C/ 167	SC 167.7.1	P <b>51</b>	L15	# 1	C/ 167	SC ·	167.7.2		P <b>52</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 3	
Abbott, Joł	าท	Corning Incor	porated		Abbott, Jo	hn			Corning Incor	porated		
Comment <sup>·</sup>	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment S	Status D			
for con order t (optimi broade transm which basic p	le 167-7 Transmit inections to the so o optimize VR for ized for performa- en the wavelength itters as low cost need to match a looint of comment	To achieve orignal VR objections for a low cost high data rate connection to the server, restore the receive wavelength range to 842-863; if increasing the range to make VR 850n transceivers more robust and cost effective for short distance, increase this to 842-865nm. Choose the wavelength range for VR transmitter and receiver based on end user requirements in the data center. SuggestedRemedy Change 842 to 948 to 824 to 865 (2nm wider than SR transmitter on both sides) for VR as SR										
850nm Suggested	, o	elength for the fiber).			Proposed	Resnon	se	Response S	Status W			
00	,	324 to 865 (2nm wider than S	R on both sides			•	REJECT.					
•			SIT OIL DOLL SILLES	)	The ce	enter wa	velength				ution against D1.1. The	
Proposed I		Response Status W			decisio for VR		hing the p	pros and cons,	was to set the	e center wavelen	gth range to 842 - 948 n	
The ce	PROPOSED REJECT. The center wavelength (range) was discussed in the comment resolution against D1.1. The decision, after weighing the pros and cons, was to set the center wavelength range to 842 - 048 - 049 - 0					For SR, the center wavelength range is 844 - 863 nm.						
for VR					C/ 167	SC ·	167.7.3		P <b>53</b>	L14	# 4	
0/ 407	CC 407 7 4	DEA	140	# 0	Abbott, Jo	hn			Corning Incor	porated		
C/ 167	SC 167.7.1	P51	L16	# 2	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment S	Status D			
Abbott, Joh			porated								is 842-948 the power	
Comment	51	Comment Status D		Iso 0.40mm antian as law							m (which makes sense) need a separate 948nm	
		specified as 0.65nm. If we a make sense to have a wider			colum		a prese	intation with po	wer buuget a		need a separate 940nm	
tighten	the wavelength i	ange back to 842-863nm ca	n we make 850n	m VCSELS easier to	Suggested	Remed	v					
	with an even wide	er spectral width?						leave table 16	67-9 as is and	change table 16	7.7.1 (transmitter) to 842	
Suggested	•				to 863	nm. 2n	d option is	s to modify tab	le 167-9 to inc	lude subcolumn	s under OM3 and OM4 fo	
		te 0.70	8 to 0.70. If line 1	15 is 842 to 863, increase	-	-		• •		id putting TBDs i	n the rest of the items	
•	al width at 850nm				Proposed	,		Response S				
Proposed I	•	Response Status W			PROP	POSED A	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE	-			
PROP	OSED REJECT.				Table	167-9 c	an be exp	anded for eac	h wavelength	band for VR.		
		n specification is a balance: ( len on the receiver by loweri							g.			
A max	imum of 0.65 nm	for RMS spectral width is a g	jood balance.									

Cl 167 S Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type	SC 167.7.1 e TR	P <b>51</b> Ghiasi Quanti	L <b>44</b>	# 9	C/ 167	SC 1	6785	P57	L33	# 14
-	e TR	Ghiasi Quanti	um/Monioll							
Comment Type	e TR				Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quant	um/Marvell	
	° IX	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		
have now	added the opt	had to use APC cable plant ion of APC connectors. If re ss-air termination!						neasurement and for better co er full grid search	orrelation with re	al DSP suggest to use
•	0 0				Suggested	Remedy				
	2	ansmitt reflectance to the ta	ble and suggest	to change optical return	Use of		may sligl	n to determine the TDECQ. hlty increase +0.1 dB the TD	ECQ, for exact a	mount see
Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status W			Proposed I	Respons	е	Response Status W		
PROPOSE	ED REJECT.				DISCU	JSS				
Installation	ns with PC fibe	er termination may not meet	the maximum 15	dB return loss.						
C/ 167 S	SC 167.7.2	P <b>52</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 11		e 121.8.5 timizatior		es the TDECQ measurement	method using I	DECQ minimization as
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quanti	••		Any ch		ust consi	der impact on		
Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status X								
have now	added the opt	had to use APC cable plant ion of APC connectors. If re ss-air termination!				method nce equa		r and likely to be used in prac	ctice, especially v	vith a 9 tap
' SuggestedRen	0 0						, ,	Le Cheminant:		140/
Suggest a		eceive reflectance to the tab	le and suggest to	o change optical return	Pth1, Pth2, and Pth3 are varied from their nominal values by up to +/-1% of OMAouter "in order to minimize the closure of each eye using a minimum mean squared error optimizati The same three thresholds are used for both the left and the right histogram.					
Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status W				4				
PROPOSE	ED REJECT.	er termination may not meet	the maximum 15	dB return loss.	of sign	າa_G car	nnot be i	RL and SERR is equal to the ncreased by "further reduction cients or the sub-eye thresho	on of eye closure	through optimization" or
					C/ 167	SC 1	67.7.1	P51	L <b>25</b>	# 28
					Dawe, Pier	s		Nvidia		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

In general, merging cells with the same content improves readability. Here, the limits for VR and SR look the same but they aren't, because TDECQ means two different things.

