C/ 190 P85 L 10 # C/ 104 P53 # 4 SC 190.3.3.6.4 SC 104.9.4.3 L27 Copperopolis; aff'l w/ CME Consulting, Cisco, and An Lewis, Jon **Dell Technologies** Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The Text SXn[0] indention is different from line 3 text. This occurs on lines 24-25 on page "dc" should be "DC" 85 also. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "dc" to "DC" in PICS PD21a and PD21b. Change "dc" to "DC", with dc in Adjust the indents to be the same for the text on lines 3-6, 10-3 and 24-25. not sure which strikethrough and DC in underline, in PICS PD21. Bring in PD20 and PD22 and change line(s) are actually the correct indent setting. "dc" to "DC", with dc in strikethrough and DC in underline. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O L4 # 2 C/ 190 SC 190.3.3.7 P88 C/ 190 SC 190.7.1.3 P131 L41 Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis; aff'l w/ CME Consulting, Cisco, and An Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis; aff'l w/ CME Consulting, Cisco, and An Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type T Comment Status X 0 should be zero and 1 should be one. "Maximum link delay" should be "Maximum link segment delay" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, " is set to 1 when eee low snr is TRUE and is set to 0 otherwise" Change "Maximum link delay" to "Maximum link segment delay" in the clause 190.7.1.3 header and in PICS LMF4. with, " is set to one when eee low snr is TRUE and is set to zero otherwise" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 P71 SC 190.3.1 L 50 # 6 C/ 104 SC 104.5.7.4 P50 L16 # 3 Maquire. Valerie Copperopolis: aff'l w/ CME Consulting. Cisco. and An Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis; aff'l w/ CME Consulting, Cisco, and An Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Double "shall" requirement. I think the mandatory PCS Reset action is already specified on "dc" should be "DC" P71, L44. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "PCS Reset shall set pcs reset = TRUE" to "PCS Reset sets pcs reset = TRUE" Change "dc" to "DC" in 7 locations in this paragraph. In the 5 locations representing existing text, show "dc" in strikethrough and "DC" in underline. (Note there is a supporting and delete PICS PCST4 comment that adjusts the PICS for the existing text.) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.2.1 P143 # 7 C/ 30 SC 30.6.2.1.3 P27 L32 # 10 L8 Copperopolis; aff'l w/ CME Consulting, Cisco, and An Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Maguire, Valerie Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Error in numbering. "INTEGER" should be "BOOLEAN" and link reference is missing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "PCST3" to "PCST1" and re-number PICS in this subcaluse. Change "INTEGER" to "BOOLEAN". Add reference "(see 45.2.7.28.2)" at the end of the sentence in line 35. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.4 P25 L39 # 8 C/ 30 SC 30.6.3.1.1 P29 L26 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X The text "For 10BASE-T1L, 100BASE-T1L, and 100BASE-T1, a link status of OK maps to "10BASE-T1L" (Clause 190)" need to reference "100BASE-T1L" instead of "10BASE-T1L". the enumeration "available". All other states of link status map to the enumeration "not "100BASE-T1L (Clause 146)" needs to reference "(Clause 190)" instead of "(Clause 146)". available"." seems to be doubled in the paragraph. In the new text "Other encodings map to the enumeration available." "available" is not set in quotation marks as in other locations SuggestedRemedy within the paragraph. As per comment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Remove doubled text passage and add quotation marks around "available". Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.236b.1 P33 L41 Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen SC 30.6.2 P27 L 17 C/ 30 Comment Type Comment Status X Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE "transmit/receive level ability (1.2301.13)" should read as "Standard transmit/receive level Comment Type Comment Status X ability (1.2301.13)" in the headline. "an standard transmit/receive level" should read as "a standard transmit/receive level" in lines 43 and 45 in the text. Throughout Clauses 30.6.2 and 30.6.3 in several places a "." followed by ":" is used at the end of a sentence SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As per comment. Remove spurious ";" where the ";" follows a "." at the end of a sentence. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.75c P37 # 13 Cl 45 P40 # 16 L16 SC 45.2.7.30 L37 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Space before beginning of the last sentence in the paragraph is missing. See also page 38, Should read as "... when evaluating the downshift trigger" (adding "the") as in the previous line 5 for a second occurrence. row of the table. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As per comment. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.75d.2 P38 L 32 # 14 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.35 P43 L11 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Text seems to be missing as only "um TC receive path data delay." is stated instead of a "Upshift" is everywhere else written without capital letter at the beginning. Suggest to change to "upshift" in the description field. more detailed description. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add original text. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 45.2.7.29.1 C/ 104 P49 Cl 45 P40 L22 # 15 SC 104.4.7.3 L46 # 18 Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Font size in "7.529.15" mismatches. The frequencies do not fit to that ones in the adopted text (seems to be a copying error). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Align font size. See also page 43, lines 39 and 43 and page 44, lines 19 and 23. Please change text in fifth paragraph to: "When measuring the ripple voltages for a Type E or Type H PSE as specified by Table 104-7 item (4b), the voltage observed at the MDI/PI Proposed Response Response Status O with the differential probe where f1 = 3.18 kHz ± 1% is post-processed with transfer function H2(f) specified in Equation (104-3) where f2 = 0.1 MHz ± 1%." (for f1, f2 and H2(f) the numbers need to be in subscript). Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.5.1 P50 L7 # 19 C/ 104 P53 # 23 SC 104.9.4.3 L49 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X After "Type E" the comma has accidently been crossed out. Needs to reference "Type G" instead of "Type H", see originally adopted text. Also change "PCTH:M" to "PDTG:M". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Re-add comma after "Type E". As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 104.5.1 P50 L46 # 20 C/ 104 C/ 104 SC 104.9.4.3 P53 L 52 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Instead of "Type G", "Type H" needs to be referenced (see adopted text). "PD24 | Type H PD measured ripple voltage post-processing | 104.5.7.4 | With transfer SuggestedRemedy function H2(f) specified in Equation (104-3) where f2=0.1 MHz ±1% | PDTH:M | Yes [ ] N/A [1" has been missed from originally adopted text (the used reference there was PD26, but As per comment. renumbered, as in D2.1 it should now be PD24, "Type E" from original text has been Proposed Response Response Status O removed, as this was not adopted). The numbers in H2(f) and f2 should be in subscript. SuggestedRemedy As per comment. C/ 104 SC 104.6.2 P51 L8 # 21 Proposed Response Response Status O Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type E Comment Status X Type E, Type G and Type H need to be referenced (see originally adopted text). C/ 190 SC 190.1.2 P56 **L**56 SuggestedRemedy Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Change "Type F" to "Type G" and "Type G" to "Type H". Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Proposed Response Response Status O Replace "are" in "A 100BASE-T1L PHY are mandated to be capable of operating ..." by "is" (should be singular). SuggestedRemedy # 22 C/ 104 SC 104.9.3 P52 L 54 As per comment. Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X Something with the bold lines style in the table went wrong. See also the first lines on page 53. SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Correct table style.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.1.2 P57 L3 # 26 C/ 190 SC 190.3.1 P72 L1 # 29 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "ETHERNET LAYERS" should be on top of the Ethernet Layer Stack (in the middle). "PCS reset" should be "PCS Reset" with capitalized "R". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As per comment. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.2.2 P62 L38 # 27 C/ 190 SC 190.3.3.6.2 P82 L44 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The "PHY" is in between the MII and MDI (as before modifying the drawing). Thus it is Seems that the elements "+ mi.3a3 + mi.2a2" are missing and need to be added (a is suggested to move the word "PHY" from the right side to below the "PMA SERVICE equivalent to alpha, "i,3 and i,2 need to be in subscript, the 3 and 2 after alpha need to be INTERFACE" position (see original drawing). in superscript). A space should be added after the equation (before "of"). SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy As per comment. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.2.2.5.1 P65 L10 # 28 C/ 190 SC 190.3.5.2 P92 L15 # 31 Pepperl+Fuchs SE Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X "." at the end of the sentence is missing. The Infofield uses 24 6B-tuples out of 32 in the block (thus 75 % of the LL Frame are used up by the 6-tuple, nevertheless the black bar is less is only about 40 % of the width, which SuggestedRemedy might be confusing. As per comment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Width of "black marked" Infofield block should be about 75 % of LL frame duration. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.5.2 P93 L 43 # 32 C/ 190 P96 SC 190.3.5.3 L31 # 35 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "... is inverted for the second code-group in the 16th PCS partial frame." would mean, that Paragraph is written in italic, should be normal font style. this is a one time event only happening in the 16th PCS partial frame, but not, as defined SuggestedRemedy for Sdn[1] every 16th PCS partial frame. In comparison with figure 190-7, this would be the 15th, 31th, ... partial frame, as PFC counting starts with 0. As per comment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "... is inverted for the second code-group in the 16th PCS partial frame." to "... is inverted for the second code-group in every 16th (in the 15th, 31th, ...) PCS partial frame." C/ 190 SC 190.3.7.1.2 P101 **L10** Proposed Response Response Status O Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 190 SC 190.3.5.3 P96 L 17 # 33 The term "rx coded<8N + 1:8N + 9>" is exceeding the array limits of rx coded<0:8N>. Likely "rx coded<8n + 1:8n + 8> with n = 0 to 7" is meant (N is either 2 or 8, while n could Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen be a an incrementing variable, 8 \* 8 + 9 would be 65 > 64 in a 0 to 64 (65 bit) array starting Comment Type Comment Status X from 0). Training uses PAM2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "rx coded<8N + 1:8N + 9>" to "rx coded<8n + 1:8n + 8> with n = 0 to 2 (without Change "PAM3" to "PAM2". RS-FEC enabled) or n = 0 to 7 (with RS-FEC enabled)". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 190.3.5.3 SC 190.3.7.1.2 C/ 190 P96 1 26 # 34 C/ 190 P101 L13 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X "2 6-bit" should read as "two 6-bit". The generation of SXn (disparity correction sign) has been unintentionally changed from D2.0 to D2.1. This change should be converted back to the original equation (with the SugaestedRemedy changes from "\*/+" to "AND/OR" and, if intended "otherwise" to "else"). As per comment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the generation of SXn to: "SXn = -1 if ((DSn > 0 AND RDn-1 > 0) OR ((DSn = 0 OR RDn-1 = 0) AND San = 1)) / +1 else

