Since faw\_valid '... is considered to be valid if at least 36 bits match the 44 known bits of the FAW pattern ...'. I assume rather than a 'match', this really should say something along the lines of 'if at least 36 symbols of the current receive 22-symbol block match the 44 known bits of the FAW pattern'.

It however seems simpler to just add faw\_valid is TRUE as a condition to enter the COMP state, which would become 'faw\_counter\_done \* faw\_valid', and have a path from the 'COUNT\_2' state to the 'INVALID\_FAW' state if 'faw\_counter\_done \* !faw\_valid' is FALSE. This would also mirror the similar use of the 'FAW\_COMPARE' function in the 'COMP\_2ND' state where the condition to transition to the state is 'faw\_counter\_done \* faw\_valid' and 'faw\_counter\_done \* !faw\_valid' results in a transition to the 'FAW\_SLIP' state.

### SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Change the text 'If current\_pmal and first\_pmal both found a match and indicate the same PMA lane number, faw\_match is set to true' in the description of the FAW\_COMPARE function to read 'If current\_pmal and first\_pmal indicate the same PMA lane number, faw\_match is set to true'.
- [2] Change the condition on the transition from the 'COUNT\_2' state to the 'COMP' state in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to read 'faw\_counter\_done \* faw\_valid'.
- [3] Add a transition from the 'COUNT\_2' state to the 'INVALID\_FAW' state in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' that reads 'faw\_counter\_done \* !faw\_valid'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.3 P 62 L 40 # 293

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Subclause 155.4.2.3 'Counters' defines the 'cw\_bad\_count' counter, however this counter is not reference anywhere else in the draft.

## SuggestedRemedy

Delete the 'cw bad count' counter definition.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 60 L 48 # 286

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The description of the 'restart\_lock' variable says 'A boolean variable that is set by the frame alignment word (FAW) lock process to reset the synchronization process on all PMA lanes. It is set to TRUE when 15 FAWs in a row fail to match (15\_BAD state).'. While the restart\_lock variable is used in the frame alignment word (FAW) lock process described in Figure 155-14, it is also used in the Alignment marker lock process described in Figure 155-16.

### SugaestedRemedy

- [1] Rename all instances of the 'restart\_lock' variable used in Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' to be 'pma\_restart\_lock'.
- [2] Rename all instances of the 'restart\_lock' variable used in Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram' to be 'pcs\_restart\_lock'.
- [3] Rename 'restart\_lock' variable in subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' to be 'pma\_restart\_lock'.
- [4] Add a definition of the 'pcs restart lock' variable to subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 63 L 4 # 14 C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 63 L 12 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Т Comment Status A Text on FAW synchronization seems to imply that there is a FAW synchronization process Subclause 155.4.2.4 'State diagrams' says that 'The PCS shall implement the alignment for each lane, for a total of 4 independent FAW synchronization processes. Actually there marker lock process as shown in Figure 155-16 to identify the AM sequence at the start of each 400GBASE-ZR frame by observing data from the SC-FEC decoder output.', however are 2 FAW synchronization processes, one per polarization (see figure 115.10 and clause Figure 155-2 (page 35, line 20) shows the 'AM/OH detect & removal' block after the 155.3.3.7) 'CRC32 checking' block and subclause 155.2.5.7 'AM and OH detect and removal' says '.... SuggestedRemedy after removal of CRC32, MBAS, and pad, ...'. Replace: "The synchronization process operates independently on each lane" with: "The SuggestedRemedy synchronization process operates independently on each polarization" Suggest that the text '... by observing data from the SC-FEC decoder output.' be changed Response Response Status C to read '... by observing data from the CRC32 check and error marking output.'. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. # 294 C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 63 L 7 See response to comment #346. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 1 Comment Status A Comment Type Ε rewrite bucket Ran. Adee Cisco As the PMA is 'above' the PMD, the PMA would detect alignment in the symbols for a Comment Type Comment Status A given lane of the PMD service interface. The state diagram has several blocks in which text of assignment statements wraps to the SuggestedRemedy next line. There is enough room to prevent that.

Change the text '... the PMA service interface.'. to read '... the PMD service interface.'. SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resize blocks (changing layout if required) to prevent wrapping lines.

Response Status C

Response

See response to comment #346.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 Page 83 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:34 A

# 295

# 89

rewrite bucket

rewrite bucket

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 3 # 296

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Based on the description of the 'faw\_valid' variable, and slide 4 of the contribution 'faw valid analysis' from Mike Sluyski

<a href="https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22\_0523/sluyski\_3cw\_01a\_220523.pdf#page=4">https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22\_0523/sluyski\_3cw\_01a\_220523.pdf#page=4</a> referencing a 'QPSK FAW' value of 44, it seems a valid FAW sequence can only be detected across all four lanes. As a result, it will only be possible to achieve FAW lock on all lanes, or no lanes. There is no case where some lanes can be FAW locked, and others are not. There, therefore, seems no need to have four instances of the Frame alignment word lock state diagram (page 63, line 3). If there were, they wouldn't operate independently on each lane (page 63, line 5), and instead would operate in lock step.

It therefore seems that the four Frame alignment word lock state diagram can be collapsed in to one if the first\_pmal and current\_pmal variables hold the mapping number found in table 155-7 to achieve faw\_valid rather than the lane number. The PMA deskew state diagram can then be removed.

### SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Delete the variables 'pma\_alignment\_valid', 'all\_locked', and PMA\_lane\_mapping<x> from subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' and Figure 155-14.
- [2] Change the description of the 'faws\_lock<x>' variable (page 61, line 1) to read:

#### faws lock

A Boolean variable that is set to true when the receiver has detected the location of the FAW.

- [3] Change the description of the faw valid as suggested in my comment about faw valid.
- [4] Change the description of the first\_pmal to read (this overrides my other comment about first\_pmal):

A variable that holds the PMA lane mapping number found in the first column of Table 155-7 corresponding to the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the first FAW sequence. It is compared to the PMA lane mapping number corresponding to the next FAW payload that is found.

[5] Change the description of the current\_pmal to read (this overrides my other comment about current pmal):

A variable that holds the PMA lane mapping number found in the first column of Table 155-7 corresponding to the PMD service interface lane mapping used to find the match for the current FAW sequence. It is compared to the variable first\_pmal to confirm that the location of the FAW sequence has been detected.

[6] Change all instances of '... PMA lane number ...' to '... PMA lane mapping number ...'.

- [7] Change the text '... of the next FAW on a PMA lane.' to read '... of the next FAW.' in the 'faw\_counter' description.
- [8] Change the first paragraph of subclause 155.4.2.4 'State diagrams' to read 'The PMA shall also implement the deskew process as shown in Figure 155-14.
- [9] Delete the second paragraph of subclause 155.4.2.4.
- [10] Add the assignment 'pma\_align\_status <= FALSE' to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state of Figure 155-14.
- [14] Add the assignment 'pma\_align\_status <= TRUE' to the '2\_GOOD' state of Figure 155-14.
- [15] Delete Figure 155-15.
- [16] Change the 'Value/Comment' filed of PICS item SM1 in subclause 155.7.4.4 'State diagrams' to read 'Meets the requirements of Figure 155-14'.
- [17] Delete the SM2 row from subclause 155.7.4.4 and renumber following items.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 15 # 217

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

In the GET\_BLOCK state, the variable slip\_done should be faw\_slip\_done

SuggestedRemedy

Change slip done to faw slip done

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 15 # 297

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The 'slip\_done' variable assigned to FALSE in the GET\_BLOCK state of the Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram is not defined. Suspect it should read 'faw\_slip\_done' so that it is set to FALSE before the FAW\_SLIP function, which sets it TRUE, is called in the FAW\_SLIP state.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text 'slip\_done <= FALSE' in the GET\_BLOCK state in Figure 155-14 to read 'faw slip done <= FALSE'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 19 # 299

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The description of the 'first\_pmal' variable says it '... the PMA lane number that corresponds to the first FAW payload ...' however, it is updated by the assignment 'first\_pmal <= current\_pmal' every cycle through the '2\_GOOD' and 'GOOD\_FAW' states. With that said, the assignment 'first\_pmal <= current\_pmal' in the '2\_GOOD' and 'GOOD\_FAW' states appear to be redundant since the only way to enter these states is if 'faw\_match' is TRUE and for 'faw\_match' to be TRUE the first\_pmal and current\_pmal variables have to be equal (see FAW\_COMPARE function, page 62, line 28).

SuggestedRemedy

Consider removing the assignment 'first\_pmal <= current\_pmal' from the '2\_GOOD' and 'GOOD FAW' states.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 19 # 298

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

There is no definition of the 'prev\_pmal' variable used in the 'INVALID\_FAW' state of figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram', and there is no use or reference to the 'prev\_pmal' variable elsewhere in the IEEE P802.3cw draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the assignment ' prev\_pmal <= prev\_pmal + 4) mod 252' from the 'INVALID\_FAW' state

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 22 # 300

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Subclause 155.4.2.3 'Counters' defines the 'faws\_bad\_count' whereas the Figure 155-14 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram' uses 'faw bad count' ('faw' vs 'faws').

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

- [1] The transition from the 'INVALID\_FAW' state to the '15\_BAD' state be changed to read 'faws bad count = 15'.
- [2] The transition from the 'INVALID\_FAW' state to the 'COUNT\_2' state be changed to read 'faws bad count < 15'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

rewrite bucket

rewrite bucket

CI 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 24 # 301

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The 'restart\_lock' variable is set to TRUE on entry to the '15\_BAD' state. This will cause the state diagram to transition to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state because 'restart\_lock' is one of the

The 'restart\_lock' variable is set to TRUE on entry to the '15\_BAD' state. This will cause the state diagram to transition to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state because 'restart\_lock' is one of the OR conditions in the 'open arrow' entry to that state. The actions in the 'LOCK\_INIT' state will be executed, but since 'restart\_lock' remains set to TRUE, and 'open arrow' transitions are evaluated continuously whenever any state is evaluating its exit conditions (see 21.5.3), on exit the state diagram will loop back to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state. The state diagram will then be locked in this loop permanently.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that either the action 'restart\_lock <= FALSE' be added to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state or the 'restart\_lock' be deleted and a 'UCT' be added from the '15\_BAD' state to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 41 # 302

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A** bucket Complete the line under '2 GOOD'.

