IEEE P802.3cw D2.2 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comments

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.59b P 31 L 18 # 25 C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.3 P 47 L 26 # 17 Huber, Thomas Nokia Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket Comment Type Comment Status D bucket GMP mapping is done on the 4-frame multiframe with 1028-bit granularity, so this table is Both 45.2.3.59a and 45.2.3.59b are being inserted by this amendment. It is awkward to have an editing instruction to insert 45.2.3.59b after 45.2.3.59a, since 59a doesn't exist in showing the locations within the multiframe. the baseline document or any prior amendment. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the title to 'GMP stuff word locations in 400GBASE-ZR multiframe' Remove the editing instruction to insert 45.2.3.59b. Modify the editing instruction above Proposed Response Response Status W 45.2.3.59a to say "Insert 45.2.3.59a and 35.2.3.59b after 45.2.3.59 as follows:" PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5 P 48 L 10 # 18 Huber, Thomas Nokia C/ 155 SC 155.2.1 P 43 L 49 # 15 Comment Type Comment Status D bucket Huber, Thomas Nokia It would be good to explicitly state that the bits/bytes shown in grey are not used, and what Comment Type T Comment Status D value is transmitted The 400GBASE-ZR PCS does not connect (directly) to the Reconciliation Sublayer when a SuggestedRemedy 400GMII Extender Sublayer is used, which will be the case in most if not all 400GBASE-ZR implementations. In that context, the first sentence is a bit misleading. It also isn't really Add a new sentence at the end of the paragraph: The bit locations that are not labeled are unused and are transmitted as zero. necessary: the service interface is the MII: it doesn't matter whether it's the RS or PHY XS on the other side of the MII. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Delete the first sentence. C/ 155 SC 155.2.5.5.3 P 49 L 16 # 20 Proposed Response Response Status W Huber, Thomas Nokia PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket C/ 155 P 47 L 9 SC 155.2.5.3 # 16 There is a stray I at the start of the header text Huber, Thomas Nokia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status D bucket change IGMP... to GMP... The parenthetical description of data bits could be clearer Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Replace Replace (the logically serialized 257-bits block encoded stream produced according to 155.2.5.2)

(i.e., the logically serialized stream of 257-bit blocks produced according to 155.2.5.2)

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3cw D2.2 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comments

Cl 155 SC 155.2.5.11 P 53	L 32	# [1	C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1.1 P 61 L 52	# 3
Bruckman, Leon Huawei			Bruckman, Leon Huawei	
Comment Type E Comment Status D The numbeing of the "c" bits shall not be italized		bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status D The numbeing of the "c" bits shall not be italized	bucke
SuggestedRemedy In c0 to c127, change the format of the numbers to i	regular		SuggestedRemedy In c0 to c127, change the format of the numbers to regular	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
Cl 155 SC 155.3.2.2.1 P 60	L 38	# 21	C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1.1 P 62 L 4	# [5
Huber, Thomas Nokia			Bruckman, Leon Huawei	
Comment Type E Comment Status D The index k should be in italics		bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status D The numbeing of the "c" bits shall not be italized	bucke
SuggestedRemedy Italicize the k in the last sentence of the 3rd paragra	ph.		SuggestedRemedy In c0 to c127, change the format of the numbers to regular	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
CI 155 SC 155.3.2.3.1 P 61	L 20	# 22	C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1.1 P 62 L 15	# 6
Huber, Thomas Nokia			Bruckman, Leon Huawei	
Comment Type E Comment Status D Awkward wording: "is detecting a fault has as defined by the comment of the	ned"	bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status D The numbeing of the "c" bits shall not be italized	bucke
SuggestedRemedy Delete the extraneous 'has'			SuggestedRemedy In c0 to c127, change the format of the numbers to regular	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
CI 155 SC 155.3.3 P 61	L 38	# 23	C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1.3 P 63 L 50	# 24
Huber, Thomas Nokia			Huber, Thomas Nokia	
Comment Type E Comment Status D 128 x m should use a multiplication symbol		bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status D Use superscript to indicate an exponent	bucke
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy	
Replace the x with ×			Replace 2^13 with 2 and a superscripted 13	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1.3 Page 2 of 3 7/19/2023 9:10:21 AM

IEEE P802.3cw D2.2 400 Gb/s over DWDM systems 2nd Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 155 SC 155.3.3.1.9 P 70 L 7 # 7 C/ 156 SC 156.2 P 87 L 12 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Comment Type E Comment Status D In Fgure 155-14 "C" shall be lower case italized to make it coherent with 155.3.3.1.1 The text alignment in the left of Figure 156-2 (right aligned) is different from the text alignment in the left of Figure 156-3 (center aligned) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "C" to lower case italized in Figure 155-14 Change text alignment in the left of Figures 156-2 or 156-3 to be consistent Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P 103 L 1 C/ 156 SC 156.9.6 Change the noted text justification in Figure 156-3 to right to match Figure 156-2. Maniloff, Eric Ciena C/ 156 SC 156.8 P 100 / 31 Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Spectral Mask is in the wrong section Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy The look of Table 156-10 could be improved Move mask into section 156.9.4 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W In Table 156-10 make the first (left side) column narrower to better fit content PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W Move Figure 156-6 from 156.9.6 to 156.9.4 after the sentence "The upper and lower masks PROPOSED ACCEPT. are illustrated in Figure 156-6." With editorial license. C/ 156 SC 156.1 P 85 L 12 # 8 Bruckman, Leon Huawei Comment Type Ε Comment Status D bucket Consider changing "PMD" to "400GBASE-ZR PMD" to make it consistent with the other clauses (e.g. see 156.6) SuggestedRemedy Change "PMD" to "400GBASE-ZR PMD" through the whole 156 clause, wherever appropiate Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

9

10

bucket

bucket