C/FM SC FM	P <b>2</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 40	C/ 1	SC 1.3	P1	7 L8	# 30
Grow, Robert	RMG Consu	ting		Ran, Ade	e	Cisco	)	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D	
	nemaker templates, but this d amined and is also included in					sted as 202x. I assume ublished before 802.3db		published yet and it is
SuggestedRemedy				The "2	202x" should n	ot find its way to the pu	blished amendment.	
Please use the correct	ct template.			Suggeste	,			
Proposed Response	Response Status W				n editor's note 167–15 footno	(to be removed prior to the f	publication) to updat	e the year here and in
PROPOSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.				Response	Response Status	w	
"The Institute of Elect	with editorial license using the trical and Electronics Enginee York, NY 10016-5997, USA		laker template:	PROF	•	PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Copyright © 2022 by t All rights reserved. Pu IEEE and 802 are reg the Institute of Electric	the Institute of Electrical and I ublished xx Month 20xx. Printe gistered trademarks in the U.S cal and	ed in the United	States of America.	"Thes to	e limits are co	ce in footnote f: nsistent with IEC 60793 nsistent with IEC 60793		(202x)."
Electronics Engineers PDF: ISBN 978-0-738				C/ 1	SC 1.4.14	2a P1	7 L <b>42</b>	# 33
	81-xxxx-x STDPDxxxxx			Dudek. M		Marv		
	nination, harassment and bull			Comment		Comment Status		
No part of this publication	, visit http://www.ieee.org/web ation may be reproduced in an the prior written permission of	y form, in an ele		Shoul		E-SR4 be listed after 40	-	r than between 400GBASE-
C/ 1 SC 1.3	P <b>17</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 27	Suggeste	dRemedy			
Zimmerman, George	CME Consul	ting/ADI, APL G	p, Cisco, CommScope,	Chang	ge the section	to 1.4.144a and make 4	100GBASE-VR4 into	section 1.4.144b
Comment Type E	Comment Status D	0 /		Proposed	Response	Response Status	Z	
Does this have to be	a dated reference? If undated			REJE	CT.			
cannot publish before undated reference bo	<ol> <li>If dated, particularly with ar 60793-2-10:202x (whatever s th achieves the end of getting to move forward without the h</li> </ol>	the new version	hes. Making it an	This c	comment was	WITHDRAWN by the co	ommenter.	
SuggestedRemedy								
Delete the inserted da	ate (:202x) on the reference to	IEC 60793-2-10	D:202x					
Proposed Response	Response Status W							
PROPOSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.							
Remove the reference	e to IEC documet 10692-2-10	in 1.3.						
Add an editors' note t draft only).	hat the IEC document is alrea	dy in P802.3 D3	3.0 (in the next P802.3db					
TYPE: TR/technical requi COMMENT STATUS: D/d	red ER/editorial required GR dispatched A/accepted R/reje	/general required	d T/technical E/editorial C NSE STATUS: O/open W/	G/general /written C/close	d U/unsatisfie	d Z/withdrawn	C/ 1 SC <b>1.4.142a</b>	Page 1 of 9 1/14/2022 2:24:55