Complete the line under

SuggestedRemedy
See comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT

CI 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 42 # 303

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A** rewrite bucket

The variable 'PMA\_lane\_mapping' in the 2\_GOOD state of the Frame alignment word

(FAW) lock state diagram should read 'pma\_lane\_mapping' based on the definition in subclause 155.4.2.1 (page 61. line 34).

### SuggestedRemedy

Change the text 'PMA\_lane\_mapping<x> <= current\_pmal' in the 2\_GOOD state in Figure 155-14 to read 'pma lane mapping<x> <= current pmal'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 64 L 48 # 304

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Since the title of Figure 155-15 is 'PMA deskew state diagram' suggest that PMA should be added to the title of Figure 155-14 and PCS to the title of Figure 155-16.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

- [1] The title of Figure 155-14 should be changed to read 'PMA Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram'.
- [2] The title of Figure 155-16 should be changed to read 'PCS Alignment marker lock state diagram'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

CI 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 66 L 8 # 305

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

There are two instances of amps\_lock and one of amps\_lock<x> in figure 155-16 Alignment marker lock state diagram. Since subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says '... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes ...', and since subclause 155.4.2.1 'Variables' defines amps\_lock without an index, it seems that 'amps\_lock<x>' should read 'amps\_lock'.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 'amps lock<x> <= FALSE' in the LOCK INIT state to read 'amps lock <= FALSE'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 66 L 11 # 306

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The figure 155-16 PCS alignment marker lock state diagram uses the variable 'pma\_align\_status', however that variable is generated by the figure 155-14 PMA frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram, and it is not passed across the PMA service interface from the PMA to the PCS. As a result, it is not available to be used in the figure 155-16 PCS alignment marker lock state diagram.

Suggest that 'pma\_align\_status' being 'TRUE' be used as a condition to set the SIGNAL\_OK parameter of the PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive to OK and therefore communicate it across the PMA service interface. Since 'signal\_ok', derived from the SIGNAL\_OK parameter, is already used as an 'open arrow' entry to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state of the figure 155-16 PCS alignment marker lock state diagram, 'pma\_align\_status' can be deleted as an exit condition from that state.

### SuggestedRemedy

[1] Add 'pma\_align\_status' being 'TRUE' as a condition to set the SIGNAL\_OK parameter of the PMA:IS\_SIGNAL.indication primitive to OK in subclause 155.3.2 '400GBASE-ZR PMA service interface'

[2] Delete that exit condition 'pma align status' from the LOCK INIT state in figure 155-16.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 66 L 18 # 307

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Typo, amps\_... should be amp\_... based on counter definition, see page 62, line 37.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the action 'amps\_bad\_count <= 0' to read 'amp\_bad\_count <= 0' in the 'GOOD AM' state of the Figure 155-16 'Alignment marker lock state diagram'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 66 L 24 # 308

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

The 'restart\_lock' variable is set to TRUE on entry to the '5\_BAD' state. This will cause the state diagram to transition to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state because 'restart\_lock' is one of the OR conditions in the 'open arrow' entry to that state. The actions in the 'LOCK\_INIT' state will be executed, but since 'restart\_lock' remains set to TRUE, and 'open arrow' transitions are evaluated continuously whenever any state is evaluating its exit conditions (see 21.5.3), on exit the state diagram will loop back to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state. The state diagram will then be locked in this loop permanently.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that either the action 'restart\_lock <= FALSE' be added to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state or the 'restart\_lock' be deleted and a 'UCT' be added from the '5\_BAD' state to the 'LOCK\_INIT' state.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.4.2.4 P 66 L 39 # 309

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

Complete the line under '2 GOOD'.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 155 SC 155.5 P 67 L 3 # 488 C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 9 # 489 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A rewrite bucket Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket The following objects apply to: objects? in 45 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy in Clause 45 and why green when line 4 has black? Reword Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See response to comment #346. See response to comment #346. L 3 C/ 155 SC 155.5 P 67 # 310 C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 19 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Marris. Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type rewrite bucket Е Comment Status A rewrite bucket Strictly speaking, protocol agnostic management 'objects' are defined in Clause 30, with Insert correct cross reference protocol specific 'objects' defined in IEEE Std 802.3.1 and IEEE Std 802.3.2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace 45 with a subcluse number or a cross reference to Clause 45 Since the title of subclause 45.2 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 is 'MDIO Interface registers', Response Response Status C suggest that the text 'The following objects apply ...' in subclause 155.5 ne changed to read 'The following registers apply ...'. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #346.

'implemented' about the MDIO interface. SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

C/ 155

Law. David

Suggest that in subclause 155.5 '400GBASE-ZR PCS and PMA management' the text 'If an MDIO interface is provided ...' is changed top read 'If an MDIO interface is implemented ...'

Subclause 155.5 '400GBASE-ZR PCS and PMA management' uses the term 'provided' yet

P 67

Comment Status A

the following subclause 155.5.1 'PCS and PMA MDIO function mapping' uses

L 10

**Hewlett Packard Enterprise** 

# 311

rewrite bucket

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

SC 155.5

Cl 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 15 # 144

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

In Table 155-8 there are several MDIO control variables associated with "FEC degraded SER" processing, but I can find no description of FEC degraded SER processing in the draft? For 400GBASE-R the FEC degrade SER processing is associated with the RS544 FEC and based on monitoring for RS symbol errors within a given time interval (as described in section 119.2.5.3).

If we want to do something similar for 400GBASE-ZR then the "FEC degrade" monitoring should be based on monitoring a combination of the SD-FEC and SC-FEC.

This appears to be completely missing from the current draft.

### SuggestedRemedy

Define a FEC degrade monitoring scheme for 400GBASE-ZR (similar to what was done in section 119.2.5.3 for 400GBASE-R).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 28 # 490

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

FEC degraded SER activate threshold register should be PCS FEC degraded SER activate threshold register, but it's for Clause 119 PCS RS(544,514) FEC and there is no FEC degraded SER feature in this draft.

#### SugaestedRemedy

Delete the four FEC degraded SER rows

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 37 # 145

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Table 155-9 provides FEC coorected and uncorrected codeword counts for the SC-FEC? Should there be similar monitoring for the SD-FEC? This is missing in the current draft?

### SuggestedRemedy

Define FEC monitoring for the SD-FEC.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 37 # 146

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Table 155-9 has a MDIO variable called "SC-FEC AM lock, which referes to a PCS/PMS variable "amps\_locked". However when I look in section 155.4.2 (state variables), "amps\_lock" is based on locking onto the aignment marker (AM). But then in Figure 155-2 it appears that the "AM detect" block appears after the "SC-FEC decoding" block, so how can "amps\_lock" be used to lock onto the SC-FEC frame? Are the AM frames and the SC-FEC frames aligned, and is the AM used by the SC-FEC decoding block to lock onto the SC-FEC frame.

### SuggestedRemedy

This is simply a question for clarification. Depending on the answer changes may or may not be required in the draft.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 46 # 406

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The MDIO references for corrected and uncorrected codeword counters only point to the Clause 45 register, which then points you back to Clause 153 for the definition of the counter. In Clause 153 it refers to "fec align status" which does not exist in Clause 155.

### SuggestedRemedy

Add sub-clauses for corrected and uncorrected codeword counters:

155.5.1.x FEC corrected cw counter

A corrected FEC codeword is a codeword that contained errors and was corrected.

The FEC\_corrected\_cw\_counter is a 32-bit counter that counts once for each corrected FEC codeword processed when pma\_alignment\_valid is TRUE. This variable is mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.227 (1.2276, 1.2277).

153.5.1.y FEC\_uncorrected\_cw\_counter

An uncorrected FEC codeword is a codeword that contains errors that were not corrected, including FEC codewords that may have been mis-corrected or not completely corrected.

The FEC\_uncorrected\_cw\_counter is a 32-bit counter that counts once for each uncorrected FEC codeword processed when pma\_alignment\_valid is TRUE. This variable is mapped to the registers defined in 45.2.1.228 (1.2278, 1.2279).

Bring in 45.2.1.227 and 45.2.1.228 and references to the newly added sub-clauses in Clause 155.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 46 # 407

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

The corrected bit and total bit MDIO registers refer to Clause 153 only but are being used in Clause 155 now.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following sub-clauses: 155.5.1.x FEC total bits counter

See 153.2.5.3 for the definition of this counter.

155.5.1.y FEC corrected bits counter

See 153.2.5.4 for the definition of this counter.

Bring in 45.2.1.229 and 45.2.1.230 and add appropriate references to these new subclauses

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1 P 67 L 47 # 491

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

broken variable names

SuggestedRemedy

Widen the right column width until they fit

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Cl 155 SC 155.5.1 P 68 L 1 # 147

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Table 155-9 mentions the MDIO status variable "FEC degraded SER", but as pointed out in an earlier comment the draft provides no description as to how the "FEC degraded SER" status variable is set.

### SuggestedRemedy

The description for "FEC degraded SER" is missing from the draft.

Define a FEC degrade monitoring scheme for 400GBASE-ZR (similar to what was done in section 119.2.5.3 for 400GBASE-R).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 155 SC 155.5.1 P 68 L 27 # 312

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Register bits 3.52.3:0 (IEEE Std 802.3-2022 subclause 45.2.3.25) are PCS lane alignment lock status registers, yet they are mapped to PMA lane alignment lock variables (faw\_lock<3:0>). Similarly, register bit 3.50.12 is the PCS alignment status, yet it is mapped to the PMA alignment status variable (pma\_align\_status).

If there was a 400GBASE-ZR framing issue on a link where the PMA framing was operating correctly, the faws\_lock<3:0> bits and the pma\_align\_status would all be true based on the respective frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagrams, while the PCS would not be aligned based on the alignment marker lock state diagram. In that case, the current regsiter mapping would indicate that all the PCS lanes were aligned, and the overall PCS was aligned, when in fact this is not the case. This would seem to be misleading information to provide in the management registers in such a case.

Further, register 3.400 (IEEE Std 802.3-2022 subclause 45.2.3.49) through 3.419 are the 'PCS lane mapping registers, lanes 0 through 19' and these registers report the PCS lane number provide by the alignment marker for the respective PMA service interface lane. Table 155-9, however, maps these PCS lane mapping registers to the PAM lane mapping variable 'pma\_lane\_mapping<x>' output by Figure 155-14, the 'Frame alignment word (FAW) lock state diagram'.

Subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says 'The first 1920 bits of the frame contain alignment markers (AM).' and that 'These are identical to the 16 x 120b markers defined for 400GBASE-R in 119.2.4.4.2.'. Since the 16 different 400GBASE-R PCS lane alignment markers are all placed in a single 400GBASE-ZR alignment marker (see 155.2.4.4.1) it seems that 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes. This seems to be confirmed in subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' which says '... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes ...'. As a result, there are no PCS lanes across the PMA service interface, therefore there is no PCS lane alignment lock status nor PCS Lane mapping.