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.7.1.3 P103 L22 # 38 C/ 190 SC 190.5.3 P123 L5 # 41 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X "8 PCS partial frames" should read as "eight PCS partial frames", see lines 12 and 17 on In figures 190-24 and 190-25 the word with typo "imepdance" needs to be written as "impedance". same page. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "8 PCS partial frames" to "eight PCS partial frames" in line 2 and line 27. Suggest As per comment. to re-add a "." at the end in lines 12. 17. 22 and 27. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.5.4.1 P123 L37 P115 C/ 190 SC 190.4.9.1.1 L46 # 39 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Referenced Figure 190-21 needs to be changed to Figure 190-23. "training frames" should be singular ("training frame"). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As per comment. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.5.4.4 P124 L32 # 43 SC 190.4.9.1.2 P116 C/ 190 L 33 # 40 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type Е Comment Status X Instead of Figure 190-23, figure 190-24 needs to be referenced. Also the references to the 11.52 ms should be written in one line without line break between number and unit. equations need to be shifted down by 1 (Equation 190-9 --> 190-8, 190-10 --> 190-9, 190-11 --> 190-10, 190-12 --> 190-11). SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy As per comment. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.5.4.4 P126 L4 # 44 C/ 190 SC 190.7.1.2 P131 **L8** # 47 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The equations for the Upper PSD mask go up to 250 MHz, Figures 190-26 and 190-27 only The decision has been made to start the link segment definition from 1 MHz instead of 0.1 plot the curves up to 200 MHz. Should be adapted to match the frequency range provided MHz. In This case the roll-off of the RL between 0.1 MHz and 0.5 MHz is no more present. in the equations. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove line with "9 + 8f" and start the 13 dB section at 1 MHz instead of 0.5 MHz. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.7.1.2 P132 L12 # 48 SC 190.5.5.3 P127 C/ 190 / 29 # 45 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "1 <= f <= 5" needs to be changed to "1 <= f < 5" as otherwise it overlaps withe the second frequency range specified below. 100BASE-T1L only supports link segments and no mixing segments. Thus, "Link or Mixing Segment" should be changed to "Link Segment" in Figure 190-28. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As per comment. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 P134 SC 190.7.2.1 L33 # 49 P127 # 46 C/ 190 SC 190.5.5.3 L 44 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "10 <= f < 60" needs to be changed to "10 <= f <= 60" (the 60 MHz as upper frequency for Should be -113 dBm/Hz instead of -11 dBm/Hz. the link segment definition should be included). See also page 136, line 7, where the limits should be changed to "2 <= f <= 60". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As per comment. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.11.3 P142 L 26 # 50 C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.3.2 P146 L46 # 53 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Type H PD or PSE reference is missing. Should read as "2.0 V +/- 5%" instead of "20 V +/- 5%". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest to change: "Clause 104 Type G PD or PSE incorporated in the MDI" to "Clause As per comment. 104 Type G or Type H PD or PSE incorporated in the MDI" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.3.2 P147 L3 C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.2.1 P143 L8 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Type H PSE or PD is missing. Numbering start with PCST3 instead of PCST1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to: "When Clause 104 Type G or Type H PSE or PD PI is included, in test modes 3 Suggest to start with PCST1. and 4 (if increased transmit level is supported), less than 25% droop with respect to the initial value at 37.5 ns after zero crossing at 100 ns after the zero crossing". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.3.2 P146 L 25 # 52 C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.3.2 P147 L25 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Test modes 9 and 10 have the RS-FEC enabled. Space between "and" and "Equation" needs to be added. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "without RS-FEC" to "with RS-FEC" and change "M" to "FEC:M" in the Status column. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.6 P149 L 20 # 56 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Е Comment Status X "short circuti" should read as "short circuit". SuggestedRemedy As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O SC 98B.4 P151 **L1** C/ 98B Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Comment Type E Comment Status X Page is empty. SuggestedRemedy Remove empty page. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 98D SC 98D.1.1 P152 L 25 # 58 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type T Comment Status X

Having modes of operation with increased transmit level and standard transmit level in a sequence can lead to issues during downshift. When downshifting from an increased transmit level to a normal transmit level, then likely, the risk for a link failure is even higher in noisy environments. Therefore it could make sense to downshift from 100BASE-T1L increased transmit level directly to 10BASE-T1L increased transmit level and from 100BASE-T1L normal transmit level directly to 10BASE-T1L normal transmit level (as likely most applications outside of intrinsic safety would use the increased transmit level for a better noise immunity).

### SuggestedRemedy

Suggest to add a note, that in many configurations it makes sense to only activate the PHYs either supporting increased transmit level or normal transmit level for downshift sequence: "Note - In many applications it is reasonable to limit the downshift/upshift sequence to either the PHYs supporting an increased transmit level or PHYs supporting a normal transmit level, as otherwise a downshift from an increased transmit level to a normal transmit level occurs, which can lead to a higher probability for a link failure in noisy environments."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 98D SC 98D.2.5 P155 L1 # 59

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The following typos need to be corrected: line 22: "link\_statusHCD] <= FAIL" needs to be changed to "link\_status[HCD] <= FAIL", line 27: "IF (ds\_fail\_count >= mr\_ds\_fail\_threshold THEN" needs to be changed to "IF (ds\_fail\_count >= mr\_ds\_fail\_threshold) THEN", line 43: an "END" is missing one line top of the next "IF". At the points where "++" is used to increment a variable, there should be no space between the variable and the "++".

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 98D SC 98D.2.5 P155 L21 # 60

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE

Comment Type T Comment Status X

In state "DS\_LINKDOWN" the first two IF instructions just (re)start the ds\_upshift\_timer. The first IF instruction stops it (if it is running), so that it is definitely stopped afterwards. The next IF instruction is then always true and starts the ds\_upshift\_timer. If this is the intended behavior, then just a "start ds\_upshift\_timer" should be enough (nevertheless, this is likely not the intended behavior). What is more likely wanted is to stop the ds\_upshift\_timer in case a link fails. So likely the right thing to do is to replace the two IF instructions at the beginning of the "DS\_LINKDOWN" state with "stop ds\_upshift\_timer". In this case, the ds\_upshift\_timer would be started in case of a link up (in "DS\_LINKUP" state), which should be the intended behavior, otherwise the timer would be running and after expiring while staying in DS\_IDLE state an upshift would be attempted, even if the link is down.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 60

Page 10 of 37 10/24/2025 9:12:07 AM

C/ 98D SC 98D.2.6 P156 L 17 # 61 C/ 98D SC 98D.3.4.1 P159 L23 # 64 Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The restart period is defined in bits 7.531.15:8. Should be "mr ds downshift attempts" instead of "mr ds downshift enabled". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change register bits 7.531.0:7 to 7.531.15:8. Change "mr ds downshift enabled" to "mr ds downshift attempts". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 98D.2.7 P157 L 17 # 62 C/ 98D SC 98D.3.4.2 P159 C/ 98D L39 Graber, Steffen Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Pepperl+Fuchs SE Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Value for 10BASE-T1L (normal transmit level) should be 19 instead of 18. "mr ds upshift supported" does not exist (combined bit for upshift/downshift supported). Thus, "UPSFT1" needs to be removed from the table. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change Value from 18 to 19. Likely also, but not sure, 32:64 in last row needs to be As per comment. changed to 0:31 (as there are just 5 bis for encoding). 0:15 in first row needs to be "-" (as there are no types within the technology category 0) and the 0:15 from the first row need to Proposed Response Response Status O be moved to a new third row with "0:15 | Reserved". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.7.1.2 P131 L8 # 66 Fischer, Peter SwissBES GmbH C/ 98D P159 **L6** SC 98D.3.3 # 63 Comment Type T Comment Status X Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE Erro in formula 190-14, the first line is obsolete, the second row has a wrong starting Comment Type Ε Comment Status X frequency, compare to figure 190-31 and the text above ...at all frequencies from 1 MHZ to Downshift is optional. Thus "\*DNSFT" cannot be mandatory and "M" needs to be changed 60 MHz. to "O" in status row. The same is the case for tables in Clauses 98D.3.4.1 and 98D.3.4.2 SugaestedRemedy where a "Yes/No" Checkbox for the support is provided, which leads to the assumption that Delete the first line of formula 190-14, correct the starting frequency on the second line all different features are optional. Thus instead of "DNSFT:M" the status "DNSFT:O" and

from 0.5 to 1.