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

1/14/2022 2:24:55 PM

CI 30 S	C 30.3.2.1.2	P18	L <b>2</b>	# 42	C/ 80	SC 8	80.1.4	P <b>24</b>	L <b>27</b>	# 48
Grow, Robert		RMG Consult	ting		Grow, Ro	bert		RMG Const	ulting	
Comment Type	e T	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		
aPHYType 200GBASE SR4 in oth	and aPHYTyp E-VR2, 400GBA er clauses as F	ts me that I do not unders eList. This is compounde ASE-VR4, 100GBASE-SR 'HYs or PHY types.	d by reference t	o 100GBASE-VR1,	the D	escriptio itted on s	on clause such tabl	insert point for new items, number order nor alphanur es on P802.3/D3.0. (Also a	neric on Name). (	Comments have been
SuggestedRen	,							omment resolution and if a	order beyond data	a rate is found, adjust
		00GBASE-VR1, 200GBA nd 400GBASE-SR4, into a				• •	per that re			
Proposed Res		Response Status W			Proposed	,		Response Status W		
• •	a Task Force				PROF	POSED /	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
	C 30.5.1.1.2	P18	L19	# 34	"Com	ment #6		P802.3cj D2.0 defined the		
Judek, Mike		Marvell			nttp://	www.iee	eesu2.org	J/3/cj/comments/P8023-D2p	00-Comments-Fina	ai-byiD.pdi#page=14
Comment Type	<b>∀</b>	Comment Status D						d/reach" order using the fol	lowing set of rules	S.
draft 3.0 of	f 802.3cd prese	s left nothing that differer rves the reaches to differe			2. Inc			aximum supported distance of lanes	over the medium	).
SuggestedRen				<b>•</b> /	The f	- 11				
		as they were in draft 2.0.	Also in table 11	6-1				ental rules address are incl ations, by convention, are a		
Proposed Resp		Response Status W			5. "Co	opper" Pl	HYs prec	ede "Fiber" PHYs (all else		
PROPOSE	ED ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.			6. Alp	hanume	eric sort (a	all else being equal).		
These read D2.0	ches were remo	oved after discussion of co	omments #66, 6	7, 68, 70, 71, and 72 on						
Discuss as	a Task Force.									

	SC 04 5 0 0	Doz	1.20	# 00		SC 440	4.0	Daa	124	# 40
C/ 91	SC 91.5.3.3	P <b>27</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 28	C/ 116	SC 116	.1.3	P <b>32</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 49
Ran, Ad	ee	Cisco			Grow, Rol	pert		RMG Consulti	ng	
Commer	nt Type ER	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Е		Comment Status X		
The	suggested remedy	D2.0 was resolved in a way was to include the third para ragraph of 91.5.3.3 (first para	graph of 91.5.3.	3, but the response	appea		sisttent	sert point for new items, it is with the already apparently a.		
is ur	nformatted, so 10^-6	6 now reads as 10-6.			Suggestee	dRemedy				
		in the third paragraph which e requirement, it would be fri				or P802.3/D points per t		nment resolution and if a oro plution.	ler beyond data	a rate is found, adjust
what	t "this option" is abo	out (it is the option to bypass	error correction)	)	Proposed	Response		Response Status W		
The	change of the seco	nd paragraph is unnecessary	y and can be rev	verted.	PROF	POSED AC	CEPT IN	N PRINCIPLE.		
Suggest	edRemedy				Comn	nent #241 c	n D2.0 s	stated:		
Inclu	ude the entire third p	paragraph from the base doc	ument as listed	below:			0	802.3cj D2.0 defined the or /cj/comments/P8023-D2p0-		
erroi this 91.6	r correction to reduc option is indicated t .8). When the optio	coder may provide the optior ce the delay contributed by th by the assertion of the FEC_1 n is provided, it is enabled by n_enable variable (see 91.6.1	he RS-FEC suble bypass_correction by the assertion of	ayer. The presence of on_ability variable (see if the	1. Inci 2. Inci	reasing spe	ed. ch (max	reach" order using the follov imum supported distance o lanes	U	

The following supplemental rules address are included to address special cases.

4. PHY "family designations, by convention, are assigned a reach of 0.

5. "Copper" PHYs precede "Fiber" PHYs (all else being equal).

6. Alphanumeric sort (all else being equal).

C/ 116	SC 116.1.3	P <b>32</b>	L <b>35</b>	# 35
Dudek, M	ike	Marvell		
<u> </u>	- <b>-</b>			

Comment Type **T** Comment Status D

The reach is not included in the descriptions of VR and SR in table 116-1 leaving nothing that differentiates between VR and SR. Note that the reach is included to differentiate the single mode variants.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add the reach to the description as is done for 400G in table 116-2

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

These reaches were removed after discussion of comments #66, 67, 68, 70, 71, and 72 on D2.0

Discuss as a Task Force.

as in D2.1>"

text in D2.0. Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Change the editorial instruction accordingly.