Finally, register bits 3.52.3:0, 3.50.12, and 3.400 through 3.403, which are all PCS register bits defined for MMD 3 (see IEEE Std 802.3-2022 Table 45-1), are mapped to variables found in the PMA. As illustrated in Figure 120A-9 (page 103), MMD 3 does not have access to the PMA (or PMD) as they are in MMD 1.

Based on the above, suggest that two new subclauses are added to say that registers 3.52, 3.53 and 3.400 through 3.403 are not used by the 400GBASE-ZR PCS because the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface. Require all PCS lane alignment bits to be set to zero. The content of the PCS lane mapping registers does not need to be defined because their content is only valid when the respective PCS lane alignment bit is set to one. In addition, suggest that the PCS lane alignment status bit be mapped from the 'amps\_lock' variable generated by the Figure 155-16, the PCS alignment marker lock state diagram.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggested changes:

[1] Delete the antepenultimate row of Table 155-9.

[2] Add a new subclause 155.5.1 as follows:

155.5.1 PCS lane alignment registers

The PCS lane alignment registers (registers 3.52 and 3.53) are not used as the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface (see 155.2.4.3). A 400GBASE-ZR PCS shall return a zero for all bits in these registers.

[3] Change the variable 'pma\_align\_status' in the 'ZR-PCS/PMA variable' column of the penultimate row of Table 155-9 to 'amps\_lock'.

[4] Delete the last row of Table 155-9.

[5] Add a new subclause 155.5.2 as follows:

155.5.2 PCS lane mapping registers

The PCS lane mapping registers (registers 3.400 through 3.419) are not used as the 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not use PCS lanes across the PMA service interface.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.5.1

P **68** 

L 30

# 194

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rowrite bucke

Why is there a reference to a PCS lane alignment status? There are no PCS lanes in the 400 GBASE-ZR PHY

SugaestedRemedy

Looks like this was intended to be PMA lane alignment status

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 155 SC 155.7.4.1

P **70** 

Comment Status A

L 24

# 346

Zimmerman, George

Comment Type TR

 ${\it CME Consulting/APL Group, Cisco, Commscope, Ma}$ 

rewrite bucket

This is a general comment on the requirements. I am attaching it to these PICS because this is where it became apparent. The style of IEEE SA standards (and IEEE Std 802.3) is that requirements use the term "shall". Each PICS item should have an associated "shall" and each "shall" should have a PICS. However, 155.7.4.1 is a list of the subclauses for the most part. Further, looking at the subclauses, they are largely without "shalls". Most of the items in clause 155 are descriptive of an implementation, and do not use the term shall. They use "is" or other descriptive language. The PICS are a list of the functional blocks described, but most of those functional blocks are lacking actual requirements. Instead they often describe an implementation or, worse yet, sometimes try to require a particular implementation ("an implementation shall"). What needs to happen is that the clause needs to be rewritten carefully considering what requirements are needed for interoperability, and deleting the unnecessary implementation description. This is a big job, and, in my opinion, means the draft is not technically complete, and should not have begun initial working group ballot. I truly regret having to make a comment like this, but I believe this is a great example of why we have working group ballots in 802.

### SuggestedRemedy

Unfortunately, the draft is so far from complete that I cannot propose a specific remedy for the systematic problem. I can suggest that the TF look at each subblock, determine what the observed behavior is, determine which parts matter to interoperability, and write "shall" statements in the subclauses. Then those shall statements can be made as PICS. Additionally, this will highlight where there is implementation description that can be deleted. When this is done, restart working group ballot.

Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

With editorial license, restructure and clarify Clause 155 and 156 as appropriate: to identify interoperability requirements using "SHALL" statements, as needed. to address issues noted in https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22 10/dambrosia 3cw 01b 221018.pdf

C/ 156 SC 156.1 P 73 L 20 # 192 C/ 156 SC 156.1 P 92 L 44 # 557 D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status R associated clauses include the 400GBASE-R PCS, 400GBASE-4 PMA, and all AUI's. Should be under 156.9.10 These clauses are referenced via the extender sublayer, so they should not be noted here. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete table entries Clause 119, 120, and all AUI related clauses. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change. Implement page 10 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22 09/dambrosia 3cw 01a 2209.pdf C/ 156 SC 156.1.1 P 74 L 39 # 91 Ran. Adee Cisco Implement page 11 of Comment Status A https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22 09/dambrosia 3cw 01a 2209.pdf Comment Type T "The bit error ratio (BER) when processed by the 400GBASE-ZR PMA (Clause 155) shall With editorial license be less than 1.25 × 10^-2..." C/ 156 SC 156.1 P 73 / 33 # 90 The output of the PMA is not bits but samples that are fed into the SD-FEC in the PCS. A BER cannot be defined at this interface before SD-FEC decoding, so this normative Ran, Adee Cisco requirement is meaningless. Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket Font size mismatch in "120C" Maybe the intent was after the SD-FEC decoder (which is in the PCS)? SuggestedRemedy Perhaps the PMD/PMA BER should not be specified for this PHY. Reduce size to match surrounding text, here and elsewhere if necessary SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Consider removing this requirement and defining only the PCS output frame loss ratio. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Otherwise, rewrite to create a well-defined requirement. Correct the font as required with editorial license Response Status C C/ 156 SC 156.1 P 73 L 48 # 492 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Dawe, Piers Nvidia Change the title of 156.1.1 to "Frame loss ratio" Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Change the 1st paragraph of 156.1.1 to: Clause 116 and the purpose SuggestedRemedy "The frame loss ratio (FLR), (see 1.4.275) after processing by the PMA and PCS shall be less than 1.7 × 10-12 for 64-octet frames with a minimum interpacket gap." comma Response Response Status C Delete the 2nd paragraph. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In clause 155 add additional language to clarify the degrade function and SER target. Change "Clause 116 and the purpose" to "Clause 116, and the purpose With editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **156** SC **156.1.1**  Page 93 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:35 A

C/ 156 SC 156.1.1 P 74 L 39 # 493 C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 74 L 52 # 315 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A rewrite bucket Suggest that '... PMA entity that resides just above the PMD, and the PMD entity.' should PMA (Clause 155) read '... PMA sublayer that resides just above the PMD, and the PMD sublayer.'. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy PMA (155.3) See comment. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 91. See response to comment #346. P 74 C/ 156 SC 156.1.1 / 41 # 313 C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 75 13 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Cisco Ran, Adee Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket Subclause '156.1.1 Bit error ratio' says '... for 64-octet frames with minimum interpacket gap when additionally processed by the CFEC (Clause 155).'. The text '... the CFEC The service interface of this PMD is not consistent with 116.3 because as it's written, the (Clause 155)' seems to imply a function but isn't CFEC '... a concatenated forward error inputs and outputs are analog signals, not streams of discrete symbols. correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC' to quote subclause 155.2.1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Rewrite the text without referring to 116.3 (or make it "similar to 116.3 but...") Suggest that the text '... for 64-octet frames with minimum interpacket gap when Response Response Status C additionally processed by the CFEC (Clause 155).' should be changed to read '... '... for 64-ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. octet frames with a minimum interpacket gap after CFEC error correction (see 155.2.1).'. Response Response Status C See response to comment #346. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 156 P 75 SC 156.2 L 11 # 93 See response to comment 91. Ran. Adee Cisco C/ 156 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A SC 156.1.1 P 74 / 41 # 314 "The 400GBASE-ZR PMD has four analog streams, in which case i = 0 to 3." Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type E Comment Status A why "in which case"? Suggest that '... frames with minimum interpacket ...' should read '... frames with a SuggestedRemedy minimum interpacket ...'. change "in which case" to "hence". SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C See comment. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Update in conjunction with clause 155 rewrite, see response to #346. See response to comment 91. With editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **156** SC **156.2**  Page 94 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:35 A

C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 13 # 94

Ran, Adee Cisco

rewrite bucket

As described here the PMA sends digital symbols (discrete and sampled) from a set of 4 levels), not "analog streams" (which is an undefined term).

Comment Status A

Also applies to 156.5.2 which contains very similar text.

### SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change "In the transmit direction, the PMA continuously sends four analog streams to the PMD"

to

"In the transmit direction, the PMA continuously sends four streams of quaternary symbols to the PMD"

Change "The PMD then converts these four analog streams"

tc

"The PMD then converts these streams of symbols".

Apply in 156.5.2, if it is retained.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 14 # 316

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause '155.3.3 Functions within the PMA' says that 'The purpose of the PMA is to adapt between the PCS layer digital symbols to and from the four analog signals ...' and subclause 155.3.3.4 '16QAM encode and signal drivers' says that '... stream of symbols is converted to four analog signals ...' and that 'The analog signals are sent to the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer over the PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request to PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_3.request sublayer signals.'. It, therefore, appears that the PMD service interface is a set of analogue signals. Finally, Figure 155-10 shows a DEC block above the PMD service interface.

Subclause 156.2 'Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) service interface', however, says ' In the transmit direction, the PMA continuously sends four analog streams to the PMD ... with binary values of 3, 1, -1, and -3 using the PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_i.request primitive.'. Is it correct to say '... with binary values ...'.

### SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Suggest that in subclause 156.2 (page 75, line 14) the text '... X and Y polarizations with binary values of 3, 1, -1, and -3 using the ...' should be changed to read '... X and Y polarizations with the values of 3, 1, -1, and -3 using the ...'.
- [2] Suggest that in subclause 156.5.2 (page 77, line 39) the text '... X and Y polarizations with binary values of 3, 1, -1, and -3.' should be changed to read '... X and Y polarizations with the values of 3, 1, -1, and -3.'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 14 # 95

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The values listed are not binary.

Also applies in 156.5.2

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "binary".

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

rewrite bucket

C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 14 # 494

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A

3, 1, -1, and -3

SuggestedRemedy

Please count forwards in the usual way: -3, -1, 1, and 3, and in next paragraph and 156.5.2 and 156.5.3

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

CI 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 18 # 96

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

As described here the PMD sends analog signals (continuous, to be sampled and digitized in the PMA).

"Analog streams" is an undefined term and is not used in other clauses (previous instances of this term have been removed by 802.3dc and earlier revision projects).