### SuggestedRemedy

Spell out the entries for VR and SR separately for this row and the next three.

Proposed Response Response Status W

#### PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Make separate columns for TDECQ (max) and TECQ (max) for VR and SR links in Table 167 7.

Comment ID 28

CI 167	SC 167.7.1	P <b>51</b>	L <b>28</b>	# 29	C/ 167	SC	167.7.3		P <b>54</b>	L <b>45</b>	# 36
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	rs			Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment S	Status D		
reader	r is supposed to		nin) in this table. We	e need to explain what the	but do	es it be	tter becau				igure 167-3 and 167-4, e can see the relation
Suggested	-				Suggested						
	-	use max(TECQ, TDECQ)	. This applies in the	text and Figure 167-3 too			-	4 move 167-5 t	o hecome 16	7-3 and refer to i	t instead of the existing
•	Response	Response Status W				and 16		+, move 107-0 t	o become re		
PROP	POSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed	Respor	ise	Response S	tatus W		
Outer	OMA, each lane					Figure 1		IN PRINCIPLE I eliminate Figui	-	d 167-4. Update t	he references to the
	ax(TECQ, TDEC 3 < max(TECQ,T		.6 dBm .4 + max(TECQ,TDE	CQ)	Impler	nent wi	th editoria	al license.			
C/ 167	SC 167.7.2	P53	L16	# 34	C/ 167	SC	167.8.1.1		P <b>56</b>	L <b>28</b>	# 37
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	rs			Nvidia		
Comment	Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D			Comment	Type	т	Comment S	Status D		
it's not multila Anywa	t "applies" that s ane module oper	BASE-VR2, 400GBASE- hould be qualified by "on ating as single-lane PME clause 167.8.13 defining	y". Also, consider "a s.		at min and M this fo	OMA a SB/LSE r the ree	ind max T 3 equally, ceiver, we	DECQ. The Po so what matter will still exceed	CS distributes s is the aggre d the spec in	s 10-bit symbols a egate of errors on	ter, and we specify SRS across the PAM4 lanes all the lanes. Specifyir of scatter on transmit nt.
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	Remea	ły				
If mak Applie or muc Not ap Or, be when Anywa	ing an editorial i is to 200GBASE ch better and in oplicable to 1000 cause the same running as 1 x 4 ay, because this	mprovement, change to: -VR2, 400GBASE-VR4, 2 preparation for 800GBAS BASE-VR1 and 100GB/ module suffers the same 00GBASE-VR4, remove topic is addressed in 167	E-VR8 and 800GBA ASE-SR1. e crosstalk if used as the exception. 7.8.13 and we should	SE-SR8, 4 x 100GBASE-VR1 as not be defining things	167.1. 167.1. the av After " when s	1." to "S 1. The i erage o operate stresse	Stressed r interface f of the BER ed as spec d are aver	receiver sensitives BER is Rs of the receive cified.", insert "T raged."	vity is defined a lanes when o find the int	l for an interface a they are stressed erface BER, the l	at the BER specified in at the BER specified in d." BERs of all the lanes der test on its own."
piecen	neal by table foo	thotes - delete the note.	See another comme	ent against 167.8.13.	Proposed	Respor	ise	Response S	tatus W		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			•			IN PRINCIPLE			
PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.					<b>.</b>				
Delete	e the note.				Discus	ss the c	ase of bre	eakout.			

Comment ID 37

C/ 167 SC 167.8.13	P <b>60</b>	L12	# 41	C/ 167 S	SC 167.5.2	P <b>47</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 44
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Bruckman, Leo	on	Huawei		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status D		
exception. Anyway, wl	GBASE-VR8 and 800GBASE-S hat if a multilane module is run					use "each signal stream" inst ext in the following section. S		
37	sstalk" but it's just the same.			SuggestedRer	nedy			
SuggestedRemedy					'The four opt ach signal st	ical power levels in the signa ream"	al stream", with: " <sup>-</sup>	The four optical power
Proposed Response DISCUSS	Response Status W			Proposed Res PROPOSI		Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		
C/78 SC 78.1.4	P <b>25</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 42	C/ 167 S	SC 167.5.7	P <b>49</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 45
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Bruckman, Leo	on	Huawei		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status D		
	GBASE-SRn PHY types is 4 2		80-1, it's 10 2 4 1. In	PMD_glob	oal_transmit_	disable disables all lane's tra	ansmitters.	
,	able 80-5, 1 2. This seems in	iconsistent.		SuggestedRer	nedy			
SuggestedRemedy						urning off the optical transmit	tter in each lane."	, with: "turning off the
	er should be, bearing in mind t erent PHYs, make changes to			•	nsmitter in al			
Proposed Response	Response Status W		spriate.	Proposed Res		Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT				PROPOSI	ED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
	light of latest 802.3dc draft ar	nd reorder as app	propriate.	C/ 167 S	SC 167.8.5	P <b>57</b>	L <b>33</b>	# 52
C 167 SC 167.8.5	P57	L20	# 43	Lingle, Robert		OFS		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Comment Typ	e ER	Comment Status X		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D					lse of minimum mean square		
Problems with "The first	st filter represents the system is ot be implying that a product re d a filter is only a small part of	eceiver has to be		this topic of Otherwise	can be addre , I think the I	Q has been proposed." While essed with both a comment & Editor's Note has served its p addressed in WG ballot cycle	supporting controurpose and can b	ibution in this draft cycle be removed at this point.
				SuggestedRer				
				Suggesteurter	neay			
reference receiver, and SuggestedRemedy	ter represents a receiver front	end frequency re	esponse", or similar.		<i>neay</i> nis editor's n	ote		
reference receiver, and SuggestedRemedy		end frequency re	esponse", or similar.		nis editor's n	ote Response Status W		