Revert the second paragraph (starting with "When used to form a 100GBASE-CR4"), to the

Response Status W

C/ 116 SC 116.1.3 Page 3 of 9 1/14/2022 2:24:55 PM

C/ 116	SC 116.1.3	P <b>32</b>	L37	# 9	C/ 167	SC 1	67.7.1	P <b>49</b>	L <b>27</b>	# 6
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia	,		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quant		
Comment Ty		Comment Status D			Comment		TR	Comment Status R		
Some ta	ables put e.g. 1 e less, others pu er. Typically, re	00GBASE-SR1 before 100GI ut e.g. 200GBASE-SR2 befor ach takes precedence. Anyw	e 200GBASE-S	R4 because it's	lt was cost. https://	shown t /www.iee	hat TDE0	CQ with MMSE is accurate a g/3/db/public/September-09- 1_092321.pdf		me and associated test
00	,	cedence: change tables 78-1	90 1 116 1 116	2 116 4 116 5 and 116	Suggested	Remed	/			
7. If the oth Either w change Make th Proposed Re	her way, chang vay, the new PN tables 116-2 ar le lists in e.g. P vesponse	e tables 80-5, 80-7 and 116-6 IDs have less reach than 400 nd 116-7. ICS 91.7.3 consistent with the Response Status W	). IGBASE-SR4.2		MMSE better, measu taps w produc TDEC	is repre as show rements ill longer e as mu Q>4.5 d	esentative vn by in ( s. MMSE r to do ful uch as 0.2 B is risky	e of real receiver and a full gr Ghiasi contribution there is e: will reduce test time specilla Il grid search and will increas 2 dB of lower TDECQ than re . Task force need to make a ECQ to 4.3 dB or stay with cu	xcellent correlation ay given 802.3dl se test cost. Ful eal receiver and a decision either	on for scope o reference receiver is 9 l grid search may pushing real stay with sull grid
PROPO	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Response			Response Status U		
"Comme http://ww Sort the 1. Increa 2. Increa 3. Decrea The follo 4. PHY 5. "Copp	ww.ieee802.org result in "spee asing speed. asing reach (ma easing number owing supplema "family designa per" PHYs prec	P802.3cj D2.0 defined the o /3/cj/comments/P8023-D2p0 d/reach" order using the follo aximum supported distance c	Comments-Fina wing set of rules ver the medium ed to address s igned a reach of	al-byID.pdf#page=14" ). pecial cases.	Straw a) Mak b) Ado c) Mair reduce Vote: a No ans	on strav poll (Chi te no cha pt MMS ntain cui e maximu a) 15/37 swer 14/	icago rule ange to t E search rrent TDE um TDEC , b) 7/37, 37	hé draft method with maximum TDE ECQ methodolgy, but CQ to 4.3 dB c) 11/37		
C/ 167	SC 167.5.2	P <b>45</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 29			cisional): (e no cha	inge to the draft.		
Ran, Adee		Cisco	- 10		B) Acc	ept in pi	rinciple: N	Maintain current TDECQ met	hodology, but re	duce maximum
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status D			IDEC	Q to 4.3	dB.			
See con		ainst D2.0 was not implemen	ted fully - one in	stance of "signal		A) 15/35 swer 12/	, B) 8/35 35			
SuggestedR	Remedy									
Change	"signal stream	" to "signal".								
Proposed Re PROPO	esponse SED ACCEPT	Response Status W								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 167 SC 167.7.1 Page 4 of 9 1/14/2022 2:24:55 PM