Also applies to 156.5.3 which contains very similar text.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "the PMD continuously sends four analog streams to the PMA, corresponding to the signals received from the MDI"

to

"the PMD continuously sends four analog signals to the PMA, corresponding to the optical signal received from the MDI".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 22 # 495

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status R

"the variable SIGNAL\_DETECT parameter": 156.5.4 says it's a parameter, this and that say not variable

SuggestedRemedy

Delete variable

Response Status C

REJECT.

There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change at this time.

C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 26 # 97

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status R

The NOTE about signal detect is out of place since the value is always OK. "sufficient light" and "meeting the BER" are irrelevant for this PMD, since signal detect is not a function of light intensity and the PMD does not detect bits.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the NOTE.

Response Status C

REJECT.

There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change at this time.

CI 156 SC 156.2 P 75 L 26 # 496

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status R

"poor quality link to provide sufficient light for a SIGNAL\_DETECT = OK": this note isn't relevant if the parameter is fixed

SuggestedRemedy

Change the note to explain the situation

Response Status C

REJECT.

There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change at this time.

2048 bit times

SuggestedRemedy 8192 bit times

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "no more than 2048 bit times (4 pause\_quanta or 20.48 ns)" to "no more than 8192 bit times (16 pause quanta or 20.48 ns)"

C/ **156** SC **156.3.2** P **75** L **41** # 98

Ran. Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

I suspect that skew variation cannot exist at SP2 (PMD service interface), because the PCS and PMA are defined as operating in one clock domain, not as multiple lanes with separate logic. This may be worth mentioning (as done in other cases where skew variation can't exist, e.g. 140.3.2).

Is skew variation (as opposed to static skew) relevant on a single-lane, but coherent, PMD output?

If there is no skew variation between SP2 and SP3 then skew variation need not be specified at all.

#### SugaestedRemedy

Add a statement that that there is no skew variation at TP2.

If skew variation between the PMDs isn't relevant, change also the text about skew variation at SP3 and SP4, as in 140.3.2.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 156 SC 156.3.2 P 75 L 44 # 193

D'Ambrosia, John Fuuturewei, US Subsidiary of Huawei

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

It is unclear if the skew constraints need to be revisited in light that the part is not part of 400GBASE-R family, but current pointer is to 80-8, which is for 100G

SuggestedRemedy

Revisit skew constraints as needed. The diagram reference should be 116-4.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 156 SC 156.3.2 P 75 L 44 # 99

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Figure 80-8 applies to 100GBASE-R PHYs. The diagram for skew points for 400GBASE-R PHYs is in Figure 116–5.

Also, there SP0 and SP7 are not defined for 400GBASE-R PHYs.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "at the points SP0 to SP7 shown in Figure 80-8" to "at the points SP1 to SP6 shown in Figure 116–5".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

CI 156 SC 156.3.2 P 75 L 46 # 317

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

rewrite bucket

Subclause 156.3.2 'Skew constraints' says that 'The Skew (relative delay) between the lanes is kept within limits so that the information on the FEC lanes can be reassembled by the FEC.'. On review of Clause 155, 400GBASE-ZR doesn't seem to mention FEC lanes anywhere else. Further, subclause 155.2.4.3 'GMP mapper' says'... 400GBASE-ZR frames are not mapped to 16 PCS lanes ...'. As far as I can see, the 8-bit PMA service interface carries an 8-bit word that describes an DP-16QAM symbols based on the mapping defined in Table 155-2. As a result, the only lanes seem to be the PMD service interface which has four lanes which carry four analogue streams representing the inphase and quadrature-phase component of the two polarizations (page 75, line 13).

Table 156-6 specifies a maximum polarization skew of 5 ps (page 82, line 45) and a maximum quadrature skew is 0.75 ps (page 83, line 6). Subclause 156.3.2, however, says The Skew at SP3 (the transmitter MDI) shall be less than 54 ns and the Skew Variation at SP3 is limited to 600 ps'. I suspect that the former values are correct. And based on this, assuming no retiming in the PMD, the other values in subclause 156.3.2 don't seem correct either.

### SuggestedRemedy

Since 400GBASE-ZR doesn't seem to support FEC lanes, and says it doesn't support PCS lanes, suggest that subclause 156.3.2 is deleted.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

Cl 156 SC 156.3.2 P 75 L 52 # 498

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type TR Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Are these Skew and SV limits plausible? What does the PMA need? This is a hybrid of "parellel" and "serial". needs new numbers.

### SuggestedRemedy

Revise to limits that are appropriate to DP-16PAM technology and the channel.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

CI 156 SC 156.4 P 76 L 38 # 318

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status R

There is no description of how the PMD\_global\_signal\_detect variable, defined in subclause 156.4, should be driven. Subclause 156.5.4 'PMD global signal detect function' says that SIGNAL\_DETECT is set to a fixed OK value, hence there is in effect no signal detect to report in the PMD.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The PMD\_global\_signal\_detect row in Table 156-3 (page 76, line 38) should be deleted. [2] A change to subclause 45.2.1.9.7 'Global PMD receive signal detect (1.10.0)' be added to the draft that adds 'This bit is not supported by the 400GBASE-ZR PMDs.' to subclause 45.2.1.9.7.

Response Status C

REJECT.

There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change at this time.

C/ 156 SC 156.4 P 76 L 40 # 319

Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** 

Comment Type Comment Status A

There are no references to describe the use of the variables Tx index ability 0 to Tx index ability 63 and Rx index ability 0 to Rx index ability 63 defined in Table 156-3 in the draft. What happens if a value is selected in Tx optical channel index or Rx optical channel index register (page 76, line 25) corresponding to an index value in the Tx index ability 0 to Tx index ability 63 or Rx index ability 0 to Rx index ability 63 registers. respectively, that is false. Is the write to the Tx optical channel index or Rx optical channel index register ignored and operation continues on the existing value? Or is the value accepted, but then transmission of reception ceases, as the index value is not supported?

### SugaestedRemedy

Suggest that the last paragraph of 164.5, that already discusses Tx optical channel index and the Rx optical channel index be update the describe how Tx optical channel index and the Rx optical channel index interacts with the Tx index ability 0 to Tx index ability 63 and Rx index ability 0 to Rx index ability 63 variables.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

At new sentence at the end of 45.2.1.150.1 and 45.2.1.154.2

"The supported channel indices of the PMA/PMD are advertised in the PMA/PMD index ability registers. A PMA/PMD may ignore writes to the PMA/PMD channel index bits that select a channel it has not advertised in the PMA/PMD channel ability registers."

With editorial license.

C/ 156 P 79 L 52 # 325 SC 156.4

Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterprise** 

Comment Type Comment Status A Т

The two references to the variable 'Tx optical frequency index' in this subclause should be to 'Tx optical channel index', see page 76, line 22.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedies with editorial license

C/ 156 SC 156.4 P 79 L 52 # 324

Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type Comment Status A

bucket

The reference to the variable 'Rx optical frequency index' here and on page 81 line 44 should be to 'Rx optical channel index', see page 76, line 25.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedies with editorial license

C/ 156 SC 156.4 P 79 / 53 # 326

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type T Comment Status A

bucket

The reference to the variable 'Tx Rx diff opt freq ability' should be to 'Tx Rx diff opt chan ability', see page 76, line 44.

SugaestedRemedy

See comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedies with editorial license

C/ 156 SC 156.5.1 P 77 L 18 # 320

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type Т Comment Status R

Since subclause 156.5.4 'PMD global signal detect function' says that 'The PMD global signal detect function shall set the state of the SIGNAL DETECT parameter to a fixed OK value.' it doesn't seem correct to show the SIGNAL DETECT emanating from the 'Optical receiver' block in Figure 156-2 'Block diagram for 400GBASE-ZR transmit/receive paths'.

SuggestedRemedy

bucket

Suggest that SIGNAL DETECT be removed from Figure 156-2.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

There was no consensus to make a change at this time.

C/ 156 SC 156.5.1 P 77 L 30 # 499 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status A bucket blank line(s) SuggestedRemedy Remove Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Remove any blank lines with editorial license C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 P 77 L 35 # 100 Ran. Adee Cisco

The text in this subclaus

Ε

The text in this subclause practically repeats a paragraph in 156.2.

Comment Status A

Similarly for 156.5.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Apply any changes to these two paragraphs in 156.2 to these subclauses too.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Update in conjunction with clause 155 rewrite, see response to comment #346.

C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 P 77 L 35 # 321

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket

Rather than being requested by the PMD service interface messages, messages are passed across the PMD service interface, either from the PMA to the PMD or from the PMD to the PMA. In addition, abstract service interfaces pass data in the parameters of primitives. In the case of the inter-sublayer service interface primitives defined in subclause 116.3 referenced by IEEE P802.3cw, these parameters are tx\_symbol (see 116.3.3.1.1) and rx\_symbol (see 116.3.3.2.1).

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest:

- [1] The text ' The PMD Transmit function shall convert the four analog streams requested by the PMD service interface messages PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request to PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_3.request into ...' (page 77, line 35) should be changed to read ' The PMD Transmit function shall convert the four analog streams from the PMA passed across the PMD service interface in the tx\_symbol parameters of the PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request to PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_3.request primitives into ...'.
- [2] The text ' The PMD Receive function shall convert the composite optical signal received from the MDI into four analog streams for delivery to the PMD service interface using the messages PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.indication to PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_3.indication, all according ...' (page 77, line 45) should be changed to read 'The PMD Receive function shall convert the composite optical signal received from the MDI into four analog streams passed across the PMD service interface to the PMA in the rx\_symbol parameters of the PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.indication to PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_3.indication primitives, all according ...'.
- [3] The text 'The analog signals are sent to the 400GBASE-ZR PMD sublayer over the PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request to PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_3.request sublayer signals.' in subclause 155.3.3.4 (page 58, line 33) is changed to read 'The four analog signals are passed across the PMD service interface to the PMD in the tx\_symbol parameters of the PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.request to PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_3.request primatives.'.
- [4] The text 'Four coherent signals IX, QX, IY, and QY are supplied by the receive function of the 400GBASE-ZR PMD and input to the 400GBASE-ZR PMA over the PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.indication to PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_3.indication.' in subclause 155.3.3.5 (page 58, line 47) is changed to read 'Four coherent signals IX, QX, IY, and QY received by the PMD are passed across the PMD service interface to the PMA in the rx\_symbol parameters of the PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_0.indication to PMD:IS\_UNITDATA\_3.indication primitives.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 P 77 L 39 # 218 C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 P 77 L 41 # 322 Huber, Thomas Nokia Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A Subclause 156.5.2 'PMD transmit function' says 'The mapping of the analog values to the "Binary values 3, 1, -1, -3" doesn't seem to be correct since there are four values listed. symbol amplitudes is listed in Table 155-2.' Is this correct, Table 155-2 seems to provide SuggestedRemedy the mapping between the 128-bit digital code word from the SD-FEC encoder to the in-Change "binary values" to "symbol values". phase (I) and quadrature-phase (Q) components of the 16QAM symbols. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Change reference if required. Response Response Status C See response to comment #95. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 P 77 L 40 # 219 See response to comment 219 Huber, Thomas Nokia C/ 156 SC 156.5.4 P 78 L 3 Comment Type T Comment Status A # 501 bucket Table 155-2 is mapping the value of a pair of FEC-encoded bits to the symbol values. Dawe, Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Change the last sentence of the paragraph to read "The mapping of FEC bits to symbol No SD! amplitudes is listed in Table 155-2." SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Response Response Status C C/ 156 SC 156.5.2 P 77 # 500 REJECT. L 40 Dawe. Piers Nvidia There was no consensus in the CRG to make a change at this time. Comment Status A Comment Type E bucket The mapping of the analog values to the symbol amplitudes is listed in Table 155-2. SuggestedRemedy

