SC FM # 54 C/ FM P13 L 54 C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P15 L 11 # 20 Dudek, Mike Marvell Nicholl, Gary Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket The written page numbers are not matching the pdf page numbers. These comments are Use a non-breaking hyphen for "100GBASE-SR1" based on the pdf page number. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use a non-breaking hyphen for "100GBASE-SR1". Check, and fix as necessary, Fix the discrepancy. throughout the rest of the document. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Correct the inconsistencies in the page numbers. Use non-breaking hyphen for 100GBASE-SR1 as well as other PMD names throughout the document. CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P13 L13 # 18 C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P15 L 18 Nicholl, Garv Cisco Nicholl, Garv Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket The editing instruction states "unchanged rows not shown", however unchanged rows are shown in Table 78-1. The editing instruction states "(unchanged rows not shown)", however unchanged rows are shown in Table 80-1. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "(unchanged rows not shown)" to "(some unchanged rows not shown)". Change "(unchanged rows not shown)" to "(some unchanged rows not shown)". Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 80 P15 SC 80.1.3 L10 # 19 C/ 80 SC 80.4 P16 # 23 L 51 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Nicholl, Gary Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket There is a space between "and" and "in" that should be strike through. The editing instruction states "(unchanged rows not shown)", however unchanged rows SuggestedRemedy are shown in Table 80-5. Strike through space between "and" and "in" on line 10 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change "(unchanged rows not shown)" to "(some unchanged rows not shown)". PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

24 C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.7 P18 L 10 C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P18 L 31 # 27 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Nicholl, Gary Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket The space following "100GBASE-VR1," and the space following "100GBASE-SR1," should Missing space in editing instruction between "2018' and "and". also be underlined as it also needs to be inserted. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert missing space between "2018' and "and". Underline the space following "100GBASE-VR1." and the space following "100GBASE-Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.7 P18 L13 # 25 Check elsewhere in the document for this same error. Nicholl, Gary Cisco C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P18 L38 # 28 Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket Nicholl, Gary Cisco The space following "100GBASE-SR1." should also be underlined as it also needs to be inserted. Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket SuggestedRemedy The space following "100GBASE-VR1," and the space following "100GBASE-SR1," should also be underlined as it also needs to be inserted. Underline the space following "100GBASE-SR1." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Underline the space following "100GBASE-VR1," and the space following "100GBASE-PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SR1." Check elsewhere in the document for this same error. Proposed Response Response Status W Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P18 1 24 # 26 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cisco Nicholl, Gary Check elsewhere in the document for this same error. ER Comment Status D Comment Type Bucket Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.3.1 P18 L 46 # 29 The space following "100GBASE-SR1," should also be underlined as it also needs to be Nicholl, Gary Cisco inserted. Comment Status D Comment Type ER Bucket SuggestedRemedy The space following "100GBASE-VR1," and the space following "100GBASE-SR1," should Underline the space following "100GBASE-SR1," also be underlined as it also needs to be inserted. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Underline the space following "100GBASE-VR1," and the space following "100GBASE-Check elsewhere in the document for this same error. SR1." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Check elsewhere in the document for this same error.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.3.1 Page 2 of 6 7/28/2021 3:19:01 PM