C/ 167 SC 167.	8.1 P53	L <b>20</b>	# 1	C/ 167	SC 167.8.5	P <b>56</b>	L <b>35</b>	# 8
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Qu	antum/Marvell		Dawe, Piers	s	Nvidia		
Comment Type TF	Comment Status R			Comment T	уре <b>т</b>	Comment Status D		
PCS sginal SuggestedRemedy	ion of valid 100GBASE-ZV1/S /ID signals with PCS signals, 1			referend dating t for April	ced document (i he reference is	ces, says "For undated refer- including any amendments of to exclude future amendmer ay) until 802.3 acts to referer done anyway.	or corrigenda) ap	plies." So the effect on the forecation of the second
200GBASE-R, or	400GBASE-R signals			SuggestedF				
Response	Response Status W			•••	er deleting ":202	°x".		
REJECT.		(		Proposed R	0	Response Status W		
	s 86, 95, 138, and 150 have de onsistency with past multimod			PROPC	DSED ACCEPT sponse to comm	, IN PRINCIPLE.		
C/ 167 SC 167.	8.2 P53	L <b>33</b>	# 16	C/ 167	SC 167.8.6	P <b>55</b>	L11	# 26
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Lingle, Rob	ert	OFS		
••	Comment Status A			Comment T	ype E	Comment Status A		
This description a 2-lane PMD also. SuggestedRemedy Change "the three	ssumes there are 4 lanes, but unstressed lanes" to "the one	or three unstressed		Comment T Editor's optimiza discuss I think t	<i>ype</i> <b>E</b> note states: "U ation of TDECQ sion in TF and of		opic has had a pi des during comm	resentation in TF & ent resolution on D2.0
This description a 2-lane PMD also. SuggestedRemedy Change "the three "multiplying by fou	ssumes there are 4 lanes, but unstressed lanes" to "the one r if" to "multiplying by two or fo	or three unstressed		Comment T Editor's optimiza discuss I think t	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> to note states: "U ation of TDECQ sion in TF and of the Editor's Note and at this point.	Comment Status A se of minimum mean square has been proposed." This to ffline. Whatever the TF decid	opic has had a pi des during comm	resentation in TF & ent resolution on D2.0
This description a 2-lane PMD also. SuggestedRemedy Change "the three "multiplying by fou Response	ssumes there are 4 lanes, but unstressed lanes" to "the one r if" to "multiplying by two or fo <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b>	or three unstressed		Comment T Editor's optimiza discuss I think ti remove SuggestedF	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> to note states: "U ation of TDECQ sion in TF and of the Editor's Note and at this point.	Comment Status A se of minimum mean square has been proposed." This to filine. Whatever the TF decid has served its purpose (of s	opic has had a pi des during comm	resentation in TF & ent resolution on D2.0
This description a 2-lane PMD also. SuggestedRemedy Change "the three	unstressed lanes" to "the one r if" to "multiplying by two or fo <i>Response Status</i> <b>C</b> CIPLE.	or three unstressed		Comment T Editor's optimiza discuss I think ti remove SuggestedF	Type E s note states: "U ation of TDECQ sion in TF and of he Editor's Note ed at this point. Remedy	Comment Status A se of minimum mean square has been proposed." This to filine. Whatever the TF decid has served its purpose (of s	opic has had a pi des during comm	resentation in TF & ent resolution on D2.0

