

Evaluation Criteria

24 January 2012

Mark Laubach, Broadcom

Matt Schmitt, CableLabs

Evaluation Criteria

- This presentation is essentially a “call” for future contribution and Study Group work
- The Study Group should begin producing a set of evaluation criteria that can be used here and refined in the Task Force effort for:
 - Stimulating consensus
 - Evaluating proposals
 - Guiding Task Force work
- Based strongly on cable operator requirements, CFI and other consensus objectives set by the Study Group
 - Will require input from cable operators around the globe

Several Types of Criteria

- Evaluation Criteria would contain:
 - Hard requirements
 - I.e., things that are required in order for a proposal to be considered
 - E.g., “MUST coexist with existing cable operator services on the same piece of coax”
 - Soft requirements: i.e., “MAY”, optional functionality
 - I.e., things that may advantage one proposal over another, but would not exclude a proposal
 - E.g., “tuning flexibility beyond what is required”
 - Includes comparative criteria, such as relative cost impact on CNU

Criteria from CFI

- The CFI laid out a number of points that can be considered for use as evaluation criteria
- There were possible criteria in the following areas:
 - Scope and Service
 - Common Coaxial Topologies
 - Provisioning and Flexibility
 - Environmental Performance
- The following slides go into each in more detail

CFI Review: Scope and Service

- “Up to” downstream speed(s)
- “Up to” upstream speed(s)
- Symmetric speed options
- Asymmetric speed options
- Full duplex?
- No substantive changes to other EPON sublayers?
 - OAM impact?
- Carrier Ethernet considerations:
 - Minimum BER?
 - Impact on meeting MEF 9, 14, and 23 certification?

CFI Review: Common Coaxial Topologies

- MSO deployment topology support:
 1. Passive , “Node + 0”?
 2. Node + N (N > 1 to ?)?
 3. Traditional HFC?
- MxU deployment support:
 4. MxU?
- Common criteria
 - What are requirements / assumptions for operating?
 - Any modifications required to the network?

CFI Review: Provisioning and Flexibility

- Flexibility in Provisioning
 - Spectrum Coverage?
 - Downstream
 - Upstream
 - Working around any existing downstream and upstream services?
 - E.g. use of contiguous and non-contiguous spectrum, etc.
 - Future flexibility?
 - Other
 - Spectrum efficiency
 - Modulation Rates
 - Adjustability in Forward Error Correction techniques
 - e.g., latency versus burst performance similar to ITU J.83b

CFI Review: Environmental Performance

- SNR requirements?
- Meet/exceed DOCSIS BER performance in same conditions?

Recommendations

- Study Group develop and maintain a “living” set of evaluation criteria
 - Pass to Task for ongoing development and for evaluating future PHY proposals
 - The Criteria should be based on:
 - Cable operator requirements
 - The CFI
 - Other criteria as developed as part of the consensus work of the SG
- Study Group participants, to the extent they are able, contribute opinions on the possible evaluation criteria identified in this deck (and other discussions as appropriate)
 - Which criteria to include, which are hard requirements, which are soft requirements, what those requirements are, etc.
- In addition, encourage cable operators to contribute example plant scenarios to evaluate proposals against
 - Need real world scenarios to use for evaluation purposes, performance comparisons, etc.