30 C/ 91 SC 91.6.2a P18 L9 C/ 167 SC 167.1 P31 L 14 # 37 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Nicholl, Gary Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket The space following "100GBASE-VR1," and the space following "100GBASE-SR1," should "100GBASE-R PCS" wraps onto two lines in Figure 167.1. Same for "200GBASE-R" and also be underlined as it also needs to be inserted. "400GBSAE-R" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Update diagram to fit "100GBASE-R PCS", "200GBASE-R PCS" and "400GBASE-R PCS" Underline the space following "100GBASE-VR1," and the space following "100GBASE-SR1," . Check and correct similar instances throughout the rest of the document. on a single line, For an example, see 802.3cd-2018, Figure 138-1. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Update the diagram to fit those words on a single PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Check elsewhere in the document for this same error. line. C/ 167 C/ 116 SC 116.1.3 P 23 L 41 # 31 SC 167.1 P40 L 25 # 51 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D Bucket Bucket The editing instruction states "(unchanged rows not shown)", however unchanged rows The 100G RX and CGMII are in clause 81 not 80 (as is shown correctly in table 80-5) are shown in Table 116-2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 80 to 81 two places. Change "(unchanged rows not shown)" to "(some unchanged rows not shown)". Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change 80 to 81 in Table 167-1 in two places C/ 167 SC 167.1 P40 L 51 # 52 C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 P 25 L36 # 34 Cisco Dudek, Mike Marvell Nicholl, Garv Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Bucket unfortunate line break in the middle of a word The wrong row in the table is udnerlined. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy put "behave" on one line. Underline the row for 400GBASE-SR4 and remove the underlining on the row for 400GBASE-SR4.2. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 167 SC 167.5.4 P36 L 21 # 68 C/ 167 SC 167.5.7 P48 L7 # 55 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket Bucket The Greater-less than and less than match symbols show up nu and Omega with Preview Table 167-7 should be a hot link but its fine if viewed with Acrobat DC SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy fix it. Also on line 19 Please correct so document is platform independent Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make text "Table 167-7" on line 7 and line 19 a cross-reference. Use the symbols from the symbol page. Also from Piers: C/ 167 SC 167.5.4 P36 L 23 # 38 "Table 167-7" will be changed to a hot link in sections 167.8.3 and 167.8.4. Nicholl, Garv Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket C/ 167 SC 167.7.1 P39 L7 # 41 "Table 167-7" in Table 167-5 should be a cross-reference Nicholl, Gary Cisco SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status D ER Bucket Change "Table 167-7" in Table 167-5 to a cross-reference. The order of the parameters in Table 167-7 is not consistent with the order used in 802.3cu (see 802.3cu-2021 Table 151-7 as an example) or the parmeters listed in Table Proposed Response Response Status W 167-11 and in sub-clause 167.8. There was a long discssion in 802.3cu on this topic, so PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. probably best to correct it now (rather than waiting until working group ballot). Similar to comment #40. Change "Table 167-7" to "Table 167-8" and make the text a cross-SuggestedRemedy reference. Reoder the parameters in Table 167-7 to be consistent with the order used in 802.3cu (see C/ 167 SC 167.5.4 P 47 L 23 # 53 802.3cu-2021 Table 151-7 as an example), and the order used in sub-clause 167.8 and Table 167-11. Dudek, Mike Marvell Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The Average receive power each lane min is in Table 167-8 not Table 167-7 and should be This will be implemented with editorial license noting that the parameters for MMF and a hot link. SMF links are not identical. SuggestedRemedy C/ 167 SC 167.7.1 P39 L 26 # 42 Change to 167-8 and make it a hot link. Nicholl, Gary Cisco Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type TR Comment Status D Bucket PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Similar to comment #38. Change "Table 167-7" to "Table 167-8" and make the text a cross-Overshoot/Undershoot is a maximum. reference. SuggestedRemedy Change "Overshoot/undershoot" to "Overshoot/undershoot (max)" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 167 SC 167.7.1 Page 4 of 6 7/28/2021 3:19:01 PM

C/ 167 SC 167.7.1 P39 L 41 # 69 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status D Bucket Encircled flux Greater-less than and less than match symbols show up nu and Omega with Preview but its fine if viewed with Acrobat DC SuggestedRemedy Please correct so document is platform independent Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 167 SC 167.8.5 P43 L 27 Le Cheminant, Grea **Kevsight Technologies** Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket The concept of using two filters for the TDECQ measurement could be better understood and clearer to implement by describing the function of each filter SuggestedRemedy Update the existing text for the first as follows: (Line 27).......The first filter represents the system receiver and has a 3 dB bandwidth of approximately 26.5625 GHz.....(Line 29) The second filter represents the dispersion of the fiber and has a 3 dB bandwidth of approximately TBD GHz(Line 34) The first filter represents the system receiver and has a 3 dB bandwidth of approximately 26.5625 GHz(line 37) The second filter represents the dispersion of the fiber and has a 3 dB bandwidth of approximately 18 GHz with Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 167 SC 167.8.5 P **54** L 15 Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type Comment Status D Bucket Ε The test patterns to be used for the test are in table 167-11 not 167-10 SuggestedRemedy

Change the reference to table 167-11

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

 CI 167
 SC 167.8.5
 P 54
 L 25
 # 61

 Dudek, Mike
 Marvell

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status
 D
 Bucket

The comination of the O/e convertor and oscilloscope doesn't consist of two filters.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "the combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope used to measure the optical waverform consists of two cascaded filters" with

"the frequency response of the combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope used to measure the optical waveform is that of two cascaded filters". Also on line 34. Also on page 55 line 28 if a separate comment I've made is not accepted.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with editorial license.