C/ 167 SC 167.8.6

C/ 167	SC 167.8.6	P <b>55</b>	L19	# 4	C/ 167	SC 167.8.13	P <b>57</b>	L11	# 20
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quan	-		Dawe, Pier		Nvidia		
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
Font fo	or table 167-12 is	different thatn other tables					r sensitivity (OMAouter) *		
Suggestea	Remedy						sed sensitivity, we should he interface BER method		
Please	use the same for	ont and			we dor	n't average the T	DECQ, so some Tx-Rx la	nes are better than	spec.
Response		Response Status W					rence to 167.1.1, which is idering Gray coding, which		
	PT IN PRINCIPL				Suggested				
		d not be bold. The interior a and will be changed to IEEE		s of Table 167-12 are	Delete	"of each lane".			
		-					ressed receiver sensitivity	is defined" to "Rec	eiver sensitivity and
Use ed	litorial license to	modify table size.					itivity are defined". to 167.1.1 Bit error ratio a	nd 167.8.2 Multi-lar	e testing consideration
/ 167	SC 167.8.6.1	P <b>55</b>	L <b>33</b>	# 5	Response		Response Status C		-
Shiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quan	itum/Marvell			PT IN PRINCIPI			
comment		Comment Status A			Implen	nent with editoria	al license.		
	red data from ht hiasi_802.3db_0	tps://www.ieee802.org/3/db/ )1_092321 pdf	public/September	r-09-September-29-		.8.13, change			
		7, 8, and 9 are <5%			"The re to	eceiver sensitivit	y (OMAouter) of each lan	e shall be within the	e limit"
Suggestea	Remedy					eceiver sensitivi	y (OMAouter) shall be wit	hin the limit".	
Sugge	st reducing taps	6 and 7 to 10%, and taps 8	and 9 to 5%		In 167	0.12 add			
Response		Response Status C			-	.8.13, add requirements are	e given in 167.1.1. For mu	Iti-lane testing cons	iderations, see 167.8.2
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			1. 407		C C	0	
Chang	e the maximum	absolute values of taps 7, 8	. and 9 to be 0.3.	0.2. and 0.1.		.8.2, change sed receiver sen	sitivity is defined"		
respec			,	- , ,	to				
					"Recei	ver sensitivity a	nd stressed receiver sens	itivity are defined	
					C/ 167	SC 167.8.14	P <b>57</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 2
					Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qu	antum/Marvell	
					Comment	51	Comment Status R		
					There	is no clause 121	.8.10		
					Suggested	,			
					Please	e replace 121.8.	10 with 121.8.9 for stress	receiver sensitivity	test
					Response		Response Status W		
					REJEC		sitivity description is now u	Inder 121 8 10 in D	802 3dc D3 0
					30655	eu ieceivei sens	survicy description is now t		002.000 00.0.

C/ 167 SC 167.8.14

C/ 167 SC 167.8.14	P <b>57</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 21	C/ 167	SC 167.8	.14.1	P <b>57</b>	L <b>57</b>	# 3
awe, Piers	Nvidia			Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Quant	um/Marvell	
omment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comm	nent Status R		
167.8.2. Using the int don't average the TDE For an example, 95.8. at the PMD service int receive lanes when st the lane symbol error the lane symbol error average of the BERs of Also, I didn't see a ref	s required to be met for each erface BER method for sensi CQ, so some Tx-Rx lanes ar 8.1 says: For 100GBASE-SR erface. The interface BER is ressed: see 95.8.1.1. If prese ratio at its input. The lane BE ratio. If each lane is stressed of all the lanes when stressed erence to 167.1.1, which is re sidering Gray coding, which is	tivity is still conse e better than sper 4 the relevant BE the average of the nt, the RS-FEC s R can be assume in turn, the PMD I: see 95.8.1.1. elevant because e	rvative because we C. R is the interface BER e four BER of the ublayer can measure of to be one tenth of interface BER is the	sinusio 167? Suggested Remov Response REJEO Past n	odal jitter ma <i>IRemedy</i> ve CL 167.8 CT. nultimode cla	sk, if we are ro 14.1 <i>Respor</i>	nse Status W and 150 have carri	vhy duplicate jitter	lasue include mask in the db CL
uggestedRemedy				There	is no other c	lause with a d	lescription of 100G	ner lane sinusoid	al iitter mask
Add an entry to the lis 167.1.1 and 167.8.2."	quired to be met for each lan t of exceptions from 121: "Th about how to find BER using	e relevant BER is	the interface BER; see	<i>Cl</i> <b>167</b> Dawe, Pie	SC <b>167.</b> 4	0.2.1	P <b>61</b> Nvidia	L20	# 23
esponse	Response Status <b>C</b>			Comment			nent Status D		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.					rective guidan	ice, not guidance a	bout modal bandy	viath
Implement with editori In 167.8.14,	al license.				e "Effective		dth guidance is pro ve modal bandwidth		
Delete "The BER is re	quired to be met for each lan	e under test on its	s own".	Proposed	•		nse Status W	(-)	5
Add the following exce "The relevant BER is t	eption from 121.8.10: he interface BER; see 167.1.	1 and 167.8.2."		•	OSED ACC				
7 167 SC 167.8.14	P <b>57</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 36						
Dudek, Mike	Marvell								
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status A								
The requirement for the	e BER to be met for each lar	ne on it's own is c	onflicting with section						
SuggestedRemedy									
	equired to be met for each la fied in 167.1.1. For multilane								
Response	Response Status C								
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.								