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

instead of "UPSFT:M" the status "UPSFT:O" needs to be used.

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy
As per comment.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.4 P25 L41 # 67 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.236b.1 P33 L43 # 70 **Analog Devices** Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** Brychta, Michal Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Text states that the PHY support "an standard transmit/receive level ...". The same issue Text "For 10BASE-T1L and 100BASE-T1 ... " duplicates text starting at line 39. occurs at line 45. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove duplicate text (Keep text "For 10BASE-T1L and 100BASE-T1 [...]". 100BASE-T1L Change to "an standard" to "a standard" in two places. has its own definition following). Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.15 P26 L 19 # 68 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.75c P38 L11 Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Wrong punctuation mark ".;" at the end of the paragraph. Use of all lower-case in "infofield" is not consistent with clause 190. Note: the wrong punctuation is already present in the IEEE Std 802.3-2022, and occurs in SuggestedRemedy some other clauses of the standard and the P802.3dg 2.1 draft. Change "infofield" to "InfoField" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Remove ":" following "." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.75d.2 P38 L32 # 72 Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** P33 # 69 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.236b.1 L41 Comment Type E Comment Status X Brychta, Michal Analog Devices Spurious text "um TC receive path data delay" and Table 45-302 appears at the end of this Comment Type E Comment Status X sub-clause. The word "Standard" is missing in the clause heading. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove spurious text and table. Insert "Standard" before "transmit/receive" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.75d P39 **L6** # 73 C/ 190 SC 190.1.2 P56 L30 # 76 **Analog Devices** Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** Brychta, Michal Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Use of all lower-case in "infofield" is not consistent with clause 190. Mix of singular and plural in text "A 100BASE-T1L PHY are mandated ...". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "infofield" to "InfoField" Change text to "100BASE-T1L PHYs are mandated ...". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 45.2.7.29 P40 L 13 # 74 C/ 190 SC 190.2.2 P61 Cl 45 L31 Brychta, Michal Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** Analog Devices Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The Downshift/upshift nomenclature in register 7.529.15 is ambiguous. It is unclear if both Reference to Figure 190-16 should be to Figure 190-2. are supported (and hence, when supported both downshift and upshift are mandatory) or SuggestedRemedy only one may be supported. There is a single bit to indicate support, but two separated control register bits (7.528.15 and 7.528.14) to enable downshift and upshift. The definition Change reference. of those later R/W bits do not indicate what happens when they are written but 7.529.15 is Proposed Response Response Status O 0 (Downshift/Upshift is not supported). Moreover, Annex 98D.3.4 lists separate PICS items for Downshift supported and Upshift supported, but the register mapping for the later is not defined. C/ 190 SC 190.3.2 P72 L3 # 78 SuggestedRemedy Brychta, Michal Analog Devices The definition of the 7.529 and 7.528 needs to be clarified and made consistent with Annex 98D. Comment Type Comment Status X Ε Proposed Response Response Status O There is no "PCS Clock function" listed in clause "190.3 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS)". SuggestedRemedy Clause 190.3.2 should either be renamed or either removed and the requirements to C/ 190 SC 190.1 P55 L31 # 75 generate the TX CLK and RX CLK clocks added under Clauses 190.3.3 and 190.3.4 respectively. Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** 

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Ε

register bit 3.2297.14 to one"

Comment Status X

Response Status O

use of the capability by setting MDIO register bit 3.2297.14 to one"

Add comma after RS-FEC to improve readability of "PHYs implementing RS-FEC request

Change text to "PHYs implementing RS-FEC, request use of the capability by setting MDIO

Comment ID 78

Note that either way. PICS item PCST5 would be affected.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Cl 190 SC 190.3.3.2 P73 L 29 # 79

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In the clause heading "8N+1" is written without spaces before and after the + symbol, whereas in most cases there are spaces.

SuggestedRemedy

Globally replace "8N+1" with "8N + 1"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.3.2 P74 L41 # 80

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type T Comment Status X

May consider to change the symbol labels: S0, S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6 ... S11 ... S96N-1

to:

A0, B0, C0, D0, E0, F0, A1 ... F1 ... F16N-1

To be consistent with the nomenclature in 190.3.3.6.6 and 190.3.5.3

SuggestedRemedy

Rename the symbol labels as suggested. In addition label the last code-group as "6B or 6T symbol 16N-1"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.3.6.2 P82 L45 # 81

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Space missing in the text "... mi,0of the finite field".

SuggestedRemedy

Insert space between "mi,0" and "of ...".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.3.6.6

P88

**L1** 

# 82

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Text "A balanced code-group is generated ..." precedes the equation for SXn, but should follow it.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the text to the line after the equation for SXn. It should be before the equation for An, Bn En

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 190 SC 190.3.4 P89 L34 # 83

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text "The PCS Receive function shall conform to the PCS Receive state diagram in Figure 190–13 and Figure 190–14. When RS-FEC is enabled for the link, the PHY Receive function shall conform to the RFER Monitor state diagram of Figure 190–15." may be misleading. The PCS receive function shall conform to the state diagrams in Figures 190-13 and 190-14 in all cases, and when RS-FEC is enabled for the link, the RFER monitor process, monitors the signal quality.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text to just:

"The PCS Receive function shall conform to the PCS Receive state diagram in Figure 190–13 and Figure 190–14."

In the last paragraph of 190.3.4 (Page 90, Line 9) change the sentence starting with "When RS-FFC is enabled for the link" as shown:

"When RS-FEC is enabled for the link, the PCS receive shall perform the RS-FEC frame error ratio (RFER) monitor process, as specified in the state diagram of Figure 190-15, to monitor the reliability of the RS-FEC decoder and assert hi\_rfer to indicate an excessive RS-FEC frame error ratio".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The notation convention used in the definition of Sdn[3], where \* is used as a logical AND operator (as in the state diagrams), is inconsistent with the convention used in the definition of SXn in page 88, line 4 and in page 96, line 27, where AND and OR are used. I understand that the later is to try to avoid confusion with the preceding DSn formulas where '+' is used to denote integer addition. But, there should be no confusion from the context (the Sdn[3] and SXn definitions are combining relational operators, which always produce a Boolean true/false result). In any case, there should be consistency in how operators are used within the same clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Resolve the inconsistencies.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.5.2 P92 L14 # 85

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Code-groups are noted as "6-tuple" in Figure 190-7.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "6-tuple" to "code-group" (or "4B6B code-group") in Figure 190-7.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.5.2 P93 L10 # 86

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Reference to Figure 190-12 should be to Figure 190-10.

SuggestedRemedy

Change reference.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.5.2 P93 L13 # 87

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The text states "When the config parameter is LEADER and EEE is supported, the PHY incorporates a formatted training frame count (FTFC) [...]. When the config parameter is FOLLOWER is enabled for the link, the FOLLOWER uses the FTFC value received [...]". Which implies that the leader should send the FTFC when 3.2296.15 (EEE ability) is set, regardless of whether 3.2297.15 (EEE advertisement) is set. However, the follower would only use it EEE is \*enabled for the link\*, which would never happen if 3.2297.14 is not set in the master. This seems a bit inconsistent.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text for the leader to:

"When the config parameter is LEADER and EEE is advertised, the PHY incorporates a formatted training frame count (FTFC)."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.5.2.4 P93 L8 # 88

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Same issue as in 190.3.5.2 page 93, line 13.

The text states "When the config parameter is LEADER and EEE is supported", but it should be "and EEE is advertised". Same in line 18.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text in line 8 to:

"When the config parameter is LEADER and EEE is advertised, Octet 7<7:0> shall be set equal to the value".

Change the text in line 18 to:

"When the config parameter is FOLLOWER or EEE is not advertised, bits Octet 7<7:0> shall be set to zero."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.5.2.4 P94 L39 # 89

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In the text:

"Bit Oct10<0>, rs\_adv, is set to one when the 100BASE-T1L PHY has the ability to operate in RS-FEC mode as indicated by status register bit 3.2296.14 and the 100BASE-T1L training register bit 3.2297.14 to request RS-FEC mode of operation is also set to a one"

The reference to 3.2296.14 is unnecessary, given that 45.2.3.75c (Register 3.2297) states explicitly that "only bits representing supported abilities can be set". Same applies to eee adv.