Line 26 and 34 will read

"... the frequency response of the combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope used to measure the optical waveform is that of two cascaded filters."

Cl 167 SC 167.8.6 P44 L28 # 9

Le Cheminant, Greg Keysight Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

If the comment submitted for 167.8.5 is implemented, 167.8.6 can be simplified using the proposed text change

SuggestedRemedy

replace the main paragraph of 167.8.6 with: The TECQ of each lane is measured using the methods specified for TDECQ in 167.8.5 except the second filter representing the dispersion of the fiber is not used.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The text will be changed to read

"The TECQ of each lane is measured using the methods specified for TDECQ in 167.8.5 except that the second filter representing the dispersion of the fiber is not used."

C/ 167 SC 167.8.6 P 55 L 28 # 59 Dudek, Mike Marvell

Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Bucket

C/ 167

Cisco

L 46

50

Nicholl, Gary

SC 167.8.13

within the limits specified in Table 167-7.

P46

Bucket

It would be possible to make this section significantly clearer for the implementer

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "The TECQ of each lane is measured using the methods specified for TDECQ in 167.8.5 except the combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope used to measure the optical waveform has a 3 dB bandwidth of approximately 26,5625 GHz with a fourthorder Bessel-Thomson response to at least 1.3 x 53.125 GHz. At frequencies above 1.3 x 53.125 GHz, the response should not exceed \Box 24 dB. Compensation may be made for any deviation from an ideal fourth-order Bessel-Thomson" with either

"The TECQ of each lane is measured using the methods specified for TDECQ in 167.8.5 except that instead of using the two cascaded filters just the first is used." or

"The TECQ of each lane is measured using the methods specified for TDECQ in 167.8.5 except that the second filter is omitted of the two cascaded filters"

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #9.

C/ 167 SC 167.8.13 P46 L 28 # 49

Cisco

Nicholl, Gary

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Bucket

The first paragraph makes references to "121.8.10.1", "121.8.10.3" and "121.8.5.2" in 802.3-2018. These references do not exisit in this specification. Perhaps the correct references should be "121.8.9.1". "121.8.9.3" and "121.8.9.2" in keeping with 802.3cd-2018, sub-clause 138.8.10?

SuggestedRemedy

Change "121.8.10.1" to "121.8.9.1"

Change "121.8.10.3" to "121.8.9.3"

Change "121.8.5.2" to "121.8.9.2"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Need to add another exception to the list to make it clear that the values of over/undershoot and transmitter power excursion of the stressed receiver conformance test signal are

SuggestedRemedy

Add an additional exception to the list to state that the values of over/under-shoot and transmitter power excursion of the stressed receiver conformance test signal are within the limits specified in Table 167-7. See 802.3cu-2021, sub-clause 151.8.13 as an example.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

The exception to be added in section 167.8.13 will read

"The values of overshoot/undershoot and transmitter power excursion of the stressed receiver conformance signal are within the limits specified in Table 167-7."

C/ 167 SC 167.10 P 59 L 33 # 62

Dudek, Mike Marvell

Comment Type Comment Status D Ε

Should be 200GBASE-VR2 on line 33 and 400GBASE-VR4 on line 34

SuggestedRemedy

Change them.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "200BASE-VR" to "200GBASE-VR2" on line 32 and "400GBASE-VR" to "400GBASE-VR4" on line 34.

C/ 167 SC 167.10.2.2.1 P 50 L11

Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell

Comment Type Comment Status D Bucket

Bucket

The Greater-less than and less than match symbols show up nu and Omega with Preview but its fine if viewed with Acrobat DC

SuggestedRemedy

Please correct so document is platform independent

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use the symbols from the symbol page.