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 219

Response Status C

bucket

C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 78 L 49 # 323 C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 79 L 38 # 503 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterprise Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Subclause 156.6 'The DWDM channel over a DWDM black link' says '... the medium blank line associated with the 400GBASE-ZR PMD, over which the PHY operates at a single optical SuggestedRemedy frequency ...'. Dpoesn't the PHY to operate over two different optical frequencies when the Tx Rx different optical channel ability is true? SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Suggest that the text '... over which the PHY operates at a single optical frequency ...' in ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE subclause 156.6 be changed to read '... over which the PHY transmits at a single optical frequency ...'. Remove any blank lines with editorial license Response Response Status C C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 79 / 48 # 101 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Ran, Adee Cisco Comment Status A Comment Type Ε bucket Change to "over which the PHY operates at a single optical frequency (often also referred "Tx" and "Rx" should not be used as abbreviations of the terms "transmitter" and "receiver" to by its associated wavelength) on a defined frequency grid in each direction." (except in variable and register names, in diagram labels, or as qualifiers). C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 79 L 10 # 328 SuggestedRemedy Change to "transmitter" and "receiver" here and in other places as appropriate. Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Comment Status R Response Response Status C Comment Type ER It would be helpful on figure 156-3 to also add TP2 0, TP2 n, TP3 0, and TP3 n ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE SuggestedRemedy Change "Tx" to "transmitter" and change "Rx" to "receiver" through the document. With add TP2 0, TP2 n, TP3 0, and TP3 n editorial license Response Response Status C SC 156.6 P 79 C/ 156 L 52 # 504 REJECT. Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket The 0 and n-1 PMDs connecting to TP2 and TP3 are included in the diagram. Figure matches same 100ZR figure in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 154.6 Rx optical frequency index Tx optical frequency index Tx Rx diff opt freq ability C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 79 L 18 # 502 SuggestedRemedy Tables 156-2, 3 and a later sentence have Tx optical channel index Dawe, Piers Nvidia Rx\_optical\_channel\_index Tx\_Rx\_diff\_opt\_chan\_ability Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Response Response Status C misuse of TP2 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy See responses to comments 324, 325 and 326

Comment unclear and no suggested remedy provided

Response Status C

Response

REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.6 Page 102 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:35 A

C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 1 # 505 C/ 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 22 # 334 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Status R blank lines 1 to 3 The receiver must tolerate 26 dB OSNR and meet the requried error rate, it is not clear what receive OSNR (min) of 29 dB provides SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Need discustions on the intent Response Response Status C Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE REJECT. Remove any blank lines with editorial license Receiver OSNR tolerance is measured without line immpairments, see 156.9.24, which is C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 17 # 506 different than Receiver OSNR which includes line impairments, see 156.9.23 Dawe. Piers Nvidia C/ 156 SC 156.7 P 84 L 24 # 333 Comment Status R Comment Type Ε Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell f not defined Comment Type TR Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy Receive OSNR tolerance is not defined at point till one reads section 156.9.24 SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Please add reference to 156 9 24 REJECT. Response Response Status C REJECT. fi is defined on page 79. line 31 as "all channel frequencies fi." and is consistent with figure 154-3 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 All specifications in Tables 156-7, -8 and -9 including Receive OSNR tolerance are defined in 156.9 which is after the tables but consistent with multiple clauses in IEEE Std 802.3-A straw poll was taken: 2022. I support rejection of comment #506 as proposed P 82 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 L 23 # 102 Yes: 16 Ran, Adee Cisco No: 2 Comment Type Comment Status A C/ 156 SC 156.6 P 80 L 28 # 507 "+/- 20ppm" Also in Table 156-7 Dawe, Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Change to "±20 ppm" (symbol and space) square or round brackets Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Change as suggested through the document. REJECT. With editorial license. Use of [] brakets consistent with Table 154-5 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **156** SC **156.7.1**  Page 103 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:35 A

Cl 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 23 # 509

Dawe Piers Nyidia

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Why +/-20 ppm?

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

REJECT.

This is a value per adopted baseline from page 6 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/cn/public/19\_01/lyubomirsky\_3cn\_01b\_0119.pdf. There was no proposed remedy or justification for a change.

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 23 # 508

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Why 59.84375?

SuggestedRemedy

59.84375

Response Status C

REJECT.

This is an exact value per adopted baseline from page 24 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/cn/public/19\_01/lyubomirsky\_3cn\_01b\_0119.pdf

nttps://www.leee802.org/3/cn/public/19\_01/lyubomirsky\_3cn\_01b\_0119.pdf

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 27 # 510

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

E

Comment Status

R

Average channel output power

SuggestedRemedy

Average launch power as for single-wavelength duplex fibre PMDs such as 100GBASE-

DR, 100GBASE-FR1, and 100GBASE-LR1

Response Status C

REJECT.

Use of "Average channel output power" consistent with Table 154-7 in IEEE Std 802.3-2022

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 30 # 353

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

TR

Limiting Adjacent channel crosstalk penalty requires a reduction in the power deltas between channels. To ensure this, adjustable power must be specified.

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Add an entry "Adjustable Range of Tx Output Power" with Min limited to -13 to -9 dBm

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In table 156-6 change Average channel output power (min) to -16.0 dBm

In table 156-6 add new parameter "Adjustable range of Tx output power" with a value of -13 to -9 dBm. Add note "The transmitter shall be provisionable within this power range. Provisioning outside this range is allowed provided the max and min limits are met."

In table 156-6 add new parameter "Minimum average channel power at maximum adjustable power setting" with a value of -10 dBm

Add related PICS to 156.13.4.4 Optical measurement methods

In 156.9 add new subclause "Adjustable range of Tx output power" with a definition of

"This field specifies the minimum range over which the Tx output power can be provisioned. The Tx power shall be provisionable up to the higher value of the adjustable range or greater, and down to the lower value of the adjustable range or lower.

When set to the highest provisionable power, the average Tx output power must be within the range defined by the min and max values of average channel output power as specified in Table 156-6."

In 156.9 add new subclause "Minimum average channel power at maximum adjustable power setting" with editorial for the definition.

With editorial license.

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 30 # 354 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 35 # 103 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type Comment Type TR Comment Status A Т Comment Status A When adding the Tx output power tuning, its accuracy should be defined as well "RRC Roll-Off" is not a unit. It is unclear what it means in this context. SuggestedRemedy Similarly for the (min) row. Add an entry "Transmit output power control absolute accuracy" with Min = -1.0 dB and Max = 1.0 dBThe spectral mask is specified in 156.9.4 - reading this subclause it becomes clear that the "Value" in the table are the beta parameter values for the two masks. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Instead of listing numbers that are meaningless without reading the subclause text, simply point to the subclause. In table 156-6 add a new parameter "Transmit output power control absolute accuracy" SuggestedRemedy with a value of +/-1 dB. Change "Value" to "See 156.9.4" and use em-dash for "Unit" in both rows. In 156.9 add new subclause "Transmit output power control absolute accuracy" with Response Response Status C editorial for the definition ACCEPT. With editorial license. P 82 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 L 48 # 337 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 / 35 # 511 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Status A Comment Type Ε For full interoperability using EVM may need additional constrains based on the data in RRC Roll-Off rahn 3cw 01a 220223 and way 3cw 01a 220523 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Need more data to prove that EVM will provide the IEEE level of interoperability Response Response Status C Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See response to comment 103 No suggested remedy provided C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 35 # 329 P 82 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 L 49 # 512 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A RRC is introudced for 1st time in table 156-6 with not reference I-Q (max instantaneous), I-Q (mean) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add reference to 156.9.4 ? Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 103 See responses to comment 350 and 351

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **156** SC **156.7.1**  Page 105 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:35 A

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 49 # 350 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 L 53 # 513 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec Several things with max and min, others without. Definition of 156.9.14 in I-Q phase error doesn't define its sign SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Max Instantaneous)" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Tables 156-6 and table 156-11 change "I-Q (max instantaneous)" to "Instantaneous I-Q In table 156-6 delete "I-Q phase error (min)", change "I-Q phase error (max)" to "I-Q phase offset per polarization (max)" error magnitude (max)" with a value of 5. With editorial license With editorial license C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 82 / 50 # 351 C/ 156 P 82 SC 156.7.1 / 54 # 514 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec bottom line of table SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Mean) Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Table 156-6 and table 156-11 change "I-Q (mean)" to "Mean I-Q offset per polarization Remove any blank lines with editorial license (max)" C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 83 L 8 # 104 With editorial license Ran, Adee Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status A dB(12.5 GHz) is not a unit. Also in Table 156-7. SuggestedRemedy Change to dB and move the 12.5 GHz to the description or add a footnote to explain if necessary. Response Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/2 156 Page 106 of 128 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 156.7.1 10/24/2022 11:39:35 A SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a space between change "dB(12.5 GHz)" to "dB (12.5 GHz)"

Same unit in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 clause 154 table 154.7

C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 83 L 8 # 352 C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 83 L 16 # 331 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type TR Comment Status A In-band should not be capitalized Transmit ouptut power stability max=1 dB does not define the time interval SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change In to in Is the time interval 1 us, 1 ms, 1 s, or 1 hour. Suggest that the power stability is measured over 1 s period where optical power is sampled every 10 ms time interval. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SC 156.7.1 P 83 L 8 C/ 156 # 515 Add footnote "Power stability is measured in time internals of greater than 100ms" Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 83 L 18 # 332 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Transmitter In-band OSNR Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy Transmit ouptut power absolute accuracy has to be in dBm. Also not clear if this line Change In to in remain dB what is different with power stability? Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Need discustions on the intent See response to comment 352 Response Response Status C REJECT. SC 156.7.1 P 83 L 16 # 330 C/ 156 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Accuracy is measured in dB not dBm. Comment Type TR Comment Status A C/ 156 SC 156.7.1 P 83 L 20 # 106 Transmit output power stability can't be negative Cisco Ran, Adee SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status A Remove the negative line RIN average and RIN peak are not designated as maximum. I asssume they should be. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add "(max)" in both descriptions. See responses to comments 353 and 354 Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 156 SC 156.7.2 P 83 L 16 # 105

Ran. Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status R

"Average receive power (max)" does not depend on the receiver, but on the channel output. So it can't be a receiver specification (as the text above the table states).