### SuggestedRemedy

Change the text to:

"Bit Oct10<0>, rs\_adv, is set to one when the 100BASE-T1L training register bit 3.2297.14 to request RS-FEC mode of operation is set to a one. Bit Oct10<1>, eee\_adv, is set to one when the 100BASE-T1L training register bit 3.2297.15 to request EEE mode of operation is set to a one."

Or

"Bit Oct10<0>, rs\_adv, and Oct10<1>, eee\_adv, are set to the values in the 100BASE-T1L training register bits 3.2297.14 and 3.2297.15 respectively."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.5.3 P96 L26 # 90

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The equation for SXn was correct in draft 2.0 but used \* and + for logical operations. The equation should have been changed only by replacing the binary operator \* with AND, and the binary operator + with OR. However, the equation has been substantively changed in draft 2.1 and it is now incorrect.

### SuggestedRemedy

Replace the equation for SXn with the version from draft 2.0 and then replace any binary operators \* with AND, and any binary operators + with OR. Do not change the unary + operator on the +1 value in the equation as this is a standard integer operator.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 190 SC 190.3.6 P97 L41 # 91

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The first value of mod(PFC,96) in Figure 190-10 is 8, and it should be 0 (for both LEADER and FOLLOWER). Also the grey tones used to represent the sleep, alert and wake cycles is inconsistent between the LEADER and FOLLOWER.

### SuggestedRemedy

Change the first value of mod(PFC,96) in Figure 190-10 from "8" to "0" for both the LEADER and FOLLOWER.

Use the same grey tones to represent the sleep, alert and wake cycles in both the LEADER and FOLLOWER.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 190 SC 190.3.7.1.2 P101 L10 # 92

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The text "The boolean value of rx\_char is extracted from rx\_coded<0>, the 8-bit numerical value of rx\_char is extracted from rx\_coded<8N+1:8N+9>." is incorrect.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change the text to:

"For each of the N characters, the Boolean value of rx\_char is extracted from rx\_coded<0> and the 8-bit numerical value is extracted from rx\_coded<8n+1:8n+8>, for n = 0 to N-1."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.7.1.2 P101 L23 # 93

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In the text "the tx\_mii array indicate either Normal Inter-Frame or Assert remote fault", Inter-Frame should not be capitalized, since tx\_mii refers to the MII transfers, and in Table 22-1 the indication is named "Normal inter-frame"

### SuggestedRemedy

Replace "Normal Inter-Frame" with "Normal inter-frame"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.7.1.2 P101 L38 # 94

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text "... set by the PCS Receive function ..." is incorrect as the variable eee\_low\_snr is set by the PMA receive function. There may have been confusion due to the fact that the next variable on the list, rem\_eee\_low\_snr, is set by the PCS receive function.

SuggestedRemedy

Change text to "... set by the PMA Receive function ..."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.7.1.2 P101 L52 # 95

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text for rx\_lpi\_sleep "Boolean variable that is set TRUE when the last 32 rx\_char values received are /Ll/ and EEE is supported and enabled. It is set FALSE otherwise." For consistency with other clauses, it should better say "[...] and EEE is enabled for the link" (Which implies it is supported and advertised by both link partners).

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text to:

"Boolean variable that is set TRUE when the last 32 rx\_char values received are /Ll/ and EEE is enabled for the link. It is set FALSE otherwise."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.7.1.3 P103 L12 # 96

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Ending paragraph period missing for all Duration statements in this clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Add ending periods.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 190 SC 190.4.1 P111 L9 # 97

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text states "See 190.3.5 for information about training time. However, in conditions of high noise, more than one attempt may be required to establish a valid link.". The last sentence is out of context and it is included verbatim in the reference (190.3.5).

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the last sentence. "However, in conditions of high noise, more than one attempt may be required to establish a valid link."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.4.9.1.1 P114 L22 # 98

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text should refer to the config parameter

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text to:

"Variable set by the PHY Control function and communicated through the config parameter of the PMA CONFIG.indication primitive. See 190.2.2.1."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.4.9.1.1 P114 L25 # 99

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text wrongly refers to the config parameter instead of the link control parameter

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text to:

"Variable set by the Auto-Negotiation function and communicated through the link\_control parameter of the PMA\_LINK.request primitive. See 190.2.1.1."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 190 SC 190.4.9.1.1 P114 L32 # 100

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

loc\_phy\_ready not defined as Boolean. Same applies to ready\_to\_transmit (page 115, line 4), rx\_lpi\_active (page 115, line 26), timing\_locked (page 115, line 28), tx\_info\_countdown\_done (page 115, line 40), tx\_info\_frame\_end (page 115, line 48) and lpi\_refresh\_detect (page 116, line 3)

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "Variable" with "Boolean variable" for the mentioned variables.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 190 SC 190.4.9.1.1 P114 L52 # 101

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text states: "The pma\_refresh\_status variable is set to OK when LPI is not supported.". Although that is correct, it may be more consistent with other clauses to say that "is set to OK when EFF is not enabled for the link"

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text to:

"The pma refresh status variable is set to OK when EEE is not enabled for the link."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.4.9.1.2 P116 L11 # 102

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text states that "All timers operate in the manner described in 14.2.3.2". However, 190.1.5.1 State diagram notation, defined that "State diagram timer follow the conventions of 40.4.5.2". Although there is no difference for Clause 190, since stop timer is not used in any state diagram, the convention for the timers should be unified.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the text "All timers operate in the manner described in 14.2.3.2".

In addition, and optionally, 14.2.3.2 could be referenced in 190.1.5.1 (page 59, line 9) instead of 40.4.5.2.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.4.9.2 P117

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Ε

The PHY Control state diagram (parts a, b and c) do not use the same labelling conventions in the PCS Receive state diagram (parts a and b), defined in 145.2.5.2.

Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

The state diagrams in the same clause should be consistent.

Resolve the inconsistencies by either adding the labels as per 145.2.5.2 in the PHY control state diagram, or removing them in the PCS Receive state diagram, and defining a new convention/exception to 145.2.5.2 in 190.1.5.1 (page 59, line 9).

L45

# 103

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.5.4.4 P124 L30 # 104

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Simulated TX power spectral density (PSD) data indicates that a PSD that is centered between the limit curves has total TX power close to the lower limit currently specified.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "1.0 +/- 1.2 dBm" to "0.0 +/- 1.2 dBm". Also at row 31 change "7.0 +/- 1.2 dBm" to "6.0 +/- 1.2 dBm".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.2.3 P144 L38 # 105

Brychta, Michal Analog Devices

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

TRNG7 status is !EEE:M arguably should be M

SuggestedRemedy

Change status to M

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.2.4 P145 L 13 # 106 C/ 190 P149 L43 # 110 SC 190.11.4.8 **Analog Devices** Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** Brychta, Michal Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X LPIS3 feature is "Refresh signa" (missing "I") ES3 feature is "Receive path delay with RS-FEC enabled" but it should be "Sum of transmit and received data delays". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "Refresh signal" Change feature to "Sum of transmit and receive path delays with RS-FEC enabled for the Proposed Response Response Status O link" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.3.1 P145 L 51 # 107 Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** SC 98B.4 P150 C/ 98B L35 # 111 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** PMAF9 Value/Comment includes Figure 190-20 and 190-21, which do not correspond to Comment Type Comment Status X the PHY control. There should be separate PICS items for those. There is typo ("transit") in the text "100BASE-T1L increased transit/receive level" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add PICS items for Figure 190-20 (Link Monitor) and Figure 190-21 (EEE Refresh Monitor) Change the text to: "100BASE-T1L increased transmit/receive level" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.5 P149 L 20 # 108 C/ 98D SC 98D.2.2 P153 **L8** # 112 Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type MDI5 feature is "Operation after short circuti" mr ds downshift supported is not used anywhere in this Annex, and it is not required. See SuggestedRemedy also comment for 45.2.7.29 (page 40, line 13). Change to "Operation after short circuit" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Remove mr ds downshift supported. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.8 P149 L38 # 109 Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** Comment Type Ε Comment Status X ES1 status is M. it should be !FEC:M

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change Status to !FEC:M

Response Status O

Comment ID 112

Page 19 of 37 10/24/2025 9:12:08 AM

C/ 98D SC 98D.2.3 P153 L 40 # 113

Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** 

Comment Type Е Comment Status X

The text states that "All timers operate in the manner described in 14.2.3.2 with the addition that x timer running is asserted while a timer is running." However in 98D.2.1 says that "State diagram timers follow the conventions of 40.4.5.2.". The later is the correct one, since stop is used in the state diagrams and it is not defined in 14.2.3.2. Besides, it is not a good idea to have to different timer conventions defined in the same Annex.

### SuggestedRemedy

Remove the paragraph in page 153 line 40.

Change the following sentence in page 152. line 38:

"State diagram timers follow the conventions of 40.4.5.2"

"State diagram timers follow the conventions of 40.4.5.2, with the addition that

x timer running is asserted while a timer is running."

Note that "while a timer is running" may need a description more consistent with the definitions in 14.2.3.2 (included in 40.4.5.2 by reference).