C/ 167	SC 16	7.7.1	P <b>51</b>	L15	# 68	C/ 167	SC 167	7.7.1	P <b>51</b>	L <b>16</b>	# 69	
Swanson,	, Steven		Corning Incor	porated		Swanson,	Steven		Corning Inco	rporated		
Comment	t Type <b>T</b>	R	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status D			
marke 3D se 802.3	et potential ensing appli	and leve ications. ion and	ibutions that prove that the erage the high volume manu The VCSELs used for 3D s the added complexity of the	ifacturing infrast ensing are not s	ructure currently supplyin uitable for the IEEE	source In add	e. This is of lition, in the	ffset by e CFI fo	fication, the only difference a / a more complex receiver. or this project, we identified oR/EoR architectures,requir	two distinct mark	et needs, one to suppor	
Suggeste	dRemedy	0							ort 100G/optical lane to mat	0 0		
00		er wave	length specification from 84	2-948 to 844-86	3.	100GI	BASE-SR1	, 200G	BASE-SR2 and 400GBASE	-SR4 variants se	em to address the	
•	l Response POSED RE		Response Status W			second requirement but it is not clear that the 100GBASE-VR1, 200GBASE-VR2 and 400GBASE-VR4 address the first.						
The c	center wave ion, after w	length (	range) was discussed in the the pros and cons, was to se			Use cases included SFP112 connections to for next-generation servers, costs at 50% of and power consumption at 50% of DR.						
for VI					I have seen no evidence that VR will support any of these use cases.							
						Suggestee	dRemedy					
						with li	ttle differen	ice in th	e VR variants completely; the cost or power makes no -attachment links.			
						Proposed	Response		Response Status W			
							POSED RE R link (50m		reach) was voted in motior	ns #3 and #4 in Ja	an 2020.	

It was also supported by an end user, shen\_3db\_01a\_110520.pdf, during the discussion for the SR link (100m OM4 reach).

CL 467	SC 167.7.2	DEO	1.40	# 70	CL 467	SC 167.10.3	<b>a</b>		14	# 70
C/ <b>167</b> Swanson,		P <b>52</b> Corning Incor	L40	# 70	C/ <b>167</b> Swanson				L <b>4</b> rporated	# 72
,		Comment Status D	poraled		Comment		Comment Status	0	rporated	
compl claim	equirement on the	receiver to support a center r design and adds cost. It wi			The s and 0 VR2,4	uggestion to sup Option B for flat pl	port two options, Opt nysical contact fiber i 200GBASE-SR2 an	ion A fo nterface	for the MDI re	al contact fiber interface quirement for 200GBASE bad idea and will cause
00		elength specification from 84	2-948 to 844-86	3.	Suggeste	dRemedy				
	Response	Response Status W			Pick	one, either angled	l or non-angled but n	ot both.		
, PROF The c weigh	POSED REJECT. enter wavelength ing the pros and c	(range) was discussed in the cons including the requirement of set the center wave	nt of a wide ban	d AR coating on the	PRO	Response POSED REJECT.	Response Status		raft 1.1.	
C/ 167	SC 167.10.2.1		L <b>24</b>	# 71			omment included, "C I by large enterprise			
Swanson,		Corning Incor	porated		Com		a by large enterprice			
and O that le the va A con	oble 167-15, the chi DM5. There is NO ed to the specificat alue for OM5.	Comment Status <b>D</b> romatic dispersion specificat difference in the chromatic d tion of OM5 used OM3 and C a submitted to correct this inc of is published.	ispersion of thes DM4 chromatic d	e fibers. In fact the study lispersion values to set		arsons_3db_adh		, xic_ou	5_01_001021,	shen_3db_01a_110520,
Suaaested	dRemedy	·								
00	M3 and OM4, epla	ace 1295 = lambda naught</td <td>: <!--= 1340 with 1</td--><td>297 <!--= lambda naught</td--><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td></td>	: = 1340 with 1</td <td>297 <!--= lambda naught</td--><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td>	297 = lambda naught</td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
		5 = lambda naught </= 131<br ught = 1340 with û 412/(84</td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
'	Response POSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W	·							

Comment ID 72