Maybe it should be "Average receive power tolerance (min)"?

Similarly for "Average receive power (min)" which may be a tolerance requirement.

Similarly for Receiver OSNR (also defined in Table 156-8 for the channel, with the same value).

### SuggestedRemedy

Change parameter names and/or add explanations in footnotes.

Consider moving parameters to the black link characteristics in Table 156-8 or deleting duplicates.

Response Status C

REJECT

"Average receive power (max)" is a receive characteristic in multiple IEEE Std 802.3-2022 subclauses including Table 151-8, Table 154-8 and 802.3db D3.2 Table 167.8.

Cl 156 SC 156.7.2 P 84 L 24 # 516

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Ε

says that receiver OSNR tolerance "is informative and compliance is not required"

Comment Status A

### SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Table needs a footnote. Example of current wording from 140: Receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) (max) for 100GBASE-DR is optional and is defined for a transmitter with a value of SECQ up to 3.4 dB. 140.7.12.1 Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-DR The receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-DR is optional and is defined for a transmitter with a value of SECQ up to 3.4 dB. Receiver sensitivity for 100GBASE-DR should meet Equation (140-1), which is illustrated in Figure 140-9. The normative requirement for the 100GBASE-DR receiver is stressed receiver sensitivity.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Add note in Table 156-7 for Receiver OSNR tolerance stating "OSNR tolerance is optional and compliance is not required."

CI 156 SC 156.8 P 84 L 33 # 517

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Are these specs for "black link" or for "DWDM channel"?

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

No suggested remedy provided

C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 84 L 34 # 327

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Subclause 156.8 '400GBASE-ZR DWDM black link transfer characteristics' says 'Some clarification of the requirements in Table 156–8 is provided in informative Annex 156A, as well as examples of compliant DWDM black links.' however there don't appear to be any clarification of the requirements in Table 156–8 in annexe 156A, just two examples of 400GBASE-ZR compliant DWDM black links.

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text 'Some clarification of the requirements in Table 156–8 is provided in informative Annex 156A, as well as examples of compliant DWDM black links.' in subclause 156.8 be changed to read 'Some examples of compliant DWDM black links are provided in Annex 156A.'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 156 SC 156.8 P 84 L 35 # 518

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Some clarification of the requirements in Table 156-8 is provided in informative Annex 156A, as well as examples of compliant DWDM black links.

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Leftover from 100GBASE-ZR (154.8). Delete? refer to 154A?

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 367

C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 5 # 519 C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 22 # 520 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Status R Average output power at TP3 DGD-max SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy each / per channel? Is there a spec to make the Rx tolerate it? Proposed Response Response Status Z Response Response Status C REJECT REJECT This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. No consensus to make a change. This requirement in the specifications defined in 156.9.23. C/ 156 SC 156.8 # 355 SC 156.8 P 85 P 85 L 8 C/ 156 L 28 # 521 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A Text for OSNR... should not be present Adjacent channel isolation SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ? see G.671 Delete text "for OSNR at TP3 (12.5 GHz)" Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Table 156-8 change "Average output power at TP3 (min): for OSNR at TP3 (12.5 GHz)" In 156.9.29 delete reference to ITU-T G671 to "Average output power at TP3 (min)" C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 29 # 522 C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 13 # 356 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Interferometric crosstalk at TP3 Text for OSNR... should not be present SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ? Delete text "for OSNR at TP3 (12.5 GHz)" Proposed Response Response Status Z Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. In Table 156-8 change "Optical path OSNR penalty (max), for OSNR at TP3 (12.5 GHz)" to "Optical path OSNR penalty (max)"

C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 35 # 523 C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 45 # 107 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A bucket "+/-" Only relevant SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "±" (symbol) across the table Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Change symbol as suggested throughout the document. With editorial license In footnote d change: C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 86 / 35 # 525 "Only relevant with implementations of a DWDM black link with one or more optical adddrop multiplexers present." Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status R Comment Type E to Scrambled idle encoded by CFEC "Applicable to implementations of a DWDM black link with one or more optical add-drop SuggestedRemedy multiplexers present." and not SD-FEC? C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 85 L 44 # 524 Response Response Status C Dawe, Piers Nvidia REJECT. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Use of CFEC is correct as per 155.2.1 "The transmit data is encoded why is the table like this, high? isolation at 0 and +/-75? with a concatenated forward error correction (CFEC) code consisting of an inner SC-FEC SuggestedRemedy code and an outer Hamming code SD-FEC" C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 86 L 35 # 108 Proposed Response Response Status Z Ran. Adee Cisco REJECT. Comment Status A Comment Type T rewrite bucket This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. 82.2.11 defines a 100GBASE-R test pattern, which is irrelevant. The 400GBASE-ZR PCS has a test pattern mode specified in 155.2.1. SuggestedRemedy Change "82.2.11, Clause 155" to "155.2.1". Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #346.

C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 86 L 42 # 526 C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 87 L 8 # 357 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Е Comment Status A valid 400GBASE-R I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 400GBASE-ZW Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Max Instantaneous)" Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE In table 156-11 change "400GBASE-R" to "400GBASE-ZR". With editorial license. See response to comment 350 C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 86 / 42 # 109 C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 87 L 10 # 358 Ran. Adee Cisco Maniloff. Eric Ciena Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status A rewrite bucket It is unclear why some parameters have pattern "valid 400GBASE-R signal, 5" while other I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec have only 5 (which is the only test pattern defined in this clause, and sufficient for SuggestedRemedy measurement of all parameters). Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Mean) "valid 400GBASE-R signal" is inadequate here - 400GBASE-R usually refers to the data Response Response Status C created by a clause 119 PCS; but ZR is a special case - any 400GBASE-R data has to be ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. processed by the full ZR stack. SuggestedRemedy See response to comment 351 Change pattern to either "5" in all rows, or "valid 400GBASE-ZR signal" in all rows. C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 87 L 13 # 527 Consider removing the pattern column and just stating in text that all parameters are Dawe, Piers Nvidia specified with test pattern 5. Comment Type E Comment Status A Response Status C Response I-Q phase error (max), I-Q phase error (min) ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy

Combine, as for Average receive power

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 513

Response Status C

Response

See response to comment #346.

C/ 156 SC 156.9.1 P 87 L 25 # 528 C/ 156 SC 156.9.4 P 88 L 1 # 110 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Is Average receive power a kind of sensitivity/overload? If not, why not any 400GBASE-ZW The damping factor is denoted by the German "Eszett" symbol ß, it should be the Greek signal? Same for Ripple? which is a channel (black link) property SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace to the β character (Greek beta) here and elsewhere as necessary. Proposed Response Response Status Z Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Change character as suggested. Replace through the document as required. With editorial licesne. C/ 156 SC 156.9.4 P 87 L 52 # 529 C/ 156 SC 156.9.4 P 88 L 1 # 530 Dawe Piers Nvidia Dawe Piers Nvidia Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Compliant transmitters ... are required to ... by applying minimum and maximum masks to As this mask is a normative spec the spectrum acquired using an optical spectrum analyzer. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Write out the frequency-domain equations for a RRC response with a damping factor of 0.4 Not Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 359 Change 156.9.4 to: P 88 C/ 156 SC 156.9.4 L 8 # 531 "The transmit spectrum shall be within the limits of this subclause if measured per IEC Dawe. Piers Nvidia 61280-1-3. Upper and lower limits are defined by truncated root-raised-cosine (RRC) Comment Type E Comment Status A responses around the signal's center frequency. set at -9 dB up to the -9 dB of an RRC

SuggestedRemedy

set at -9 dB up to 30.8 GHz offset for an RRC

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "is set at -9 dB up to the -9 dB of an RRC with ß of 0.05." to "is set at -9 dB up to 30.8 GHz offset and follows a RRC ß of 0.05 for higher frequencies."

The upper and lower masks are illustrated in Figure 156-4.

The upper limit follows a RRC response with a roll-off factor β of 0.4 from 0 dB at zero

frequency offset up to 40.4 GHz offset; it is -20 dB at higher frequencies. The lower limit

is set at -9 dB up to 30.8 GHz offset and follows a RRC β of 0.05 for higher frequencies."

C/ 156 SC 156.9.4 P 88 L 40 # 532 C/ 156 SC 156.9.5 P 88 L 45 # 533 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Comment Status A Blank line within the limits SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove below the limit? Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Remove any blank lines with editorial license Delete 156.9.5. C/ 156 SC 156.9.5 P 88 L 1 # 359 In 156.9.4 Change Maniloff, Eric Ciena "Spectral content above 40.4 GHz is limited to -20 dB." Comment Status A Comment Type E This clause defines the transmit mask as following a RRC. The RRC definition should be included. "Spectral content above 40.4 GHz is limited to -20 dB by the spectral floor." SuggestedRemedy Add an equation to 156.9.4 defining the RRC function and Beta used to define the mask, C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88 L 48 # 534 or a reference to a definition elsewhere in 802.3 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Response Response Status C Comment Type Ε Comment Status R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. frequency noise Add footnote for RRC Roll-Off "Root raised cosine (RRC) is the square root of the raised SuggestedRemedy cosine which is calculated as" (see piecewise-defined function at https://en.widipedia.org/wiki/raised-cosine filter) Response Response Status C See 11.3.1.2.3 for possible RRC formula. REJECT.

No suggested remedy provided

With editorial license

C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88 L 50 # 111 Ran. Adee Cisco

Comment Type Comment Status A

"The laser frequency noise mask is the laser frequency noise measured at a resolution between 10^-1 and 10^-6 times the frequency of interest"

The mask is not the measured noise; it is the specified maximum.