Proposed Response Response Status O

# 114 C/ 98D SC 98D.2.7 P157 L1

Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** 

Comment Type Comment Status X

The text states that "The enumerations are 8 bits, comprised of a 3 bit technology category (see Table 98B-1) and a 5 bit type within technology category." The 5 bit "type" may not enough. The following Table is confusing (wrong?) since it is showing values from 0 to 64. but with just 5 bits, they should be from 0 to 31 (for each category).

If 5 bits are not enough, each of the entries currently defined in registers 7.536 and 7.537 would require more bits. With 15 bits/entry, all possible values would be covered (3bits/category + 12 technology bits/category)

SuggestedRemedy

Fix/clarify.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 98D SC 98D.3.4.2 P159

L39

# 115

Brychta, Michal **Analog Devices** 

Comment Type т Comment Status X

UPSFT1 Feature and Value/Comment are not defined. See also comment for 45.2.7.29 (page 40. line 13).

#### SuggestedRemedy

Fix (or remove) this item.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.2.2 P61 L32 # 116

Opsasnick, Eugene

Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The last cross-reference in the last paragraph on this page is to Figure 190-16, but the text of this paragraph is refering to Figure 190-2. The cross-reference to Fig. 190-16 should be changed to Fig. 190-2 or just removed since the first sentence already has a crossreference Fig. 190-2.

### SuggestedRemedy

Change the second sentence of the last paragraph on page 61 from:

"Connections from the management interface (signals MDC and MDIO) to the sublayers are pervasive and are not shown in Figure 190-16."

To either

"Connections from the management interface (signals MDC and MDIO) to the sublayers are pervasive and are not shown in Figure 190-2."

Or:

"Connections from the management interface (signals MDC and MDIO) to the sublayers are pervasive and are not shown."

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1

P25 Cisco

**L1** 

# 117

Jones, Peter Comment Type

TR

Comment Status X

Missing changes to 30.2.2.1, Figure 30-3 and Table 30-1e—Capabilities as shown in jones 3dg september 2025 02.pdf pages 18 and 19

SuggestedRemedy

Make changes shown in jones 3dg d2p1 misc changes 0.pdf pages 3,4

Proposed Response

Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 117

Page 20 of 37 10/24/2025 9:12:08 AM

C/ 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5 P26 L43 # 118 C/ 30 P28 L18 # 121 SC 30.6.2.1.6 Jones, Peter Cisco Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type т Comment Status X I think that the new entry for 100BASE-T1L2V should be named for increased transmit level Missing units for aAutoNegDownshiftRestartPeriod rather that the specific voltage since that's what we use though much of the document. SuggestedRemedy e.g., Table 45-198b Replace SuggestedRemedy "The period used" Replace With "100BASE-T1L2V" "The period in seconds used" With Proposed Response Response Status O "100BASE-T1ITL" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.6.2.1.7 P28 L28 # 122 Jones. Peter Cisco # 119 C/ 30 SC 30.6.2.1.2 P27 L 19 Comment Type T Comment Status X Jones. Peter Cisco Missing units for aAutoNegUpshiftPeriod TR Comment Status X Comment Type SuggestedRemedy The Downshift/Upshift control attributes do not follow the style used in 802.3. For example, aAutoNegDownshiftControl should be split into aAutoNegDownshiftControl (attribute) and Replace aAutoNegDownshiftControl (action) "The period used" With SugaestedRemedy "The period in seconds used" Make changes shown in jones\_3dg\_d2p1\_misc\_changes\_0.pdf page(s) 5 ,6,7 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.6.3.1.1 P29 L29 # 123 C/ 30 SC 30.6.2.1.5 P28 **L8** # 120 Jones, Peter Cisco Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Cross reference is wrong Missing units for aAutoNegDownshiftPeriod SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace Replace "100BASE-T1L (Clause 146)" "The period used" With "100BASE-T1L (Clause 190)" "The period in seconds used" Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Comment ID 123

Page 21 of 37 10/24/2025 9:12:08 AM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.236b.1 P33 L41 # 124 Cl 45 P40 L37 # 127 SC 45.2.7.30 Jones, Peter Cisco Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Capitalization Typo, missing "the". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace Replace "transmit/receive level ability" "The number of link failures used when evaluating downshift trigger" With "Transmit/receive level ability" "The number of link failures used when evaluating the downshift trigger" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.29 P40 L13 # 125 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.33 P42 L11 # 128 Jones. Peter Cisco Jones. Peter Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The Downshift/Upshift control attributes do not follow the style used in 802.3. For example, Missing "since Auto-Negotiation was enabled" aAutoNegDownshiftControl should be split into aAutoNegDownshiftControl (attribute) and SuggestedRemedy aAutoNegDownshiftControl (action) Replace SuggestedRemedy "The number of downshift attempts on the interface" Make changes shown in jones 3dg d2p1 misc changes.pdf page(s) 7 ""The number of downshift attempts on the interface since Auto-Negotiation was enabled" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.29.1 P40 L21 # 126 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.34 P42 # 129 L31 Jones, Peter Cisco Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The title of 45.2.7.29.1 is wrong Missing "since Auto-Negotiation was enabled" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "Downshift enabled (7.529.15)" Replace "The number of downshift restarts on the interface" "Downshift/Upshift Supported(7.529.15)" ""The number of downshift restarts on the interface since Auto-Negotiation was enabled" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.35 P43 L11 # 130 C/ 190 SC 190.1 P55 L10 # 133 Jones, Peter Cisco Cisco Jones, Peter Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Improve language Improve text clarity. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace Replace "The number of Upshift attempts since Auto-Negotiation was enabled on the interface" "This clause defines the type 100BASE-T1L Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) and type 100BASE-T1L Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer." ""The number of Upshift attempts on the interface since Auto-Negotiation was enabled" "This clause defines the 100BASE-T1L Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) and the 100BASE-Proposed Response Response Status O T1L Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer. Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.2.1 P47 L 10 # 131 Jones. Peter Cisco C/ 190 SC 190.1 P55 L15 # 134 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Jones, Peter Cisco This paragraph is very hard to read. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Why do we need to say that 100BASE-T1L is "one of the 100 Mb/s Ethernet family of full-Make changes shown in jones 3dg d2p1 misc changes 0.pdf page(s) 10 duplex PHY specifications"? SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace "The 100BASE-T1L PHY is one of the 100 Mb/s Ethernet family of full-duplex PHY specifications, capable of operating at 100 Mb/s." C/ 104 SC 104.1.3 P49 L10 # 132 Jones. Peter Cisco "The 100BASE -T1L PHY is a full-duplex PHY specification, capable of operating at 100 Mb/s. Ε Comment Type Comment Status X Proposed Response The paragraph starting with 104.1.3 is almost unreadable Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Make changes shown in jones 3dg d2p1 misc changes 0.pdf page(s) 9

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.1 P55 L28 # 135

Jones, Peter Cisco

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Simplify text so it's like EEE and doesn't overlap with what's in 190.1.2

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the 4th paragraph of 190.1 which starts with "This clause specifies an optional Reed-Solomon" with

"This clause specifies an optional Reed-Solomon forward error correction (RS-FEC) capability(190.1.2, 190.3.3, 190.3.4). When this capability is active, the PHY adds RS-FEC parity bits to the transmitted data to offer enhanced burst noise protection with increased latency."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.1.2 P56 L24 # 136

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Improve text clarity.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace

"Auto-Negotiation, as specified in Clause 98, is supported and mandated by 100BASE-T1L devices. Auto-Negotiation is used to advertise capabilities to the link partner, to detect the capabilities advertised by the link partner, to determine common capabilities, and to configure for normal operation. Auto-Negotiation is used to configure the 100BASE-T1L PHY as LEADER or as FOLLOWER and to configure the 100BASE-T1L PHY transmit voltage level."

with

"Auto-Negotiation, as specified in Clause 98, is required for 100BASE-T1L devices. Auto-Negotiation is used to advertise capabilities to the link partner, detect the capabilities advertised by the link partner, determine common capabilities, and configure PHY parameters (e.g., LEADER/FOLLOWER, transmit voltage level)"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.1.2

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Improve text clarity.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace

"When RS-FEC is enabled, the two PHYs sharing a link segment add RS-FEC parity octets to each transmitted PCS frame to offer enhanced burst noise protection. RS-FEC results in a significant increase in latency."

P56

L40

# 137

with

"When RS-FEC is enabled, the two PHYs sharing a link segment add RS-FEC parity octets to each transmitted PCS frame. This provides enhanced burst noise protection but results in a significant increase in latency."

Proposed Response

Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 137

Page 24 of 37 10/24/2025 9:12:08 AM

Cl 190 SC 190.1.2.3 P58 L12 # 138

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Improve text clarity.

