

P802.3dj D2.2

Comment Resolution Agenda

Matt Brown, Alphawave, P802.3dj Editor-in-Chief

Gary Nicholl, Cisco, Logic Track Lead Editor

Eugene Opsasnick, Broadcom, Logic Editor

Adee Ran, Cisco, Electrical Track Lead Editor

Tom Issenhuth, Huawei, Optical Track Lead Editor

Introduction

- ❖ This slide package provides the comment agenda for the **Draft 2.2** comment resolution.
- ❖ Comment resolution order is shown in the following slides.
- ❖ The agenda is subject to change as required.
- ❖ Comments/topics that appear to be converging but require some offline consensus building might be “parked” and addressed at a later date in this CRG meeting series.
- ❖ Parallel meetings may be running for the three tracks (logic, electrical, and optical).
 - Individuals are encouraged to review the topics in each track to understand if there are any conflicts.

Comment resolution procedure

Source: https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_05/brown_3dj_01_2405.pdf

Approach to comment resolution (same as 802.3df)

The following approach will be utilized for resolving comments...

- ❖ Review the proposed response
 - Discuss and refine as needed and attempt to close without objection using **direction** straw polls, as necessary.
 - If no more than two objections (including commenter) to proposed response then consider it to be consensus and close comment.
 - If more than two objections then use **decision** straw poll(s) to move forward.
- ❖ Use of a **direction** straw poll to determine a direction
 - Use the result of the direction straw poll(s) to determine consensus, refine the proposed response, or to craft a decision straw poll.
- ❖ Use of a **decision** straw poll to make a final decision.
 - The decision straw poll winner is the option that has more than 50% support.
 - Close the comment based on the winner of the decision straw poll(s).
- ❖ The editorial team may provide presentations as needed to aid in the resolution of comments.
- ❖ Individuals are reminded to review “IEEE SA Balloting and Comment Resolution Process Guidelines”
<https://standards.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/import/governance/revcom/guidelines.pdf>

IEEE P802.3dj Task Force, May 2024

8

All comment responses closed by the CRG are approved by the task force by a technical motion.

We are here...

Comment summary (so far):
427 received
294 closed
133 total comments to resolve

66 Common track
45 Electrical track
1 Logic track
22 Optical track

Clause	E	G	T	ER	GR	TR	Open	Closed	Total
00	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
116	0	0	1	0	0	6	5	2	7
119	4	0	5	0	0	4	0	13	13
120	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
169	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	3	4
172	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	4	4
174	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	2	4
174A	0	0	3	1	0	5	4	5	9
175	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
175A	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2
176	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	6	6
176B	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
176C	2	0	2	0	0	9	7	6	13
176D	3	0	3	1	0	10	9	8	17
177	2	0	6	0	0	3	0	11	11
178	3	0	10	0	0	14	18	9	27
178A	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2
178B	14	0	11	5	0	27	21	36	57
179	5	0	9	4	0	19	21	16	37
179A	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	4
179B	6	0	3	2	0	5	1	15	16
179C	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
180	9	0	10	4	0	54	17	60	77
180A	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
181	1	0	0	1	0	15	5	12	17
182	3	0	0	0	0	19	7	15	22
183	2	0	4	0	0	16	11	11	22
184	6	0	2	0	0	1	2	7	9
185	2	0	1	1	0	2	0	6	6
185A	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
186	7	0	2	1	0	11	0	21	21
186A	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
187	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	5
73	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
73A	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	2
Total	83	0	85	24	0	236	134	294	428

Comment resolution summary

Meeting Date	Business and Tracks
Day #1: 2025/11/10 Monday	Opening business Bucket #1 motion Common track comments PM1/PM2 (likely PM3)
Day #2: 2025/11/11 Tuesday	Common track comments AM1/AM2 Optical track PM1/PM2 (tentatively PM3) Electrical track PM1/PM2 (tentatively PM3) Logic track PM1/PM2 (tentatively PM3)
Day #3: 2025/11/12 Wednesday	Electrical track AM1/AM2/PM1/PM2 Optical track AM1/AM2/PM1/PM2
Day #4: 2025/11/13 Thursday	Liaison motions, etc., ? Common-track comments Any other remaining comments Closing business

Common-Track General {#}

Day #4

Topic	Clause/Annex	Comments
Tx FRx, receiver specs	180-183	82, 226
PSU: Polarity correction	178B, 180-183	180, brown_03
test blocks	174A	312, brown_03
test methods	174A, 178+	188, 189
PSU: wording	MANY	[150, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 49, 50, 51, 53, 56, 61, 62, 63, 64, 66, 67, 68, 69, 71, 153, 240, 242, brown_03]
PSU: Definitions	178B	[414, 11, 318], brown_03 350, 413
PSU: Scope	178B	237
PSU: psu state diagrams	178B	[351, slavick_01], [222, 344], 291, [315, wang_01], 340, 344
PSU: variables	178B	236, 292, 336, 19
PSU: timers	178B	221
Rx tests	179+	202, 203
block error ratio	174A	307
PSU: ILT for coherent PMDs	184	219, ran_03
Tx FRx to informative annex	180- 183	226

Note that comment resolution order may be readjusted.