The paragraph is not phrased in typical standard language and can be improved. The text in the suggested remedy may be used (or corrected if it contains any error).

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change the first paragraph from

"The laser frequency noise mask is the laser frequency noise measured at a resolution between 10^-1 and 10^-6 times the frequency of interest. The frequency sweep relative to the laser center frequency shall be from less than 100 Hz to fbaud/2. With the exception of spurs, the measured frequency noise at any frequency shall be below the mask formed by interpolating between the points listed in Table 156-12 and illustrated in Figure 156-5"

"The laser frequency noise mask is the maximum allowed laser frequency noise and is formed by interpolating between the points listed in Table 156-12 and illustrated in Figure 156-5. The mask frequencies are relative to the laser center frequency from less than 100 Hz to fbaud/2. Measurement resolution should be between 10^-1 and 10^-6 times the frequency of interest. With the exception of spurs, the measured frequency noise at any frequency shall be below the mask".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change as suggested but in the second sentence change "than 100 Hz to fbaud/2" to "than 100 Hz to half the signaling rate". See response to comment 112

C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88

Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status R

the frequency of interest

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

No suggested remedy provided.

C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88 L 52 # 112

Ran. Adee Cisco

Comment Type Comment Status A

"fbaud" is not defined in this clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Either define it (with a numberical value) or use the numerical value here.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Change "fbaud" to "signaling rate"

C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 88 / 52 # 536

Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status A Ε

fbaud

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 112

C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 89 13 # 537

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Status A Comment Type E

1-sided noise power spectral density [Hz^2/Hz]

SuggestedRemedy

but noise power should be in watts, or dBc. Figure title has "spectral power density"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 168

L 51

# 535

Cl 156 SC 156.9.6 P 89 L 3 # [168

Abbott, John Corning Incorporated

Table 156-12 and figure 156-6. Table 93-8 for example has units of V^2 / Hz and just want to check that the power density here really has units of Hz^2 / Hz. I think this is the first time a one-side spectral power density with these units shows up in 802.3

standard, but this is not my area and I'm just trying to help. Thank you!

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Check that correct units are Hz^2 / Hz and maybe consider explaining the units if indeed

this is the first time such units appear in 802.3 standard.

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The power spectral density of frequency noise has units of Hz^2 / Hz

Ensure correct use of "power spectral density".

Change "noise power spectral density" to "frequency noise power spectral density"

With editorial license.

C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 89 L 3 # 166

Abbott, John Corning Incorporated

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

IN TABLE 156-12 Everywhere else in the 802.3 standard "1-sided" is spelled out as "one-sided". For example table 93.8. table 110-11, table 136-18, table 137-6, table 83D-6, table

93A-1, section 93A.1.6, table 120D-8.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Spell out "1-sided" as "one-sided" IN TABLE 156-12

Response Status C

ACCEPT

C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 89 L 20 # 113

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Figure 156-5 is cluttered.

This figure does not add any information beyond Table 156-12 (which is normative, whereas the figure is an illustration).

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the marker labels (e.g. "X:1 x  $10^4$ , Y: 1 x  $10^9$ ") and change "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in the y axis label.

Alternatively, delete the figure.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Retain figure 156-5 and change "Hz2" to "Hz^2" in the y axis label.

C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 P 89 L 20 # 167

Abbott, John Corning Incorporated

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

FIGURE 156-6 Everywhere else in the 802.3 standard "1-sided" is spelled out as "one-sided". For example table 93.8, table 110-11, table 136-18, table 137 -6, table 83D-6, table 93A-1, section 93A.1.6, table 120D-8.

SuggestedRemedy

Spell out "1-sided" as "one-sided" in FIGURE 156-6.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The abbreviation EVM should be introduced before it is used.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert "(EVM") after the first instance of "error vector magnitude" (which may be in a different paragraph, based on another comment).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add "EVM: error vector magnitude" to 1.5. In the first usage in the body of the document state "error vector magnitude (EVM)". In all other usages in the document replace "error vector magnitude" with "EVM". With editorial license

Cl 156 SC 156.9.10 P 90 L 20 # 115

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The last paragraph defines EVMmax, but the specified value in Table 156-6 is for EVM (max). It does not seem to be the same thing.

Should the specification be for EVMmax (max)?

SuggestedRemedy

Move the first paragraph (containing the "shall") after the last one (which defines EVMmax), and hinge the specifications to be EVMmax instead of EVM.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change 156.9.10 to:

"EVM is a metric to define the quality of a 400 Gb/s DP-16QAM transmitter. The EVM calculation is defined in 156.10.1.2.7.

EVMmax is the RMS addition of the EVM values of the sampled symbols for each polarization divided by the maximum amplitude of the theoretical constellation.

EVMmax shall be within the limits given in Table 156–6 if measured using the methods specified in 156.10.1.1 and 156.10.1.2.

The components of the conformance test setup to verify EVM are described in 156.10.1"

In table 156-6 change "error vector magnitude (max)" to "EVMmax (max)"

With editorial license.

Cl 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 24 # 361

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Add a definition for I-Q Offset Measurement

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following Specification:

IQoffset(Max) = 10log10[ (Imean^2 + Qmean^2)/Psignal]

with a measurement interval of 1 us

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change 156.9.11 to "The instantaneous I-Q offset per polarization is calculated as Iqoffset = 10log10[ (Imean^2 + Qmean^2)/Psignal] with a measurement interval of 1 us. The instantaneous I-Q offset per polarization is the maximum value per polarization and shall be within the limits given in Table 156–6."

With editorial license

C/ 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 24 # 360

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec

SugaestedRemedy

Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Max Instantaneous)"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change spec name to "Instantaneous I-Q offset per polarization"

# 116 C/ 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 26 C/ 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 28 # 362 Ran. Adee Cisco Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Е Comment Status A I-Q is an insufficient name for this spec Font size is inconsistent in the text, also in 156.9.12. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make it consistent. Change spec name to "I-Q Offset per Polarization (Mean) Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Ensure consistent font in 156.9.11 and 156.9.12. With editorial license "Mean I-Q offset per polarization" L 28 C/ 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 / 26 # 117 C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 P 90 # 363 Ran, Adee Cisco Maniloff. Eric Ciena Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type T The definition of I-Q (max instantaneous) is unclear. "peak value" of what per polarization? Add a definition for I-Q Offset Measurement is it peak power? SuggestedRemedy Assuming it is not the difference between I and Q, the current name is confusing. Should it Add the following Specification: be "Max instantaneous power per polarization"? IQoffset(Mean) = 10log10[ (Imean^2 + Qmean^2)/Psignal] Also, having the definition and the "shall" in the same sentence create poor language. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, even if the name is not changed. Make the "shall" statement separate from the definition. See response to comment #362. Change 156.9.12 to "The mean IQ offset is calculated as Iqoffset(mean) = 10log10[ (Imean^2 + Qmean^2)/Psignal]. The mean I-Q offset per Response Response Status C polarization is the mean value per polarization and shall be within the limits given in Table ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE 156-6. " See response to comments 361 With editorial license C/ 156 SC 156.9.11 P 90 L 26 # 538 C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 P 90 L 30 # 364 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Maniloff, Eric Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A I-Q (max instantaneous) ≤ 1us measurement interval applies to Max, not mean SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy ? Remove reference to ≤ 1 us from 156.9.12 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 350 See response to comment 363

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/L 156 Page 117 of 128 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 156.9.12 10/24/2022 11:39:35 A SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 P 90 L 30 # 119 C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 P 90 L 30 # 539 Ran. Adee Cisco Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A The definition of I-Q (mean) is unclear. "mean value" of what per polarization? is it mean I-Q (mean) power? SuggestedRemedy Assuming it is not the difference between I and Q, the current name is confusing. Should it Response Response Status C be "mean power per polarization"? ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE What does "averaged over <=1 us" mean? Is averaging over only 1 ps acceptable? Should See responses to comments 351 and 363 it perhaps be measured over at least 1 us? C/ 156 SC 156.9.13 P 90 L 35 # 540 In clause 154 there is a parameter with a different name, "I-Q offset (max)", and its definition refers to ITU-T G.698.2. This may create further confusion. Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status R Comment Type E Also, having the definition and the "shall" in the same sentence create poor language. I-Q amplitude imbalance (mean) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Consider renaming this parameter. Rewrite the definition to make it clear, even if the name is not changed. proportional amplitude difference? Make the "shall" statement separate from the definition. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment unclear and no suggested remedy provided See responses to comments 362 and 363 C/ 156 SC 156.9.14 P 90 / 40 # 541 # 118 C/ 156 SC 156.9.12 P 90 L 30 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A bucket \*proportional\* phase difference "<=" should be a symbol SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change to the ≤ symbol Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Delete "proportional".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **156** SC **156.9.14**  Page 118 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:35 A

C/ 156 SC 156.9.14 P 90 L 41 # 542 C/ 156 SC 156.9.17 P 91 L 3 # 544 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Status D who is supposed to act on this "shall"? Black link, as it points to Table 156-8. 156.8 has local oscillator the necessary "shall". Don't write in the passive voice. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy ? Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT REJECT. Comment unclear and no suggested remedy provided This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. / 45 C/ 156 SC 156.9.15 P 90 # 543 Dawe. Piers Nvidia C/ 156 SC 156.9.17 P 91 L 4 # 365 Comment Status R Comment Type E Maniloff. Eric Ciena ditto. why is this separate? Comment Type E Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Both in-band and out-of-band OSNR use the same definition for Signal Power. 156.9.17 refers to this as average signal power, 156.9.19 refers to this as the total signal power. These should be the same. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Change Average to Total on line 4 Comment unclear and no suggested remedy provided Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 156 SC 156.9.17 P 91 / 3 # 545 Nvidia Dawe, Piers Change "ratio of the average signal power" to "ratio of the total signal power within the Comment Status A signal's -20 dB spectral mask points". Comment Type Ε shall with no PICS C/ 156 SC 156.9.17 P 91 L 5 # 546 SuggestedRemedy Nvidia Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Comment Status A Response Response Status C maximum spectral excursion ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy unused / undefined Add "Optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR)" to 156.13.4.4. With editorial license Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In 156.9.17 change the end of the second sentence from "plus and minus the maximum spectral excursion" to "plus and minus the maximum spectral excursion as defined in ITU-T G 698 2 "

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **156** SC **156.9.17**  Page 119 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:35 A

C/ 156 SC 156.9.18 P 91 L 15 # 547 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status A in-band OSNR SuggestedRemedy Define in-band Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Update definition of in-band OSNR to define relative noise with editorial license. C/ 156 SC 156.9.21 P 91 / 36 # 548 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status A Comment Type Ε No verb SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Start the sentence with "Transmit output power absolute accuracy is the" C/ 156 SC 156.9.22 P 91 L 41 # 549 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status A

The average receive power shall be within the limits given in Table 156-7.

