IEEE P802.3cw D2.2 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 155	SC 155.2.5.11	P 53	L 32	# 1	C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1.9 P 70 L 7 # 7		
			L 32	#	Bruckman, Leon Huawei		
Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket					,		
Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket The numbeing of the "c" bits shall not be italized					Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket In Fgure 155-14 "C" shall be lower case italized to make it coherent with 155.3.3.1.1		
Suggested In c0 t	•	format of the numbers to	regular		SuggestedRemedy Change "C" to lower case italized in Figure 155-14		
'	Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		
C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.1.1	P 61	L 52	# 3	C/ 156 SC 156.1 P 85 L 12 # [8		
Bruckman	, Leon	Huawei			Bruckman, Leon Huawei		
Comment The no	umbeing of the "c" t	Comment Status D bits shall not be italized		bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Consider changing "PMD" to "400GBASE-ZR PMD" to make it consistent with the other clauses (e.g. see 156.6)		
		format of the numbers to	regular		SuggestedRemedy		
		Response Status W	rogulai		Change "PMD" to "400GBASE-ZR PMD" through the whole 156 clause, wherever appropiate		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.					Proposed Response Response Status W		
C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.1.1	P 62	L 4	# 5	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Bruckman	, Leon	Huawei			Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.		
Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket The numbeing of the "c" bits shall not be italized					C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 87 L 12 # 9		
Suggested	_				Bruckman, Leon Huawei		
	•	format of the numbers to	regular		Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W	J		The text alignment in the left of Figure 156-2 (right aligned) is different from the text alignment in the left of Figure 156-3 (center aligned)		
PROF	POSED ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy		
C/ 155	SC 155.3.3.1.1	P 62	L 15	# 6	Change text alignment in the left of Figures 156-2 or 156-3 to be consistent		
		Huawei	2 10	π 0	Proposed Response Response Status W		
Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket					PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
The numbeing of the "c" bits shall not be italized					Change the noted text justification in Figure 156-3 to right to match Figure 156-2.		
Suggested	· ·						
Juggestet	ar Chileuy						

In c0 to c127, change the format of the numbers to regular

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3cw D2.2 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 100 L 31 # 10 C/ 155 P 47 L 9 # 16 SC 155.2.5.3 Huawei Bruckman, Leon Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type Е Comment Status D bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket The look of Table 156-10 could be improved The parenthetical description of data bits could be clearer SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy In Table 156-10 make the first (left side) column narrower to better fit content Replace Replace Proposed Response Response Status W (the logically serialized 257-bits block encoded stream produced according to 155.2.5.2) PROPOSED ACCEPT. (i.e., the logically serialized stream of 257-bit blocks produced according to 155.2.5.2) P 103 C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 / 1 Proposed Response Response Status W Maniloff. Eric Ciena PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.3 P 47 L 26 # 17 Spectral Mask is in the wrong section Huber, Thomas Nokia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status D bucket Move mask into section 156.9.4 GMP mapping is done on the 4-frame multiframe with 1028-bit granularity, so this table is Proposed Response Response Status W showing the locations within the multiframe. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Change the title to 'GMP stuff word locations in 400GBASE-ZR multiframe' Move Figure 156-6 from 156.9.6 to 156.9.4 after the sentence "The upper and lower masks are illustrated in Figure 156-6." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. With editorial license. P 48 C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 43 L 49 # 15 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5 L 10 # 18 Huber, Thomas Nokia Huber, Thomas Nokia TR Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Comment Type Comment Status D bucket The 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not connect (directly) to the Reconciliation Sublayer when a It would be good to explicitly state that the bits/bytes shown in grey are not used, and what 400GMII Extender Sublaver is used. which will be the case in most if not all 400GBASE-ZR value is transmitted. implementations. In that context, the first sentence is a bit misleading. It also isn't really SuggestedRemedy necessary; the service interface is the MII; it doesn't matter whether it's the RS or PHY XS Add a new sentence at the end of the paragraph: The bit locations that are not labeled are on the other side of the MII. unused and are transmitted as zero. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Delete the first sentence. PROPOSED ACCEPT Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Comment ID 18

Page 2 of 3 7/19/2023 9:11:27 AM

IEEE P802.3cw D2.2 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5.3 P 49	L 16	# 20	Cl 155 SC 155.3.3.1.3 P 63 L 50	# 24	
Huber, Thomas Nokia			Huber, Thomas Nokia		
Comment Type E Comment Status D There is a stray I at the start of the header text		bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status D Use superscript to indicate an exponent	bucke	
SuggestedRemedy change IGMP to GMP			SuggestedRemedy Replace 2^13 with 2 and a superscripted 13		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		
C/ 155 SC 155.3.2.2.1 P 60	L 38	# 21	Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.59b P 31 L 18	# 25	
Huber, Thomas Nokia			Huber, Thomas Nokia	·	
Comment Type E Comment Status D		bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status D	bucke	
The index k should be in italics			Both 45.2.3.59a and 45.2.3.59b are being inserted by this amendment. It is awkward to have an editing instruction to insert 45.2.3.59b after 45.2.3.59a, since 59a doesn't exist in the baseline document or any prior amendment.		
SuggestedRemedy					
Italicize the k in the last sentence of the 3rd paragr	raph.		SuggestedRemedy		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			Remove the editing instruction to insert 45.2.3.59b. Modify the editing 45.2.3.59a to say "Insert 45.2.3.59a and 35.2.3.59b after 45.2.3.59 as		
C/ 155 SC 155.3.2.3.1 P 61	L 20	# 22	Proposed Response Response Status W		
Huber, Thomas Nokia			PROPOSED ACCEPT.		
Comment Type E Comment Status D		bucket			
Awkward wording: "is detecting a fault has as de	fined"				
SuggestedRemedy Delete the extraneous 'has'					
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
C/ 155 SC 155.3.3 P 61	L 38	# 23			
Huber, Thomas Nokia					
Comment Type E Comment Status D 128 x m should use a multiplication symbol		bucket			
SuggestedRemedy Replace the x with ×					
Proposed Response Response Status W					

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

bucket

bucket