## SuggestedRemedy

### Replace

"A 100BASE-T1L PHY optionally supports the EEE capability, as described in 78.3. The EEE capability is a mechanism by which a 100BASE-T1L PHY adapts signaling during periods of low link utilization to provide opportunities for reduced power consumption. A PHY can enter the LPI mode of operation after completing training. Each direction of the full duplex link is able to enter and exit the LPI mode independently, supporting symmetric and asymmetric LPI operation. This allows power savings when only one side of the full duplex link is in a period of low utilization. The transition to or from LPI mode is not expected to cause any MAC frames to be lost or corrupted. Support for the EEE capabilities is advertised in the InfoField during link startup (see 190.3.5.2.4). Transitions to and from the LPI transmit mode are controlled via MII signaling. Transitions to and from the LPI receive mode are controlled by the link partner using sleep and wake signaling."

"A 100BASE-T1L PHY may optionally support the EEE capability (78.3). This is mechanism by which a PHY adapts signaling during periods of low link utilization to provide reduced power consumption. A PHY can enter the LPI mode of operation after completing training. Each direction of the full duplex link can enter and exit the LPI mode independently, supporting symmetric and asymmetric LPI operation. Asymmetric LPI operation allows power savings when only one side of the full duplex link is in a period of low utilization. The transition to or from LPI mode is not expected to cause any MAC frames to be lost or corrupted. Support for EEE is advertised in the InfoField during link startup (see 190.3.5.2.4). Transitions to and from transmit LPI operation are controlled via MII signaling. Transitions to and from receive LPI operation are controlled by the link partner using sleep and wake signaling."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 190 SC 190.3 P71 L5 # 139

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Improve text consistency.

#### SuggestedRemedy

### Replace

"The PCS sublayer comprises one PCS Reset function and two simultaneous and asynchronous operating functions. "
with

"The PCS sublayer comprises a reset function and two simultaneous and asynchronous operating functions."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.4 P110 L7 # 140

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Improve text consistency.

#### SuggestedRemedy

### Replace

"The PMA sublayer comprises one PMA Reset function and five simultaneous and asynchronous operating functions." with

"The PMA sublayer comprises a reset function and five simultaneous and asynchronous operating functions."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.6.1 P128 L32 # 141

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Add Auto-Negotiation speed selection to the list.

#### SuggestedRemedy

insert the following text after item a) in the list

a1) Selecting the Auto-Negotiation speed mode (LSM vs HSM).

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 98D SC 98D.1 P152 L16 # 142

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Improve language

SuggestedRemedy

Replace

"Downshift/upshift uses an independent downshift/upshift sequence to that defined in 98B.4."

With

"Downshift/upshift uses an independent downshift/upshift sequence to the sequence defined in 98B.4."

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 98D SC 98D.1 P152 L16 # 143

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Improve text clarity.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace

"Downshift/Upshift uses independent PHY prioritization for Downshift/Upshift to that defined in 98B.4. The 98B.4 list would include transitions that don't make sense (e.g., 100BASE-T1 to 10BASE-T1S)"

With

"Downshift/Upshift uses independent link setting prioritization (e.g., PHY type, Increased Transmit Level ) to that defined in 98B.4. 98B.4 specifies the priority ordering for autonegotiation. This priority ordering is not applicable to Downshift/Upshift for several reasons including:

- 1)It includes transitions that don't make sense (e.g., 5GBASE-T1 to 10BASE-T1S).
- 2)There may be other attributes we want to consider when defining the Downshift/Upshift link setting sequence in the future, e.g., RS-FEC

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 98D SC 98D.2.2 P153 L9

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The variable "mr\_ds\_downshift\_enabled" needs to be renamed as it now indicates support for both downshift and upshift

# 144

SuggestedRemedy

Replace

"mr ds downshift supported"

With

"mr ds supported"

Make some change throughout document including at least the following page/line numbers:

156/13, 159/18

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 98D SC 98D.2.3 P153 L40 # 145

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The first paragraph of 98D.2.3 does not include the following bullet that was in jones\_3dg\_september\_2025\_02.pdf referred to in the resolution of D2.9 comment #255: "A timer is reset and stops counting upon entering a state where "stop timer" is asserted.

Clause 14 does not use a "stop x timer" function.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the bullet text back into the paragraph.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 98D SC 98D.2.5 P155 L1 # 146

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Figure 98D–1—Downshift state diagram has a basic flaw (which was in my contributions to D2.0). The state diagram continuously transitions.

SuggestedRemedy

Adopt the following changes shown in jones 3dg downshift fix 101525.pdf:

- 1 add the following variables to 98D.2.2 as shown on page 2: link\_status\_change, restart timer change, transmit disable change, upshift timer change.
- 2 replace Figure 98D–1—Downshift state diagram with that shown in page 3.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 98D SC 98D.2.5 P155 L23 # 147 C/ 98D P157 L19 SC 98D.2.8 # 150 Cisco Cisco Jones, Peter Jones, Peter Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X DS LINKDOWN logic line 4 & 5 refer to ds upshift timer instead of ds restart timer. The description for values 20:31 should be Reserved See jones 3dg september 2025 02.pdf page 10 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace Change DS LINKDOWN logic lines 4 & 5 from "Increased transmit level" IF (!ds upshift timer running) THEN With start ds upshift timer "Reserved" to Proposed Response Response Status O IF (!ds restart timer running) THEN start ds restart timer Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 98D SC 98D.3.4.1 P159 L19 # 151 Jones. Peter Cisco C/ 98D SC 98D.2.6 P156 L8 # 148 Comment Type TR Comment Status X There is a single "supported" variable for both downshift and upshift. Jones, Peter Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The Downshift/Upshift control attributes do not follow the style used in 802.3. For example, Replace aAutoNegDownshiftControl should be split into aAutoNegDownshiftControl (attribute) and "Downshift supported" aAutoNegDownshiftControl (action) "Downshift/Upshift supported" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Make changes shown in jones 3dg d2p1 misc changes 0.pdf page(s) 8 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 98D SC 98D.3.4.1 P159 L23 # 152 Jones, Peter Cisco C/ 98D SC 98D.2.6 P156 L17 # 149 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Jones, Peter Cisco The "Value/Comment" for DNSFT3 Downshift attempts should be Comment Type E Comment Status X mr ds downshift attempts Typo in bit numbering for mr ds period restart SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "mr ds downshift enabled" Replace "7.531.0:7" With With "mr ds downshift attempts" "7.531.7:0" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 152

Page 27 of 37 10/24/2025 9:12:08 AM

Cl 98D SC 98D.3.4.2 P159 L39 # 153

Jones, Peter Cisco

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

There is a single "supported" variable for both downshift and upshift, so "UPSFT1 Upshift supported" is not required.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove UPSFT1 row and renumber

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.5.2 P120 L48 # 154

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APLgp,CSCO,Infineon,Onsmi,S

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The nomenclature on transmit modes is mixed. Here we call the transmit modes "1.0 Vpp transmit level" and "2.0 Vpp transmit level" - elsewhere they are referred to as standard transmit level and increased transmit level

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest substitute "increased transmit level" for "2.0 Vpp transmit level" globally, and "standard transmit level" for "1.0 Vpp transmit level" globally.

See file zimmerman 3dg 01 txlev 10202025.pdf for references.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.3.2 P146 L45 # 155

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APLgp,CSCO,Infineon,Onsmi,S

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Typo. 20 V should be 2.0 V

SuggestedRemedy change 20 V to 2.0 V

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 190 SC 190.7.2 P134 L5 # 156

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APLgp,CSCO,Infineon,Onsmi,S

Comment Type E Comment Status X

MDANEXT and MDAFEXT aren't specified, PSANEXT and PSAACRF are... while related, the text in 190.7.2 editorially doesn't really say what we do. There also isn't a lot of value in repeating the detail of the specification that comes in the subsequent sections.

SuggestedRemedy

replace "multiple disturber alien near-end crosstalk (MDANEXT) loss and multiple disturber alien far-end crosstalk (MDAFEXT) are specified." with "alien crosstalk losses from multiple disturbing sources are specified according to their power sums."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.7.2.1 P134 L20 # 157

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APLgp,CSCO,Infineon,Onsmi,S

Comment Type E Comment Status X

there is no reason for the subscript "N" for the disturbed link segment, as there is only one. It also adds confusion because "N" is a parameter in the equation for the PSANEXT limit.

SuggestedRemedy

delete the subscript "N" in equation 190-17, for PSANEXT\_N(f) and AN(f)\_{j,N} also delete the subscript "N" on AN(f)\_{j,N} in line 23, and

change "segment N." to "segment." at the end of the sentence (P134 L25)

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.7.2.2 P135 L 25 # 158 CME Consulting/ADI,APLqp,CSCO,Infineon,Onsmi,S

Zimmerman, George Comment Type T Comment Status X

The text says pair-to-pair alien fext (AFEXT) is specified, but what is actually specified is the PSAACRF.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Replace "In order to limit the alien crosstalk at the far-end of a 100BASE-T1L link segment, the differential pair-to-pair alien far-end crosstalk (FEXT) loss between the disturbed 100BASE-T1L link segment and other disturbing 100BASE-T1L link segments is specified to meet the bit error ratio objective. To ensure that the total alien FEXT coupled into a 100BASE-T1L link segment is limited, multiple disturber AFEXT is specified as the power sum of the individual alien FEXT disturbers." with

"In order to limit the alien crosstalk at the far-end of a 100BASE-T1L link segment, the differential pair-to-pair alien far-end crosstalk (FEXT) loss between the disturbed 100BASE-T1L link segment and other disturbing 100BASE-T1L link segments is specified to meet the bit error ratio objective. To ensure that the total alien FEXT coupled into a 100BASE-T1L link segment is limited, the crosstalk loss is specified as the power sum of the ratio of the crosstalk losses to the insertion loss of the disturbed link segment (PSAACRF) for the individual disturbers."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.7.2.2 P135 L37 # 159

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APLgp,CSCO,Infineon,Onsmi,S

Comment Type E Comment Status X

there is no reason for the subscript "N" for the disturbed link segment, as there is only one. It also adds confusion because "N" is a parameter in the equation for the PSAACRF limit.

