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## Topics

- PMD Nomenclature
- Selecting an optical fiber type
- Selecting an optical connector type


## IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Nomenclature

| 10 | $10 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 100 | $100 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 1000 | $1000 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 10 G | $10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 25 G | $25 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 40 G | $40 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 50 G | $50 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 100 G | $100 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 200 G | $200 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 400 G | $400 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ |

## nTYPE-LLLm.x



[^0]Third letter

## Selecting optical fiber

- Laser Optimized "Optical Multimode" fiber is required for these high speeds
- Millions of kilometers shipped annually
- Should specify OM3 to support the maximum reaches for lowest cost
- Include reaches over OM4/OM5 for future applications
- Enables use of current tables

Table 123-7-Optical fiber and cable characteristics

| Description | OM3 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | OM4 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | OM5 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal core diameter | 50 |  |  | $\mu \mathrm{m}$ |
| Nominal fiber specification wavelength | 850 |  |  | nm |
| Effective modal bandwidth (min) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2000 |  | 4700 | MHz.km |
| Cabled optical fiber attenuation (max) | 3.5 |  |  | $\mathrm{dB} / \mathrm{km}$ |
| Zero dispersion wavelength ( $\lambda_{0}$ ) | $1295 \leq \lambda_{0} \leq 1340$ |  | $1297 \leq \lambda_{0} \leq 1328$ | nm |
| Chromatic dispersion slope (max) ( $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} 0.105 \text { for } 1295 \leq \lambda_{0} \leq 1310 \\ \text { and } \\ 0.000375 \times\left(1590-\lambda_{0}\right) \\ \text { for } 1310 \leq \lambda_{0} \leq 1340 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -412 /\left(840\left(1-\left(\lambda_{0} / 840\right)^{4}\right)\right) \\ \text { for } 1297 \leq \lambda_{0} \leq 1328 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km}}{\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}^{2}}$ |

a IEC 60793-2-10 type A1a.2.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ IEC 60793-2-10 type A1a. 3.
${ }^{c}$ IEC 60793-2-10 type A1a. 4
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ When measured with the launch conditions specified in Table 95-6.

## Mode hopping

OM3 Glass
$L=300 \mathrm{~m},-0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ offset
(-)
$\phi_{\text {eff }}=16.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
$L=300 \mathrm{~m}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ offset

50/125 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ POF
$L=300 \mathrm{~m}, 0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ offset
$\phi_{\text {eff }}=43.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
$L=300 \mathrm{~m}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ offset


## Bend insensitive glass optical fiber



Figure 4.
Bend-Limiting Design
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## Fiber optic cabling (channel) characteristics

- Reduce minimum reach from 0.5 to 0.1 due to the short reaches objectives for this application
- Primary medium should be OM3 for lowest cost
- We can specify OM4 reach once the power budget and transceiver parameters are determined


## Example

| PMD type | Required operating range |
| :---: | :--- |
| $2.5 G B A S E-A R$ | 0.1 to 40 m for OM 3 <br> TBD for OM 4 |
| $5 G B A S E-A R$ | 0.1 to 40 m for OM 3 <br> TBD for OM 4 |
| 10GBASE-AR | 0.1 to 40 m for OM3 <br> TBD for OM 4 |
| $25 G B A S E-A R$ | 0.1 to 40 m for OM 3 <br> TBD for OM 4 |
| $50 G B A S E-A R$ | 0.1 to 15 m for OM 3 <br> TBD for OM 4 |

# Selecting a Connector Type 

Butt Coupling vs. Expanded Beam

## Comparing bare minimum components




MOST Ferrule form factor

- No ferrule holder required
- No Assembly
- No Polishing


## Utilize existing optical cable for MOST ferrule

- Low risk
- Reduced time to market



Lensed MOST ferrule

- Replace HCS Specialty fiber with $50 / 125 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ OM3


## Butt Coupled vs. Expanded Beam MDI

Butt Coupled

## Pros

- Lowest Insertion Loss
- Deployed in high volume


## Cons

- Higher cost than expanded beam
- Tight alignment tolerances
- Sensitive to contamination


## Expanded Beam

## Pros

- Lowest cost
- More reliable under adverse conditions
- Relaxed alignment tolerances
- Can be made to comply with existing MOST form factor - utilize adapters


## Cons

- Higher IL compared to Butt coupling

Trade low loss for low cost \& reliability under adverse conditions

## Example of specification for expanded beam

| Description | nGBASE-AR | Unit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beam diameter | 1 | mm |
| Maximum Insertion Loss | 1.5 | dB |
| Optical Return Loss (min) | 20 | dB |
| Lens-to-lens separation | 0.1 to 5 | mm |

## Summary

- The new PMDs can use the letter "A" nomenclature
- Standard OM3 fiber would be the best solution for lowest cost
- Manufacturers can make use of existing cable constructions developed for (MOST) HCS fiber - Not typically specified by IEEE
- High-speed channels are bandwidth limited and therefore, connector insertion loss is not an important parameter making expanded beam connectors the better choice
- Specifying the lowest cost optical connectivity can potentially be cheaper than the copper solution being specified in P802.3cy.
- Lowest cost optics will provide the automotive industry with the best connectivity solution (immunity to EMI, reduced weight, longer reach, etc.)


## BACKUP

## Connector ferrule critical dimensions



1. Ferrule outside diameter
2. Ferrule inside diameter
3. Circularity
4. Bore concentricity
5. Bore angular offset


## Standards specified end face geometry

## Critical specifications

1. Radius of curvature
2. Apex offset
3. Protrusion
4. Scratches
5. Cracks
6. Pits


## Modeled Insertion Loss - Polymer Lens

Without Index Matching Gel, Total Loss= 1.85dB
With Index Matching Gel, Total Loss= 1.05 dB


| $\square$ | Fresnel Fiber-Lens Interface |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Fresnel Lens Surface |
| $\square$ | Absorption |
| $\square$ | Curvature |



Index matching gel


## Lateral offset IL measurement results



## Axial offset IL measurement results



## Angular misalignments



## Alternative C-Lens design

c-Lens designed to minimize angular displacement



[^0]:    C Twin axial Copper
    E Extra long wavelength (1550nm)
    / Extended Reach
    F Fiber
    K BacKplane
    L Long wavelength ( 1310 nm )
    / Long reach
    S Short wavelength ( 850 nm )
    / Short reach
    T Iwisted pair
    Z Coherent optical interface for ITU-T G.709.2 compatible vendor $\underline{Z}$

