E 802.3dk D2.3 Bidirectional 100Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 3rd Working Group recirculation ballot comme

C/ Content SC Contents	P 13	L3	# 1	CI 56	SC 56.1.3	P 30	L 29	# 5
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia	_•		Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		5
Comment Type E Layout	Comment Status D		editorial (Late)	Comment If this	Type E table includes 161	Comment Status D I RS-FEC-Int		quick review (Late
SuggestedRemedy Missing white space aff	ter each clause number			Suggested Perha	•	le 152 Inverse RS-FEC also		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.14	5a P24	L 7	# 2	C/ 80	SC 80.1.5	P34	L 20	# 6
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		editorial (Late)	Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		editorial (Late)
confusion unless expla	mandatory precoding ability vined.	without this bit. 1	This is likely to cause	Some Suggested		in blue in the diff version see	ems to be the sa	ime as in D2.2.
SuggestedRemedy					-			
Similarly to 45.2.1.117.	7a, insert: This register appli	es to 100GBASE	E-BRx.	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
C/ 56 SC 56.1.3	P 26	<i>L</i> 1	# 3	C/ 91	SC 91.7.4.1	P 42	L10	# 7
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie		Nvidia Comment Status D		aditarial /l ata
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		editorial (Late)	Comment TF10	<i>Type</i> E has KR4 not KP4	Comment Status D		editorial (Late,
802.3cp has been absorbed into 802.3-2022 SuggestedRemedy					SuggestedRemedy Change KP4 back to KR4, once			
Delete "(as modified by IEEE Std 802.3cp-2022)" in 3 places					Response	Response Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			•	POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
C/ 56 SC 56.1.3	P 26	L11	# 4					
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia							
Comment Type E Two fiber	Comment Status D		editorial (Late)					
SuggestedRemedy Two fibers (3 times)	as in the base standard							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

Comment ID 7

Page 1 of 3 2025/7/26 9:29:20

E 802.3dk D2.3 Bidirectional 100Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 3rd Working Group recirculation ballot commi

C/ 135 SC 135.6 P5287 # 8 C/ 168 SC 168.7.5 P65 **L8** # 11 Dawe, Piers Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Comment Type Е Comment Status D quick review (Late) Comment Type т Comment Status X technical (Late) In Table 135-3, MDIO/PMA status variable mapping This says "there's a proposal to add the maximum tap weight for the tap immediately after the largest tap; max 0.07 in CL 168.7.5.", chaveb 3di 01 2505 slide 8 shows that a very SuggestedRemedy asymmetric signal can pass all the specs and still be troublesome to receive. P802.3di D2.0 Insert new rows: comment 392 proposes "The absolute difference between c(-1) and c(1) shall be less than MDIO status variable PMA/PMD register name Register/bit number PMA status variable 0.3". However, ordinary filtering effects (pulses decay slower than they build up) can cause PMA Rx precoding ability PMA precoding ability 1.607.1 Rx precoding ability the optimum setting for the tap immediately after the largest tap to be more negative than PMA Tx precoding ability PMA precoding ability 1.607.0 the one immediately before: this is expected. Having the tap before at -0.2 and the tap after Tx precoding ability at +0.1 would be more undesirable than the reverse. Proposed Response Response Status W SugaestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. Add two specs: Tap weight for the tap immediately after the largest tap: max 0.08. (Typically this tap would C/ 161 SC 161 P52 **L1** # 9 Dawe. Piers Nvidia -0.3 <= (tap after - tap before) <= 0.15 Comment Type E Comment Status D editorial (Late) Proposed Response Response Status W Should say that Clause 161 was added by 802.3ck For group discussion. SuggestedRemedy C/ 168 P**72** SC 168.10.1 L7 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type E Comment Status D quick review (Late) PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change two editing instruction to: Note b about dispersion doesn't relate to the insertion loss row. Change Table 161-2 (as added by IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022) as follow (additional unchanged SuggestedRemedy rows not shown): Remove the b after Channel insertion loss a, Insert new subclauses 161.6.10a after 161.6.10 (as added by IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022) as follow: Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 161 SC 161 P52 L10 # 10 Add "over the wavelength range 1303.6nm to 1310.1nm" at the end of note b. Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status D editorial (Late) C/ TOC SC TOC P14 L24 stauts Simms. William **NVIDIA** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status D editorial Typo, no space between "networksPhysical" status Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status W Change to "networks Physical" Medium Attachment... PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete "Introduction to 50 Gb/s networks" in the CL135 title in the content.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 13

Page 2 of 3 2025/7/26 9:29:20

E 802.3dk D2.3 Bidirectional 100Gb/s Optical Access PHYs 3rd Working Group recirculation ballot comme

C/ TOC SC TOC P13 L12 # 14 C/ 135 SC 135.5.7.2 P**44** L24 Simms, William **NVIDIA** Simms, William **NVIDIA** Comment Type Е Comment Status D quick review Comment Type ER Comment Status D Possible Typo aMAUType typo: 1/(1+D) mode 4 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change from aMAUType to: MAU Type substitute 1/(1+D) mod 4 Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Subclause title of 30.5.1.1.2 in the IEEE 802.3 base standard is: aMAUType. C/ Introduc SC Introduction P12 L3 C/ 30 SC 30..5.1.1.2 P18 L10 # 15 Lewis, Jon **Dell Technologies** Simms, William **NVIDIA** Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D editorial The first line states that Amendment 11 "adds Clause ." but doesn't include the clause aMAUType SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the end of the first sentence to "adds Clause 168." Change from aMAUType to: MAU Type Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED REJECT. See comment #14. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.145a P24 L23 # 16 Simms, William **NVIDIA** Comment Status D Comment Type editorial order of the bits should be 1.607.1 and then 1.607.0. SuggestedRemedy Suggest to flip 45.2.1.145a.1 and 45.2.1.145a.2 to make the order 1.607.1 followed by 1.607.0 to be consistent with other parts of the spec

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status W

17

editorial

editorial