### SuggestedRemedy

delete the subscript "N" in equation 190-19, for PSAACRF N(f) and AACRF(f) {j,N} also delete the subscript "N" on AACRF(f) {i,N} in line 40, and change "segment N." to "segment." at the end of the sentence (P135 L42)

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 P137 L14 SC 190.8..2.1 # 160 CME Consulting/ADI, APLqp, CSCO, Infineon, Onsmi, S

Zimmerman, George

Comment Type T Comment Status X

It is clear that the return loss specification here applies only for MDIs that are not also clause 104 Pis. The text should say that. In the absence of additional work, the suggested RL limit for a powered system given on slide 5 of graber 3dg 01 09092025.pdf will suffice.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add "For MDIs that are not also Clause 104 PIs," to the beginning of the first sentence of 190.8.2.1 (and change the sentence start, "The", into lower case "the")

Add new paragraph after Figure 190-36 at the end of 190.8.2.1:

"For MDIs that are also Clause 104 PIs, the differential impedance at the MDI for each transmit/receive channel shall be such that any reflection (due to differential signals incident upon the MDI with a test port having a differential impedance of 100  $\sqcap$ ) is attenuated relative to the incident signal per Equation (190-22)."

insert new equation 190-22, from slide 5 of graber 3dg 01 09092025.pdf, and then Equation (190-22) is plotted in Figure 190-3, which is provided for information only. insert plot of equation 190-22, with caption, "Figure 190-37-Return loss calculated using Equation (190-22)"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.5.5.3 P127 L 22 C/ 30 P27 # 161 SC 30.6.2.1.3 L32 # 163 CME Consulting/ADI,APLqp,CSCO,Infineon,Onsmi,S Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** Zimmerman, George Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X The -113 dBm/Hz alien crosstalk level was calculated at an early stage without a finalized Incorrect aAutoNegUpshiftControl type. PSD model. It also doesn't include the standard (1Vpp) transmitter level. Refinements SuggestedRemedy show that in the limiting case of mixed 10BASE-T1L and 100BASE-T1L crosstalk, the level Change "INTEGER" to "BOOLEAN" should be -115 dBm/Hz (increased transmit levels) or -121 dBm/Hz. If only 100BASE-T1L crosstalk is considered, the levels should be -120 and -126 dBm/Hz. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Replace: "The test is performed with a noise source such that noise with a Gaussian distribution, bandwidth of 100 MHz, and magnitude of -113 dBm/Hz is present at the MDI. SC 30.6.2.1.4 P27 C/ 30 L45 # 164 The receive DUT is connected to this noise source through a resistive network, as shown in Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Figure 190–28, with a link segment as defined in 190.7." with "The test is performed with a noise source such that the noise with a Gaussian Comment Type Е Comment Status X distribution, bandwidth of 100 MHz, flat within +/- 2dB is present at the MDI. The Behaviour of aAutoNegDownshiftThreshold needs a reference for consistency. magnitude of the noise source and the link segment used are shown in Table 190-xx. The receive DUT is connected to this noise source through a resistive network, as shown in SuggestedRemedy Figure 190-28." Add "(see 45.2.7.30.2)" before ".;" Insert Table 190-xx with the following: Transmit Level | Link Segment insertion loss | Nominal noise PSD level Proposed Response Response Status O Standard | Equation 190-13 | -121 dBm/Hz Increased | Equation 190-12 | -115 dBm/Hz Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.6.2.1.5 P28 L9 # 165 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 30 SC 30.6.2.1.3 P27 L 35 # 162 Behaviour of aAutoNegDownshiftPeriod needs a reference for consistency. Brandt. David Rockwell Automation SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Add "(see 45.2.7.30.1)" before ".:" Behaviour of aAutoNegUpshiftControl needs a reference for consistency. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change: "This action is used to enable or disable upshift.;"

To: "This action is used to enable or disable upshift (see 45.2.7.28.2).;

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**Behaviour of aAutoNegDownshiftRestartPeriod needs a reference for consistency.

P28

Rockwell Automation

SuggestedRemedy

Brandt, David

Add "(see 45.2.7.31.1)" before ".;"

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 166

L19

Page 30 of 37 10/24/2025 9:12:09 AM

# 166

C/ 30 SC 30.6.2.1.7 P28 L 29 # 167 C/ 45 P32 # 171 SC 45.2.1.236a.2 L46 Brandt, David Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation Rockwell Automation** Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X Behaviour of aAutoNegUpshiftPeriod needs a reference for consistency. Transmit disable does not have a default. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "(see 45.2.7.32.1)" before ".;" Add to end of paragraph: "The default value of bit 1.2300.14 is zero." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.6.2.1.8 P28 # 168 L38 C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.3.2 P146 L46 # 172 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Behaviour of aAutoNegDownshiftAttempts needs a reference for consistency. Voltage 20 V should be 2.0 V. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "(see 45.2.7.33.1)" before ".;" Change "20 V" to "2.0 V" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.6.2.1.9 P28 L47 # 169 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.236b.1 P33 L41 # 173 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Behaviour of aAutoNegDownshiftRestarts needs a reference for consistency. Title is incomplete. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "(see 45.2.7.34.1)" before ".;" Change "transmit/receive" to "Standard transmit/receive" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O # 170 C/ 30 SC 30.6.2.1.10 P29 **L8** Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Behaviour of aAutoNegUpshiftAttempts needs a reference for consistency.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Add "(see 45.2.7.35.1)" before ".;"

Response Status O

Comment ID 173

Page 31 of 37 10/24/2025 9:12:09 AM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.236b.1 P33 L 43 # 174 C/ 190 P142 # 177 SC 190.11.3 L21 Brandt, David Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation Rockwell Automation** Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X Grammar issue. Non-symmetrical options with regard to standard and increased transmit levels. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "an standard transmit/receive" to "the standard transmit/receive" in the first and Change Status "O" to "O.1". last line of paragraph. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 190.11.4.3.2 P146 C/ 190 L11 # 178 C/ 190 SC 190.5.2 P121 L13 # 175 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Rockwell Automation Brandt, David Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X Non-symmetrical options with regard to standard and increased transmit levels. Non-symmetrical description with regard to standard and increased transmit levels. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert "PMAE2, Odd numbered test modes, 190.5.2, Transmit 1.0 Vpp if standard transmoit Add at paragraph start: "If standard transmit level (i.e., 1.0 Vpp mode) is supported, the level is supported, STL:M, Yes[]", and renumber subsequent PMAE\*. PHY shall transmit at 1.0 Vpp transmit Proposed Response Response Status O level in odd-numbered test modes. If standard transmit level is not supported, odd numbered test modes are undefined." C/ 190 SC 190.11.4.3.2 P146 L42 # 179 Proposed Response Response Status O Brandt, David Rockwell Automation Comment Type T Comment Status X P142 C/ 190 SC 190.11.3 L 21 # 176 Non-symmetrical options with regard to standard and increased transmit levels. Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X Т Change PMAE13 Status from: "!ITL:M" to "STL:M" Non-symmetrical options with regard to standard and increased transmit levels. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Insert "\*STL, 1.0 Vpp operating mode", 190.5.4.1, Standard transmit mode, O.1, Yes[] No[]"

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 190 P147 L15 # 180 SC 190.11.4.3.2

Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** 

Comment Status X Non-symmetrical options with regard to standard and increased transmit levels.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change PMAE18 Status from: "!ITL:M" to "STL:M" and add to end of Value/Comment "in standard transmit level mode".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Т

C/ 190 SC 190.5.4.1 P123 L38 # 181

Brandt, David Rockwell Automation

Comment Type Ε Comment Status X

Non-symmetrical options with regard to standard and increased transmit levels.

SuggestedRemedy

Change start of paragraph from "If 2.0 Vpp mode is supported, when tested..." to "When tested...".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.5.1 P120 L33 # 182

Potterf, Jason Cisco

Comment Status X Comment Type Т

Clause 190 has no isolation requirements. Clause 40.6.1.1 Electrical isolation provides precedence and useful language to address this.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following text as a new section before Section 190.5.1

190.5.1 Electrical Isolation

A PHY with a MDI that is a PI (see 104.1.2) shall meet the isolation requirements defined in 104.6.1.