SuggestedRemedy

Average output power at TP3, Table 156-8? sensivitity and overload? "shall" should not be here

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change 156.9.22 to

"The average receive power defines the range of average receiver input power over which the BER requirement in 156.1.1 has to be met at the values of minimum OSNR defined in Table 156–7. This power may be measured per IEC 61280-1-3".

CI 156 SC 156.9.24 P 92 L 4 # 552

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

E

Comment Status

A

pre-FEC BER level lower than the CFEC threshold

SuggestedRemedy

which is? and the SD-FEC?

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "while maintaining a pre-FEC BER level lower than the CFEC threshold" to "while maintaining a frame loss ratio within the limit specified in 156.1.1"

Only applies to CFEC, see response to comment #525.

With editorial license.

Cl 156 SC 156.9.24 P 92 L 5 # 551

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

E

Comment Status

D

has to be met with a worst-case compliant transmitter, but it does not have to be met

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 156 SC 156.9.24 P 92 L 9 # 550

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

E

Comment Status

A

see earlier for table footnote and "optional"

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Change the last sentence in 156.9.24 to

"OSNR tolerance is optional and compliance is not required. The normative receiver requirement is receiver OSNR, see 156.9.23."

C/ 156 SC 156.9.24 P 92 L 9 # 120

Ran, Adee Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"OSNR tolerance is informative and compliance is not required."

Informative text should not appear in normative clauses. 802.3dc did the work of removing "informative specifications" or turning them into recommendations.

This parameter seems to be loosely defined and unmeasurable in a deployed system (pre-FEC BER counters and test patterns are not specified). So maybe it should not even be a recommendation.

Also, the "Receiver OSNR" parameter have names that does not suggest their meaning. If this parameter is retained, the name should be changed, maybe to "Receiver OSNR tolerance without channel impairments"

### SuggestedRemedy

Preferably delete this parameter (subclause text and table).

Otherwise change the "informative" paragraph to make it a recommendation, and change the parameter name to be more meaningful.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 156.9.24 change

"OSNR tolerance is informative and compliance is not required."

to

"OSNR tolerance is optional and compliance is not required."

In table 156-7, for parameter Receiver OSNR tolerance add a footnote "Receiver OSNR tolerance is optional"

C/ 156 SC 156.9.25 P 92 L 13 # 553 C/ 156 SC 156.9.30 P 92 L 38 # 556 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Status D insertion loss [Interferometric crosstalk at TP3, defined in Recommendation ITU-T G.698.2, qv] SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy channel response? Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status Z Response Status Z REJECT REJECT This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. # 554 C/ 156 SC 156.9.26 P 92 L 18 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 P 92 L 49 # 558 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status A [Optical path OSNR penalty, defined in Recommendation ITU-T G.698.2, gv] Connect the 400 Gb/s DP-16QAM transmitter to SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy The 400GBASE-ZW transmitter is connected to Proposed Response Response Response Status Z Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Change to "The transmitter under test is connected to" in 156.9.10 change "400 Gb/s DP-16QAM transmitter" to "400GBASE-ZR transmitter". SC 156.9.29 C/ 156 P 92 L 33 # 555 The changes in 156.9.10 are in conjunction with comments #114 and #115. Dawe, Piers Nvidia with editorial license. Comment Type Comment Status A C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 P 93 18 # 561 [Adjacent channel isolation, defined in Recommendation ITU-T G.671, qv] Dawe, Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Calibrated Coherent Receiver Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Calibrated coherent receiver and so on, also in other figures In 156.9.29 change subclause name to "Adjacent channel spectral isolation" and the Response Response Status C definition to "The adjacent channel isolation, as defined in TBD, shall be within the limits given in Table 156-9." ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. With editorial license. In 156.10 ensure correct capitalization with editorial license

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **156** SC **156.10.1**  Page 122 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:35 A

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 P 93 L 8 # 562 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.1 P 93 L 44 # 336 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status R Digital Signal Processing Assuming just 4 bits ENOB from 10 MHz to 29.9 MHz the reference receiver will have additional penalty than real receiver that has typically 6+ bits ENOB at low frequncies and SuggestedRemedy about 4 bits at high frequncy A to D and analysis? 156.10.1.2 says it's Offline SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status Z If there is interest I can bring a frequncy dependent ENOB mask REJECT Response Response Status U REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. No suggested remedy provided # 560 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 P 93 L 9 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2 P 94 L 3 # 563 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Status A Comment Type Ε bucket TX blank line SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Tx Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "TX" to "Tx" Remove any blank lines with editorial license C/ 156 SC 156.10.1 P 93 L 9 # 559 C/ 156 P 94 SC 156.10.1.2.2 L 36 # 564 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status R It would be helpful to show the patch cord, between Tx and TP2 Need a bigger block size for at least one of these, to go with the jitter corner frequency SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. Add patch cord and MDI point to figure 156-6 similar to figure 156-2, with editorial license The CRG had no consensus to make a change at this, more study on a suitable solution is required.

This is an uncommon way to specify a filter, and it is unclear.

RRC seems to stand for is root raised cosine (0.2 may be the roll-off parameter beta), but this filter is not "super Gaussian" and it's unclear what "3rd-order" means for a raised cosine. Or is it a different filter?

Also, the cutoff frequency is not specified.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite to clarify.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "3rd-order super Gaussian filter with RRC = 0.2" to "RRC filter with beta = 0.2"

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 P 94 L 45 # 565

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A**3rd-order super Gaussian filter with RRC = 0.2

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 121

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 P 94 L 45 # 566

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A

super Gaussian https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gaussian\_function#Higher-order Gaussian or super-Gaussian function

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 121

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.4 P 94 L 45 # 567

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A

RRC

SuggestedRemedy

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 359

Cl 156 SC 156.10.1.2.5 P 94 L 47 # 568

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket

IQ Offset

SuggestedRemedy

IQ offset (twice)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "IQ Offset" to "IQ offset" with editorial license

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 P 94 L 3 # 569 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 P 95 L 9 # 122 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket FIR filter with 15 real taps I don't see any TBDs. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Where is the cursor? Delete the editor's note. Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT. See response to comment 335. C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 P 95 L 9 Huber, Thomas Nokia C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 P 94 14 # 570 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Dawe. Piers Nvidia The editor's note about TBDs is no longer relevant Comment Type E Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy using the signal with additive white Gaussian noise considering the Receiver OSNR(min) Remove the editor's note. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C do what? ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C REJECT. See response to comment 122 No consensus to make a change. P 95 L 9 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 # 366 Maniloff, Eric Ciena C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.6 P 95 / 3 # 335 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Editor's Note should be removed Comment Type TR Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Improve definition of the FIR Remove Note SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C The signal is equalized using an FIR filter with 15 T spaced equalizer with real taps. The sum of all taps is equal to 1, and the main tap is allowed to varry from tap 1 to tap 8. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C See response to comment 122 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the first sentence of 156.10.1.2.6 to "The signal is equalized using a 15-tap, T-spaced, feed-forward equalizer with real taps. The sum of all taps is equal to 1 and the

main tap is allowed to vary from tap 1 to tap 8."

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 17 # 123 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 25 # 573 Ran. Adee Cisco Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Type Ε Comment Status A bucket Comment Status R The equation label format seems unusual (hyphen instead of en dash, spaces). I delta and Q delta not norm then norm SuggestedRemedy Also, the equation labels are not on the same line as the equation. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Use the standard equation style. REJECT Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. No suggested remedy provided. Update equation style to match style guide. With editorial license Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome. C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 20 # 572 C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 31 # 574 Nvidia Dawe Piers Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status R Comment Type E Comment Status R It would be better to count from 1 to K in the usual way Do what with alpha peak? add equation SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. REJECT. No suggested remedy provided. No suggested remedy provided. Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome. See response to Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome. comment 571. C/ 156 P 95 SC 156.10.1.2.7 # 575 L 45 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 20 C/ 156 # 571 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status R Comment Type Ε Comment Status R n and eta are the same thing? Why not k? define k and K SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. REJECT. No suggested remedy provided. No suggested remedy provided. Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome. Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 Page 126 of 128 10/24/2022 11:39:35 A

C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 49 # 576 C/ 156 SC 156.11.1 P 96 L 35 # 124 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Ran. Adee Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status A bucket starting at 0 The text here does not match the common text for the "General safety" subclauses across the 2022 revision. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the text in this subclause to "Equipment subject to this clause shall conform to the Response Response Status C general safety requirements in J.2." REJECT Response Response Status C ACCEPT. No suggested remedy provided. SC 156.12 P 97 C/ 156 L 41 # 579 Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome. Dawe. Piers Nvidia C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 95 L 51 # 577 Comment Type Comment Status A Dawe, Piers Nvidia (compare 156A) Comment Type Е Comment Status R SuggestedRemedy N vs K vs 1000 Make it clear that there is one fibre per direction at the MDI even if there is bidirectional SuggestedRemedy fibre between mux/demuxes Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. Change "is coupled to the DWDM black link medium at the MDI" to "is coupled to the DWDM black link medium via one fiber per direction at the MDI" No suggested remedy provided. C/ 156 SC 156.13.4.2 P 100 # 580 L 28 Further contributions for defining noted parameters are welcome. Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 156 SC 156.10.1.2.7 P 96 L 28 # 578 Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Dawe. Piers Nvidia PMD global transmit disable variable Tx Rx diff opt channel abili ty variable Comment Type Comment Status A bucket Ε SuggestedRemedy blank line rogue underscore, column widths SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Correct underscore and column widths, with editorial license Remove any blank lines with editorial license

C/ 156 SC 156.A.1 P 104 L 45 # 367

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Black Link examples should be expanded to include some specifications for Mux and Demux devices that would satisfy the black-link transfer funtion

SuggestedRemedy

Add a table to 156.A.1 including Mux and Demux example specifications. For example see https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22 0523/maniloff 3cw 01 220523.pdf#page=5

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Adopt slides 4 and 5 from

https://www.ieee802.org/3/cw/public/22\_09/maniloff\_3cw\_01\_220929.pdf.

Adding clarifying language that the filter characteristics in this presentation were derived for the case where adjacent channels were propogating in the same direction in one fiber.

With editorial license.