8802-3/802.3 REVISION REQUEST 1204	
DATE: NAME: COMPANY/AFFILIATION: E-MAIL:	12th Jan, 2009 Piers Dawe
	802.3 1.2 New
Unless the context re	d resolution of numerical quantities equires otherwise, numerical limits in this standard exact, with the number of significant digits and
this mean? One intermeaning. Another, wh	t on this question: mit for something is 3 (or 3.0, or 3.000), what does repretation is that the trailing zeros have no mich is widely taught as good scientific measurement means anywhere between 2.5 and 3.5, while 3.0 means
measurements, seems	ation, while seen as correct for reporting inconvenient for a standard: one party might think gasses while another might say it fails.
_	the situation unambiguous in the standard, guidance ould be provided to editors for future projects.
Is there another star	ndard that addresses this question?
The instruments for methese values required	and say something like this: neasurement are assumed to be exact. Any offset from d to ensure that the limits are met in the presence ent accuracy, noise, bandwidth and so on is the e implementer.
IMPACT ON EXISTING N	ETWORKS:
Unknown, probably neg	gligible.
Submit to: - David I	orting material, if any   Law, Chair IEEE 802.3   : David Law@ieee.org