A PHY with a MDI that is not a PI shall provide electrical isolation between the port device

including frame ground (if any) and all MDI leads. This electrical isolation shall meet the isolation

requirements as specified in J.1.2.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.3.3.6.2 P82

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

mi,0 is the first bit transmitted from each message symbol. It is not necessarily the first bit transmitted in each codeword.

L44

# 183

SuggestedRemedy

Insert "Within each message symbol" ahead of "mi,0 is the first bit transmitted".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.3.6.2 P83 L7 # 184

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

pi,0 is the first bit transmitted from each message symbol. It is not the first bit transmitted in each codeword.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert "Within each parity symbol" ahead of "pi,0 is the first bit transmitted".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 190 SC 190.1 P55 L # 185

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

TR

The overview should be brief but precise. Including MDIO mapping at this point would be best to be avoided. While the transmission latency is larger the overall latency may or may not be increased.

Comment Status X

## SuggestedRemedy

### Change:

Comment Type

This clause specifies an optional Reed-Solomon forward error correction (RS-FEC) capability. RS-FEC PHY capability is indicated using MDIO register bit 3.2296.14 or equivalent means if MDIO is not implemented. The request to use the RS-FEC capability is negotiated during startup. PHYs implementing RS-FEC request use of the capability by setting MDIO register bit 3.2297.14 to one. A 100BASE-T1L PHY that supports this capability may add RS-FEC parity bits to the transmitted data to offer enhanced burst noise protection at the expense of increased latency.

#### To:

This clause specifies an optional Reed-Solomon forward error correction (RS-FEC) mode of operation. RS-FEC mode of operation is optional to implement and its use over a link segment is negotiated during startup. A 100BASE-T1L PHY operating in RS-FEC mode adds RS-FEC parity bits to the transmitted data to offer enhanced burst noise protection. The size of the PCS frame is larger when in RS-FEC mode of operation (see 190.3.3.1).

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 190 SC 190.1.2 P56 L40 # 186

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Adding a reference to where the negotiation takes place is useful. Additionally the amount of latency increase being significant is a relative term that some may view as insignificant in the overall scheme of things.

### SuggestedRemedy

### Change:

Support for RS-FEC is optional. RS-FEC is enabled only if both PHYs advertise it. When RS-FEC is enabled, the two PHYs sharing a link segment add RS-FEC parity octets to each transmitted PCS frame to offer enhanced burst noise protection. RS-FEC results in a significant increase in latency.

To:

Support for RS-FEC is optional and is enabled only when both PHYs request its use (see 190.3.5.2.4). When RS-FEC is enabled, the two PHYs sharing a link segment add RS-FEC parity octets to each transmitted PCS frame to provide enhanced burst noise protection. Operating in RS-FEC mode typically results in an increase in PHY latency.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.1.2 P56 L9 # 187

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

You add one extra bit of data to each PCS frame, not to each 16B/17B block. And the 15 in front of the 16B looks strange.

### SuggestedRemedy

Change: "One auxiliary bit is added to every 15 16B/17B blocks to create a PCS frame consisting of 32 octets."

To: "A PCS frame consisting of 32 octets is formed from 16B/17B blocks, 15 in total, plus one auxilary bit."

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 187

Page 34 of 37 10/24/2025 9:12:09 AM

C/ 190 SC 190.1.2 P56 L 12 # 188 C/ 190 SC 190.1.3 P58 L39 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X You add one extra bit of data to each PCS frame, not to each 64B/65B block. And th 15 in Some extra "the" before normal mode and training mode front of the 64B looks strange SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the two "the" before normal mode and training mode. Change: "An auxiliary bit is added to each 15 64B/65B block resulting in 122 octets to Proposed Response Response Status O which 6 RS-FEC parity octets are added to create a PCS frame consisting of 128 octets." To: "A PCS frame of 128 octects is formed from 64B/65B block, 15 in total, plus one auxiliary bit resulting in 122 octets to which 6 RS-FEC parity octets are added." C/ 190 P92 SC 190.3.5.2 **L20** Proposed Response Response Status O Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type T Comment Status X What is a Low latency PCS frame? I don't see this term anywhere but in Figure 190-7 # 189 C/ 190 SC 190.1.2 P56 L 22 SuggestedRemedy Slavick, Jeff Broadcom In Figure 190-7 change: Comment Status X Comment Type Т "low lateny" to "256b" contained in the PCS is refering to they're part of the PCS functionality? "Burst error protectioin" to "1024b" "I I " to "32B" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "contained in the PCS" with "functions of the PCS" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.3.5.2.4 P94 L49 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom L13 C/ 190 SC 190.1.2.3 P58 # 190 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Slavick, Jeff Broadcom The bar to enable EEE mode of operation is quite high in that both sides must request it's Comment Type Comment Status X TR operation. What does "adapts signaling" mean in the context of EEE? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "adapts" to "limits" or "stops"

Update it so you pass along both request and ability in the PHY capability bits for EEE. And make it so EEE mode is activated when both PHYs have the ability and one of the requests it to be enabled.

This would be done by renaming eee adv to eee ap and assigning eee cap to be mapped from 3.2296.15 and assigning Oct10<3> to be eee reg which is mapped to 3.2297.15. And then updating the resolution to be if eee cap of transmit and received are both 1 and eee reg (Oct10<3>) is a one in either the transmit or received capability bits EEE mode is enabled.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Comment ID 193

Page 35 of 37 10/24/2025 9:12:09 AM

# 191

# 192

# 193

C/ 190 P94 # 194 C/ 190 **L**5 SC 190.3.5.2.4 L 50 SC 190.3.7.1.2 P101 # 197 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The bar to enable RS-FEC mode of operation is quite high in that both sides must request rx char is supposedly a struct of a Boolean and an 8bit field. But later it calls it a 9-bit character and the encoding tables don't use True/False for Data v. Control encoding. And it's operation. no mapping of is provided for whether Data is a 1 or Control is 1. So is it truly a 1b field SuggestedRemedy plus an 8b field? Or is it an Enum for Data and Control and a mapping of which is which is Update it so you pass along both request and ability in the PHY capability bits for RSneeded. FEC. And make it so RS-FEC mode is activated when both PHYs have the ability and one SuggestedRemedy of the requests it to be enabled. This would be done by renaming rs adv to rs ap and assigning rs cap to be mapped from Change "boolean value" to "enumeration" 3 times in the definition of rx char and add "(1)" 3.2296.14 and assigning Oct10<2> to be rs\_reg which is mapped to 3.2297.14. And then after data and "(0)" after control in the 3rd sentence updating the resolution to be if rs cap of transmit and received are both 1 and rs reg Proposed Response Response Status O (Oct10<2>) is a one in either the transmit or received capability bits RS-FEC mode is enabled. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.3.7.1.2 P101 L13 # 198 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Status X C/ 190 SC 190.3.7.1.2 P103 L2 # 195 Comment Type Ε 2 is less than ten, spell it out. Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy When is rf valid false? Change 2 to two

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 190

Define when rf valid is false.

SC 190.3.3.5

Proposed Response Response Status O

P80

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

"See Table 190-1" is not a hyperlink to the table

SuggestedRemedy

Fix the link

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 190 SC 190.3.7.2

Comment Type Comment Status X

There should be a d at the end of enable in the NOTE

SuggestedRemedy

Slavick, Jeff

Proposed Response

Change "when EEE is enable" to "when EEE is enabled" in NOTES in both part a & b of Figure 190-4

P108

Broadcom

L32

# 199

Response Status O

Proposed Response Response Status O

L13

# 196

Cl 190 SC 190.3.7.1.2 P101 L47 # 200

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

TR

Mixing definition and FSM setting of a variable should be avoided.

Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change the last sentence of the rx\_lpi\_active definition from: "The parameter is set to its default value (FALSE) in each state of the PCS Receive state diagram of Figure 190–13 where it is not explicitly set TRUE."

To: "The parameter is TRUE when the PCS Receive state diagram Figure 190-13 is in the RX LPI state and FALSE otherwise."

Remove the assignment of rx lpi active from the RX LPI state in Figure 190-13 part b

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.7.1.2 P101 L45 # 201

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

rx\_lpi\_active is a Boolean is it not?

SuggestedRemedy

Begin the definition of rx lpi active with the word Boolean

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.7.1.2 P102 L14 # 202

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Does tx\_alert\_start\_next assert to TRUE for every possible valid position or just some of them?

SuggestedRemedy

Change "before any" to "prior to the"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.7.1.2

P102

L22

# 203

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Mixing definition and FSM setting of a variable should be avoided.

Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type TR

Change the definition of tx lpi enable to be:

"Boolean variable that is TRUE when the PCS (8N)B/(8N+1)B Transmit state diagram

Figure 190-11 is in the TX SLEEP state and FALSE otherwise."

Remove tx lpi enable <= TRUE from Figure 190-11

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 190 SC 190.3.7.1.2 P102 L14 # 204

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Does tx\_sleep\_start\_next assert to TRUE for every possible valid position or just some of them?

SuggestedRemedy

Change "prior to any" to "prior to the"

Proposed Response Status O