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #21.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 167 SC 167.10.2.1 Page 7 of 9 1/14/2022 2:24:55 PM

C/ 167	SC 167.10.3	P <b>61</b>	L <b>37</b>	# 31	C/ 167 SC 167.	11.4.6 P69	L13	# 24
Ran, Ade	e	Cisco			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
I am r discus		t #133 against D2.0 (which	was marked as	bucket and not	This table should r SuggestedRemedy	nention VRn as well as SRn		
		ceiver compliance testing is ould apply only to the transr		nich is the MDI per	Several places Proposed Response	Response Status W		
		seems to have been inherite			PROPOSED ACC	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
chang	ed to refer only to	transmitter compliance, viz	. "NOTE—Tran	smitter compliance	Change 167.11.4.6	6 as follows:		
this ve	ersion of the note,	which fixes the issue I refer			OC4 MDI layout for 200	GBASE-VR2 and 200GBASE-S	SR2	
	•	the better precedent text. ment to the maintenance pr	cient to olign oll	alouana to the version	OC5 MDL layout for 400	GBASE-VR4 and 400GBASE-S	SR4	
of the	text in clause 86.	ment to the maintenance pr	oject to aligh ali	clauses to the version	OC6			
Suggester Chang	<i>IRemedy</i> ie the NOTE to re	ad:			MDI mating, 100G	BASE-VR1 and 100GBASE-SF	81	
NOTE the M		npliance testing is performe	d at TP2 as def	ined in 167.5.1, not at	OC7 MDI requirements	for 100GBASE-VR1 and 100G	BASE-SR1	
	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			OC8 MDI mating, 200GBASE-VR2, 2	200GBASE-SR2, 400GBASE-V	R4 and 400GBAS	SE-SR4
					OC9 MDI dimensions fo SR4	or 200GB ASE-VR2, 200GBASE	E-SR2, 400GBAS	E-VR4, and 400GBASE-
					OC10 Cabling connector and 400GBASE-S	dimensions for 200GBASE-VR R4	2, 200GBASE-SF	82, 400GBASE-VR4,
					OC11 MDI requirements 400GBASE-SR4	for 200GBASE-VR2, 200GBAS	E-SR2, 400GBA	SE-VR4, and

C/ 167 SC 167.11.4.6

C/ 167	SC 167.11.4.6	P <b>69</b>	L <b>21</b>	# 25
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		
	needs modificatior a multifiber connec	to align with 167.10.3.2 wh ctor	nich allows a 1-la	ane PMD with an MDI
Suggested	Remedy			
Per co	omment			
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
Chang	je 167.11.4.6			
OC7				

MDI requirements for 100GBASE-VR1 and 100GBASE-SR1, duplex optical fiber connector

C/ 167 SC 167.11.4.6 Page 9 of 9 1/14/2022 2:24:55 PM