Cyan highlight: pulled from bucket #1

Legend: [##,##,##] = related comments, ## = pivot comment, [##,##,author_nn] = related presentation

Common-Track General {#}

Done

Topic	Clause/Annex	Comments
Block error ratio acronym	174A	18, brown_03
test methods	174A, 178+, 180-183	166
Note that comment resolution order may be readjusted. Cyan highlight: pulled from bucket #1		

Legend: [##,##,##] = related comments, ## = pivot comment, [##,##,author_nn] = related presentation

Topic	Clause/Annex	Comments
PSU: LOCAL_PATTERN mode	178B	149
PSU: Rename PSU	178B	412
Note that comment resolution order may be readjusted. Cyan highlight: pulled from bucket #1		

Common-Track Optical {#}

Day #4

Topic	Clause/Annex	Comments
Remove TX TQM test		nowell_3dj_adhoc_01a_251030, ghiasi_02, ehayeb_02, rodes_01, cole_01, (preview comments 82, 207, 201)
TDECQ DFE tap		136
Jitter		139, 160, 224, 256, 257, 258, 259 (resolve in optical track)
TDECQ_CER		137, 247, 248, 249, 250 (resolve in optical track)
TFSEM	180-183	138
TDECQ mission mode	180-183	[265, 275, 267, 269, 270] (resolve in optical track)
TDECQ, DFE behaviour	180-183	227
CER TDECQ	180-183	117, 118, ehayeb_01
CER TDECQ limit	180-183	[261, 262, 263, 264, ghiasi_01, rodes_01]
Tx FRx, AUI jitter	180-183	[266, 268]
Tx FRx, ILT	180-183	[278, 279, 280, 281] (resolve in optical track)
jitter limit	180-183	207, calvin_01 (resolve in optical track)
jitter test pattern	180-183, 176, 177	4, 5 (resolve in optical track)
Jitter {3}	176D-179	[201 ran_02] [358-359], calvin_01 (resolve in electrical track)
VEC (EECQ) {2}	176D	276-277 calvin_01 (resolve in electrical track)

Note that comment resolution order may be readjusted.
Cyan highlight: pulled from bucket #1

Legend: [##,##,##] = related comments, ## = pivot comment, [##,##,author_nn] = related presentation

Optical Track {#}

Done

Topic	Clause/Annex	Comments
ETCC	185A	120, 251, temprana_01a
OMA outer	180	211
overshoot	180, 181, 182, 183	223, [252, 253, 254, 255, ghiasi_03]
RINxxOMA	180	214
Rx Sensitivity	180, 181, 182, 183	[98, 99, 100, 101, 102]
signaling rate	180	10
TX FRx test pattern	181, 182, 183	[103, 104, 105]
Tx FRx	180-183	[194, 192, 193, 191, 228, 229, 230, 317], [271, 272, 273, 274], [72, 225, 155] issenhuth_01
CD penalty LR4, Tx FRx	180, 181, 182, 183	[432, 433, 434, 435, he_01]
TDECQ	180	8, 316, 116, 231
CER TDECQ	180-183	[114, 6, 115], [7, 260, 112, 113], ehayeb_01, rodes_02
Note that comment resolution order may be readjusted. Cyan highlight: pulled from bucket #1		

Legend: [##,##,##] = related comments, ## = pivot comment, [##,##,author_nn] = related presentation

Electrical Track {57}

Day #3

Topic	Clause/Annex	Comments
Loss budget {4}	179 176D 179A	[232 heck_01] 204 [233W heck_02]
MTF requirements {2}	179B	[301 302 koesis_01]
CA minimum loss {1}	179	303
Test fixtures {5}	179 179B	299 141 167 306 407 408 409
Jitter {3}	176D 179	[201 ran_02] [358 359], calvin_01
VEC (EECQ) {2}	176D	276 277 calvin_01
Test points {2}	179	397 [396 406 swenson_01]
SCMR_CH {2}	179	[111 304 ellison_01]
Rx tests {11}	178 179 176C 176D	[355 174] [179 176] [79 80] 173 175 178 108 110
RLdc {2}	179	[142 177]
Single-ended input tolerance {1}	176D	81
Tx signaling rate {1}	178	9
SNDR {1}	179	361
R_peak {3}	179 176D [178 176C?]	[200 143 healey_01] 360
MDI lane mapping {1}	179C	183
Modal ERL {15}	178 179 176C 176D 178A	[126 123 124 125 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 121] 122 {mellitz_3dj_adhoc_01a_251030}
“Difference” parameters	178 176C	144 145 146 147 148
AUI eq PMD	176C 176D	16

Note that comment resolution order may be readjusted.
Cyan highlight: pulled from bucket #1

Legend: [##,##,##] = related comments, ## = pivot comment, [##,##,author_nn] = related presentation

Logic Track {20}

Done

Topic	Clause/Annex	Comments
50 ppm vs. 100 ppm requirements	120	[165, 327, 170, 347, ofelt_01]
Stateless decoder	119	[32, 392, 93]
PMA block error counters	176	428
ER1 test vectors	186A	152
Deskew state diagrams	184, 186	[366, 365], 374
ER1 state diagrams	186	379, 386, 390
Inner FEC MDIO registers	177	[419, 171, 198, 172]
Inner FEC Pad Scrambler	177	197
PCS state variables	175	362
Constant usage and pilot symbol	184	354
Note that comment resolution order may be readjusted. Cyan highlight: pulled from bucket #1		

Legend: [##,##,##] = related comments, ## = pivot comment, [##,##,author_nn] = related presentation

Bucket #1

Done

Bucket #1 comments are listed in the following comment report:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D2p2/8023dj_D2p2_comments_proposed_id_bucket1.pdf

The following comments were pulled from bucket #1 (so far):

~~407, 408, 354, 409~~

(count #)

Withdrawn

The following comments have been withdrawn (so far):

1, 2, 41, 48, 52, 55, 58, 65, 70, 213, 356, 300, 233

(count 13)